

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

March 30, 2007 Vol. 34 No. 13 www.larouche.com \$10.00

Carbon Trade Scam Behind Gore's Warming Hoax
The New Eugenics: Al Gore's Green Genocide
A Chronology of the Global Warming Swindle

The Cult of the Oligarchy: The Gore of Babylon



EIR

Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., Muriel Mirak-Weissbach, Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small, Edward Spannaus, Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William Wertz*

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editor: *Susan Welsh*

Assistant Managing Editor: *Bonnie James*

Science Editor: *Marjorie Mazel Hecht*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS:

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele Steinberg*

Economics: *Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher, Lothar Komp*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Law: *Edward Spannaus*

Russia and Eastern Europe:

Rachel Douglas

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS:

Bogotá: *Javier Almaro*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Caracas: *David Ramonet*

Copenhagen: *Poul Rasmussen*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Rubén Cota Meza*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB:

e-mail: eirms@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Stigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly (50 issues), by *EIR News Service Inc., 729 15th St. N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005. (703) 777-9451.*

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach 2308, D-65013 Wiesbaden, Bahnstrasse 9-A, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Federal Republic of Germany
Tel: 49-611-73650.

Homepage: <http://www.eirma.com>
E-mail: eirma@eirma.com

Director: *Georg Neudekker*

In Montreal, Canada: 514-855-1699

In Denmark: EIR I/S, Sankt Knuds Vej 11, basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark. Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail: eirdk@hotmail.com

In Mexico: EIR, Manual Ma. Contreras #100, Despacho 8, Col. San Rafael, CP 06470, Mexico, DF. Tel.: 2453-2852, 2453-2853.

Copyright © 2007 EIR News Service. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement #40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to *EIR*, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

From the Editor

What doth it profit the Democratic Party to be avidly in favor of stopping the Iraq War, and of impeaching Cheney and Bush, if that same party fawns all over the biggest genocidal hoaxster on the U.S. scene today? Nothing! Because not only will the United States, as well as the planet as a whole, go down the drain, but so will the Democratic Party spokesmen who are pushing through his eco-dictatorship.

It is for this reason that Lyndon LaRouche and *EIR* are now on a relentless international campaign to wipe out the political virus of Gore-ism. As LaRouche's major article in this issue lays out, the axioms of the Gore global warming hoax derive precisely from the anti-human view of the international Anglo-Dutch oligarchy, which sees itself as a species *above* mankind, whom it has consigned to a status of an animal. Without rejecting that axiom, there is no way that the necessary measures on economic and foreign policy can be taken by the Congress.

Many will be shocked by the political and historical documentation package which a team of LaRouche Youth Movement members and *EIR* researchers have put together on Gore's hoax. We can assure you that there is much, much more to come, which we will publish in future issues. The fight against what Gore represents must be won, and won now.

In order to give sufficient space to the Gore dossier, we have combined our leading International and National News stories into one section, which concentrates on a few of the strategic initiatives being taken by the LaRouche Movement internationally. Particularly significant is the announcement of the publication by *EIR* of "The Anatomy of Russian Capitalism," by noted Russian journalist Stanislav Menshikov. LaRouche's preface to that volume will give you a precise idea of the book's importance.

We also feature Helga Zepp-LaRouche's ongoing campaign against the green fascists in Germany, a campaign which she has prominently led for more than 20 years. *EIR* is currently planning to reprint some of her seminal writings on the philosophical roots of Nazism from the early 1980s. Stay tuned.



EIR Contents

Cover This Week

“The Gore of Babylon,” based on an 15th-Century German woodcut, depicting the biblical “Whore of Babylon.”



EIRNS/Alan Yue

4 The Cult of the Oligarchy: The Gore of Babylon

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. “It remains impossible to understand the historic mission of the pseudo-scientific frauds of Gore today, without recognizing him, as I show here, as not only a true echo of Twentieth-Century fascism, but, also, an echo, in that specific historical sense, of the example provided by Aeschylus’ *Prometheus Bound*.”

The Gore Dossier

24 The Youth of Today Declare: ‘We Will Not Be Gorified!’

29 Carbon Trade Swindle Behind Gore Hoax

The push for an expanded market for carbon emissions trading comes from a London-based network positioned to reproduce the oil bubble on a scale orders of magnitude greater and more dangerous, while at the same time, destroying what’s left of the physical economy.

33 Does Global Warming Cause Amnesia?

34 Yes, It’s Insane! How CO₂ Trading Works

36 The New Environmentalist Eugenics: Al Gore’s Green Genocide

The environmentalist movement today is nothing but a revamped model of the Anglo-Dutch oligarchy’s drive for eugenics and population control. LYM member Rob Ainsworth provides the shocking evidence from their own mouths.

41 Mr. Malthus

44 The Eco-Fascist Al Gore in His Own Words

**47 British Genocide, From
Thatcher to Gore**

**49 Is That Hitler in Your
Garden? No, That's Al
Gore**

**51 A Genocidal Hoax: A
Chronology of the Global
Warming Swindle**

International News

**56 For the Good of the
Locusts: Gabriel Misuses
His Office**

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who is campaigning in Germany against the brainwashing of the population into green fascism, which Environment Minister Sigmar Gabriel wants to carry out by showing Gore's fraudulent movie in every school.

**57 Will Germany Revive
Nuclear Power?**

**59 EIR Releases Stanislav
Menshikov's 'The Anatomy
of Russian Capitalism'**

60 Russia's Next Step

**61 Iraq's Other Tragedy: 2
Million Refugees**

**63 The French Election: How
the Financiers Rigged the
Game**

**65 Of Bushes and Ethanol
Madness in Colombia**

**66 Civil Rights Heroine
Honored Across Nation**
Not satisfied with being honored for her accomplishments in winning voting rights in the 1960s, Amelia Boynton-Robinson is organizing with the LaRouche Youth nationwide.

**68 LaRouche Movement
Expands in Italy**

70 Congressional Closeup

Editorial

**72 A Bipartisan Committee of
the Sane**

THE CULT OF THE OLIGARCHY

The Gore of Babylon

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

March 16, 2007

21st Century Science & Technology's Laurence Hecht's editorial for the March 23 edition of Executive Intelligence Review, drew upon a crucially relevant historical background, that of the role of Malthusian corruption which was expressed in the spread of the 20th-Century fascism of Mussolini, Hitler, Franco, and their imitators. Gore's implicitly racist utopianism in his Africa policy today, like that of those Twentieth-Century horrors, is nothing other than a modern echo of the still deeper roots of the same illness, roots which are also to be found in the ancient Delphi cult of Dionysus.

*This tradition of that Delphi Apollo cult, is described by the legendary Olympian Zeus of Classical tragedian Aeschylus' **Prometheus Bound**. This ancient tradition has, persistently, menaced the cause of the sovereign nation-state with imperialist schemes, such as today's "globalization" scheme by former U.S. Vice-President Al Gore, Jr., and others, a scheme for replacing the sovereign nation-state by an imperial Tower of Babel. Gore, thus, represents that Sophist's tradition, traceable since as far back as today's most ancient memories of European civilization have reached. It remains impossible to understand the historic mission of the pseudo-scientific frauds of Gore today, without recognizing him, as I show here, as not only a true echo of Twentieth-Century fascism, but, also, an echo, in that specific, historical sense, of the example provided by Aeschylus' **Prometheus Bound**.*

There are deeper, unfortunately rarely considered, scientific considerations, underlying what might appear to many, as merely the crudeness of that "global warming" fraud which Gore has adopted. There is nothing accidental about this specific aspect of Gore's moral rotteness, the personal malice against mankind permeating Gore's expressed creed;

but, to understand any of this, then or now, we must recognize the deep, and ancient, systemic, virtually hereditary roots of the evil which Gore perpetrates today.

Thus, what Gore represents, is one among numerous varieties of an epidemic "childhood disease of mankind," a cultural disease not of some people, but of humanity as a species. It is a "childhood disease" of the predominantly immature life of human development so far. It is only in this ancient origin of his present role, as an expression of a loathsome type of social disease, that Gore's behavior and influence can be competently understood, and the pestilence, which he carries, properly treated.

These are strong words; and they require strong proof; without them, we would have failed to grasp the awful end which threatens civilization now, and, perhaps, for generations still to come. Civilization could be doomed to the rather immediate onset of a prolonged dark age of all humanity, unless the Dionysiac evil gripping Gore's malthusian passion, is recognized for the efficiently Satanic religious qualities it represents.

* * *

"Is Gore already bringing the Democratic Party, once more, to something which would be, truly, far worse than another electoral defeat, this time in 2008?"

The time has come to go more far more deeply into the danger to all mankind, from what has been fairly described by relevant scientists as "the great global warming swindle." The scientists' public ridicule of former Vice-President Al Gore's hoax has already provided more than adequate demon-



www.lnl.gov



Sara Jaye Weiss/Abaca



EIRNS/Dan Sturman

Loser Al Gore is enjoying huge, if short-lived celebrity today, as the frontman for the fascist policies of George "Pinochet" Shultz (left) and Felix "The Fixer" Rohatyn (right), who aim to drastically reduce the world's population, using Chilean-style dictatorial methods.

stration of the proof that Gore's claims are entirely fraudulent. It remains for me to go into a much deeper, truly shocking implications of the political-historical side of that scientific evidence.

In the history of European science since pre-Homeric Mediterranean civilization's "dark age," each provable test of a principle of science, has usually been, as now, directly contrary to the popular Sophistry of its age, as with the prevalent opinion among most presumed experts today. A notable demonstration of the effect of underestimating this danger, had been the long-asserted authority of the neo-Aristotelean hoaxster Claudius Ptolemy's fraudulent doctrines for astronomy.

As this point was demonstrated by Pythagoreans such as Archytas, truth in physical science always lies in those principles which are demonstrated, experimentally, to be universal, like Archytas' constructive doubling of the cube.¹ As Albert Einstein emphasized, this point is typified by the succession of both Kepler's founding of a universal mode of modern European science, and Bernhard Riemann's bringing of Kepler's method, by way of Leibniz, to its needed, fuller resolution.

In such matters, public concern must be concentrated on two distinct, if functionally related propositions. In the first instance, practical attention is focused upon the issue of the intrinsic truthfulness of a discovered principle, such as Kepler's uniquely validated discovery of universal gravita-


tion: *truth for its own sake*. In the second instance, society must be occupied by concern for the sometimes deadly consequences of acting contrary to such a knowable universal physical principle. Both of these types of concerns, are at stake in the matter of what has been fairly documented by actual scientific authorities, as Al Gore's "great global warming swindle." Here, in this report, I address both of these types of concerns.

By that standard which I have just identified, those so-called "scientists" who have lined up in support of former Vice-President Al Gore's blatant fraud on the subject of "global warming," have adopted what is, if anything, a fraud far worse, on every count, in respect to both method and catastrophic social consequences, than that fraud of Claudius Ptolemy which leading officials of medieval and even Seventeenth-Century Europe upheld as an inquisitional standard of truth and personal morality.

I do not exaggerate in the slightest degree. In the present case, Gore's fraud, the consequences are immediately worse than they were for any earlier hoax in the entire sweep of known ancient through modern civilization. Were Gore's swindle to become established international law, the result would be that the entire planet would now plunge quickly into a prolonged dark age, one deeper, and worse than any other known to us from historical records of the past, a dark age from which only distant future generations would probably recover, but, that only after a probably long, and deep descent of depopulation, into rivalry with whatever species of baboons, or the like, might be available for making such comparisons.

The fact that Gore, and so many others, could demand

1. As by that method of *Sphaerics* employed by the Pythagoreans and other circles of Socrates and Plato, a method derived, by implication from not only Astrophysics, but, implicitly, Astrogation.



A still shot from Gore's anti-scientific propaganda movie. "Were Gore's swindle to become established international law, the result would be that the entire planet would now plunge quickly into a prolonged dark age, one deeper, and worse than any other known to us from historical records of the past," LaRouche warns.

that the human species be plunged into such a new dark age, in such a manner, bespeaks a quality of criminality as bad, or even worse, in implied consequences for mankind as a whole, than Adolf Hitler's. Nonetheless, in dealing with creatures as morally rotten as Gore, we must recognize that their criminality is an outcome of a blend of bestialities, both a malice against mankind as deep as Hitler's association with his own pro-eugenicist roots of the 1920s and early 1930s, and also Gore's repeated exhibitions of a certain kind of sheer, implicitly psychotic degree of "fly hanging-open" quality of stupidity.

But, Gore Is a Sick Man

Nonetheless, despite the passion this problem requires of us, we must study a criminality like that of Gore et al., with the scientific compassion a physician might bring to the study of a patient who is a homicidal madman. The ability to muster such clinical qualities of compassion, is necessary, if we are to discover the truth of the case: *as a matter of scientifically validatable principle, how could a representative of so noble a creature as mankind, descend into that morally diseased state of awful degeneracy which Gore's aggressively fraudulent ideology and its practice expresses?*

Before he became U.S. President, Ronald Reagan had presented theater audiences with the relevant clinical case of the "Bonzo," a young chimpanzee, which, by nature of its species, would degenerate from a naughty, pet-like creature of its youth, like, perhaps, young Al Gore, into a kind of "King Lear," a "Bozo" among apes.

Sometimes, as in the profession of drama, it were wise to skip the temptation to stage a sequel; on that account, a more

candid viewing of the continuing real-life prospects of President Ronald Reagan's "Bonzo" should warn us, that, when well-trained, captive male chimpanzees enter adolescence, or become U.S. Vice-Presidents, they cease to be fun. That fairly sums up the monkey business we witness in the case of sometime Senator and Vice-President Al Gore, Jr. Some of his adolescent classmates had the smell of this in their nostrils, when they nicknamed him "Ozymandias." Adolescent Al was trained at what Washington, D.C. would consider "the right schools," but, nonetheless, in the end, like actor Reagan's "Bonzo," it was Al's cultural roots, the ape within him, which came to the surface in his current adult, dramatic role as the "Artful Dodger" of the zinc and carbon swaps.

For reasons which are implicit in the discovery of the Noösphere, a discovery made by Russia's V.I. Vernadsky, there are almost species-like, *culturally induced* differences among human types, axiomatic-like differ-

ences in types of cultural breeding which are sometimes comparable in form of expression to differences in biological varieties among lower forms of animal life. In that sense of the matter, in the strictest sense of the term, Al, at bottom, underneath the affected "company manners" exterior, Gore as a specimen, is simply not a desirable sociological type, nor, at this stage in his life, probably civilizable, either.

Gore is, culturally, a sick man; he represents an infectious sickness; but the possibility of a cure, or at least an amelioration of his moral disorder, which is, after all, the crucial issue, depends upon recognizing, as scientists must, the root of the infection which defines both Gore's influence as, like syphilis, that of not only a symptomatically nasty, but also deadly social disease.

Therefore, to put Al Gore's noxious quirk into its appropriate scientific focus, it is necessary to pivot the investigation from the crucially relevant fact, that the greatest contribution to the world's science made by Russia's great Academician V.I. Vernadsky, was his defining the notion of the *Noösphere* as a universal physical principle. Vernadsky's discovery must be recognized as establishing a universal physical principle—a principle of the universe, that in the same sense that universal gravitation, as originally defined, uniquely, by Johannes Kepler, is not a statistical object, but a universally efficient principle underlying the existence of the universe as a whole.² It is that principle of discovery, which distinguishes mankind from the apes, which is our proper concern, a principle we

2. Other contenders for authorship of such language, such as the dubious Teilhard de Chardin, have no scientific merit.



Screen-star (and later President) Ronald Reagan, is shown here with the chimp, from the movie "Bedtime for Bonzo." Today, it's bedtime for Al Gore, who should be restricted to the confines of his mansion in Tennessee, for the safety of future generations.

must serve, promote, and defend against all corruption.
I explain the aforesaid connections.

1. The Human Species & Its Noösphere

The human species is unique among all known types of living creatures. This existential distinction between man and beast, is most clearly expressed by the effect of the human discovery, and use of universal physical principles. Johannes Kepler's uniquely original discovery of universal gravitation, typifies this form of action: a form of potential for action which is uniquely specific to the sovereign cognitive powers of the individual member of the human species. The effect of this quality of the human individual, is typified by the potential increase of the human population, by more than a thousand decimal orders of magnitude, from what is already the range of potential relative population-density of a species of higher ape, to the more than six billions human individuals living today.

Therefore, the significance of the work of V.I. Vernadsky on this account, is expressed in two, interdependent, but qualitatively distinct ways:

First, that the power which this unique principle expresses in the social behavior of the sovereign individual personality, is not expressed in any species of animal.

Second, that the social effect of the expression of this

unique principle, is shaped by the notion of dynamics, as dynamics is expressed, in fact, by the uniquely original discoveries of Kepler, and as dynamics is defined, successively, in modern scientific practice since Kepler, chiefly by Gottfried Leibniz,³ Bernhard Riemann, and V.I. Vernadsky.

As will be clarified, at an appropriate point below, Vernadsky's notion of *dynamics*, is explicitly premised on Bernhard Riemann's development of *the dynamical principles of physical hypergeometry*. Here, in this notion of Riemannian dynamics, lies the essential functional distinction of Vernadsky's use of the term "*Noösphere*" (as distinct from the mystical mish-mash of the hoaxster Teilhard de Chardin of documented "Piltdown" complicity).

The most crucial distinctions to be considered, are, in summary, the following.

1.) Vernadsky's usage of *dynamics* as applied to the notion of the Biosphere, appears on stage with startling simplicity and clarity, in 1935-36, in his defining the *Biosphere*.⁴

To wit: although living processes are composed, chemically, of elements and isotopes of the Mendeleev Periodic Table, the harmonically ordered behavior of these components in the functional characteristics of living processes, expresses a distinct principle, of life, not otherwise present in the role of the same elements in non-living processes. Thus, living processes are bounded, *dynamically*, by a *universal principle of life* which is not expressed in non-living chemistries. Hence, Vernadsky's definition of the Biosphere.

2.) However, the issue does not end with the discovery of the principled distinction of a universal physical principle of life, a discovery comparable to Kepler's uniquely original discovery of the principle of universal harmonics called gravitation. There is a higher principle than that of the *Biosphere*, a principle, of the *Noösphere*, which, as defined by the same method of scientific investigation of matters of principle, sets the human individual absolutely apart from, and above all other expressions of life as such.

3.) That higher, parallel exception is expressed, empirically, as the absolute, qualitative distinction of human from animal behavior. Here, the discovery of universal physical (and comparable Classical-artistic) principles, such as Kepler's uniquely original discovery of the harmonics principle of universal gravitation, sets the human species apart from, and above all other forms of living processes.

In each instance, *this higher principle*, expressed only by human individuals and their society, is *the power of discovery*

3. E.g., Gottfried Leibniz's introduction of the ancient Pythagorean principle of *dynamis*, in opposition to the empiricist method of statistical mechanics, as, for example, in: "Critical Thoughts on the General Part of the Principles of Descartes" (1692); *Specimen Dynamicum* (1695), as in Leroy E. Loemker, ed. Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz Philosophical Papers and Letters (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2nd ed, 1989).

4. Cf. Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. "Vernadsky's and Dirichlet's Principle," *passim*, *EIR*, June 3, 2005.



In Rembrandt's painting of "Belshazzar's Feast" (ca. 1635), the King of Babylon commits blasphemy against God, and then realizes in horror his error when he sees the writing on the wall. That very night Belshazzar was slain. Some recognize in Al Gore a similar evil personality.

of man's willful power in, and over the universe, a power which defines man and woman, as *Genesis* 1 describes this, as set apart from, and above all other living creatures, as man and woman made equally in the likeness, in nature, and character of duties as the servant of the Creator. This is the quality of power, unique to mankind, whose existence is illustrated by the uniqueness of Kepler's discovery of that harmonic principle of universal gravitation which orders the composition of the Solar system as a whole.⁵

Thus, if evil is defined as a quality of personality which places the individual, or his beliefs, as hostile to this likeness of man and woman to the Creator, then, Al Gore is, like the late Bertrand Russell, cast in the role of a truly evil person. I mean "evil," as like that of the Olympian Zeus of Aeschylus' *Prometheus Bound*, as like the "Belshazzar" of Rembrandt and of Robert Schumann's setting of Heinrich Heine's poem, or as like the "Ozymandias" which some fellow-students rec-

5. The recent decade's discovery of the role of the Crab Nebula's history in shaping the Earth's principal experience with so-called "cosmic rays," and the effects of that radiation on the Earth's Biosphere, adds a monumental touch to the fact, that, not only, is the entire Solar System as a process an expression of the physical atmosphere created for that system by the "history" and current functions of the Sun, but that our entire galaxy, when considered from the standpoint of Riemannian dynamics, is also the efficient "meteorological" context in which the environment of Earth, including its weather, is shaped.

ognized in the personality of Al Gore: a likeness comparable to a legendary prototype of a purely evil principle.

