

## The Youth of Today Declare: 'We Will Not Be Gorified!'

by Pavel Penev, LaRouche Youth Movement

If, more than 270 years ago, Jonathan Swift exposed that behind the British Empire's Malthusian policy of population stabilization lay as much sanity as in the passions one might observe in a wild jungle, how is *A Modest Proposal*, as a Gorific idea, being sold again today? The only argument to be found in the streets, at educational and policy institutions in the U.S. and Europe, is the lie called "scientific consensus." But this has been sent back to Hollywood by the LaRouche movement, a more natural environment for such passions.

One can encounter, coming from such "ecological economists" in Al Gore's pack as Bill McKibben, the Middlebury College (Vermont) advocate of "civil rights for creatures," not humans, the *complaint* that unlike all other species of life, which have a limited number of potential living individuals, the world's human population has been generously increasing since as far back as we can tell.

Al Gore himself claims in his *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*, that although Thomas Malthus, the 18th-Century doomsday prophet of "negative population growth," has been repeatedly disproved as a result of the effects of "improvements in agricultural technology," Malthus has been ultimately right, because we are now accelerating towards the "limit" of potential population increase. Although neither any conclusive argument is offered against the increase of the number of living human individuals of the, supposedly, ultimately limited population, nor, more importantly, is any proper investigation of the limits of the universe to be found, such conclusions are implied.

Another word for Gore's "population stabilization," is simply "genocide," one can immediately see what Gore's own "Modest Proposal" really is: the United States must ban the incandescent light bulb; every glass of water must be taxed; and intrinsically, every breath of clean air must be

taxed—except that it is too hard to enforce.

LaRouche asked the obvious: Are the Democrats going to join Al Gore in the biggest political suicide pact in modern history? LaRouche answered his own question: In spite of George Bush and Dick Cheney, if the Democrats persist in going with Gore and his global warming carbon-swap swindle, they are going to go down to the greatest political loss in history.

### True Believers


What can save the Democratic Party is the mobilization spearheaded by the LaRouche Youth Movement, which is working in numerous countries to free the populations from the genocidal assault of the imperial scheme known as the "global warming swindle."

The LYM's intervention hit Washington, D.C. in force on March 21, when loser Gore—whose rotten Year 2000 vote-suppressing campaign made George W. Bush President—made *two* appearances before the U.S. Congress—in both the House and Senate.

But Malthus was nowhere to be seen. Instead, Al Gore refused to acknowledge his "population stabilization" policy (i.e., racist population elimination, especially for Africa), when he went to Congress to testify. But the LYM made sure that all the attendees knew what Gore is really pushing. Virtually all those in the line of about 100 waiting to get in the joint House Committee on Science and Technology and House Energy and Commerce Committee hearing, took a LYM leaflet, which many of them could have seen in the form of a banner on their way to the building.

The banner contains two scenes: Gore pushing his wife Tipper out of the window, followed by another: Al Gore having sexual intercourse with a pile of coal. The subtitle reads

## Gore's Carbon Swap



EIRNS/Celani

“Carbon swap!” Although Gore turned his head away from the cartoon, his wife, after receiving it for a third time after the event, demonstrated the quality of emotion governing a truly nature-loving family with an outburst: “What is wrong with you people!”, accompanied by a rageful look.

Though a similar emotional quality was reportedly expressed typically among those aged above 35 during the pro-Gore environmentalist rally, bringing together such names as the Sierra Club, “Cool the Planet,” Greenpeace, and Alaska Wilderness League on the Hill the day before, as that rally was proceeding, the LYM organizers found that agreement with Gore was only “shirt-deep.” A youth Greenpeace organizer willing to discuss the LaRouche Political Action Committee (LPAC) exposé titled “True CO<sub>2</sub> Record Buried Under Gore,” with the LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM), found only surface environmental persuasion, and requested further contact; a group of children came up specifically because of the LYM’s signs and banners displaying anthropogenic destruction of the planet through global warming and Gore’s credibility as fraud. Even a no-longer youthful Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) representative admitted he was working for “a fraudulent organization.”

The only “evidence” for the insistence on carbon caps and similar policy was experienced in terms expressed by two representatives of the “Baby Boomer” generation. A man told the LYM that we should de-industrialize and “wear loin cloths.” When provoked to sober up, however, he admitted he used to work with the U.S. Geological Survey, and that he does *not* think human activity is the main cause of global warming. A woman, who said she thought the world is overpopulated, when asked “Who do you think we should start

killing off first? How about Africa? We’re doing a good job there,” nodded, “Yeah, with HIV!”, happy to be solving a population problem.

