

in the 1970s by Willy Brandt, the “Brandt Reforms” which threw out the relics of Humboldt, by making education more “practical,” more “suitable” for the interests of industry and so forth. And as a result of that, you have, today in Germany, for example, a generation which knows nothing about Classical culture! They don’t know Heine; they don’t know Lessing; they don’t know Schiller—maybe they have heard the name, but it doesn’t mean anything to them.

### ‘Limits to Growth’

So then, the next phase came, that very consciously the ecology movement was created. And I think it is essential, that people understand that this is not a sociological phenomenon: MIT had two professors, Meadows and Forrester, who put out—with a gigantic propaganda effort and *millions* of dollars—this book *Limits to Growth*, in all languages. Later, these people admitted that this was a computer study with an implicit fraud, where they had fed the outcome of the computer study in such a way, to *prove* that the limits of growth have been reached, by assuming we have now reached a finite level of resources. And, that they basically had faked it, by leaving out, deliberately, the idea that what *is* a raw material is entirely defined by the level of science and technology with which you look at this resource. If you say, “This is a stone” or “This is iron ore,” it depends on the level of technology.

But, that started then to build the green movement. All of a sudden, you had the spread of people being concerned more about trees than about people; the Rockefeller Foundation and others were instrumental in creating the *mythology* that there was an *overpopulation*—I remember when I attended the UN Population Conference in Bucharest in 1974, Mr. John D. Rockefeller III, who was an evil person, already a little bit senile, but he presented his idea that the world population had to be reduced. We intervened with our own conception of Third World development, and Mr. Rockefeller was, at that point, attacked by me very strongly for committing genocide worse than Adolf Hitler: At that point, all the NGOs, all the leftie groups, knew that “overpopulation” was a Rockefeller baby. People knew that the real problem of the Third World, was a lack of development, and not of overpopulation. But, that was another layer of creating the ecology movement.

And then you had various steps, like the New York Council on Foreign Relations, which, in 1975, produced 20 books on “controlled disintegration of the world economy,” which was the idea that the one thing which had to be prevented was that the “mercantilist tradition” of Europe would match with the so-called “socialist tradition” leading to Third World development. The idea was never to have a Japan again, a country which was completely isolated and backward for centuries, to all of a sudden, through the American System approach, make the jump from a developing country into one of the two or three most industrialized countries.

Then, in ’75, the IMF increased their conditionalities. They worsened them in such a way, that the debt became

## In Commemoration of The Tsunami Victims

*This statement was proposed to the seminar by Dr. M.K. Saini from New Delhi.*

The enormous tragedy unleashed by the killer wave tsunami in Southeast Asia destroyed the lives and assets of millions of common men in Indonesia, Thailand, Sri Lanka, and India. All of them were citizens of the world.

In this hour of unbearable pain suffered by the helpless millions, we must stand by them. We express our deepest sympathies for the families of those who died and express our firm commitment to help their families. We must become the voice of hope for them.

The LaRouche International Movement has from the early 1970s worked out concrete development programs for Africa, South America, the Pacific Basin, India, Southwest Asia, and Eurasia. These programs, taken together, could provide a concrete basis for a New and Just World Order. The time has come to work in this direction immediately.

more expensive, and more expensive, and more expensive, so that it led to what we call “bankers’ arithmetic,” which is the idea that the so-called Third World paid back their debt 10 times, and they still have 10 times more debt than 20 years ago, simply by changing the conditionalities of the debt repayment, in such a way.

### The LaRouche Intervention

Now, it should be noted that while this paradigm-shift was going on, there was a counter-movement, from the beginning, around the person of Lyn. Because Lyn had for the very first time, in 1958, forecast that the world, if it continued the then-already visible monetarist policies, would end up in the danger of a new fascism and a new depression. Then, in 1971, when Nixon, indeed, started the bubble economy—by decoupling the dollar from gold, by creating the private banking power over money creation in the offshore markets—Lyn said, this will lead to a new depression, the new danger of fascism, and the danger of a collapse of society—or, the just new world economic order.

And, the entire LaRouche movement, internationally, was built on that idea. You had always two tendencies: You had the increase of globalization, and you had the growing LaRouche movement, being absolutely certain, that the moment which we are seeing right now, would eventually come.

So, in 1975, Mr. LaRouche went to Iraq, to participate in