Wherein, then, lies the essential evil of the Delphic Zeus? Of the Delphic Dionysus whom Gore currently follows? For what alleged offense does a Satanic Zeus condemn Prometheus? *For giving mankind knowledge of the use of fire for mankind's benefit (e.g., the power of nuclear fission)!* Yet, "fire," nuclear and otherwise, is only typical of the issue at stake. It is not the discovery of fire which was at issue; it was man's discovered *knowledge* of the use of fire (and of the use of nuclear fission), which is the immediate issue of Aeschylus' drama; the issue is *man's access to practical knowledge of the nature and use of, and due respect for, universal physical principles.*⁶

To restate that same point more appropriately: the issue is that of man's knowledgeable access to knowledge of man's own true nature, as made in the *functional* image of the Creator. It is not our ability to perform tricks, parlor or otherwise, which defines mankind; what defines mankind is this knowledge of our own true nature, which is necessary to separate

6. H.G. Wells' *Things to Come* should be audited as both an echo of Wells' earlier *The Open Conspiracy*, and as the same intention expressed by Bertrand Russell's 1946 public declaration of the intent to launch nuclear war to eradicate the nation-state, and replace it, promptly, with the same imperialism of "world government" expressed by Al Gore's fraudulent "global warming" of today.

the quality of our conscience from that of the mere beasts. Whoever would deny us that knowledge, as the intrinsically irrational philosophical Liberalism of such followers of Paolo Sarpi's followers Hobbes, Locke, Mandeville, Quesnay, and Adam Smith do for economics, is acting as an expression of a principle of evil.

On this latter account, Aeschylus' celebrated drama, *Prometheus Bound*, typifies the root of the mental and moral disease characteristic of the noted neo-Malthusian perversion of Albert Gore, Jr. That meaning is typified by the ancient use of the term "the oligarchical model," the model of ancient Babylon, as the Christian Apostle John's dream references the imperial Rome of Augustus, Tiberius, and Nero, as "the Whore of Babylon," as typified, in turn, by the imperial systems of, combined, ancient Rome, Byzantium, the Venetian-Norman feudal order, and the Anglo-Dutch Liberal form of imperial thrust associated with the legacy of Paolo Sarpi, and also Al Gore, still today.

The Oligarchical Principle

Thus, as I have just stated, above, the root of Al Gore's decadent ideology, is the doctrine under which the majority of human beings are degraded in juridical, or comparable status, to that of "human cattle," rather than what man and woman are described as being in *Genesis* 1. There lies the proof for the essential charge of "satanic," against ideologue Gore and his like.

This charge against Al Gore and his like, is not original to me; it is the essential issue of all known ancient, medieval, and modern European civilization since the Homeric *Iliad* and *Odyssey*. It is the core of the division of ancient Greek society, by a great struggle between the legacy of the oligarchical system of Lycurgus' Sparta, on the one side, and the *humanistic* standard associated with Solon of Athens and the Socratic-Platonic legacy, on the other.⁷ It is the struggle for civilization, against the influences typified by that Delphi cult of Apollo associated, still today, with the Delphi traditions of Gaea, Python, Pythia, Apollo, Dionysus, and of that great fraud of modern European civilization, and with the Nazi-paradigm of Friedrich Nietzsche's Apollo-Dionysus myth, *The Birth of Tragedy*.⁸

The great tragedian Aeschylus puts his finger on the core of that issue, in the surviving, middle section, *Prometheus Bound*, of his Prometheus Trilogy. The central issue of *Prometheus Bound*, and, implicitly, the Prometheus Trilogy in its original entirety, is the issue between the *humanistic* tradi-

tion of Solon, Socrates, and Plato, and the opposing, *oligarchical* tradition leading into things such as Adolf Hitler, as typified by the Lycurgan code, of the Delphic Apollo cult and the related dogmas of the certifier of the Hitler dictatorship, Professor Leo Strauss' original sponsor Carl Schmitt. In fact, all of the crucial issues of the cultural and political history of European civilization, from about the Seventh Century B.C., to the present revival of the pro-fascist cult of so-called "environmentalism," are a continuing expression of the issues posed by both Aeschylus' *Prometheus Bound* and the work of the Pythagoreans, Socrates, Plato, et al., on the one side, and the influence of the tradition of Sophistry associated centrally with the Delphi Apollo cult, on the other.⁹

Although the term "humanist" is also misused by many contemporary currents of opinion, the essential, useful meaning of that term, we must always emphasize, within the context of globally extended modern European cultures, is identical with the implications of *Genesis* 1:26-31. We may put the squabbles among sundry religious factions aside. That meaning, as I employ it as an issue of physical science, here, is, that there is a fundamental line of division, a division defined by a universal physical principle, between mankind and all relatively lower forms of life. Whereas, it has become conventional, to regard that principle, that dividing-line, as a convention of "received religious belief;" the fact is, that the distinction to be made, as I do here, is founded on physical-scientific certainty, as Vernadsky's rigorous, experimental-physical principle of the *Noösphere* reflects that division. For us, who know of such matters, the existence of the efficient intention of a willful, all-subsuming Creator of our universe, is also a fact of a properly conceived physical science.¹⁰

9. The designation of a social class of persons as set apart from, and beneath the quality of humanism, is the intrinsic principle of evil common to Lycurgan Sparta, the Roman and Byzantine empires, the medieval Venetian-Norman *ultramontane* system, and the British Empire established by the Anglo-Dutch Liberal financier-oligarchy under the leadership of Lord Shelburne and his successors.

10. Philo Judaeus' refutation of the Aristoteleians, on the subject of the potency of the Creator, is of manifold relevance here. In science, the experimental refutation, by Kepler, of the assumed existence of the *equant*, leads to the same conclusion delivered, against Aristotelean theology, by Philo. Kepler proved, by this repeatedly employed discovery of the non-existence of the *equant*, that, the universe (e.g., the Solar system) is a process of continuing creation from the starting-point of the Sun, and that this shows the determining function of creativity, by the Creator, in the development of the Solar system from the relative starting-point of a lonely, fast-spinning, solitary Sun. Hence, the anti-entropic universe of Kepler and Leibniz, in which the principle of creativity, as reflected by Leibniz's Keplerian principle of the ontologically efficient, infinitesimal calculus (of catenary-cued, universal physical least action), defines an anti-entropic Solar system, and, implicitly, an anti-entropic universe free of such pitiable encumbrances as the pathetic notion of a "Second Law of Thermodynamics." Substitute the pragmatic term, "relative energy-flux density" for "energy," and one is on the road to clearing away the relevant, popularized, ontological delusions of Clausius, Kelvin, Grassmann, the Machians, et al. Why should the existence of a *willfully creative Creator* of the universe be left to a matter of mere opinionated belief, when the evidence needed is so clearly available?

7. The meaningful use of "humanism" is the treatment of the effects of the cognitive features of human behavior, as typified by the uniquely human discovery of universal physical principles, as separating mankind from the beasts, and bestial behavior generally. In Christian and Mosaic theology, the proper use of the term *humanism* references *Genesis* 1:26-31.

8. Friedrich Nietzsche (English edition), *The Birth of Tragedy* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999)



Human culture means, fundamentally, the progress of development of the specifically human cognitive powers of the human species, in opposition to globalization's "Tower of Babel" (e.g., "Babble"). Shown here, Peter Bruegel's painting "The Tower of Babel" (1563).

These considerations lead our attention to two, successive statements of principle respecting human nature. The first step, especially in the U.S.A. today, is to recognize the true genius of Frederick Douglass, as against all those foolish fellows, especially among the "macho-like" behavior of some descendants of slaves, who reject Douglass's wisdom on this account.

In civilized forms of European cultural life, Classical science, as in the tradition of the methods of the Pythagoreans and Plato, as opposed to the reductionists, and Classical artistic composition, are the exemplary distinctions in practice of man from man mis-making himself, as the implicitly anti-African racist Al Gore and other reductionists do, in the image of a beast.¹¹ Thus, in the fight against slavery, the first step to

11. See "The true story behind the fall of the House of Windsor," EIR Special Report, September 1997, for details on the role of Gore's friends in the World Wildlife Fund in illegal trade of ivory and rhinoceros teeth, as well as involvement in provoking civil wars and intracommunal violence in southern Africa.

Gore's "CO₂" hoax, echoes Henry A. Kissinger on pro-genocidal Africa economic policy. On Dec. 10, 1974, the U.S. National Security Council under Henry Kissinger completed a classified 200-page study, "National Security Study Memorandum 200: Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security and Overseas Interests." The study falsely claimed that population growth in the so-called Lesser Developed Countries (LDCs) was a grave threat to U.S. national security. Adopted as official policy in November 1975 by President Gerald Ford, NSSM 200 outlined a covert plan to reduce population growth in those countries through birth control, and also, implicitly, war and famine.

real freedom was a quality of literacy leading to Classical modes of physical-scientific and artistic progress, as Frederick Douglass's own life and family attest to this. A man who sees himself as an oppressed beast, as Al Gore implicitly defines the Africa component of his current "Global Warming" hoax, remains a beast in his own mind. It is by freeing the mind of the feudal peasant, or slave, to be encouraged to think in terms of Classical forms of scientific and artistic knowledge, that the human individual becomes freed to assume a human identity *in his or her own mind*. "In his or her own mind," is a crucial matter of principle, a matter of knowing one's own true identity. Think of Frederick Douglass, as a notable example, of a self-freed slave as being like the Prometheus of Aeschylus' *Prometheus Bound*.¹² Contrast the policies of Frederick Douglass on this account, with the often wildly misguided, present-day opponents of Douglass on this issue of culture.

"Human culture" should be treated as having a fully legitimate, double meaning. It means, fundamentally, the progress of development of the specifically human cognitive powers of the human species; however, in opposition to all past and present efforts at the "globalization" tradition of the Biblical "Tower of Babel" (e.g., "Babble"), it also signifies the sovereignty of the experience of progress in sovereign development of the powers of each cultural branch of humanity as a whole; it must signify the interaction of specific "national" and kindred cultures with a scientifically adducible mainstream of progress in the potential relative population-density of the human species as a whole.

2. The Modern "Oligarchical Model"

The presence of systemic evil within the known civilization of mankind, is best known to qualified historians and

12. The Romantic composer Hugo Wolf, set a portion of Goethe's fragmentary *Grosskopta*, a defiant declaration by Goethe's and Wolf's Prometheus, to song. Here, Wolf errs in leaning toward the Romantic side of Goethe. Aeschylus' Prometheus is defiant, but never a Jacobin! This was the pivotal issue which set the founders of Germanic Eighteenth-Century Classicism, the promoters of Leibniz and Johann Sebastian Bach, such as Abraham Kästner, Gotthold Lessing, Moses Mendelssohn, and Friedrich Schiller, apart from the post-Vienna Congress poets and musicians, as Heinrich Heine's confessional treatment of the Romantic School locates the crippling cultural effects of the

the like by the name of “The Oligarchical Model,” the model associated with such examples as ancient Babylon and the Achaemenid empire. It is, otherwise, fairly described as “The Asian Model,” against which ancient Greece fought to defend its struggling civilization against conquest from imperial forces within Asia Minor. It was when an Athens whose youth had been polluted by the Sophistry which radiated from the Delphi Gaea/Apollo/Dionysus cult, and which had corrupted a generation of young Athenians of influential families, that that generation of ancient Grecian “Baby Boomers,” typified by Sophists such as Thrasymachus and Glaucon, prompted a corrupt Athens under Pericles, into a campaign of rape against other parts of Greek culture. In this fashion, Athens was self-destroyed, in large part, by the added crime of its judicial murder of Socrates, a crime perpetrated by an organization of ancient (almost Sarpian) Liberals known as “The Democratic Party of Athens.”

Despite the great leadership in morals, science and statecraft provided by Plato, ancient post-Peloponnesian War Greece never regained what Athens had been before Pericles’ corruption—never, to the present day!¹³ However, the heritage of Classical Greece of Socrates and Plato lived on, chiefly under the benefit of Christianity, to erupt gloriously in the great A.D. 1439 session of the great ecumenical Council of Florence, and the launching of the modern nation-state republic and methods of modern physical science introduced under the leadership of the greatest, most moving genius of that time, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa.

From this Renaissance came the first sovereign commonwealth states of Europe, Louis XI’s France and Henry VII’s England. Unfortunately, the relics of the medieval oligarchical, *ultramontane* system returned in a Venetian resurgence marked by the Fall of Constantinople and the subsequent events under the anti-Semitic Grand Inquisitor Tomàs de Torquemada. Over the course of the following century, globally extending European civilization, inspired by the plottings of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, reached across the Atlantic and elsewhere as defined by a conflict between the commonwealth legacy of the great ecumenical Council of Florence, against the reformed Venetian oligarchical model associated with the Liberalism of Paolo Sarpi and his Anglo-Dutch Liberal followers.¹⁴

The developments of 1789-1815 in Europe left the world

post-Schiller “Romantic School.” The free man casts off his chains, and proclaims his freedom, as Keats and Shelley did; he does not proudly rattle them.

13. The Athenians’ Nazi-like butchery of the people of the island of Melos, is the landmark event, the specific crime, corresponding to the case of the “Gulf of Tonkin” frauds and George W. Bush, Jr.’s lying his way into the currently still ongoing war in Southwest Asia, which led the U.S. into wars of its own relative self-destruction.

14. It was Cusa’s development of perspectives for crossing oceans to engage parts of the human cultures beyond Europe, which explicitly inspired and guided Christopher Columbus.

at large dominated by two contending products of English-speaking civilization, the American System of political-economy, represented, respectively, chiefly, by the U.S.A., and the opposing, Anglo-Dutch Liberal system of financier oligarchical hegemonies. This conflict within the English-speaking systems, between the legacy of the American System and the opposed Liberal systems, has been the essential pivot of world history taken as a whole, from February 1763 to the present time.

Over this interval, most notably from February 1763 to the present, the dominant cultural forces of the planet considered as a single whole, have been divided between the implicitly Anglo-Dutch Liberal system, the paramount oligarchical system of today’s planet considered at large, and that system’s only serious global rival thus far, the American System of political-economy as typified by names such as Benjamin Franklin, Alexander Hamilton, John Quincy Adams, Abraham Lincoln, and Franklin D. Roosevelt.

As I have repeatedly emphasized heretofore, the victory of the U.S.A, led by President Abraham Lincoln, in defeating the Confederacy puppet of London’s Lord Palmerston, not only established the U.S.A. as an independent nation-state power in the world at large, but rallied nations of continental Eurasia, and elsewhere, as in Bismarck’s Germany, Mendeleev’s Russia, and Japan, around the idea of the American System of political-economy as the alternative to the imperial global hegemony of the Anglo-Dutch Liberal monetary-financial system.

Since the 1863 Battle of Gettysburg, and, most emphatically 1865-1877, the grand politics and its related warfare within the planet as a whole have been organized around a so-called “geopolitical” conflict between the challenged supremacy of the Anglo-Dutch Liberal maritime system and the rivals of British imperialism located chiefly within the Americas and the continent of Eurasia. The special significance of this form of chronic global conflict, is that the Anglo-Dutch Liberal form of imperialist monetary-financial system, is a product of the long sweep of oligarchical rule in European affairs since the Peloponnesian War.

Consider the immediate expression of that conflict, today.

Shultz, Kissinger, & Rohatyn

For those who care to look at the evidence, the evidence is, that the design of what became the “Baby Boomer” generation, was the product of a presently continuing determination by relevant Anglo-Dutch Liberal fanatics, such as FDR-hater Felix Rohatyn and his cronies in the Pinochet coup d’etat and Operation Condor butchery, George Shultz and Henry A. Kissinger. That crime in the Southern Cone was done by them as part of their continuing commitment to ensure that no new President Franklin Roosevelt would ever appear in U.S. history again.

For precisely this reason, I have been hated, personally by the Liberal oligarchy, and increasingly so, since my cele-



Library of Congress

Lincoln's victory over the Confederacy (he is depicted here giving his Gettysburg Address, Nov. 19, 1863), "not only established the U.S.A. as an independent nation-state power in the world at large, but rallied nations of continental Eurasia, and elsewhere . . . around the idea of the American System of political-economy."

brated, late 1971 Queen's College debate against the Congress for Cultural Freedom's advocate, Professor Abba Lerner. It is not only that I have been committed to return to the standpoint of President Franklin Roosevelt's revival of the American System of political-economy out of the rubbish-heap created by the Coolidge and Hoover Presidencies, but, as Lerner's close associate, Professor Sidney Hook, commented upon my complete defeat of Lerner in that debate, I had shown myself an "effective advocate." What my adversaries regarded as either my successes, or near-successes, prompted leading circles in the U.S.A. and abroad to regard me as dangerously competent in my profession, especially after the SDI affair; for that, to the present date, they have never forgiven me.

The witting use of the morally degenerate existentialism of such cronies of former Nazi Professor Martin Heidegger (at the Hitler period's Freiburg University) as Horkheimer, Adorno, and Arendt, and also the notorious *Paris Review* of John Train, Teddy Goldsmith, et al., among those deployed to conduct the mass-brainwashing project for Europe known as the Congress of Cultural Freedom (CCF), points clearly to both the intention and the method by which a certain "white collar" stratum within the generation born between 1945 and 1956, would be pre-conditioned to produce the frankly fascist quality of rioting "68ers" and "environmentalist" movement of today.

The policy used by the enemies of Franklin Roosevelt's memory, was a policy of corrupting a newborn post-war generation from, chiefly, middle-class white-collar households, in Sophist doctrines such as "information theory" and the existentialist dogma of "the authoritarian personality," to bring into being exactly what was brought into the streets of the leading cities of the U.S.A., Paris, Berlin, and elsewhere during the riotous days of 1968. Those and related facts of that period, underline the fully witting intent behind the mass-brainwashing of those dupes constituting what is known today as the "Baby Boomer" generation.

In mid-1968 I was startled into recognizing the continuing importance this, after a second campus "strike" at New York's Columbia University, in late Spring, 1968. This second campus eruption, as distinct from the first, showed itself as a recurrence of what I knew of the sociology of the pre-Hitler Berlin "trolley car" strike, during which the Communist and Nazi party had been swapping memberships, back and forth, virtually by the week. Here we saw, in Berlin then, and in the U.S. and western and central Europe during the Spring of 1968 and beyond, the specific doctrine of Nietzschean Dionysian violence of which Nazi and similar political movements and revolutions are born, such as the movements surfacing as the "anti-fa" violence in Germany today. My Summer 1968 publication, *The New Left, Social Control, and Fascism*, which documented my concern of late Spring and early Sum-



The SDS/Mark Rudd (shown here)-led 1968 Columbia University student strike touched off a wave of “Nietzschean Dionysian violence of which Nazi and similar political movements and revolutions are born.”

mer 1968, made that point.

The reference to the Mark Rudd faction of Students for a Democratic Society (SDS) as “left-wing,” or even “radical left,” misses the point. As we compare the pro-violence movements spawned from places such as Hamburg during the time of the terrorist murderers among the Baader-Meinhof gang, and the right-wing spawn of the same pedigree in Saxony and Berlin today, this should remind us, as the history of the French Revolution should have done, that the key feature of the Jacobin killer-mobs of 1790s Paris was their Phrygian caps, the hallmark of the terrorism of the followers of the civilization-hating Delphi Dionysus cult.

It is what you are for, not what you might choose as a temporary target of your role within a raging mob, which defines what you really are; it is what you are being used to attempt to bring into being, whether you know what you are actually doing, or not.

Gore & the Recipe for Fascism

The danger today, is that, under the influence of the anti-Roosevelt crafting of the “white collar” Baby-Boomer generation, which was manufactured, by social-cultural program-

ming, from the ranks of many born during 1945-1956, there emerged the core of a fascist political current, in the guise of the extremists of the following of former Vice-President Al Gore, today. Gore’s ideological types, on this account, have all the same essential characteristics of those Anglo-Dutch Liberals, and their American fellow-travelers of financial capital, who created Hitler’s fascism out of the environmentalist (e.g., “eugenics”) cults of the 1920s and early 1930s.

This echo of the eugenics used to bring the fascist movements of the 1920s and 1930s into being, is precisely what the Gore, speaking in the name of “environmentalism,” promotes as “green genocide” against black Africa today. Such was the impact of the influence of Britain’s Prime Minister Tony Blair, and his close accomplice Al Gore, as U.S. Vice-President, on U.S. Africa policy for Uganda, Sudan, and elsewhere, during that past period.

Contrary to the widespread myth today, the patriots who actually designed our constitutional U.S. Republic were never “leftists,” never Jacobins, but republicans in the tradition of the great Fifteenth-Century Renaissance, the defining of both the modern nation-state by Nicholas of Cusa, the founding of modern science by Cusa, and the founding of the first modern commonwealth republics under France’s Louis XI and England’s Henry VII. Our U.S. republic was unique in the respect that it led in carrying the best aspirations of the commonwealth system of nation-state republics to be planted on soil, in the Americas, at a prudent distance from the oligarchical systems which ruled Europe culturally then, and, for the greater part, still today.