But, for some of those who were still able to enjoy policy deliberation in such a heated environment, some Senate staffers showed *The Great Global Warming Swindle* movie—the British Channel 4 documentary that has become an “underground blockbuster” on the Internet, later that day for a number of staffers from Congressional offices. There to answer questions for the Congress was Paul Driessen, one of the scientists who had participated in the Channel 4 program.

## Love for the Nation

The worldwide LaRouche movement had already recognized something many of the new, often Democratic, lovers of Gore on the political scene have

failed to recognize, or worse, chose to ignore.

The LaRouche Youth Movement had been at dozens of meetings in House and Senate offices the week before Feb. 25. The LYM was in the process of providing every office in the Congress with a set of Platonic solids, working with staffers through LYM’s website presentation of Johannes Kepler’s founding of modern science through his discovery of *The Harmony of the World*, subsuming the particular expressions of lawfulness of the principle of gravitation.

This work had been demonstrated as necessary for the successful implementation of an economic recovery in LaRouche’s “The Lost Art of the Capital Budget” (see *EIR*, Jan. 12, 2007), and discussed in relation to LaRouche’s Jan. 11, 2007 webcast, “The Old Economics Is Dead, the New Economics Must Begin.” Many of the Democratic Congresspeople knew the LYM as the leadership that sparked the youth generation’s ousting of the Republican Party control of Congress during the mid-term elections period. LaRouche PAC’s contacts were taking literature to distribute, and people at various policy events were asking the LYM to sing the “Impeach Cheney” song, or the “George Bush, dry drunk” song. The question of creating a future for the youth generation by, most notably, the replacement of the Cheney-Bush Administration for, crucially, the purpose of organizing a worldwide economic recovery and development process had occupied the political table.

But, in a flash, the week of Feb. 25 to March 1 was filled with more than a dozen Governors’ and Congressional and Senate events in Washington, D.C., including numerous hearings and briefings on the Hill, accompanied by many local events, all on the “issue of global warming.” What had occur-

red? No major event was reported around the world—no tidal wave or Arctic meltdown, such as those predicted almost daily by Gore, had occurred. No, on Sunday, Feb. 25, Al Gore appeared before the media with something less significant for justifying such a policy-shift than if he had announced that he discovered the water level rising in his swimming pool during even only the process of his submersion therein—the *An Inconvenient Truth* movie he starred in was awarded an Oscar.

A campaign had started.

On the next day, Feb. 26, 125,000 LPAC leaflets, “True CO<sub>2</sub> Record Buried Under Gore,” were shipped around the United States. The LYM was preparing for in-depth discussion of the process of CO<sub>2</sub> deposits in ice cores in the ocean record, looking at the role of Solar and cosmic radiation in climate formation, etc., only to find those leaflets distributed in one week, with many youth eager to find out more, and significant response at the dozens of global warming events in Washington, D.C. and around the United States. The Congressional encounters included a Nevada Representative who came to talk to the LYM, having been asked if he would help stop global freezing, and interested to hear what LaRouche had to say. Also, a Feb. 19 *Washington Times* op-ed titled “Global-Warming Theory and the Eugenics Precedent,” by John Linder (R-Ga.) was discovered. NASA’s Goddard Institute for Space Studies director James Hansen refused to consider the past 100 years’ 90,000 direct measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and argued for “accredited sources” (those that don’t challenge the “scientific consensus”?) when confronted by a LYM member at a National Press Club televised event.

Over the next weeks, with another 150,000 leaflets and the same number of pamphlets with LaRouche’s March 7 “Implications of the Gore Swindle for International Policy” webcast phasing in, the LYM found that in the United States and Europe, people found global warming as important an issue for politics, as a Hollywood movie is for science, although representatives from the “Baby Boomer” generation tended to act as if they were in such a movie, demonstrated by one of them turning over a table with LPAC literature, in a rage fit.

From those supporters of environmentalist claims of global warming whose response to LPAC was not governed by hysteria, the only argument for their claims was “All scientists agree!” “There is a consensus!”

However, contrary to environmentalism, the human population is different than, and above that of a population of monkeys, by an unbridgeable quality.

### Breaking the Lie About Consensus

The resistance to Al Gore’s Global Warming fascism has been able to flourish and spread because of the international crossfire provided by the LaRouche movement. Because Gore’s Green Nazis can operate internationally, including through the work of Timothy Wirth, head of the United Na-

tions Foundation, it is crucial to have a pro-human-race political “command center” to counter the lies.