In that specifically American tradition, U.S. President Franklin Roosevelt’s leadership saved the world from a more or less permanent Hell under the reign of the oligarchical system represented by the figure of Adolf Hitler, that despite the Harrimans and other anglophile oligarchical types descended from the tradition of the 1763 British East India Company’s American Tories.¹⁵

So, with the death of President Franklin Roosevelt, we of the U.S.A. and western and central Europe, were destroyed afresh, as Sophistry ruined Pericles’ Athens; an entire generation of our white-collar class born between 1945 and 1956, drilled in the systemic corruption by the new, anti-Franklin Roosevelt Sophistry thus expressed by the 1945-1956 brainwashing of the “white collar” generation. It was a “brainwashing” intended, by the followers of Bertrand Russell and others, to produce the still reigning “Baby Boomer” generation of 1968-2007 today. To a large degree, since 1968, that scheme

15. The triumph of the British East India Company at the February 1763 Peace of Paris, divided the political ranks of the leading circles of the American colonies between the patriots and American accomplices of the British East India Company gathered around Judge Lowell. It was the issue of the new British imperial policy established in February 1763 which led into the American Revolution. Cf. Anton Chaitkin, *Treason in America* and H. Graham Lowry, *How the Nation Was Won*.



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis



PRNewsFoto

Among Al Gore's mentors can be counted his fellow Confederate Newt Gingrich (right), and the strange futurologists Alvin and Heidi Toffler (left).

of corruption of our nation has succeeded. Relative to the mid-1960s, we are a ruined, looted nation.

What Al Gore represents, in particular, is a picaresque reflection of the essence of the oligarchical model traced in our national history from traitors such as Lord Shelburne-backed crony of the British Foreign Office's Jeremy Bentham, the traitor Aaron Burr who founded the Bank of Manhattan. This connection to Gore has been shown most clearly by that, almost swamp-creature-like, self-disgraced Tennessean's "mint-julip" racist, and "environmentalist" (i.e., eugenics) orientation, in alliance with Britain's Fabian imperial Prime Minister Tony Blair, against the black-skinned population and nations of sub-Saharan Africa.

However, it is to be emphasized, that, in Gore's case, the silliness comes less from the hooch, than the "branch water." Shades of the 1970s collusion among the clippings-service mentalities represented then by the fellow-Confederates Gore, neo-Jacobin Newt Gingrich, and the pathetic Tofflers! It might be said, that one could get Al Gore out of the swamp, but it would be much more difficult to get the swamp out of Al Gore.

If I seem to view Gore as so much rubbish, take note of the fact that everything I say on that account is true, but, also, that I am obliged to do so to put the emphasis truthfully where it belongs. Gore is essentially an intrinsically expendable, mere errand-boy, not the master. Expose Gore for the miserable creature which he is, but save your fire for the global financier circles which are the architects of the immediate fascist danger to the planet now, as financier interests of the

same breed, such as Felix Rohatyn, which, like Hitler's British sponsor, the Bank of England's Montagu Norman, and the Bank for International Settlement's Hjalmar Schacht, led, among numerous other Anglo-American, Dutch, French, and other financier circles, in creating the Hitler regime. So, the hedge funds, an operation centered in the British Cayman Islands, were created today. We must take Gore fully into account for what he represents; *but*, we must not let him be treated as a scapegoat for the truly evil masters which he, like programmed and scripted wind-up toy, Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger, merely serves. This time, the financier circles like those which created Hitler must not escape the accounting for their crimes.

The essential enemy of civilization today, is the same oligarchical model which induced Classical Athens to destroy itself, in much the same way the U.S. has been already largely destroyed through fools in the U.S. government who permitted the nation to be sucked into those wars, first in Indo-China, and, now, under a mentally impaired G.W. Bush, Jr., in Southwest Asia: wars by means of which our republic was set up to be self-destroyed, as the oligarchical faction of the time of Pericles induced Athens to destroy itself in the Peloponnesian War.

This brings us to the oligarchical issue as such.

Zeus' Oligarchical Model

The oligarchical model, on which the Roman and Byzantine empires were premised, is an echo of the medieval ultramontane system run by a partnership of Venetian financiers

and Norman chivalry, is also, like the Anglo-Dutch Liberal world system centered still in London today. These imperialist systems were premised on the assumption that the majority of the human population must be kept in the juridical status of either wild, or hunted cattle, rather than as persons in the sense of *Genesis* 1:26-31. The essential feature of this system, is not monarchy as such, but oligarchy: a code of behavior shared among allied oligarchies, an arrangement under which the majority of humanity was kept in the status of virtual brutes, either tamed drudges to be herded, or wild beasts to be hunted down.

Aeschylus' *Prometheus Bound* captures the essence of that system of oligarchism. Aeschylus pins the issue of law on the matter of the essential distinction of man from beast. That issue of law, is the potential of the human individual, not only the potential to discover a universal physical principle, such as the principle of fire, nuclear fission, or gravitation, but to use the knowledge of that discovered principle to mankind's advantage as a species.

The infuriated oligarch, such as the Olympian Zeus, storms: "You will discover nothing of that sort! You will do as we bid you, except as you do nothing which would be strange to the habits of your ancestors before you!" Thus, Prometheus ("forethought" = science) is the person most hated, and most feared by Zeus. Thus, we have the Simon Legrees of today, the Al Gores and others who herd a duped, half-crazed population into destroying the economy on which their own and the children's lives depend.

To be free today, especially if your skin is black, is to be freed from the tyrannical intentions of a frankly evil Al Gore.

Thus, the Olympian law of the U.S. slave-states made it the rule to murder any slave who learned to read and write, or any person who assisted the slave in learning to read and write. In opposition to that, Frederick Douglass focused directly on the essence of the slave-system, the truly human person's ability and right to discover the store of knowledge of scientific and Classical cultural knowledge for practice of earlier generations of mankind, and to develop and employ such practice for the advancement of humanity as a whole. The slave who simply rebelled physically against tyranny, might be free, temporarily, in his or her body, but not in his or her mind. To be free, it is first necessary to be human, to enjoy and express those creative powers of physical science and Classical culture which distinguish the truly free individual from the chimpanzee.

Aeschylus' *Prometheus Bound* thus points to the most crucial issue of what is rightly termed "natural law" in society.



Library of Congress

Frederick Douglass, shown here with his grandson Joseph, a concert violinist, fought ceaselessly, in opposition to the oligarchy's slave system, for the right of African Americans to have access to the greatest scientific and cultural knowledge.

The discovery of a principle of "natural law" is expressed to the advantage of the human species, in the form of the ability of the human individual to make, and to employ an experimentally valid discovery of a universal physical, or comparable artistic principle, a quality of potential which is the functional distinction of men and women categorically from beasts.¹⁶ We are speaking, therefore, of the *Noösphere*, a domain whose distinguishing characteristics exist entirely outside the domain of animal ecology.

Therefore, competent economic science is subsumed under the notion of what Vernadsky defines as the *Noösphere*. In this setting, competent economic science is expressed not as a function of monetary processes, but as a function of physical-economic processes.

However, what is crucial in all this is the notion of the

16. For this reason, the matter of physical science, as understood by Plato and Kepler, and the principle of Classical artistic composition and practice, are separated practically from so-called "popular cultures."

ontologically infinitesimal. This is the essential distinction of man from beast, or from man driven into a state of mind and conduct like that of a beast. The typification of such an infinitesimal is Johannes Kepler's discovery of a harmonically defined universal physical principle of gravitation, as ordering the relationship of bodies within the Solar system. The center of this is the work reflected in the combination of his *The New Astronomy* and *World Harmonics*. These were works developed by Kepler on the foundations laid by Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa's *De Docta Ignorantia* and other relevant writings on the method of modern physical science.

However, to state the case before us now, in the relevant technical terms, I repeat what I have frequently said in earlier locations here, as matters of definitions:

To situate the relevant functions of modern physical science in respect to a science of physical economy, we rely on the complete overthrow of the entire edifice of Euclidean geometry and its outcomes, an overthrow which was implicitly accomplished by Bernhard Riemann's development of the foundations of an anti-mechanical-statistical, dynamical system of physical hypergeometry, as this is reflected in V.I. Vernadsky's development of the notion of the respective dynamics of the Biosphere and Noösphere.

By dynamics, we should understand the implicitly axiom-free, pre-Euclidean method of mathematical physics, called Sphaerics, which is associated with the Pythagoreans and other circles of Socrates and Plato. The active principle of Sphaerics, called dynamis by the Pythagoreans, was revived by Gottfried Leibniz, as dynamics (as noted above), to eradicate the folly of the mechanical-statistical method of Descartes and the empiricists generally. Leibniz's definition as carried forward by Riemann, is central to the work of Vernadsky, and is, retrospectively, as Albert Einstein indicates, the implied method of Kepler.

Keep that definition in view; I shall explain its implications as we proceed, as follows.

Begin with a focus on Kepler's discovery of universal gravitation's function as an *ontological infinitesimal*. This discovered principle, as elaborated for the harmonic composition of the Solar system, is a principle which, in its essential identity, efficiently encloses the universe, and therefore appears to bound that universe, implicitly, as being actually, ontologically, intrinsically finite but without *external* boundaries. Gravitation's pervasive efficiency is therefore expressed, in detail, ontologically, as an infinitesimal. This was the basis for Kepler's prescribed task for future mathematicians: to develop a mathematical calculus of such ontological infinitesimals. Notably, the neo-Cartesian empiricists, such as D'Alembert, Euler, and Lagrange, attempted to outlaw such infinitesimals. Implicitly, Carl F. Gauss put the infi-

tesimals back into the physical complex domain, in his 1799 doctoral dissertation, and Riemann made this explicit from the publication of his 1854 habilitation dissertation, onwards.

The most crucial implication of the immediately foregoing set of definitions, is that the difference between man and beast, is located *ontologically* in this notion of the infinitesimal as I have just described that above. All those discovered, and otherwise discoverable universally efficient physical principles, which define those characteristic modes of human action which do not exist for lower forms of life, occur in human practice as expressions of such infinitesimals.

The human discovery of such a universal physical principle has two qualitative forms of expression, and occurs either as simply a passive discovery of the existence of a principle in fact, or as a qualitative change in the form and effect of human behavior employing knowledge of that discovered principle.

The types of such universal principles are also two. They are expressed in the form of human direct physical action on nature, or in the form of Classical artistic principles of composition, as implicitly revolutionary progress in the mode of specifically social relations. The relationship between Kepler's discoveries of harmonic principles of astronomy, the harmonics of the periodic table, and so on, are one quality of relationship; the ironical relationship of Keplerian harmonic dynamics to the ground-basis of J.S. Bach's method of composition, typify the other quality. Scientific discovery informs the hand; Classical artistic composition, as in music and Classical poetry and drama, informs the human soul.

That much just stated summarily on these points, we now turn our attention to two distinct, but intertwined subject-matters. The purpose of this brief set of excursions, is to indicate the way in which the evil consequences of Gore's ideology must be foreseen, and avoided. The most crucial of these considerations is the nature of the ontologically actual infinitesimal which corresponds to a principle of the universe, both as a principle of physical-economic practice as such, and as a principle of social relations as such.

3. Science & Economy

Be optimistic, as measured in deeds, rather than in wishes.

From out of the wreckage which President Franklin D. Roosevelt inherited from such predecessors as Presidents Calvin Coolidge and Herbert Hoover, came the mightiest economic and military power the world had ever seen, that during about a dozen years under Franklin Roosevelt's leadership. Although what the U.S. could have become under a continuation of Franklin Roosevelt's clear intentions for the post-war world, was lost, the uncompleted system which the world inherited from Franklin Roosevelt's revolution, continued, by itself, to serve the U.S.A. and others well, until the developments unleashed by a wave of high-level assassinations, in-



FDR Library

“From out of the wreckage which President Franklin D. Roosevelt inherited from such predecessors as Presidents Calvin Coolidge and Herbert Hoover, came the mightiest economic and military power the world had ever seen, that during about a dozen years under Franklin Roosevelt’s leadership.” FDR is shown here (center, with cane) at the Hoover Dam, built under his Administration.

cluding that of President John F. Kennedy, and the launching of a protracted Indo-China War, in which the U.S. actually brought about its own political defeat in an unnecessary and prolonged, asymmetric war.

Thus, after the assassination of President Kennedy, the U.S.A. largely ruined itself.

Since the inauguration of President Richard Nixon, and the rabid anti-Franklin Roosevelt economic and social reforms of the 1969-1981 interval, the U.S. economy has disintegrated, far more by the foolish policy-changes introduced by the complicit, increasing incompetence of its own successive 1964-2007 governments, than through any other causes.

The continuing causes for this economic collapse of what had been, previously, the world’s most powerful physical economy, were chiefly the result of the intentional 1964-1981 destruction, chiefly by the combined initiatives of the British

and U.S. governments, of every principal pillar of monetary and physical-economic policy on which the 1933-1963 successes had been premised.

Therefore, the way in which this 1964-1981 collapse was organized, is of exceptional relevance for the understanding of the leading topic of this present report.

In the meantime, a frankly pro-Satanic Bertrand Russell’s utopian plan for world government, was brought into being as the threat of an unprovoked nuclear attack on the Soviet Union. That Russell scheme failed badly under the Administration of President Harry Truman. There were numerous reasons for this outcome, including the surprising successes of the Soviet Union, in developing not only its own sovereign nuclear-fission weapons capability (as distinct from the version copied from U.S. and British sources), and also the Soviet precedence in developing a deploying thermonuclear weapons capability, when the U.S.A. had not. However, there were many other considerations; Truman sullenly retreated and left the field to the Administration of President Dwight Eisenhower.

Bertrand Russell did not give up. To a relatively large degree, it was through his efforts, that the world was steered into what became the 1962 missiles-crisis.

Russell was essentially a British imperialist, but of a particular kind.

He hated the U.S.A., and gave his whole efforts to destroying that U.S.A., that to the intended relevant imperial advantage of the Fabian version of an Anglo-Dutch Liberal system of actually imperial world government.¹⁷ Russell’s primary goals were the destruction of the institution of the sovereign nation-state, and the wreck-

ing of science (except as a secret of a small oligarchical super-elite) by outlawing the principles on which the successes of modern European science and technological progress in the productive powers of labor had depended more or less absolutely. Russell, in his own way was what was called, during the 1920s and 1930s, as a “eugenicist;” he was of the same persuasion on subject-matter of population-control and regular cullings of the human population, as the Nazis then, and the declared impulses of the pro-racist ideologues associated with Al Gore today.

17. By 1927, Russell and H.G. Wells had patched up their earlier personal differences. Russell’s role in pushing for an unprovoked nuclear assault on the Soviet Union (when it was presumed that the USSR would not be equipped with such weapons), was in keeping with Well’s *The Open Conspiracy* and Wells’ script for the utopian fantasy *Things to Come*.

Russell's principal target was the destruction of the U.S.A., especially of those principled features of the American System of political-economy on which the rise of President Abraham Lincoln's U.S.A. to challenge the British Empire's supremacy, had been premised.

At bottom, Russell was a British oligarchical variety of a Nietzschean, much like Russell's foolish follower, Al Gore today. On this account, as shown by his attachments to Prime Minister Tony Blair, Al Gore is much more a virtual agent of British Fabianism, than an American. For on this account, Gore is thus a leading candidate for the role of an enemy within our nation's ranks.

It is in those terms of reference that the deadly challenge which Gore and his like represented for the continued existence of our U.S.A. today, must be seriously considered. What, for example, is that American System of President Franklin Roosevelt which such former Pinochet accomplices as George Shultz, Henry Kissinger, and Felix Rohatyn assisted in wrecking, through their support to Nazi-like Pinochet at relevant times? What is the difference between the brilliantly successful American System of political-economy, and the version of the perennially failed British system of political-economy which has reigned, so ruinously, in the Washington, D.C. policy-shaping of George Shultz, Henry A. Kissinger, et al., since the inauguration of President Richard M. Nixon?

The Highlights of Our Ruin

The most crucial of the relevant elements of the change which came with the 1962 missiles-crisis and the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, must be traced from no later than Soviet General Secretary Khrushchev's dispatch of four representatives to a London meeting of Bertrand Russell's World Parliamentarians for World Government.

Recall earlier Soviet reaction to Bertrand Russell's public call, in 1946, for a preventive nuclear attack on the Soviet Union, an attack which Russell identified publicly as intended, by him, to serve the purpose of establishing world government, and the elimination of the institution of the sovereign nation-state. Russell's leading role in promoting a policy of so-called "preventive nuclear attack" on the Soviet Union had not prompted kindly utterances from the Soviet government of Joseph Stalin.

Thus, the gushing affection for the same Russell, which the Khrushchev representatives expressed at the London meeting of Russell's World Parliamentarians, did, and should have lifted eyebrows. Were there any reason to doubt the significance of the Khrushchev representatives expressed affection for Russell, Khrushchev's rude break-off of the Paris meeting with Eisenhower and Charles de Gaulle, should be seen, together with the earlier Khrushchev approach to Russell's London Parliamentarians' meeting, as warning of the



UNESCO/C. Babilin



National Archives

The influence of the evil Bertrand Russell (left) over Soviet leader Nikita Khrushchev's circles provides the explanation for his "sometimes strange adventures in Soviet domestic and foreign policy," says LaRouche.

true implications of the later Cuba missiles crisis, and the repeated assassination attempts against President Charles de Gaulle, the orchestrated Profumo affair and the ouster of Britain's Prime Minister McMillan, and the assassination of President Kennedy himself.¹⁸

To understand Khrushchev's sometimes strange adventures in Soviet domestic and foreign policy, we must recognize that, from the moment of the death of President Franklin Roosevelt, the circles around Winston Churchill were determined to do all possible, as rapidly as possible, to destroy the life's work of that President Roosevelt, especially Roosevelt's plan for creating a decolonized world in which the British Empire and its like would go out of existence and a U.S.-sponsored community of sovereign nation-states would bring the evils of colonizations and imperialism to an end. The assassination of President Kennedy was the crucial development which brought the wrecking of the U.S. economy and U.S. power to fruition, as through the subsequent launching of the official U.S. war in Indo-China. Without the dubious role of Khrushchev, this process could not have gone as it did.

Continuing the account: by no accident, after a brief, and indecent interval, the first British government of Prime Minister Harold Wilson was ushered into leadership of the UK.

18. I have never been so naive as to consider the late Allen Dulles as a true U.S. patriot, nor do I believe that President Eisenhower did in referring to the existence of what he had experienced as the insurrectionary threat to our republic from a "military-industrial complex." The virtual coup d'état which Dulles and his flunky James J. Angleton ran against President Roosevelt's policy for Italy, for example, must be taken into account, as well as President Truman's dropping the nuclear-weapons prototypes on the civilian population of an already decisively defeated Japan, and other typical developments under the influence of the Churchill-led gang to which Dulles was attached as much during the 1950s as in 1945 Italy.

Wilson's first ministry uncorked the destruction of the UK economy and the crisis of Pound Sterling, which set into motion the international monetary crises of 1967-68. Meanwhile, the combined eruption of the Baby Boomers from the university campuses of the U.S.A. and western Europe, and the Baby Boomers' hostility to farmers and industrial operatives, shattered the Democratic Party, and thus made the way for the destruction of the U.S. dollar-system and the U.S. economy, this through the radical destruction of all of the crucial elements on which the post-1933 achievements of the U.S. economy had depended.

After 1968, Democratic Party politics themselves became, in effect, increasingly anti-labor and anti-farmer, as the Baby Boomers who had rioted on the streets in 1968 and beyond, transferred political power and influence inside the Party to the increasing hegemony of an increasingly Baby Boomer-dominated, upper twenty percentile of the family income-brackets, leaving the lower eighty percentile to slide, increasingly, into the poverty associated with the arranged decline of the farmer, the ruin of basic economic infrastructure, and the increasing pauperization of what had been the great agro-industrial and urban complexes developed under the initiatives of President Franklin Roosevelt. The sordid role of Felix Rohatyn in the New York City "Big MAC" affair, typifies this to the present day. Thus, the U.S. was driven into bankruptcy, in a long wave, beginning about 1967-68, and continued up to the present situation of a desperately impoverished lower eighty percentile of a de-industrialized U.S. which, itself, were gripped by a process of forced collapse of national welfare and a correlated waning of popular political-economic sanity.

These years of ridding the U.S.A. of its Franklin Roosevelt legacy, have become increasingly stormy and ruinous times.

So, presently, there is no visible future for the continued existence of the U.S.A., or, for that matter, civilization generally, unless we return, immediately, to the kinds of monetary and economic-management policies of the 1945-1963 post-World War II period. We must return to economic policies dedicated to a regulated "fair trade" economy, rather than the silly "free trade" system, which has ruined us so awesomely over the recent thirty-six years. On this account, the continued existence of the U.S.A. as a functioning republic, and its economy, depends now, immediately and absolutely, on the abandonment of the recent, anti-Franklin Roosevelt trends in economic policy and global outlook, back toward an appropriately modern echo of the principled features of the achievements of that Roosevelt administration.

The crucial features of any rescue of the U.S.A. from the presently onrushing threat of a general, global economic breakdown-crisis, would echo the outlook of, and lessons learned from President Franklin Roosevelt's response to the crisis of 1933 and beyond. However, although the details of a needed policy-change for today are not quite the same as

suited the circumstances of the 1930s and early 1940s, the underlying principles of FDR's recovery measures are implicitly the same, for today, as the pillars of the successful changes made in his time.

The American System

The leading lie taught by pompous asses to all credulous fools available in universities and elsewhere today, is that the American System of political-economy is an outgrowth of the teachings of such Anglo-Dutch Liberal ideologues as Bernard Mandeville, Adam Smith, and British Foreign Office "Secret Committee" figure Jeremy Bentham.

In fact, in a successful form of real economy there is no principled correlation between prices expressed in "free trade" modes and relative physical values of income and output. The American System of political-economy, as it reigned as a standard of public policy in the U.S. dollar-system, from President Franklin Roosevelt's reforms until the ruinous administrations of Presidents Nixon and Carter, was what was termed during the informed 1950s as a "fair trade" system. A "fair trade" system was understood then, as it should be now, as one in which government regulation joined with well-informed private business practice, to bring the relative prices of goods produced by aid of long-term physical investments, into conformity with the goals of a general rise in technological progress and output-rates for a growing national economy, and for a rising national physical income-level of households as a whole.

The American System of political-economy, as in opposition to the lunatic teachings of Adam Smith, is premised historically on the policies of the first modern commonwealth form of nation-state economy, that of France under Louis XI. Louis, no silly George W. Bush, Jr., himself, avoided wars by submitting to the demands for French bribes from France's enemies. Louis did this, in order to give France the peace through which Louis promoted fairs and other devices for encouraging that surge in prosperity through which both the quality and relative productivity of agriculture and manufacturing virtually exploded upward by aid of means such as regular fairs. Louis XI's approach was echoed for England by Louis' admirer Henry VII.

These two pioneering commonwealths, Louis XI's France and Henry VII's England, represented a new form of political nationhood erupting to transform Europe into the economic center of the entire planet from that point onward. As the given name suggests, it is the improvement of the general welfare of the present and future population as a whole, which is the general law of practice by such forms of government. This form was a reflection of two leading scientific principles elaborated by Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, who had been a central intellectual figure of the great ecumenical Council of Florence. The rise of modern Europe from the refuse-heap of Venetian-Norman feudalism, was made possible by ideas, by Cusa's relevant contributions, for our



Si sabrà mas el discipulo?

Pompous asses are still teaching lies to credulous fools in universities and elsewhere today. Here, from Francesco Goya's Caprichios: "Might not the pupil know more?"

purposes here, were chiefly four.

1.) The principle of the perfectly sovereign nation-state republic. (E.g., his *Concordantia Catholica*)

2.) The science-driver principle, from which all competent modern science, such as those of Leonardo da Vinci, Johannes Kepler, and Gottfried Leibniz was derived. (e.g., his *De Docta Ignorantia*.)

3.) His dialog on the subject of ecumenical peace among differing religious faiths. (*De Pace Fidei*).

and,

4.) His proposal for trans-oceanic voyages of exploration,

to bring the peoples of the world as a whole into cooperation. His plan for that policy was obtained and employed by Christopher Columbus for the famous voyages of Trans-Atlantic exploration.

However, this impetus, radiated by Cusa et al. from the proceedings leading into and through the great ecumenical Council of Florence, encountered the contrary forces of a resuscitated power for evil, the Venetian financier-oligarchy which had reigned over the quasi-imperial form of the medieval feudal system. The role of the evil Tomàs de Torquemada, as in the expulsion of the Jews from Spain, was exemplary. Thus, during the entire interval of religious warfare, from 1492 until the 1648 Peace of Westphalia, Europe was torn internally by homicidal religious conflicts orchestrated by the resurgent Venetian financier oligarchy.

The failure of the pro-medievalist Venetian faction to cope with the challenge of modern European scientific and technological progress gave way to the rising influence of the Venetian Paolo Sarpi, a Sarpi who remains today the actual author of the systems of Anglo-Dutch Liberal political and social thought throughout most of the world today. In this process, the colonization of the Americas, created an opportunity for the fulfillment of the intentions of the reforms by such as Cusa, Louis XI, et al., an opportunity situated at a relevant distance from the rule of both reactionary Iberian influences, and the rising Anglo-Dutch Liberal power of Sarpi's followers in Europe. The ideas on which the U.S. Federal republic's existence has been grounded were, virtually all European ideas and European values; but—a very large, and very important “but”—at a relatively advantageous distance from the reach, even still today, of the European oligarchical classes as such.

So, the ideas on which the U.S. republic was grounded, were essentially the ideas spread in Europe from the radiated effects of the great Council of Florence, as these effects were up-

lifted in quality by the great influence which the leading scientist Gottfried Leibniz had in shaping the world-outlook, and ideas, of what become the constitutional order of Benjamin Franklin and his circles.

However, in the meantime, the course of developments radiating from France through the 1789-1815 interval, have, thus far, prevented the successful development, with temporary exceptions, of durable forms of republican self-government in western and central Europe. The passage of continental European development, from the influence of the Franklin Roosevelt outlook of the early post-war decades, to the re-

sumed hegemony of Anglo-Dutch Liberalism, during more recent decades, especially since the rampage of Prime Minister Thatcher and her French asset, Mitterrand, during 1990-1992, has created a present situation, such that, without a return of the U.S.A. to its Franklin Roosevelt legacy, there is no likelihood of the continuation of civilized forms of political existence in a western and central Europe. This is a Europe which is, at this very moment, attempting to transform its already Thatcher-Mitterrand-ruined self into the leader of a new attempt at creating a Tower of Babel.

In fact, to come closer to the concluding argument to be made in this report, there is no longer any present chance for the near-term continuation of civilized life on this planet, unless four key major nations of the world, the U.S.A., Russia, China, and India, agree to bring a consort of sovereign republics of the world into a system of respectively perfectly sovereign nation-state republics, through whose concerted power a suitable order, one comparable to the post-war intentions of U.S. President Franklin Roosevelt, can be established as a constitutional alliance among respectively sovereign nations, an alliance for the kind of ordering of affairs among nations which had been envisaged by President Franklin Roosevelt until Winston Churchill et al. gave the world decades spent in virtual Hell, as the alternative.


To this end, it is absolutely essential that the so-called “free trade” system be uprooted, and sent to repose for future contemplation in a museum for dangerously insane ideas. The American System, as understood by Alexander Hamilton et al., a system premised on the notions of physical, rather than monetary economy, defines the terms of agreement among sovereign states, on which a new relatively fixed-exchange-rate system is to be established with an estimate fifty-year forward span of treaty agreements on credit and trade, for promotion of long-term capital formation and technological progress, among these sovereign states.

I explain.

Science & Economic Freedom

As I have already stressed, the distinctive characteristic of the human individual which separates man from beast, is typified by that scientific method which is to be associated with the ancient Pythagoreans and Plato, and with the successors of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa in science and statecraft, such as Kepler, Leibniz, Riemann, and Vernadsky.

To understand economics, as very few living economists today—even otherwise intelligent and well-meaning ones, do, we must clear our heads on account of two crucial issues of scientific method on which the survival of republic for the immediate future now depends. In taking into account that life is a process which is not derivable from the customarily chosen mathematics of non-living processes, and, also recognizing that the cognitive processes which distinguish human individuals from animals are not derivable from biology as such. Such are the implications of Vernadsky’s definitions of



National Archives

The United States’ first Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton was the founder of the “American System” of political economy, in opposition to the British Empire’s “dangerously insane ideas”: free-trade, slave-labor, and globalization.

living processes (the *Biosphere*) and human cognitive processes (the *Noösphere*). In the instance of the *Noösphere*, the physical-experimental basis for defining this distinction of human mental processes is located, in a modern science context, in the notion of the *ontologically infinitesimal*, as this notion was typified by Kepler’s and Leibniz’s definition of the ontological principle of the *ontologically infinitesimal calculus*.¹⁹

This notion of *the ontologically infinitesimal*, is key for any competent treatment of life as such; here, we are treating this notion as it is paralleled by the mental act of discovery of an experimentally validatable notion of a universal physical

19. I.e., Carl F. Gauss’s 1799 doctoral dissertation, in which he demolished the anti-Leibniz theses on which the mathematical physics of D’Alembert, Euler, Lagrange and their continuing faction is premised, to the present day! However, it was only in his last writing on this subject that the seriously threatened Gauss dared to present the concept of the physical complex domain explicitly. The implications of Gauss’s actual views are to be found expressed plainly in the work of Bernhard Riemann on the physics of anti-Euclidean geometry.

principle, such as Kepler's uniquely original discovery of the principle of gravitation. The standpoint from which such matters are to be explored in physical science today, is the higher implications of Bernhard Riemann's 1854 habilitation dissertation, in which he throws all Euclidean and similar, reductionist, sorts of aprioristic notions into the sewer of bad ideas.

On that account, we begin the relevant discussion here with discussion of what it is that we must throw away, such as Euclidean geometry, or its empiricist derivative, the Cartesian mechanistic-statistical method of usually taught mathematical-economics today.

If we throw away mystical blind faith in Euclidean definitions, axioms, and postulates, with what are we left for mathematics? In place of Euclidean, or kindred intuited notions of space, or physical space-time, we are permitted to entertain no axiomatic assumption which is not established experimentally as a universal physical principle. Thus, in place of the interpretation of physical space according to a priori notions of space and time, we are permitted to employ nothing but experimentally proven universal physical principles

Look at the Pythagorean treatments of the functional relationships among points, lines, surfaces, and solids, and do this with special emphasis on the constructive doubling of the cube, as by Archytas, and the connection of the definition of the so-called "Platonic solids," as by Theaetetus, with the implications of Napier's construction, as adopted by Carl F. Gauss, of the Pentagramma Mirificum. Compare this with Kepler's refutation of the merely presumed existence of the equant in astronomy. In such ways, rid oneself of the fetishistic delusions of simplistic sense-certainty.

What your senses are interpreted as presenting, is never actually self-evident as a matter of sense-certainty; what the senses report to us, is the shadow cast upon the processes of the individual human mind by something. This does not mean that sense-perception is intrinsically a lie; this means that sense-perception is the shadow of something whose substance we must discover by the kinds of experimental methods employed by the ancient Pythagoreans, Plato, et al., as by the founder of the only competent strain of modern physical science, Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa and his followers. The sense-perception is something cast, as like a shadow, of what is being actually experienced. Exit: sense-certainty, in our needed searches for the experimentally validated realities made known to us only through the kinds of crucial experimental methods presented to us by such followers of Cusa as Kepler, Fermat, Leibniz, and Riemann.

The continuing development of the methods of the ancient Pythagoreans and followers of Plato, through Cusa, Leonardo da Vinci, Kepler, Fermat, Leibniz, Riemann, et al., focus our attention on the experimental evidence which reality presents to us, from experimental evidence, as a moral and intellectual failure of ordinary mathematics. Kepler's uniquely original discovery of a harmonic ordering underlying the system of

gravitation within the Solar system, and the comparable discovery of the microphysical underpinnings of physical chemistry, as by Pasteur, Mendeleev, Harkins, Vernadsky, et al. demonstrate to all properly sentient beings concerned with these matters that the universe in which we exist is essentially Riemannian. It is a universe in which no physical principle can be adduced by aprioristic methods, but only as the discovery of experimentally validated discoveries of universal physical principles which exist entirely outside a reductionist mathematical method.

It is the human species' sharing with the Creator of the awesome power of discovery of universal physical principles existing outside the bounds of any reductionist mathematical scheme, which provides us the only competent view of economic processes for today.

To capture a sense of this, it were most appropriate to compare the common characteristics of the fundamental discoveries of physical principle by the methods of Sphaerics which were employed by the Pythagoreans, Socrates, Plato, Eratosthenes, et al., and which are demonstrated with great force by the actual work of the founder of modern astrophysics, Johannes Kepler. The central feature of those discoveries by Kepler is the pivot on that ontological concept of the infinitesimal, developed by Kepler as the principle of universal gravitation, from which, together with the related principle of least action introduced by Fermat, afforded Leibniz the premises for his uniquely original discovery of the dynamic concept of the calculus. This implications of the combined efforts of Kepler and Leibniz are the central feature of Riemannian ontologically physical hypergeometries. In those geometries, an open-ended array of discovered universal physical principles, each defined experimentally by an ontological discontinuity, became the sole ground-basis for scientific method generally, as Einstein once argued against the reductionists.

It is such discovery of both such universal physical principles, and of their practical implications for society, which becomes the sole basis for a proper conception of both science in general and economic practice: the practice of a science of physical economy.

The function of the competent economist becomes thus the creation of a system which replaces, absolutely, the notion of "free trade" in economy.

The Dynamics

This correction, the dumping of the mechanistic-statistical methods characteristic of intrinsically incompetent, presently prevailing notions of economic forecasting, requires a shift in the entire approach to economic forecasting and analysis to the characteristically *dynamic* methods of Riemann physical hypergeometries.

In so-called "conventional" methods, calculations proceed from the assumption of a set of aprioristic assumptions, like those of the arbitrary, radically reductionist, Sophist



EIRNS/Elizabeth Mendel

Sense perception is “the shadow of something whose substance we must discover by the kinds of experimental methods employed by the ancient Pythagoreans, Plato, et al.” Here, members of the LaRouche Youth Movement, at a cadre school in Los Angeles, February 2007.

modes used in so-called Euclidean geometry. The result of calculations so constructed is a mechanistic-percussive system. Whereas, in competent economic science, Euclidean or kindred types of *a priori* assumptions are not allowed. Instead, as Kepler does with the notion of an ontologically infinitesimal principle of universal gravitation, the shape of the physical space-time in which phenomena appear is defined by dynamic boundary-conditions, against which the considered process is buffeting. Thus, as a limit of that sort is approached, the geometry of the unfolding process appears to change, the “curvature” of the relevant physical space-time undergoes an apparent change.

The only remedy for the barriers so defined, is to introduce a new choice of universal physical principle, such as the application of a discovery of that character. This, in return, requires certain relevant changes in the environment into which that new principle is being introduced.

Typical of the changes this involves, is the effect of upward leaps in the order of sources of heat, moving from burning of wood, to charcoal, to coal, to petroleum and so-called “natural gas,” and to the higher orders of “energy-flux density” represented, successively, by nuclear-fission and thermonuclear fusion modes.

The world has now reached the point of development, at which meeting the requirements of the world’s present level of population-density, requires a fully modern mode of agro-industrial economy, and its associated basic economic infra-

structure, but one premised on the shift into a primary emphasis on nuclear-fission and thermonuclear-fusion. Without that shift, there is no hope for avoiding a presently onrushing general collapse of the human race, in virtual entirety, into a deep and prolonged “new dark age” beyond any known historical or quasi-historical precedent.

Thus, it is no accident, that all attempts to circumvent the urgency of entry into a nuclear-fission, nuclear-fusion order of world affairs, would mean the assured, onrushing collapse of the entire planet into a new dark age beyond all known precedents in lowering of the levels of population and conditions of life of the human species.

In this light, the ideology of Al Gore and those who admire him, is an indulgence which the human species can no longer afford.

What this also signifies, is that we have reached the end of all possible toleration of that oligarchical model of society currently represented by the Anglo-Dutch Liberal system and that system’s fascistic offshoots. The only model of reference for use of sane nations and their peoples today, is a long-overdue shift, away from the so-called “Adam Smith” model of moral depravity, to the principles expressed by the American System of political-economy.

If you could succeed in rejecting my proposals on this account, the problem would be solved by the early disappearance of any form of society to which your stubbornly wrong opinions would now quickly bring upon us.

The Youth of Today Declare: 'We Will Not Be Gorified!'

by Pavel Penev, LaRouche Youth Movement

If, more than 270 years ago, Jonathan Swift exposed that behind the British Empire's Malthusian policy of population stabilization lay as much sanity as in the passions one might observe in a wild jungle, how is *A Modest Proposal*, as a Gorific idea, being sold again today? The only argument to be found in the streets, at educational and policy institutions in the U.S. and Europe, is the lie called "scientific consensus." But this has been sent back to Hollywood by the LaRouche movement, a more natural environment for such passions.

One can encounter, coming from such "ecological economists" in Al Gore's pack as Bill McKibben, the Middlebury College (Vermont) advocate of "civil rights for creatures," not humans, the *complaint* that unlike all other species of life, which have a limited number of potential living individuals, the world's human population has been generously increasing since as far back as we can tell.

Al Gore himself claims in his *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*, that although Thomas Malthus, the 18th-Century doomsday prophet of "negative population growth," has been repeatedly disproved as a result of the effects of "improvements in agricultural technology," Malthus has been ultimately right, because we are now accelerating towards the "limit" of potential population increase. Although neither any conclusive argument is offered against the increase of the number of living human individuals of the, supposedly, ultimately limited population, nor, more importantly, is any proper investigation of the limits of the universe to be found, such conclusions are implied.

Another word for Gore's "population stabilization," is simply "genocide," one can immediately see what Gore's own "Modest Proposal" really is: the United States must ban the incandescent light bulb; every glass of water must be taxed; and intrinsically, every breath of clean air must be

taxed—except that it is too hard to enforce.

LaRouche asked the obvious: Are the Democrats going to join Al Gore in the biggest political suicide pact in modern history? LaRouche answered his own question: In spite of George Bush and Dick Cheney, if the Democrats persist in going with Gore and his global warming carbon-swap swindle, they are going to go down to the greatest political loss in history.

True Believers


What can save the Democratic Party is the mobilization spearheaded by the LaRouche Youth Movement, which is working in numerous countries to free the populations from the genocidal assault of the imperial scheme known as the "global warming swindle."

The LYM's intervention hit Washington, D.C. in force on March 21, when loser Gore—whose rotten Year 2000 vote-suppressing campaign made George W. Bush President—made *two* appearances before the U.S. Congress—in both the House and Senate.

But Malthus was nowhere to be seen. Instead, Al Gore refused to acknowledge his "population stabilization" policy (i.e., racist population elimination, especially for Africa), when he went to Congress to testify. But the LYM made sure that all the attendees knew what Gore is really pushing. Virtually all those in the line of about 100 waiting to get in the joint House Committee on Science and Technology and House Energy and Commerce Committee hearing, took a LYM leaflet, which many of them could have seen in the form of a banner on their way to the building.

The banner contains two scenes: Gore pushing his wife Tipper out of the window, followed by another: Al Gore having sexual intercourse with a pile of coal. The subtitle reads

Gore's Carbon Swap



EIRNS/Celani

“Carbon swap!” Although Gore turned his head away from the cartoon, his wife, after receiving it for a third time after the event, demonstrated the quality of emotion governing a truly nature-loving family with an outburst: “What is wrong with you people!”, accompanied by a rageful look.

Though a similar emotional quality was reportedly expressed typically among those aged above 35 during the pro-Gore environmentalist rally, bringing together such names as the Sierra Club, “Cool the Planet,” Greenpeace, and Alaska Wilderness League on the Hill the day before, as that rally was proceeding, the LYM organizers found that agreement with Gore was only “shirt-deep.” A youth Greenpeace organizer willing to discuss the LaRouche Political Action Committee (LPAC) exposé titled “True CO₂ Record Buried Under Gore,” with the LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM), found only surface environmental persuasion, and requested further contact; a group of children came up specifically because of the LYM’s signs and banners displaying anthropogenic destruction of the planet through global warming and Gore’s credibility as fraud. Even a no-longer youthful Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) representative admitted he was working for “a fraudulent organization.”

The only “evidence” for the insistence on carbon caps and similar policy was experienced in terms expressed by two representatives of the “Baby Boomer” generation. A man told the LYM that we should de-industrialize and “wear loin cloths.” When provoked to sober up, however, he admitted he used to work with the U.S. Geological Survey, and that he does *not* think human activity is the main cause of global warming. A woman, who said she thought the world is overpopulated, when asked “Who do you think we should start

killing off first? How about Africa? We’re doing a good job there,” nodded, “Yeah, with HIV!”, happy to be solving a population problem.

But, for some of those who were still able to enjoy policy deliberation in such a heated environment, some Senate staffers showed *The Great Global Warming Swindle* movie—the British Channel 4 documentary that has become an “underground blockbuster” on the Internet, later that day for a number of staffers from Congressional offices. There to answer questions for the Congress was Paul Driessen, one of the scientists who had participated in the Channel 4 program.

Love for the Nation

The worldwide LaRouche movement had already recognized something many of the new, often Democratic, lovers of Gore on the political scene have

failed to recognize, or worse, chose to ignore.

The LaRouche Youth Movement had been at dozens of meetings in House and Senate offices the week before Feb. 25. The LYM was in the process of providing every office in the Congress with a set of Platonic solids, working with staffers through LYM’s website presentation of Johannes Kepler’s founding of modern science through his discovery of *The Harmony of the World*, subsuming the particular expressions of lawfulness of the principle of gravitation.

This work had been demonstrated as necessary for the successful implementation of an economic recovery in LaRouche’s “The Lost Art of the Capital Budget” (see *EIR*, Jan. 12, 2007), and discussed in relation to LaRouche’s Jan. 11, 2007 webcast, “The Old Economics Is Dead, the New Economics Must Begin.” Many of the Democratic Congresspeople knew the LYM as the leadership that sparked the youth generation’s ousting of the Republican Party control of Congress during the mid-term elections period. LaRouche PAC’s contacts were taking literature to distribute, and people at various policy events were asking the LYM to sing the “Impeach Cheney” song, or the “George Bush, dry drunk” song. The question of creating a future for the youth generation by, most notably, the replacement of the Cheney-Bush Administration for, crucially, the purpose of organizing a worldwide economic recovery and development process had occupied the political table.