That is exactly the role that the worldwide LaRouche Youth Movement has been able to accomplish. Armed with the mastery of real science through the LYM’s breakthrough work on Johannes Kepler (see [wlym.com](http://wlym.com)), with humor and irony, and understanding of the history of the British-Dutch empires in spreading the Malthusian genocide theories, the LaRouche movement has opened the gates for destroying the Gore lies:

- Across the United States, more than 250,000 copies of the CO<sub>2</sub> hoax leaflet have been distributed. With the unwinding of the yen carry trade and mortgage bubble, however, the population at large, especially in destroyed communities like Detroit, and many from financial circles, insisted on learning LaRouche’s assessment and solution to the economic crisis instead, with financiers in Boston coming to LPAC asking to donate. Youth, many of whom, the LYM found out, have already seen the British Channel 4 TV documentary “The Great Global Warming Swindle,” were eager to work with the LYM on investigating how Kepler was able to discover the principle of gravity, and how J.S. Bach was able to know a principle of composition.

At a university in Austin, Texas, the LYM was able to finally find two professors from the whole science department who agreed that environmentalist claims about global warming were a fraud, and was organizing students, who were coming up to ask if they could have “everything you’ve got” The LPAC material was brought to the consideration of both Democratic and Republican state officials in Texas, where one staffer was upset that her child had to watch *An Inconvenient Truth* many times at high school, and became very eager to have “The Great Global Warming Swindle” shown as well. A life sciences professor at a Bay Area university was excited that the LYM was making the fraud of environmentalism a center of campus debate, because he said, it was becoming “a cult.”

- In Copenhagen, Denmark, during Gore’s event on Jan. 18, and another on March 13, the attendees passed by members of the LaRouche movement, who were warning, with literature and song, that the Gore policy is one of genocide. By March 20, the major Danish newspaper *Jyllands-Posten* featured an interview with a LaRouche leader in Copenhagen, Tom Gillesberg, about building a high-speed maglev railway. On March 21, the same paper published an op-ed exposing Gore as a fraud.

- In Berlin, Germany, many people were happy to be provided the means to figure out *why* they had had the feeling that global warming was a swindle. At two small cities near Stuttgart, where on one occasion 4,000 German leaflets were distributed at a train station in two hours, many approached the LYM to discuss the issue, and were then interested to find out what we were doing *politically*.

- In Paris, the population reportedly found the global warming hoax to have as much credibility as the leading



*The LaRouche Youth greeted Gore with humor when he went to perform in Washington, D.C. on March 21.*

EIRNS/James Rea

French Presidential candidates, but many local activists throughout France found hope in the leadership of the French LaRouche movement's Presidential candidate Jacques Cheminade against the mafia-style political climate.

- In Montreal, Gore was exposed before 6,000 youth, when a LYM member denounced him for wanting to depopulate Africa. Gore tried to defend himself by lying that, "No, I don't," but the LYM member retorted: "Yes, you do. It's in your book." The LYM member was ultimately dragged out, but not before the truth was heard.

In this widespread climate of discussion, even one of Gore's global warming-hype networks, "Focus the Nation," run by Eban Goodstein, showed signs of its bubble being punctured by the LaRouche movement, after its e-mail membership were asked to respond to a scientific article by Zbigniew Jaworowski exposing many of the global warming frauds, and after being exposed to "The Great Global Warming Swindle" movie, and LPAC's leaflet. Although Goodstein demanded an end to the circulation of such unsolicited material to his network, his members did not find censorship a solution, as they engaged in discussion and demanded counter-arguments.

Popping the debate bubbles on environmental and even economic policy also occurred at a March 21 Center for Science and Public Policy event in Canada with Dr. Timothy Ball. He opened up by demonstrating the inadequacy of relying on axiomatic computer models for the development of

future policy, as is being done on climate and economic issues. He referenced much of the material cited by *EIR* and LPAC on global warming, and responded to a LYM question by emphasizing the role of Gore's mentor, the Canadian swindler Maurice Strong, in understanding London's and Gore's push for carbon caps and emissions trade, the business on which Gore's hedge fund makes its money. To another LYM question he explained that the scientific community is controlled by fear, and that no scientist seeking or receiving funding would ever oppose the environmentalist claim about the role of human activity in global warming, since this premise must be accepted before any scientific work is done.

When the LYM raised the LaRouche movement's fight against the insanity of global warming with a Congressional staffer, the aide interjected that *moveon.org* was holding every district hostage on this single issue, and Congress is afraid to raise opposition.