But, in a flash, the week of Feb. 25 to March 1 was filled with more than a dozen Governors’ and Congressional and Senate events in Washington, D.C., including numerous hearings and briefings on the Hill, accompanied by many local events, all on the “issue of global warming.” What had occur-

red? No major event was reported around the world—no tidal wave or Arctic meltdown, such as those predicted almost daily by Gore, had occurred. No, on Sunday, Feb. 25, Al Gore appeared before the media with something less significant for justifying such a policy-shift than if he had announced that he discovered the water level rising in his swimming pool during even only the process of his submersion therein—the *An Inconvenient Truth* movie he starred in was awarded an Oscar.

A campaign had started.

On the next day, Feb. 26, 125,000 LPAC leaflets, “True CO₂ Record Buried Under Gore,” were shipped around the United States. The LYM was preparing for in-depth discussion of the process of CO₂ deposits in ice cores in the ocean record, looking at the role of Solar and cosmic radiation in climate formation, etc., only to find those leaflets distributed in one week, with many youth eager to find out more, and significant response at the dozens of global warming events in Washington, D.C. and around the United States. The Congressional encounters included a Nevada Representative who came to talk to the LYM, having been asked if he would help stop global freezing, and interested to hear what LaRouche had to say. Also, a Feb. 19 *Washington Times* op-ed titled “Global-Warming Theory and the Eugenics Precedent,” by John Linder (R-Ga.) was discovered. NASA’s Goddard Institute for Space Studies director James Hansen refused to consider the past 100 years’ 90,000 direct measurements of CO₂ concentration, and argued for “accredited sources” (those that don’t challenge the “scientific consensus”?) when confronted by a LYM member at a National Press Club televised event.

Over the next weeks, with another 150,000 leaflets and the same number of pamphlets with LaRouche’s March 7 “Implications of the Gore Swindle for International Policy” webcast phasing in, the LYM found that in the United States and Europe, people found global warming as important an issue for politics, as a Hollywood movie is for science, although representatives from the “Baby Boomer” generation tended to act as if they were in such a movie, demonstrated by one of them turning over a table with LPAC literature, in a rage fit.

From those supporters of environmentalist claims of global warming whose response to LPAC was not governed by hysteria, the only argument for their claims was “All scientists agree!” “There is a consensus!”

However, contrary to environmentalism, the human population is different than, and above that of a population of monkeys, by an unbridgeable quality.

Breaking the Lie About Consensus

The resistance to Al Gore’s Global Warming fascism has been able to flourish and spread because of the international crossfire provided by the LaRouche movement. Because Gore’s Green Nazis can operate internationally, including through the work of Timothy Wirth, head of the United Na-

tions Foundation, it is crucial to have a pro-human-race political “command center” to counter the lies.

That is exactly the role that the worldwide LaRouche Youth Movement has been able to accomplish. Armed with the mastery of real science through the LYM’s breakthrough work on Johannes Kepler (see wlym.com), with humor and irony, and understanding of the history of the British-Dutch empires in spreading the Malthusian genocide theories, the LaRouche movement has opened the gates for destroying the Gore lies:

- Across the United States, more than 250,000 copies of the CO₂ hoax leaflet have been distributed. With the unwinding of the yen carry trade and mortgage bubble, however, the population at large, especially in destroyed communities like Detroit, and many from financial circles, insisted on learning LaRouche’s assessment and solution to the economic crisis instead, with financiers in Boston coming to LPAC asking to donate. Youth, many of whom, the LYM found out, have already seen the British Channel 4 TV documentary “The Great Global Warming Swindle,” were eager to work with the LYM on investigating how Kepler was able to discover the principle of gravity, and how J.S. Bach was able to know a principle of composition.

At a university in Austin, Texas, the LYM was able to finally find two professors from the whole science department who agreed that environmentalist claims about global warming were a fraud, and was organizing students, who were coming up to ask if they could have “everything you’ve got” The LPAC material was brought to the consideration of both Democratic and Republican state officials in Texas, where one staffer was upset that her child had to watch *An Inconvenient Truth* many times at high school, and became very eager to have “The Great Global Warming Swindle” shown as well. A life sciences professor at a Bay Area university was excited that the LYM was making the fraud of environmentalism a center of campus debate, because he said, it was becoming “a cult.”

- In Copenhagen, Denmark, during Gore’s event on Jan. 18, and another on March 13, the attendees passed by members of the LaRouche movement, who were warning, with literature and song, that the Gore policy is one of genocide. By March 20, the major Danish newspaper *Jyllands-Posten* featured an interview with a LaRouche leader in Copenhagen, Tom Gillesberg, about building a high-speed maglev railway. On March 21, the same paper published an op-ed exposing Gore as a fraud.

- In Berlin, Germany, many people were happy to be provided the means to figure out *why* they had had the feeling that global warming was a swindle. At two small cities near Stuttgart, where on one occasion 4,000 German leaflets were distributed at a train station in two hours, many approached the LYM to discuss the issue, and were then interested to find out what we were doing *politically*.

- In Paris, the population reportedly found the global warming hoax to have as much credibility as the leading



The LaRouche Youth greeted Gore with humor when he went to perform in Washington, D.C. on March 21.

EIRNS/James Rea

French Presidential candidates, but many local activists throughout France found hope in the leadership of the French LaRouche movement's Presidential candidate Jacques Cheminade against the mafia-style political climate.

- In Montreal, Gore was exposed before 6,000 youth, when a LYM member denounced him for wanting to depopulate Africa. Gore tried to defend himself by lying that, "No, I don't," but the LYM member retorted: "Yes, you do. It's in your book." The LYM member was ultimately dragged out, but not before the truth was heard.

In this widespread climate of discussion, even one of Gore's global warming-hype networks, "Focus the Nation," run by Eban Goodstein, showed signs of its bubble being punctured by the LaRouche movement, after its e-mail membership were asked to respond to a scientific article by Zbigniew Jaworowski exposing many of the global warming frauds, and after being exposed to "The Great Global Warming Swindle" movie, and LPAC's leaflet. Although Goodstein demanded an end to the circulation of such unsolicited material to his network, his members did not find censorship a solution, as they engaged in discussion and demanded counter-arguments.

Popping the debate bubbles on environmental and even economic policy also occurred at a March 21 Center for Science and Public Policy event in Canada with Dr. Timothy Ball. He opened up by demonstrating the inadequacy of relying on axiomatic computer models for the development of

future policy, as is being done on climate and economic issues. He referenced much of the material cited by *EIR* and LPAC on global warming, and responded to a LYM question by emphasizing the role of Gore's mentor, the Canadian swindler Maurice Strong, in understanding London's and Gore's push for carbon caps and emissions trade, the business on which Gore's hedge fund makes its money. To another LYM question he explained that the scientific community is controlled by fear, and that no scientist seeking or receiving funding would ever oppose the environmentalist claim about the role of human activity in global warming, since this premise must be accepted before any scientific work is done.

When the LYM raised the LaRouche movement's fight against the insanity of global warming with a Congressional staffer, the aide interjected that moveon.org was holding every district hostage on this single issue, and Congress is afraid to raise opposition.

What allowed the population to understand the situation, however, was an LPAC sign which read "Global warming is a bigger fraud than your girlfriend's orgasm!" with some reportedly showing signs of being ashamed in response. A young organic chemistry professor at a university in Detroit was excited by the LaRouche movement's exposing the fraud of the environmentalist global warming claim, but admitted that, "When it comes to research funding, I'm a whore, I'm a prostitute, I'm a bottom of the barrel slut! . . . I agree with you guys, but I have to prostitute myself for research funding."

What Future Do You Live In?

It seems impossible to find an environmentalist argument against a carnivore's or a microorganism's wiping out of another species' population, for example. Yet Al Gore seems to have been trying to protect the HIV/AIDS virus from the danger of human activity: In 1998, he used his position as the American head of the U.S.-South Africa Binational Commission to threaten South Africa unless it abandoned its plans for affordable drugs for the treatment and prevention of AIDS. Are we to judge the universe and its Creator wrong because humanity is able to change the intimate working of that universe as, for example, by improving the boundaries of its potential population by reorganizing biospheric and abiotic processes?

If we are only to hold responsible for a change in the quality of a considered activity someone who holds the causative power of effecting the considered change, then the witting reader would recognize that holding such power is the responsibility of consciousness. As was demonstrated by the mind of Socrates, there are no occurrences whose causative responsibility is to be delegated to merely named creatures outside the bounds of the universe. Effects of change are the unfolding composition of Keplerian universal qualities of action, principles advancing like the reader's increasing comprehension of an unfolding performance of a Classical musical composition, a Classical drama, or a poem of similar quality.

There is no size or intrinsic limit of universal principles or their conscious action as ideas in the human mind. There is only an implied limit of action underlying the assumption of constancy in the composition of active principles, as in an axiomatic system left to function void of creativity like, for example, an electronic information system.

It has been the function of anti-sophist, creative human civilization based on Socrates, Kepler, Bach, and their pro-human collaborators, to expand the implied boundaries of the composition of universal principles, including, notably, population potential, through willfully changing the way active universal principles interact in the human mind.

Most of our number is alive today, for example, because

HOTLINE

LaRouche and EIR Staff
Recorded Briefings
—24 Hours Daily
918-222-7201, Box 595

of those such as Alexander Hamilton, Benjamin Franklin, and their collaborators' defeat of the British Empire and its Malthusian school, Lincoln's defeat of the same empire's policy with its included expression in the form of slave labor, and Franklin Roosevelt's defeat of the "financial royalists" with their policy's included feature of mass population control through Nazi methods, up to the present necessary defeat of Prince Philip and Sir Julian Huxley's policy of Gore.

In the context of the LYM considering the knowledge of a universal principle as a final-cause quality of determination of the universe through the knowledge of the experience of unfolding discovery, with the implied question of knowing, now, a quality of determination (creation) of a principle, LaRouche laid a challenge of unfolding civilization before the LYM. During a visit by a small chorus from the LYM to work on voice training, LaRouche addressed the blatant failure of leaders in Congress and elsewhere:

"Therefore, the way in which mankind perceives the lawful structure of the universe is by the principles which are otherwise expressed as contrapuntal composition. This is the faculty of the mind which is capable of not looking at science as looking into a cage from the outside, but looking at science from inside the cage where you're the animal who's making it happen. So therefore the question here is a question of science as well as art.

"It's a question of locating the question of personal identity as a creative person, in those aspects of Bach and his successors which *reflect* the human mind at its highest level, its highest level of performance. And you get that by trying to get your voice to conform to these kinds of requirements in compositions of choral work.

". . . You see it in the population, you see that there's a moral deficiency in the population. And morality is not a set of rules. It does involve principles.

"But morality is just this: it's the relationship between this role of music, which is typified by what you get in Kepler and so forth. This role—and that is the same thing as science—is when you have the unity of the personality; this is the identity of man as a creative creature as no animal is. And it's this, that brings us consciousness of what a human being really is—when you understand the unity of science and music, in this way. *Now* you know what a human being is; then your morality is to be human, and to defend and to promote humanity. That's your imperative.

". . . So, this is what it is all about. It's to make you guys, and others, infectiously aware of what the essence of being human is, a human individual. And this relationship of something inside you, which is, you know, distinctly human, this ability to do music, choral music. That's the conscience; and to understand that that's the same thing as physical science. Then you are integrated, then you have a secure sense of personal identity, moral identity. Then you have the will to act, to act as if by instinct. But you've got to *know* to have the confidence about being that person."

Carbon Trade Swindle Behind Gore Hoax

by Richard Freeman and
Marcia Merry Baker

Look behind—if you dare—Al Gore and his science hoax, and you find the very same London-centered oligarchical financial crew that drove the 2003-2006 oil and commodity price increase, amidst the bubbles and hyperinflation that characterize the breakdown-phase of the financial system. The centerpiece of the U.S. emerging market for carbon emissions trading, is the Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX), created in 2003 as a “voluntary,” or pilot agency, part of a London-based network positioned to reproduce the oil bubble on a scale orders of magnitude greater and more dangerous, while at the same time, destroying what’s left of the physical economy.

The idea is that if governments cap CO₂ emissions, then the “market” will take off for the buying and selling of emissions “allowances.” This is the whole point of the “cap-and-trade” plan for CO₂. If it sounds crazy, it is. But Gore is just one of the most visible parts of the elaborate (and bi-partisan) schemes that have been set in motion under cover of climate change. Gore’s personal financial involvement is blatant, especially through Goldman Sachs—a large shareholder of CCX, and in 2004, the creator of Gore’s very own London-based hedge fund, Generation Investment Management.

CCX has multiple interconnections with the London-run Intercontinental Exchange, Inc. (ICE), whose subsidiary is the International Petroleum Exchange, the world’s largest petroleum futures and options market. The dirty details of ICE and the Great Oil Price Swindle came out extensively at a May 8, 2006 Senate Democratic Policy Committee hearing, where Sen. Carl Levin (D-Mich.) said that futures speculation on the ICE was the driver for adding \$20 to 25 to the price of every barrel of oil, causing hardship to industry and households, and suffering to underdeveloped nations. (The report, “The Role of Market Speculation in Rising Oil and Gas Prices,” is still posted on Sen. Levin’s website).

Yet Al Gore got away with advocating cap-and-trade CO₂ speculation at his much ballyhooed appearance before the joint House Hearing of the Energy and Science Committees March 21. Gore repeatedly told Congressmen to “put a price on carbon.” In response to Rep. Roscoe Bartlett (R-Md.), Gore said, “As soon as carbon has a price, you are going to see a wave [of investment] in it . . . there will be unchained investment.”

But why have neither Republicans nor Democrats challenged Gore on this? And why have they conspicuously refrained from confronting Sir Nicholas Stern—of the 2006 “Stern Review” on global warming, British Environment Minister David Miliband, and the other British “experts” streaming into Washington, D.C. in 2007, to demand U.S. “economic response” to climate change? *Political amnesia*. Elected officials are tightly locked in the grip of the Synarchist gamemasters—the Felix Rohatyn wing of the Democratic Party, and the George Shultz wing of the GOP—who have been in on creating this and prior swindles all along.

Look, for example, at the who’s who involved in the blatant staging of a “pro-green investment” press conference on the eve of the March 21 Gore House and Senate appearance. On March 19, the “Investors Network on Climate Risk” (INCR) held a Washington event to demand Federal action to impose mandatory reductions in greenhouse gases, claiming they represent a \$4 trillion pool of funds demanding green ventures. Members of INCR, founded at the UN in 2003, include British Petroleum, Allianz Insurance, the world’s largest insurance firm; DuPont, and hosts of state, labor, and church funds of various kinds, that have been herded into line. The INCR is chaired by British activist Norman Dean, who is simultaneously Director of Friends of the Earth.

Al Gore spoke at the May 2005 INCR Investors Summit at the United Nations, in his capacity as Chairman of his Generation Investment Management. He called for following the model of the European Union Emissions Trading Scheme, which started up in 2005. “Monetize emissions; trade them; reduce them,” was Gore’s mantra.

Gore again plugged green markets trading in April 2006, at Oxford University, at the annual Skoll World Forum for Social Entrepreneurship. This was held at the Skoll Centre, set up by Jeff Skoll, the E-bay billionaire, whose Participant Productions funded Gore’s propaganda movie, *An Inconvenient Truth*. Gore pushed carbon swaps and other green investments, along with his co-chairman of Generation Investment Management, David Blood, formerly Chief of Goldman Sachs Asset Management.

But now in Washington, the pace of lobbying Congress for carbon markets has reached a frenzy. A March 15 forum was titled “From Kyoto to Chicago,” because of the theme, that no new, long-lead time successor treaty to the expiring Kyoto Protocol is required for getting world carbon markets going. Carbon trade can commence if just the United States, Europe and Japan start it up, said Jonathan Pershing, emissions trading expert for the World Resources Institute, on whose board sits Al Gore. The same point was stressed by OECD spokesman Brice LaLonde, advisor to the European Carbon Fund (ECF), and head of Friends of the Earth in France said the same. His co-advisor to the ECF, founded in 2006, is William K. Reilly, the EPA director for President George H.W. Bush, and long-time World Wildlife Fund president.

The Investors' Network for Climate Risk's prime social action affiliate, the Coalition for Environmentally Responsible Economies (CERES; founded in 1989), released a January 2007 report entitled, "The Power to Save," which outlined a step-by-step process to put an end to all new power plant construction in Texas, the nation's second-most populous state. The concept is simple: cut emissions; then trade in the scarce emissions "allowances"!

In the current buyout bidding for TXU—the major, 62-year-old Texas electric utility, the offer by KKR and Texas Pacific would acquire TXU on condition that eight of 11 planned coal-fired generator projects be cancelled. The advisor on this so-called environmentalist deal is William K. Reilly, an official in the Texas Pacific Group.

Reilly is co-author of a recent report, "Allocating Allowances in a Greenhouse Gas Trading System"—a how-to booklet for trading in carbon—published by the National Commission on Energy Policy. Launched in November 2002, the NCEP's founding 20 members included Andrew Lundquist, the Executive Director of the 2001 Dick Cheney Energy Taskforce; R. James Woolsey, former CIA Director and long-time Al Gore neo-con advisor, William K. Reilly; John Rowe, CEO of Exelon, and other notables. Funding agencies include the MacArthur Foundation, the Pew Charitable Trust and others.

In the face of this political onslaught, it is useful that so far, the House Democratic and Republican leaderships have agreed not to rush into "cap-and-trade" emissions legislation. They are saying that a go-slow approach is desirable, but the amount of top-down pressure to act now—from Wall Street and City of London speculators, cannot be underestimated. On March 15, Rep. Joe Barton (R-Tex.), the ranking member of the House Energy and Commerce Committee said, "I'm glad to see that this week, Speaker Pelosi had indicated that any bill on global warming considered this year doesn't necessarily have to include a mandatory cap-and-trade scheme." But in reality, these emissions schemes, and their backers should be stopped cold.

The following dossier gives summary references of the major players behind the carbon market swindle.

Chicago Climate Exchange

The mechanisms can best be understood by starting with the Chicago Climate Exchange, listed on the Chicago Board of Trade, and the web of figures and entities connected to it. Since CCX was created in January 2003, it has operated as a "voluntary" pilot project, demonstrating that emissions trading can be done, and developing a functioning operation. Its sister organization, the European Climate Exchange (ECX) has been up and running since 2005, as a result of adoption




EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

EPA Secretary William K. Reilly, who is also an official of the World Wildlife Fund, is shown here at an Earth Day Ceremony at the White House in 1998. He has gone on to be a leading operative in the creation of the carbon exchange swindle.

and implementation of the European Carbon Trading Scheme. After action by the European Union, 12,000 European installations are mandatorily trading CO₂ and other emissions.

CCX was initiated through a feasibility study funded by the Joyce Foundation in 2000. As CCX describes it, "Members make a voluntary but legally binding commitment to reduce GHG [greenhouse gas] emissions" over set periods, and then trading can take place in standardized units of carbon emissions. Projects to "offset" emissions are reviewed and licensed; farmland, for example, is qualifiable as a carbon sink under certain standards.

Among the 85-plus members of the CCX to date are DuPont, Duquesne Light Co. (owned by Macquarie), Ford, American Electric Power, and Smithfield Foods, plus the state of New Mexico, city of Chicago and other governments. One prominent CCX participant is the Green Mountain Power Company, run by the Wyly family of Texas, and big moneybags for Bush in the Presidential elections; three Wyly family members each gave \$10,000 to the Swift Boat "527 Committee" to slander John Kerry in 2004. Now the Wyly hedge fund, Maverick Capital, has the distinction of being the worst example of tax-evasion in the United States, by using 58 offshore trusts to hide more than \$700 million in income from taxation (Feb. 17, 2007 Senate hearing; Sen. Carl Levin).



Although only a pilot project at present, the Chicago Climate Exchange is looking forward to a massive speculative market in carbon emission credits, thanks to the Gore-led global warming swindle.

Approved aggregators of farmland so far are the Iowa Farm Bureau, the Kentucky Corn Growers Association, and others. The Lugar Stock Farm is a participating “Offset Provider.” Sen. Richard Lugar (R-Ind.) boasts that he was the first farmer in his state to sign up. The going rate per unit of carbon emission is about \$3.65 (see www.chicagoclimatex.com).

The founder and chairman of CCX is none other than Dr. Richard Sandor, considered one of the fathers of derivatives and futures. He concocted weather futures, earthquake futures, Ginnie Mae futures, and others, and has been working overtime in recent years on the new carbon offset “instruments for transaction.” Sandor is a director on the board of London International Financial Futures Exchange (LIFFE), the largest derivatives trading market in London and many other boards.

Getting financing to start up CCX is credited to one Neil Eckert, who at the time was CEO of the firm Brit Insurance, which is in the orbit of Eagle Star Insurance, Ltd., a keystone of the inner core British City of London. The former CEO of Eagle Star Insurance, Clive Coats, now heads up Brit Insurance, and Mr. Eckert has moved on to be CEO of Climate Change Plc, the holding company for CCX and ECX.

Sandor has been hyperactive in lining up participation in these carbon casinos. In March this year, he addressed the annual convention of the National Farmers Union in Orlando, Florida, praising the progress being made on methods of carbon sequestration, and the benefits of trade in carbon credits. He said that there is vast opportunity for international growth in “market-based climate change mitigation.” In Fall 2006, the NFU launched its Carbon Credit Program.

As for who owns CCX, according to filings it made between Feb. 6, 2007 and March 14, 2007, the three largest beneficial owners are, with their percent of CCX shares owned: Goldman Sachs Holdings, Inc., 17.87%; Harbinger Capital Master Fund I, 10.4%; Black Rock Investment (i.e. Blackstone Group), 8.95%.

The Board of CCX includes Maurice Strong, and Stuart Eizenstat. Eizenstat, who held posts under President Jimmy Carter and subsequent Administrations, led the U.S. delegation to the 1997 Kyoto Protocol conference on global warming.

Maurice Strong has made an international career in service of financial rip-offs in the name of the environment. In the 1970s, he became first Executive Director of the United Nations Environment Program. In 1992, he was the Secretary-General of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNED)—known as the Earth Summit. Out of this, the first World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) was created, at Strong’s instigation. Then in 1999, the WBCSD, in cooperation with UNCTAD, set up the *International Emissions Trading Association* to push for the greenhouse gas (GHG) market.

In 1992, the same year as Strong’s conferencing for “sustainable” business, Richard Sandor co-authored a UN Conference on Trade and Development study, pushing for international trade in emissions. Already, the U.S. market for trading allowances in sulfur dioxide emissions (among 110 power plants) had been launched, under the Bush Administration’s 1990 Clean Air Act (Title Four). Sandor told the *Wall Street Journal* that year, “Air and water are no longer the free goods that economics once assumed. They must be redefined as property rights so that they can be efficiently allocated.”

At the time, Sandor was a Director of the Chicago Board

of Trade, and an Executive Managing Director for Kidder Peabody, where he pioneered Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (CMOs)—the precursor of the innovative home mortgage securities blowing out today. At one point in the mid-1990s, Kidder Peabody alone had bought up 28% of all CMOs—earning the sobriquet “nuclear waste disposal.” Then, when the CMO market burst, threatening the entire financial system, Kidder Peabody was shut down and sold off in parts.

ICE: Global Emissions Speculation

Following the trail of super-speculator Richard Sandor brings out the full scope of the carbon cap-and-trade bubble potential. Two months before launching CCX, Sandor joined the Board of Directors of the Intercontinental Exchange Inc. (ICE), in November 2002, which entity had already acquired the International Petroleum Exchange (IPE) a year before. This placed Sandor in the league of historic commodity rip-offs, going back to the British and Dutch East India companies—entities Sandor has praised explicitly. The Chairman of IPE since 1999, is Sir Robert Reid, chairman and CEO of Shell U.K. Limited from 1985 until 1990, after spending most of his career at Shell.

On Sept. 7, 2004, the ICE released a statement which in its own words, indicates the scope of the strategic transatlantic carbon trading operations, headlined, “CCX and IPE Sign Co-Operation and Licensing Agreement for EU Emissions Trading Scheme/Chicago Climate Exchange Sales and Marketing Subsidiary To Be Based in Amsterdam.”

The release states that, “Chicago Climate Exchange, the world’s first multi-national and multi-sector marketplace for the reduction and trading of greenhouse gas emissions, and the International Petroleum Exchange (IPE), Europe’s leading energy futures and options exchange, announced today the signing of a cash and futures contracts in European Climate Exchange (ECX) Carbon Financial Instruments (CFI). . . .

“Under the agreement, a series of futures contracts on ECX, CFIs relating to the EUR Emissions Trading Scheme will be launched by the end of the year, with cash products to follow in early 2005. Both the cash and futures products will be listed by the IPOE and traded on the IPE’s electronic platform, under licenses from CCX. It is intended that the products will be cleared by LCH.Clearnet, Ltd.

“In conjunction with this significant agreement, CCX announced the establishment of its wholly owned subsidiary ECX, which will serve as a sales and marketing office headquartered in Amsterdam.

“Dr. Richard L. Sandor, Chairman and CEO of CCX, said “Exchange-traded spot and futures contracts on ECX CFIs



www.royalshow.org.uk

British Environment Minister David Miliband is a prime mover behind the drive to radically extend carbon markets in Britain—and the U.S.

will facilitate trading for compliance with EU laws, and will be this market the liquidity it needs to operate efficiently. This agreement positions CCX as a global leader in emissions trading, and complements IPE’s leadership in the European energy markets.”

Thus, a single, integrated speculation machine is ready and waiting for the U.S. Congress to mandate emissions controls, and make way for the “market.”

ICE, though juridically located in London, is headquartered in Atlanta, operating as a *de jure* off-shore agency. “No-action letters” between the Bank of England and the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, protect the ICE from any form of regulation or record-keeping required by American agencies. ICE is thus literally a British “offshore financial center.” The 2006 Senate Democratic Policy Report calling for forcing regulation of the ICE, was subtitled, “Put a Cop Back on the Beat.”

Among the latest developments, ICE is making a play for acquiring the Chicago Board of Trade.

Who are the major owners of ICE? The major Anglo-Dutch financial entities. According to ICE’s 2006 filings with the SEC, as of Sept. 30, 2005, with percent of ICE shares owned: Morgan Stanley Capital, 11.62%; Goldman Sachs Group, 11.59%; Total Investments USA Inc., 8.12%; BP Products, 7.59%.

Others include Duke Energy, AEP, Continental Power Exchange, Societe Generale Financial Corp.

Rohatyn/Shultz Bums' Rush Is On

This then is the context for the many front groups and political patsies leading the bums' rush for governments to mandate carbon-emission reductions and unleash the "markets." On Jan. 22, 2007, in Washington, D.C., the "United States Climate Action Partnership" (USCAP) announced itself, consisting of ten major corporations including Lehman brothers, Duke Energy, DuPont, Florida Power & Light, BP America, Alcoa, Caterpillar, General Electric, Pacific Gas & Electric, and PNM Resources. They released a "Call to Action," which, in global warming lingo, "lays out a blueprint for a mandatory economy-wide, market-driven approach to climate protection" (see www.us-cap.org).

The World Bank is also on the bandwagon in a big way, led by WB president Paul Wolfowitz since 2005, when he moved in from the Bush-Cheney Administration. The World Bank has a Carbon Finance Organization (www.carbonfinance.org), working as part of the International Emissions Trading Association, to further carbon markets. Wolfowitz personally spoke on Feb. 14 in Washington on global warm-

ing, making the pitch that underdeveloped nations can expect to see a flow of some \$100 billions from the developed nations, if carbon-reducing schemes are allowed to proceed in the markets.

The Felix Rohatyn wing of the operation is seen in Lehman Brothers participation. Lehman's CEO, Richard Fuld is their spokesman on environmental economics. Fuld's advisor is Rohatyn, whose long career as "bankers' dictator" has specialized in forcing governments to submit to private financial dictates.

The Lehman/USCAP has supplied witnesses all over Capitol Hill in February and March, lobbying for government action on mandatory carbon control. On March 20, a day before Al Gore's celebrity appearance in Congress, the CEOs of Duke Energy and PNM Resources testified to the House Energy Committee that they supported a cap-and-trade program.

The George Shultz wing of the carbon swindle, operating throughout, is most visible in its front-man, Arnold Schwarzenegger. He appeared by satellite at a March 13 press confer-

Does Global Warming Cause Amnesia?

Leading Democrats cheering Al Gore's promotion of the global warming hoax, and "carbon trading" as the new economic horizon, seem to have forgotten that only months ago, they were holding public hearings to attack the very speculators who will run "carbon trading."

First: In May and June 2006, the Senate Democratic Policy Committee (SDPC) held two hearings on the role of speculation in driving the prices of oil and gasoline into the stratosphere. The Senators, led by Carl Levin (D-Mich.), Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.), and Byron Dorgan (D-N.D.), pinpointed the role of the all-electronic commodity futures exchange called ICE, InterContinental Exchange, for driving the oil/gas price speculation. As Senator Levin charged, they found that futures speculation on the ICE was the driver in speculators adding \$20-25 to the price of every barrel of oil.

Now, the key "ICE-man" in the InterContinental Exchange, derivatives specialist and banker Richard Sandor, is running the "carbon-trading" exchanges in London and Chicago, demanded by Al Gore and his backers under color of the global warming hoax. Do these Democrats think the speculators who drove up the oil price won't pump up the price of carbon emissions, electricity, oil refining, etc?

Second: Members of the Senate Permanent Select Sub-

committee on Investigations, seeking to identify and stop large-scale tax evasion by and through hedge funds, have ironically found the worst of the "bad actors," a group that claims a leading role in Al Gore's "carbon trading/global warming" show. They've hidden \$700 million in income, through three of their hedge funds, in offshore centers in the Isle of Man and Cayman Islands, in what may be the second-largest tax evasion on U.S. record, while claiming to run "the nation's leading provider of cleaner electricity and carbon offset products."

On global-warming financier Richard Sander's Chicago Climate Exchange, the only firm listed as a "Green Power Marketer" is Green Mountain Energy Resources LLC (one of a number of names the firm has had since 1998). A kind of "green Enron" which buys and resells supposedly "green" wholesale electricity (maybe the sort that Gore claims powers his 20,000 kwh/month home in Tennessee) around the country, Green Mountain Energy is run by the Wyly family—Sam, Charles, Sam's son Evan, and two other officers of their Maverick Capital Ltd.

The Wyls and their Maverick Capital hedge funds are the biggest users of British protectorate offshore centers to evade taxes, that the Senate Select Subcommittee has been able to identify. The Wyls were identified by Levin, in his Feb. 17, 2007 Senate floor speech motivating legislation to stop evasion of tens of billions of dollars a year in taxes, as the worst example of hedge-fund tax evasion in the United States, and as having used 58 offshore trusts to hide more than \$700 million in income from taxation.

—Paul Gallagher

ence at No. 10 Downing Street, along with Chancellor Gordon Brown and Environment Minister David Miliband, to announce a new British legislative proposal to radically extend carbon trading in Britain, even beyond what's up and running in the European Union. This is a precursor for "global carbon markets."

On March 15, Schwarzenegger's Secretary of Environmental Protection, Linda S. Adams, testified to the House Energy Committee on all the initiatives of Schwarzenegger, especially for carbon trading. "I am pleased to announce that on Feb. 26, 2007, Governor Schwarzenegger joined with the governors of Arizona, New Mexico, Oregon, and Washington to sign an historic memorandum of understanding that commits these five Western states to jointly develop a regional greenhouse gas emissions cap and a market-based trading system in our region." In March, Schwarzenegger and British Columbia committed to working together on emissions reductions, as part of a Pacific bloc of states.

A counterpart bloc exists in the Northeast, of ten committed or member states, to trade electricity sector GHG emissions, named the Northeast Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative.

At the Federal level, Sens. John McCain (R-Ariz.) and Joe Lieberman (I-Conn.), the leading bipartisan warmongers, have been the leading bipartisan GHG markets advocates. Since 2003, they have repeatedly filed joint bills to cap emissions and launch GHG markets. Their 2007 version, filed in January, is S. 280. In an article they co-authored in the *Los Angeles Times*, Jan. 8, 2003, titled, "Tap U.S. Innovation To Ease Global Warming," McCain and Lieberman wrote the format line that, "Global warming is a serious threat. There is overwhelming evidence that increasing amounts of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases are heating up the Earth's climate and that inaction could be disastrous. . . . One way to limit the release of greenhouse gases is a simple but powerful idea called 'cap and trade,' which is at the core of a bill we are introducing in the Senate. . . . The 'cap and trade' system is a constructive, business-friendly approach to countering global warming. . . ."

Thus, the intent is evident for the Shultz/Rohatyn supranational financial networks, to impose their green swindle schemes, either through, or despite, an ineffectual Congress.

On March 15, Schwarzenegger's Environmental Protection Secretary Adams demurely informed chairman John Dingell's House Energy and Commerce Committee, "In October 2006, the Governor issued an Executive order (S-20-06), calling on the Air Resources Board to develop a multi-sector, market-based compliance system that could permit trading between the European Union Trading System and the Northeast Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative and others. It also called on me to create a Market Advisory Committee of national and international experts to advise. . . on the design of such a market-based compliance system. . . ."

Yes, It's Insane! How CO₂ Trading Works

by Richard Freeman

The insane carbon emissions trading bubble makes the 17th-Century Tulip Bubble look tame by comparison. It creates a giant swindle on top of history's greatest scientific fraud, which will eviscerate what remains of the U.S. physical economy and create genocide worldwide, especially in Africa.

Were the objective a clean environment, there would be a crash mobilization to produce hundreds of nuclear power plants; magnetohydrodynamics; magnetic-levitation (maglev) transport. But none of that is being done. We review here the overall parameters of the carbon exchange's operations.

Under the exchange's operation, a single country, or a group of nations, sets an upper limit, or cap, on the amount of carbon emissions allowable, which are then issued to businesses and farms, granting each of them the right to emit a specified amount of emissions during a year. The CO₂ emission allowances can be traded, and a company that cuts back its annual emissions below the cap will have extra emission allowances left over, which it can sell to other companies for a profit. However, were a company to emit a level greater than that allowed, it must buy additional emission allowances from other companies, until it has allowances that match its actual emissions. This system is called cap-and-trade.

The key is that each year the volume of emission allowances that is allocated to a particular company is reduced from the year before. Either the company must cut back its CO₂ emissions by making new investments—however, after cutting back emissions by 20 to 30%, it becomes increasingly expensive to make further cuts—or it buys additional allowances to cover its extra actual CO₂ emissions; or the company collapses.

The governing plan is the Kyoto Protocol—an amendment to an international treaty on "global warming" called the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change—which went into operation in 2005, 15 years after its main features were worked out in Kyoto, Japan (the United States is not a signatory to the Kyoto Treaty). The Protocol enforces a standard of a 6% reduction in CO₂ emissions below 1990 levels, by 2010. Gore and his fellow Nazi fanatics think that this is going too slowly; they seek a 90% cut in emissions by 2050.

Each individual nation's government issues the emission allowances to industrial firms, electricity generators, etc., in



Richard L. Sandor is chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Chicago Climate Exchange.

its own country. The government can either issue the allowances for free, or through auctions in which companies pay for the allowances. The allowances are issued, starting with the heaviest CO₂ emitters, such as fuel extraction or mining operations; fuel-processing companies, such as power generation companies; energy-intensive industries, such as aluminum and steel; all the way down the industrial-agricultural chain. Many of these companies can pass the higher costs for emission reductions, or buying increasingly expensive emission allowances, on to the customer. In fact, the Malthusians who devised the exchange-trading scheme want this to happen; this is one of its prime purposes: 50-75% of the escalating costs will be borne by the consumer.

In 2006, the National Commission on Energy Policy, a main coordinating tool for carbon-based trading, which includes World Wildlife Fund head William K. Reilly, and former CIA director James Woolsey on its board, issued a policy report, "Allocating Allowances in a Greenhouse Gas Trading System." In it, the NCEP asserts that energy prices must really bite; it says: "Cost pass-through is important to the efficacy of the policy as a whole, since the key to eliciting a full range of efficient responses throughout the economy is for the carbon price signal to be transmitted all the way down the supply chain from fuel producers to end-use consumers."

While the NCEP says there should be subsidies for some of the poorest layers of the population's energy costs, they warn against "shielding consumers from the price signals [increases] needed to stimulate desired behavioral responses through the economy." That "behavioral response" is for consumers to abandon electricity and consumer products generated from carbon-based fuel supplies, and instead purchase power and products generated from completely inefficient and regressive wind and solar power, etc. These "alternative fuels" could only become viable if fuel costs are driven through the ceiling—i.e., the equivalent of \$100 to \$200 per kilowatt of electrical power.

CCX: Update on the Carbon Trade Bubble

A Chicago Climate Exchange (CCX) spokesperson told *EIR* March 22 that the CCX, and the London-based European Climate Exchange (ECX) are at different stages of development. On Jan. 1, 2005, the Kyoto Protocol, specifying the standard of carbon emission reductions, became operational for the 27 nations of the European Union, in the form of the EU Emission Trading Scheme. At least 12,000 installations came under its purview. The oligarchy herded companies to trade allowances through the ECX, since a company couldn't very well find what it needed in the phone book. As the trading of emissions on the ECX rose sharply, this hooked the companies on CO₂ derivatives. The ECX website averred, "Approximately 95% of the total volume in the European carbon market are seen in derivatives trades."

On the other hand, the CCX spokesperson reported, the exchange is "at the pilot stage." In the U.S., carbon emission allowances are voluntary, not mandatory. However, CCX CEO Richard Sandor used CCX trading for the past four years to work out the bugs, and prepare for an immense gear-up in the future. He rounded up 85 companies, including Ford and DuPont, to engage in a "voluntary" process. It was voluntary for these companies to join, but once they signed a contract, it was mandatory for them to meet the same Kyoto Protocol standards. Sandor demonstrated that a trading platform could be set up; the CCX could execute trades among parties; create standardized, fungible instruments, etc. The CCX has about 45 speculative traders, who enter the market and speculate in allowances. The CCX spokesperson explained, "They can make money."

The CCX only awaits the making of CO₂ allowances trading mandatory in America, to explode in size and influence. This would bring out an inherent deadly feature. The standard contract traded on the CCX is 100 metric tons of CO₂ equivalent. Even though trading on the CCX is light, the price of one 100 metric ton of CO₂ equivalent contract was bid up from \$0.95 in 2003 to \$3.65 on March 22, 2007. Once this market became big, speculators could bid up the 100 metric tons of CO₂ contract to \$30, \$50, or \$100. If a company, in order to cover its emissions, needed to purchase hundreds, or thousands of those contracts, it could be bankrupted. As speculators were making a killing, what remained of power companies and industry would be bankrupted, putting a nail in the coffin of the U.S.A. as a sovereign nation-state. If the financiers were to force through emissions reductions by 90%, the exchanges would implement them. The spread of the policy effect of this scheme to Africa, would create energy shortage and genocide.

Some financial analysts have said that the U.S. market for CO₂ trading would reach \$100 billion. Roger East in the British magazine *Green Futures*, predicted that the financial potential of the U.S. carbon trading market is somewhere between \$100 to \$500 billion. Only someone as mad as Gore could like this scheme.

The New Environmentalist Eugenics: Al Gore's Green Genocide

by Rob Ainsworth

In January 2007, the U.S. Climate Action Partnership, a consortium of high-profile corporations (BP, Lehman Brothers, DuPont, GE, et al.) and environmentalist groups such as World Resources Institute (WRI), Environmental Defense (ED), and the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), issued a press release. The implied intention of USCAP is to transform pollution into a commodity. This has nothing to do with protecting the environment. The true intention is two-fold: ensure that the poorest nations of the Earth *never* develop, and lay the foundation of the next speculative financial bubble. In February, at a Global Legislators Organization for a Better Environment (GLOBE) event, World Bank President Paul Wolfowitz praised carbon trading, claiming that it could generate as much as \$200 billion, much of which would go to African nations. Africa could sell its pollution rights and get more money than it currently does in development assistance; but of course, this would mean that Africa would not be allowed to develop. GLOBE was created in 1989 by, primarily, Al Gore and a number of British Parliamentarians, for the express purpose of preventing the world's poorest from raising themselves out of their condition. "Sustainable development" is the equivalent of mass murder.

The environmentalist movement is anything but the grassroots movement it pretends to be. The biggest and most influential groups receive tens of millions of dollars in funding every year, and the boards of trustees and directors reflect this. Who is running the WRI, the NRDC, and ED? Bankers, hedge-fund managers, big oil—the list goes on. But it goes even deeper than this, for the biggest student movements, such as *Focus the Nation*, *Step It Up*, and the *Stop Global Warming Now* movement, are financed, organized, and deployed by hard-core synarchist Felix Rohatyn. These unwitting young people, organized by New Age fascist freak-show Bill McKibben and his Middlebury College cronies, are designated to be the Jacobin shocktroops which tear apart the social order with their lunatic demands of "pandas, not people!"

Now, with the ongoing collapse of the U.S. subprime mortgage sector and the overall bankruptcy of the world economy, these networks are rushing to set up a new source for speculation; but more importantly, they are attempting to force these CO₂ emissions agreements down the throats of

governments as a way of finishing off the nation-state system. Hedge funds such as Al Gore's Generation Investment Management are vultures, scavenging for the last bits of meat left on the carcass of the world economy before it all goes down.

Throughout history, there have been those who, with an eye to specific political objectives, have used terror or the threat thereof against target populations. These threats, real or imagined, have characteristically been outsiders or specters lurking on the periphery, ready to pounce, like the bogeyman in the shadows of a young child's bedroom. However, today, for the first time in history, humanity is confronted with an aspect more terrifying than any external threat, for as the anti-human Club of Rome wrote in its 1991 publication, *The First Global Revolution*, "in searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. But in designating them as the enemy, we fall into the trap of mistaking symptoms for causes. All these dangers are caused by human intervention and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy, then, is humanity itself."