What allowed the population to understand the situation, however, was an LPAC sign which read "Global warming is a bigger fraud than your girlfriend's orgasm!" with some reportedly showing signs of being ashamed in response. A young organic chemistry professor at a university in Detroit was excited by the LaRouche movement's exposing the fraud of the environmentalist global warming claim, but admitted that, "When it comes to research funding, I'm a whore, I'm a prostitute, I'm a bottom of the barrel slut! . . . I agree with you guys, but I have to prostitute myself for research funding."

## What Future Do You Live In?

It seems impossible to find an environmentalist argument against a carnivore's or a microorganism's wiping out of another species' population, for example. Yet Al Gore seems to have been trying to protect the HIV/AIDS virus from the danger of human activity: In 1998, he used his position as the American head of the U.S.-South Africa Binational Commission to threaten South Africa unless it abandoned its plans for affordable drugs for the treatment and prevention of AIDS. Are we to judge the universe and its Creator wrong because humanity is able to change the intimate working of that universe as, for example, by improving the boundaries of its potential population by reorganizing biospheric and abiotic processes?

If we are only to hold responsible for a change in the quality of a considered activity someone who holds the causative power of effecting the considered change, then the witting reader would recognize that holding such power is the responsibility of consciousness. As was demonstrated by the mind of Socrates, there are no occurrences whose causative responsibility is to be delegated to merely named creatures outside the bounds of the universe. Effects of change are the unfolding composition of Keplerian universal qualities of action, principles advancing like the reader's increasing comprehension of an unfolding performance of a Classical musical composition, a Classical drama, or a poem of similar quality.

There is no size or intrinsic limit of universal principles or their conscious action as ideas in the human mind. There is only an implied limit of action underlying the assumption of constancy in the composition of active principles, as in an axiomatic system left to function void of creativity like, for example, an electronic information system.

It has been the function of anti-sophist, creative human civilization based on Socrates, Kepler, Bach, and their pro-human collaborators, to expand the implied boundaries of the composition of universal principles, including, notably, population potential, through willfully changing the way active universal principles interact in the human mind.

Most of our number is alive today, for example, because

# HOTLINE

LaRouche and EIR Staff  
Recorded Briefings  
—24 Hours Daily  
**918-222-7201, Box 595**

of those such as Alexander Hamilton, Benjamin Franklin, and their collaborators' defeat of the British Empire and its Malthusian school, Lincoln's defeat of the same empire's policy with its included expression in the form of slave labor, and Franklin Roosevelt's defeat of the "financial royalists" with their policy's included feature of mass population control through Nazi methods, up to the present necessary defeat of Prince Philip and Sir Julian Huxley's policy of Gore.

In the context of the LYM considering the knowledge of a universal principle as a final-cause quality of determination of the universe through the knowledge of the experience of unfolding discovery, with the implied question of knowing, now, a quality of determination (creation) of a principle, LaRouche laid a challenge of unfolding civilization before the LYM. During a visit by a small chorus from the LYM to work on voice training, LaRouche addressed the blatant failure of leaders in Congress and elsewhere:

"Therefore, the way in which mankind perceives the lawful structure of the universe is by the principles which are otherwise expressed as contrapuntal composition. This is the faculty of the mind which is capable of not looking at science as looking into a cage from the outside, but looking at science from inside the cage where you're the animal who's making it happen. So therefore the question here is a question of science as well as art.

"It's a question of locating the question of personal identity as a creative person, in those aspects of Bach and his successors which *reflect* the human mind at its highest level, its highest level of performance. And you get that by trying to get your voice to conform to these kinds of requirements in compositions of choral work.

". . . You see it in the population, you see that there's a moral deficiency in the population. And morality is not a set of rules. It does involve principles.

"But morality is just this: it's the relationship between this role of music, which is typified by what you get in Kepler and so forth. This role—and that is the same thing as science—is when you have the unity of the personality; this is the identity of man as a creative creature as no animal is. And it's this, that brings us consciousness of what a human being really is—when you understand the unity of science and music, in this way. *Now* you know what a human being is; then your morality is to be human, and to defend and to promote humanity. That's your imperative.

". . . So, this is what it is all about. It's to make you guys, and others, infectiously aware of what the essence of being human is, a human individual. And this relationship of something inside you, which is, you know, distinctly human, this ability to do music, choral music. That's the conscience; and to understand that that's the same thing as physical science. Then you are integrated, then you have a secure sense of personal identity, moral identity. Then you have the will to act, to act as if by instinct. But you've got to *know* to have the confidence about being that person."