A Turning Point in History

On Aug. 15, 1971, the Bretton Woods System, established by President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, was destroyed by the Anglo-Dutch empire-linked advisors of Richard Nixon, most notably Henry Kissinger and George Shultz. It was a deliberate act by the would-be "post-industrial" wreckers of the nation-state system. It was in this context, with a civilization shattered by the 1960s counterculture and the string of political assassinations, wars, and crises which accompanied it, that human abortions such as Kissinger, Shultz, and Felix Rohatyn (to name but a few) could step in and usurp control of the world economy.

However, these madmen had a problem: by taking down the Bretton Woods System and by getting the United States involved in the folly of the Vietnam War, the physical economy was beginning to collapse from a lack of capital investment. Now, with physical economic output falling, and debt service increasing, the financiers had two options: either go with increased investments in the physical economy—machinery, infrastructure, power production, etc., or go with

the economic policy of Nazi Finance Minister Hjalmar Schacht, known today as fiscal austerity. Naturally, having never really joined the human race, these fellows decided to send us all on a one-way trip back to the jungle.

Their plan was not to invest in the population or the physical economy, but rather to embark on a path which, as Lyndon LaRouche recognized in the 1960s, would lead inevitably to fascism, and by a similar route as occurred in Germany in the late 1920s and early 1930s—where the “nature-loving” and “purity-based” ecology-freak counterculture, swarmed en masse into the Hitler Youth and the Nazi Party, and ultimately, on behalf of international financiers, carried out the Schachtian economics that ground up human beings for the state.

The essence of the matter is this: If technological progress is halted, it becomes impossible to sustain a population at the same standard of living. Thus, if the financiers demand that debts come before people, and at the same time the revenue pool from which those payments are made is shrinking, then the people will inevitably be gouged, through wage reductions, price inflation, increasing taxation, and so on.

Kissinger, Shultz, and Rohatyn, not to mention “Fat Albert” Gore, have no scruples about mass-murder or larceny; for them it is simply business. The difficulty was the Constitutional tradition of the United States.

Patriotism, in the tradition of Abraham Lincoln and Franklin Roosevelt, has always been an aspiration for the entire human race; to replace such a conception with the overtly racist imperialism now rampant in this nation could only be the work of clever criminality, the source of which is found in the rotted heart of the British Empire.

Cecil Rhodes and the Cult of Eugenics

The British East India Company, modelled on the older Levant Company of Venice, had been raping India since the early 1700s; but it wasn't until 1763 that this Venetian faction was able to seize control over the Empire as a whole. It was the rapacious looting policies of this faction that forced the American colonies to declare their independence.

After the American Revolution, the British launched a renewed drive against India, completely conquering the Subcontinent by the first years of the new century. It was in this period that the opium trade, for which India was the linchpin, became the dominant pursuit of the Empire.

After Lincoln's victory over the Confederacy in the American Civil War, and even more so after the 1876 Centennial Celebration, it became clear that the United States could not be conquered militarily. The British responded by launching the pseudo-science of eugenics, and also the Round Table movements of Cecil Rhodes and Lord Alfred Milner. In the 1880s and 1890s, this elite movement created the Eugenics Society, founded by Sir Arthur Balfour of the Venetian-origin Cecil family; John Ruskin's Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood, opposing the entire European Renaissance; and the *Round Table*



British imperialist Cecil Rhodes, founder of the British Roundtable, set out to establish institutions which would ensure that his white supremacist policies would outlive him.

of Cecil Rhodes, Alfred Milner, Balfour, and their friends, strategists from the African and Asian empire, seeking world power for the Anglo-Saxon master race. These men shared a bored contempt for the existence of mankind, like the satanic Zeus of Aeschylus' *Prometheus Bound*. Their idea was to convince the United States to join them in their quest for Anglo-Saxon world government.

The Round Table of Cecil Rhodes was centered on the imperial networks of South Africa, which later spawned raw materials monoliths such as Rio Tinto Zinc, Anglo American, Lonrho, and DeBeers. It was this inhuman cabal which ran the Boer War, conducted genocide against the black population, and later set up the horrendous Apartheid regime. One of the wealthiest, most influential, and evil men of his day, Rhodes was a virulent racist, or as he and his friends termed it, a race patriot, who wrote in a document called *Confession of Faith*:

“I contend that we are the finest race in the world and that the more of the world we inhabit the better it is for the human



America's would-be oligarchs, like the Harriman family, were unabashed in their support for eugenics in the early 20th century. Here is N.Y. World coverage of Averell Harriman's mother Mary, lending her support in 1915 to the Eugenics Society's campaign for sterilizing "defectives."

race. Just fancy those parts that are at present inhabited by the most despicable specimens of human beings; what an alteration there would be if they were brought under Anglo-Saxon influence, look again at the extra employment a new country added to our dominions gives. I contend that every acre added to our territory means in the future birth to some more of the English race who otherwise would not be brought into existence. Added to this the absorption of the greater portion of the world under our rule simply means the end of all wars; at this moment had we not lost America I believe we could have stopped the Russian-Turkish war by merely refusing money and supplies. Having these ideas what scheme

could we think of to forward this object?

"Why should we not form a secret society with but one object: the furtherance of the British Empire and the bringing of the whole uncivilized world under British rule, for the recovery of the United States, and for the making of the Anglo-Saxon race but one Empire?"

"Africa is still lying ready for us, it is our duty to take it. It is our duty to seize every opportunity of acquiring more territory and we should keep this one idea steadily before our eyes: that more territory simply means more of the Anglo-Saxon race, more of the best, the most human, most honourable race the world possesses" (emphases added).

Over the course of his life, Rhodes commissioned seven wills to be written, all expressing this same purpose. His fortune was to be used for setting up the Rhodes Trust and Rhodes Scholarship as a means of recruiting American and Commonwealth Anglophiles into the imperial faction:

"Let us form the same kind of society, a Church for the extension of the British Empire. A society which should have its members in every part of the British Empire working with one object and one idea we should have its members placed at our universities and our schools and should watch the English youth passing through their hands just one perhaps in every thousand would have the mind and feelings for such an object, he should be tried in every way, he should be tested whether he is enduring, possessed of eloquence, disregarding of the petty details of life, and if found to be such, then elected and bound by oath to serve for the rest of his life in his Country. He should then be supported if without

means by the Society and sent to that part of the Empire where it was felt he was needed."

In his will, Rhodes authorized provisions for:

"...the extension of British rule throughout the world. The colonization by British subjects of all lands where the means of livelihood are attainable by energy, labour, and enterprise and especially the occupation by British settlers of the entire Continent of Africa, the Holy Land, the Valley of the Euphrates, the islands of Cyprus and Candia, the whole of South America, the islands of the Pacific not heretofore possessed by Great Britain, the whole of the Malay Archipelago, the seaboard of China and Japan, [and] the ultimate re-



UNESCO/Claude Bablin

Sir Julian Huxley, previously the head of the Eugenics Society, made a smooth transition into pushing world depopulation through UNESCO, whose head he became in 1946, after Hitler's genocide had given eugenics a bad name. He is seen here addressing UNESCO in 1965.

covery of the United States of America as an integral part of the British Empire” (emphasis added).

It was this same British network of families (including the Huxley clan, the Cadburys, the Darwins, and the Wedgewoods) and banking interests, with offshoots in North America and the rest of Europe, which spawned the early 20th-century eugenics movement. This set ran the zoos, and said men were base animals, and they directed British colonial strategy and official science. Eugenics claimed that the English upper class ruled because they were genetically superior. The English masters humored themselves with this doctrine enforced on their beaten-down subjects, in India, which the English reduced to starvation and political impotence by closing native industries; and in South Africa under white rule.

These were the very same families who funded Hitler, and exerted their influence over the German banking system to have him appointed Chancellor in 1933. In 1917, while World War I was still raging, Lord Lothian, one of Lord Milner's most important protégés, suddenly departed from his previously fanatical anti-German rhetoric. As soon as Germany is crushed, he said, let us rearm and remilitarize it under the most reactionary leaders, and point Germany towards war with Russia and France. This was done 16 years later, in 1933. At the same time, the Anglo-Saxon eugenics doctrine was imported into Germany, to help shape Nazi rule.

The cabal called for the sterilization or euthanizing of unfit members of society, to spare the expense of their lives,

much like today's privatized HMO system functions; and these policies have always been a doctrine of racial aggression.

In 1932, the Third International Eugenics Conference was held in New York City, chaired by the rabid bigot Fairfield Osborn, whose like-minded nephew would later create the Conservation Foundation. Osborn was president of the American Museum of Natural History and a close colleague of the notoriously racist Julian Huxley, and the co-host of the conference, the Harriman family. On Aug. 23, 1932, the *New York Times* published a speech delivered by Osborn at the conference. “Eugenics,” Osborn declared, “aids and encourages the survival and multiplication of the fittest; indirectly, it would check and discourage the multiplication of the unfitted. As to the latter, in the United States alone, it is widely recognized that there are millions of people who are acting as dragnets or sheet anchors on the progress of the ship of state.”

Osborn, in language all too familiar among today's environmentalists, continued with his analysis of the 10 million Americans unemployed at the time:

“While some highly competent people are unemployed, the mass of unemployment is among the less competent, who are first selected for suspension, while the few highly competent people are retained because they are still indispensable. In nature, these less-fitted individuals would gradually disappear, but in civilization, we are keeping them in the community in the hopes that in brighter days, they may all find employment. *This is only another instance of humane civilization going directly against the order of nature and encouraging the survival of the un-fittest” (emphasis added).*

It was not accidental that a number of leading Nazi race scientists in attendance were honored, and the presidency of the International Federation of Eugenics Organizations was conferred upon Nazi Dr. Ernst Rudin.

The policies of the eugenicists were derived explicitly from those of the Confederate slave holders, whose descendants, such as Teddy Roosevelt, continued to be virulent racists and proudly traitorous Anglophiles. In fact, it was Gifford Pinchot, a eugenicist himself, who first coined the term “conservation,” deriving it from a term used by the British in their colonial management of India! The eugenicists sought not only to “scientifically prove” the superiority of the Anglo-Saxon race, and thus its right and responsibility to rule the Earth, but also the incapacity of the “lesser races” to utilize technology or govern themselves.

The British were in full agreement with Hitler on most issues, including the threat posed by Asian development. When Hitler met with Lord Lothian on Jan. 29, 1935, Lothian had recently completed a term as Undersecretary of State for India, directing the repression of India's nationalist leaders, Gandhi and Nehru, just after Lothian's close collaborator Lord Halifax had been Viceroy. Hitler knew he was speaking to a member of the inner circle of the Empire when he suggested to Lothian that,

"Germany, England, France, Italy, America and Scandinavia . . . should arrive at some agreement whereby they would prevent their nationals from assisting in the industrializing of countries such as China, and India. It is suicidal to promote the establishment in the agricultural countries of Asia of manufacturing industries" (emphasis added). (Transcription in Sir James R.M. Butler, *Lord Lothian*, Macmillan and Co., London, 1960, p. 332)

Hitler also would have recognized that the British were actively engaged in exactly those policies he had outlined. Lord Lothian himself had expressed this viewpoint long before, writing in 1918 about the problem of getting the United States to give up its support for the advancement of colonial-sector peoples, and to adopt the British approach of crushing them with free trade. Lothian wrote,

"The real problem is going to arise from the treatment which must be accorded to politically backward peoples. . . .

"[T]here is a fundamentally different concept in regard to this question between Great Britain . . . and the United States . . . as to the necessity of civilized control over politically backward peoples. . . . The inhabitants of Africa and parts of Asia have proved unable to govern themselves . . . because they were quite unable to withstand the demoralizing influences [i.e., their reprehensible desire to possess modern industry—ed.] to which they were subjected in some civilized countries, so that the intervention of an European power is necessary in order to protect them from those influences. . . . The American view . . . is quite different. . . . The extent of this work after the war, sometimes known as the white man's burden, will be so vast that it will never be accomplished at all unless it is shared. . . . Yet America not only has no conception of this aspect of the problem but has been led to believe that the assumption of this kind of responsibility is iniquitous imperialism. They take an attitude towards the problem of world government exactly analogous to the one they [earlier] took . . . toward the problem of the [first] world war. . . .

"If they are slow in learning we shall be condemned to a period . . . of strained relations between the various parts of the English-speaking world. [We must] get into the heads of Canadians and Americans that a share in the burden of world government is just as great and glorious a responsibility as participation in the war" (Lothian to Lionel Curtis, Oct. 15, 1918, in Butler, *Lord Lothian*, pp. 68-70).

Lothian, secretary of the Rhodes Trust, and his collaborator Lord Halifax, would both serve as ambassadors to the United States over the course of World War II, tasked with "handling America" and guiding it into its destined imperial role.

The New Eugenics

In 1946, Julian Huxley, the new Director-General of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), announced that the eugenics movement,

of which he had been a leading member, would not be dissolved, despite the somewhat unfortunate reputation it then commanded. With the stench of Hitler's mass-murderous frenzy still heavy in the air, the undaunted Huxley, who had been vice-president of the Eugenics Society of Great Britain from 1937-1944, announced, "even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake *so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable*" (emphasis added). And thus the modern environmental movement was launched.

Environmentalism, first known as "conservation," was the continuation of the most sickening form of racism, a racism ingrained in the British ruling families and their affiliates by the many years of colonial conquest and looting. In 1924, Huxley had voiced his own opinion of Africans in the British publication the *Spectator*:

"You have only to go to a nigger camp-meeting to see the African mind in operation the shrieks, the dancing and yelling and sweating, the surrender to the most violent emotion, the ecstatic blending of the soul of the Congo with the practice of the Salvation army. So far, no very satisfactory psychological measure has been found for racial differences; that will come, but meanwhile the differences are patent."

Apart from the sick doctrines of Huxley and company, all strains of environmentalism are also based upon the madly fraudulent and genocidal doctrines of Thomas Parson Malthus (1766-1834). Malthus was first employed to explain why the Irish had to starve. Malthus preached that the world was overpopulated because population increased faster than the food supply; but considering that he came from a wealthy family of seven children, and he had three children of his own, what he really meant was the world was too full of poor and dark-skinned peoples—and don't forget the Irish! But, of course, he did work for the British East India Company, the world's foremost drug-running cartel. As he writes in his nefarious work, *An Essay on the Principle of Population*:

"We are bound in justice and honour formally to disdain the right of the poor to support.

"To this end, I should propose a regulation to be made, declaring that no child born from any marriage taking place after the expiration of a year from the date of the law, and no illegitimate child born two years from the same date, should ever be entitled to parish assistance.

"The infant is, comparatively speaking, of little value to society, as others will immediately supply its place.

"All children who are born, beyond what would be required to keep up the population to a desired level, must necessarily perish, unless room be made for them by the death of grown persons. Therefore we should facilitate, instead of foolishly and vainly endeavouring to impede, the operations of nature in producing this mortality; and if we dread the too

frequent visitation of the horrid form of famine, we should sedulously encourage the other forms of destruction, which we compel nature to use.

“Instead of recommending cleanliness to the poor, we should encourage contrary habits. In our towns we should make the streets narrower, crowd more people into the houses, and court the return of the plague. In the country, we should build our villages near stagnant pools, and particularly encourage settlement in all marshy and unwholesome situations. But above all we should reprobate specific remedies for ravaging diseases; and restrain those benevolent, but much mistaken men, who have thought they are doing a service to mankind by protecting schemes for the total extirpation of particular disorders.”

Malthus’s theory was thoroughly ridiculed in his own

time as being a mess of satanic gibberish (see box). That we have raised the standard of living and the number of people per square kilometer by over three orders of magnitude since ancient times is more than proof enough; in fact, by Malthus’s own ridiculous theory, the world was overpopulated in the Stone Age! The problem that all Malthusians are crabbily compelled to face is our irritatingly persistent tendency to develop new technologies and resources: “If only,” they say, “if only mankind would start behaving as we say he ought to, then we would be right!” Unfortunately, the British would not let the wretched little man alone; in a magnificent sleight of hand, they dragged his miserable old bones out of ground, and gave him a shiny new suit.

In 1948, the Conservation Foundation released its first annual report, claiming increasing population causes a drain

Mr. Malthus

Below are excerpts from the Poem *Mr. Malthus* by Canadian writer and satirist, Stephen Leacock (1869-1944). It was said in 1911 that more people had heard of Stephen Leacock than had heard of Canada.

“MOTHER, Mother, here comes
Malthus,
Mother, hold me tight!
Look! It’s Mr. Malthus, Mother!
Hide me out of sight.”

This was the cry of little Jane
In bed she moaning lay,
Delirious with Stomach Pain,
That would not go away.
All because her small Existence
Over-pressed upon Subsistence;
Human Numbers didn’t need her;
Human Effort couldn’t feed her.
Little Janie didn’t know
The Geometric Ratio.
Poor Wee Janie had never done
Course Economics No. 1;
Never reached in Education
Theories of Population, —
Theories which tend to show
Just how far our Food will go,
Mathematically found
Just enough to go around.

This, my little Jane, is why
Pauper Children have to die.
Pauper Children underfed
Die delirious in Bed;
Thus at Malthus’s Command
Match Supply with true Demand.
Jane who should have gently died
Started up and wildly cried, —

“Look, mother, look, he’s there again
I see him at the Window Pane,
Father,—don’t let him,—he’s
behind
That shadow on the window
blind,—”

In vain the anxious parents soothe,—
What can avail their useless Love?
“Darling, lie down again; don’t mind;
Branches are moving in the Wind.”

With panting Breath, with Eyes that
stare,
Again she cries, “He’s there, he’s
there!”

The frightened Parents look, aghast,
Is it that something really passed?
What is it that they seem to scan,
Ghost or Abstraction, Dream or
Man?—

That long drawn Face, the cloven
Lip,

The crooked Fingers all a-grip,
The sunken Face, cadaverous,
The dress, Ah, God deliver us!
What awful Sacrilege is that?
The Choker and the Shovel Hat,

The Costume black and sinister,
The dress of God’s own minister!
What fiend could ever urge a Man
To personate a Clergyman!
The Father strides with angry fist
“Out, out! you damned Economist!”
His wife restrains his threatening
Paw,—

“William, it’s economic Law!”
She shrieks,—“Oh William! don’t
you know

The Geometric Ratio?—
William, God means it for the best
Our Darling’s taken! we’ve
transgressed—”

And crying, “Two times two makes
four,”
She crashes swooning to the Floor.

And when her Senses come again
Janie had passed from mortal Pain
And scowling Malthus had moved on
Murm’ring, “That’s one more Infant
gone,”

To other Windows, one by one;—
Later he came and took their Son.
With Jane and John gone, out of
seven,

They kept at five and just broke even.
“Mary,” the chastened Father said,
“I feel God’s wisdom; two are dead
The world has only food for five,
Quintuplets are the thing that thrive.”
She sobbed,—“We’ll do it if we can!
But, oh that awful Malthus Man.”



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

British Royal Consort Prince Philip, cofounder of the World Wildlife Fund, has been a leading organizer of the movement for global depopulation since at least the early 1960s. He's fond of talking of being reincarnated as a "deadly virus" to deal with the population problem.

on natural resources which is geometric, not arithmetic. Science cannot be expected to supplant the vital processes of nature. The Conservation Foundation (CF) was the spawn of the American Eugenics Society and the International Union for the Conservation of Nature, founded the previous year by the Swiss, and the same royal families of Britain, Belgium, and Holland that had been intimately involved in promoting eugenics prior to World War II. The first president of the CF was Henry Fairfield Osborn, the nephew of the Fairfield Osborn who had presided over the 1932 Eugenics Conference.

Two years later, these same families would gather together a motley collection of the most degenerate Western intellectuals to form the Congress for Cultural Freedom (CCF). The CCF would play a crucial role through the coming decades in destroying the last vestiges of Classical humanist and scientific education, while promoting existentialism, cultural and moral relativism, and a whole assortment of equally destructive philosophies. The counterculture of the 1960s, and the explicitly fascist tendencies which erupted within the Baby-Boomer generation, were direct outgrowths of the CCF's operations.

In 1961, the CF published an influential set of essays based upon the writings of Malthus. Some of the leading contributors were Lord Solly Zuckerman, Lord Boyd Orr, Sir Charles Darwin, Sir Julian Huxley, and Arnold Toynbee, all



Sander Lamme

Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, recently deceased, cofounded the World Wildlife Fund with Philip, and used his position to carry out wide-spread genocide in Africa.

of whom were devoted white supremacists. In 1965, Russell Train was added to the Board of Advisors, and later became president.

This new brand of Malthusianism was presented to the world in apocalyptic terms. No longer was it simply an apology for permitting poverty and famine; now the entire human race was threatened with extinction because we had taken technology too far, we had developed too quickly. Paul Ehrlich, as fanatical as they come, published his concoction of fascist psychobabble, *The Population Bomb*, in 1968. His argument went as follows: "A cancer is an uncontrolled multiplication of cells; the population explosion is an uncontrolled multiplication of people. We must shift our efforts from the treatment of the symptoms to the cutting out of the cancer. *The operation will demand many apparently brutal and heartless decisions*" (emphasis added).

In 1961, Sir Julian Huxley, by then, president of the Eugenics Society of Great Britain, in collaboration with Britain's Prince Philip, founded the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), the first president of which was the former card-carrying Nazi, Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands; Bernhard was succeeded in 1976 by John Loudon, the former CEO of Royal Dutch Shell and chairman of Shell Oil Co. Prince Philip would take the helm from 1981 until 1996. The WWF, as documented extensively by *EIR* (see "The true story behind the fall of the House of Windsor," a Special Report by *EIR*, 1997), was involved in countless acts of genocide, poaching and drug running, assassinations, coups, and launching scores of con-



EIRNS

Anthropologist Margaret Mead, shown here at a 1978 “Sun Day” celebration in New York City, was explicit on the goals of the environmental movement: She called for scientists to issue “artificial warnings” that would scare people into adopting conservation and depopulation measures.

flicts. Prince Philip, one of the key environmentalist ringleaders throughout the entire post-war period, has provided the world with plenty of evidence indicating his true nature:

“You cannot keep a bigger flock of sheep than you are capable of feeding. In other words conservation may involve culling in order to keep a balance between the relative numbers in each species within any particular habitat. I realize this is a very touchy subject, but the fact remains that mankind is part of the living world. Every new acre brought into cultivation means another acre denied to wild species.”

Philip later claimed, as reported in *Deutsche Presse Agentur* in 1988, with all the goodness of his heart, that were he reincarnated, he would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.

In 1967, Prince Philip, Prince Bernhard, and Maurice Strong formed the secretive 1001 Club, to finance the operations of the WWF as well as other covert projects that the WWF was carrying out in Africa. The Club comprises members of the most ancient and powerful families of Europe and the British Commonwealth. Strong, a top-echelon British-Canadian operative, was one of the three most influential members, along with Philip and Sir Peter Scott, and has played a critical role over the past 40 years in promoting the globalist agenda of world government. From the early 1960s onward, Strong was a friend of, and collaborating closely with, the Rockefeller family, the third generation of which had taken an unusually passionate interest in environmental-

ism; in 1971, Strong became a trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation, a position he held for many years, guiding the Foundation’s money in directions he deemed fit. Later, in the 1980s, he sat on the Democratic National Committee, a position from which he could conveniently mentor the younger Al Gore, whose only other friend in those lonely years, was the ingrate and political whore, Joe Lieberman.

In 1967, the Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) was created by the CF to begin a series of aggressive campaigns, typified by the unconscionable attack on the insecticide DDT, which was not based in the slightest on science. The Natural Resources Defense Council, created in 1970, also participated in this outrage. William Ruckelshaus, the administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) who made the decision in opposition to the advice and findings of his own staff, had ties to the EDF, and later went on to hold numerous important positions, such as his current position of the board of trustees of the World Resources Institute, as well as a stint as the top administrator of the United Nations Development Program.

The People Are the Enemy

Despite the environmentalist movement’s 25 years of campaigning, the belief that everything related to science, progress, and technology was evil had not fully taken root. The general population still understood the role of scientific progress in the economy, and only a few years had passed since the Moon landing. What changed was the economy.

At the same time Nixon was dutifully wrecking the Bretton Woods System, the Club of Rome released its infamous report, *Limits to Growth*, which picked up the thread of Paul Ehrlich’s thesis, that human overpopulation was a looming threat to civilization.

This message was repeated at the 1972 Stockholm Conference, which was presided over by Maurice Strong. Elaine Dewar reports in her book, *Cloak of Green* (Toronto: James Lorimer and Company, 1995) that, “as the Stockholm Conference opened in 1972, Strong warned urgently about the onset of global warming, the devastation of forests, the loss of biodiversity, the polluted oceans, and the population time bomb. As I read this old speech, I realized it could almost be repeated at the Rio Summit.”

Then, in 1973, the first oil shocks hit, with prices jumping 400% in the space of a few months, compliments of British imperial agent, Henry Kissinger. While this was traumatic enough in the West, in the rest of the world it was a catastrophe; for not only had the price quadrupled in American dollars, but many nations, previously subjected to the IMF’s notorious conditionalities, which often included massive currency devaluations, saw the price of oil rise even more drastically. The financial resources that had been available for development projects were quickly sucked into the ballooning world petroleum trade. Suddenly the idea that resources were becoming scarce seemed all too real, while the pessimism

accompanying the CCF-spawned counterculture combined with the post-oil shock depression, prompted people into adopting a little-man ideology of “look out for number one” and “protect your own.”

It was also in this period that Henry Kissinger, commissioned the murderous 1974 report, NSSM 200, echoing the Hitler’s words to Lothian, by advocating population control in place of industrialization; the report continues even now to be official government policy. As an extension of this emerging, official policy orientation of the U.S.A., and the words of Strong at Stockholm, Margaret Mead told scientists assembled for a 1975 conference on “The Atmosphere: Endangered or Endangering,” that the party line was shifting from what scientific analysis had correctly identified as a process of global cooling which had begun in the 1940s, to global warming. In a complete disregard for truth and principle, she said,

“The unparalleled increase in the human population and its demands for food, energy, and resources is clearly the most important destabilizing influence in the biosphere. We are facing a period when society must make decisions on a planetary scale.

“What we need from scientists are estimates, presented with sufficient conservatism and plausibility that will allow us to start building a system of artificial, but effective warnings, warnings which will parallel the instincts of animals which flee the hurricane. [We must] draw from the necessary capacity for sacrifice. It is therefore a statement of major possibilities of danger, which may overtake humankind, on which it is important to concentrate attention.”

Many scientists, having attended that conference warning of the potential for a new ice age, left the conference promoting global warming.

Over the subsequent years, a number of environmentalist groups began to make their presence known, through acts of eco-terrorism, and a series of calculated hoaxes, such as the ozone and Alar scares, acid rain, and global warming. One of the most devastating campaigns run by these fanatics was that against commercial nuclear power, in which Congressman Dick Cheney, during the Carter Administration, played a central role. Meanwhile, the world economy was slipping further into crisis: In 1979 Federal Reserve Chairman Paul Volcker sent interest rates skyrocketing, while Western industries collapsed more rapidly than ever, and a debt bomb was preparing to detonate in the developing sector.

British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, a fanatical Mont Pelerin ideologue, enacted savage measures of deregulation and privatization in Great Britain, while one of her top advisors, Sir Crispin Tickell, British ambassador to the UN from 1987-1990, who was the cousin of New Age lunatic Aldous Huxley and great-great-grandson of Thomas Huxley, advised Thatcher to promote global warming and population reduction (see article this issue).

The Third World, despite the usurious conditionalities being forced upon them, was not giving up the struggle to

develop. Thomas Lovejoy, vice-president of the American branch of the WWF, typified the response of the financiers to this desire in 1984: “The biggest problem is the damn national sectors of these developing countries. *These countries think that they have the right to develop their resources as they see fit. They want to become powers*” (emphasis added).

With the stock market collapse of 1987, the international financiers were forced to escalate their looting operations to keep the entire monetary system from collapsing. In order to do so, and to convince developing nations that backwardness was to their advantage, several initiatives were launched: Thatcher organized the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and appointed John Houghton co-chairman; he also held the position of Chairman of Scientific Assessment until 2002. Sept. 27, 1988, in a speech to the Royal Society of London, replete with lies, Thatcher said:

“For generations we have assumed that the efforts of mankind would leave the fundamental equilibrium of the world’s systems and atmosphere stable. But it is possible that with all these enormous changes (population, agricultural, use of fossil fuels) concentrated into such a short period of time, we have unwittingly begun a massive experiment with the system

The Eco-Fascist Al Gore In His Own Words

Included below are selections from Al Gore’s book, *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*.

The Earth is like a living being, or a goddess, according to Gore, whose liveable surface is its skin, its most important organ. He equates mankind’s relationship to nature to rape, or the rampages of the Nazis across Europe. Modern industrial civilization is the equivalent of a dysfunctional family of drunks and drug addicts which abuses its own children, and the radical ecology movement is the modern-day resistance movement against the “real” fascism, that is, “production and consumption.”

Gore claims:

- That the underpopulated continent of Africa is dying due to overpopulation, not looting:

“[The countries of Africa] are already putting great strains on their natural resources and threatening the integrity of their ecological systems, so it is truly frightening to imagine the impact of doubling or tripling their numbers—not to mention the pitiful quality of life these extra scores of millions can expect. Already new epidemics—from cholera to AIDS—have emerged in societies knocked off

of this planet itself. Recently, three changes in atmospheric chemistry have become familiar subjects of concern. The first is the increase in the greenhouse gases, carbon dioxide, methane, and chlorofluorocarbons, which has led some to fear that we are creating a global heat trap which could lead to climatic instability. And half the carbon emitted since the Industrial Revolution remains in the atmosphere. We have an extensive research programme at our meteorological office for the study of climate change.”

At the same time as the launching of the IPCC, Gore, on behalf of and in conjunction with the British Crown, was organizing GLOBE (the group addressed last month by Paul Wolfowitz). Maurice Strong, who was already a close acquaintance of Gore, told *EIR* in a 1999 interview that, “he was very active in the [GLOBE] movement, and, in fact, was instrumental in helping to form [it]. He was the original co-chairman and the driving force in getting it moving. Al was very influential around the world for this.” The key financial affiliations of GLOBE indicate its genocidal and rapacious agenda: the British imperial assets Anglo-American and British Petroleum. Strong, who chaired the Rio Summit, continued: “Gore was very active in the U.S. political movement to

endorse [the 1992 Rio Summit] and to get it approved by the United Nations. And, then, subsequently, he was extremely active in helping to shape its agenda and helping to assure that it got the attention that it did.” It was in 1992 that Gore published his Malthusian diatribe, *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*, in which he advocated mass population reduction by as many as 2 billion people (see box).

From the late 1980s onward, the British and their global web of agents and organizations, would drive the issue of climate change to the forefront of international politics. Tickell at the UN would be instrumental in this, as would Gore in the United States; Maurice Strong, from within the UN, would mobilize entire national bureaucracies. All of these men, in conjunction with Prince Philip’s 1001 Club, were also promoting the pagan doctrine of the Gaea “Mother Earth” cult as a new form of global religion to replace the “outdated” Judeo-Christian concept of man as made in the creative image of God.

Elaine Dewar, in *Cloak of Green*, noted the impact of the Rio Conference, chaired by Strong, as a watershed in the fight over global warming and the world economy:

“The Rio Summit would take long steps towards a world

balance by rapid population growth and the consequent disruption of their traditional patterns of living and the degradation of their surrounding environment.”

- That the fourteenth-century “Black Death” spread of bubonic plague was due to “climate change,” in Europe and China, not the economic collapse due to the failure of the oligarchical banking system of Europe:

“Just before the Black Death, poor weather and crop failures caused widespread malnutrition and increased susceptibility to disease. . . . One year earlier, as a result of the same global climate changes that produced constant rains in Europe, unusually heavy rainfall in China caused the repeated Yellow River floods.”

- That the answer to the question, “what is your life worth?” is two trees: “The Pacific Yew [tree] can be cut down and processed to produce a potent chemical, taxol, which offers some promise of curing certain forms of lung, breast and ovarian cancer in patients who would quickly die. It seems an easy choice—sacrifice the tree for a human life—until one learns that three trees must be destroyed for each patient treated. . . . Suddenly, we must confront some tough questions. How important are the medical needs of future generations? Are those of us alive today entitled to cut down all those trees to extend the lives of a few of us, even if it means that this unique form of life will disappear forever, thus making it impossible to save human lives in the future?”

- That the pre-Christian, Mother Earth cults were

more “environmentally friendly” than the Western monotheistic religions: “The prevailing ideology of belief in prehistoric Europe and much of the world was based on the worship of a single earth goddess, who radiated harmony among all living things. . . .

“Its best documented tenet seems to have been a reverence for the sacredness of the earth—and a belief in the need for harmony among all living things; other aspects of the faith are less clear, and it is probable that many barbaric practices accompanied the more benign beliefs.

“ . . . It seems obvious that a better understanding of a religious heritage preceding our own by so many thousands of years could offer us new insights into the nature of human experience.”

- That manufacturers, producers, and industrial workers are the moral equivalents of drug addicts: “Industrial civilisation’s great engines of distraction still seduce us with a promise of fulfillment. Our new power to work our will upon the world can bring with it a sudden rush of exhilaration, not unlike the momentary ‘rush’ experienced by drug addicts when a drug injected into their bloodstream triggers changes in the chemistry of the brain. But that exhilaration is fleeting; it is not true fulfillment. And the metaphor of drug addiction applies in another way too. Over time, a drug user needs a progressively larger dose to produce an equivalent level of exhilaration; similarly, our civilisation seems to require an ever increasing level of consumption.”



WHO/Gubb

The deliberate policy of denying development to Africa is leading to epidemics, such as AIDS, which will, if unchecked, depopulate the continent, just as Prince Philip and his cohorts want. Here, a Tanzanian woman and her children remember the woman's husband, who died of AIDS.

in which nation states have withered away in favor of supranational and global institutions. Advertised as the World's Greatest Summit, Rio was publicly described as a global negotiation to reconcile the need for environmental protection with the need for economic growth. The cognoscenti understood that there were other, deeper goals. *These involved the shift of national regulatory powers to vast regional authorities; the opening of all remaining closed national economies to multinational interests; the strengthening of decision making structures far above and far below the grasp of newly minted national democracies; and, above all, the integration of the Soviet and Chinese into the global market system*" (emphasis added).

It was Strong, who at this summit declared that industrialized nation-states, and in particular the United States, posed the greatest threat to humankind, and therefore, humanity had an obligation to destroy them:

"The concept of national sovereignty has been an immutable, indeed sacred, principle of international relations. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation. It is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation states, however powerful. The global community must be assured of environmental security." Later he continued, "Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring [this] about?"

The 1990s were the period of Al Gore's treasonous Vice Presidency. Gore took advantage of this opportunity to push the same radical Malthusian policies he shared with Prince Philip. As Maurice Strong acknowledged, "On these issues

[of environmentalism] they are very much soul mates. [Their relationship is] one of mutual regard and respect. I would say its as close as it could be with personalities of that kind." Gore certainly demonstrated his desire to wipe out as much of humanity as possible; as when South African President Thabo Mbeki, to counter the decimating AIDS epidemic, announced that South Africa would produce generic versions of whatever AIDS and HIV medicines were available. Gore threatened Mbeki in person, that if Africans did not buy the impossibly expensive patented medications, South Africa would suffer the consequences. It was also Al Gore who authorized the bombing of a pharmaceutical plant in Sudan, which supplied that nation with 90% of its medicine, without any justification other than unsubstantiated claims that the plant was controlled by al-Qaeda. Protecting the environment was simply another means for Gore to pursue

his schemes for massive population reduction, all in the tradition of Julian Huxley, Fairfield Osborn, and the white supremacist families of the British Empire.

Gore continues to be a disaster for civilization: Everything that is wrong with this world is embodied in his porcine hulk. Anyone who supports or condones the policies of Al Gore, the IPCC, or the environmentalist movement, is supporting fascism and genocide on a heretofore unseen scale. If Al Gore and financiers such as Felix Rohatyn are not stopped; if the current batch of spineless populists in the Congress refuse to recognize that their lunatic policies will bring about a new dark age for the entire planet, then this civilization is doomed.

But, perhaps we can take Gore's advice on one point: Since, as LaRouche has noted, we have an atrocious "surplus" of oligarchs, while the Madagascar lemur colony *does* stand in desperate need of repopulating. . . .

For Further Reading

For those interested in further research on the Gore file, *EIR's* website—www.larouche.com—has compiled the highlights of its more than 15 years of investigative reporting on the shocking philosophy, political connections, and activities of Albert Gore, Jr. Links to the original articles are available from the website.

British Genocide, From Thatcher to Gore

by Anton Chaitkin

Prometheus explained his battle with the Olympian gods—known in history as the imperial ruling families, who wished the mass of men to live in darkness, or to be slaughtered and conquered by a “superior” race:

As soon as he had seated himself on his father's throne, Zeus assigned to the deities their several privileges and apportioned unto them their proper powers. But of wretched mortals he took no heed, but desired to bring the whole race to nothingness and to create another, a new one, in its stead. Against this purpose none dared make stand save I myself—I only had the courage; I saved mortals. . . . I gave them fire.

—Aeschylus, Prometheus Bound

Mankind is a disease.

—Sir Crispin Tickell, advisor to Margaret Thatcher

The global warming hoax was presented to the world in the 1970s, immediately following the end of a 30-year global cold spell. It was sold as a pretext for savage, genocidal policies that had long been promoted by the core British imperial leaders.

A decade later, these leaders guided United Kingdom Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher to adopt the scam as the theme of her government. She crusaded for it in summit conferences, wearing down the resistance in America and elsewhere. Then-Sen. Al Gore, a lifelong American asset of the British imperial financiers' apparatus, worked in tandem with London, badgering the U.S. government to accept this policy, to destroy industrial society and prevent the world's poor peoples from ever gaining scientific and political power.

Thatcher, Tickell, and the Climate Hoax

Before there were Tony Blair and Gordon Brown, there was the “green grocer's daughter,” Maggie Thatcher, who was a pivotal early political pusher of the science hoax with genocidal intent.

At the very same moment that she enacted her financier sponsors' brutal privatization and deregulation schemes, they played up her Conservative affinity with U.S. President Ronald Reagan—and her bachelor's degree in chemistry as a science credential to peddle the global warming scam from her perch at 10 Downing Street.

Sir Crispin Tickell, Britain's ambassador to the United

Nations 1987-1990, counselled Thatcher to adopt the global warming hoax, and such related scams as the ozone hole, as the mission of her regime in the late 1980s. Tickell wrote her speeches on these subjects.

Thatcher poured funds for the study of Global Warming into the British Meteorological Office, headed by the Lothian-style “evangelical Christian,” Oxford teacher John Houghton.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was set up in 1988, with John Houghton chairing its scientific assessment office. Thatcher inserted the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research into John Houghton's Meteorological office in 1990. The IPCC reports, issued under Houghton's direction, would serve as the authority for Al Gore and other spokesmen for the global warming hoax. Houghton, a trustee of the Shell (Oil) Foundation, would help Prince Philip teach American Christians about genocidal anti-industrialism as “Creation Care.”

Pressing the “new,” but actually centuries-old agenda, in August 1988, Prince Philip told the Deutsche Press Agentur, “In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.” In his foreword to Fleur Cowle's 1987 book, *If I were an Animal*, Prince Philip had written, “What would be [an animal's] feelings toward the human species whose population explosion had denied it somewhere to exist? . . . I must confess that I am tempted to ask for reincarnation as a particularly deadly virus.”

On April 26, 1991, Prince Philip himself presided, as Sir Crispin Tickell delivered the annual lecture at St. George's Chapel at Windsor Castle, where the British monarchy worships. Sir Crispin attacked the Christian worldview of man in God's image, and asserted, “There is not the slightest prospect that living standards worldwide could rise to those of industrial countries,” since the “carrying capacity” of the Earth would only support 2.5 billion people—the whites?—at that living standard.

In 1990, Sir Crispin Tickell took over the presidency of the Washington-based Climate Institute. From cover provided by that putative American organization, Sir Crispin's Climate Institute immediately “organized climate change briefings for heads of state and cabinet ministers in 22 nations, and helped lay the groundwork for the signing of the Framework Convention on Climate Change in Rio de Janeiro in June 1992” (according to the boast on the Climate Institute website).

With Crispin Tickell at the UN, and then at the Climate Institute, the “green” Thatcherites bombarded the new George H.W. Bush Administration with the climate dogma. When Bush Sr. took initial steps to comply, Sen. Al Gore hectored the Administration for not going fast enough; more steps ensued, and more hectoring.

At present, the planet is in fact being destroyed, by wars and globalist plundering, supervised by the alliance between British Prime Minister Tony Blair, and George W. Bush, who became President through Al Gore's disastrous misleadership



International Institute for Sustainable Development

Leading the charge on the specific Global Warming hoax was Prime Minister Maggie Thatcher, who dragged President George Bush, Sr. in her wake. Writing her speeches was the forthright genocidalist Sir Crispin Tickell (inset).



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

of the Democrats. Gore himself, now an official advisor to the British government, has been thrust upon the stage with their Global Warming fraud, to break the will of Americans to resist.

Satan Takes a Bow

In an interview on BBC radio, broadcast April 7, 2004, Sir Crispin Tickell explained his views rather frankly, giving listeners an insight into how this had all happened, and what the world could expect from the Global Warming project.

Here is his personal background:

Tickell: The secretary of the London Zoo . . . happened to be a cousin. . . . And this same cousin made me a fellow of the London Zoo . . . when I was seven or eight years old, which meant I was able to go in the mornings and play with the chimps on Sundays. . . .

BBC: Now you come from an Anglo-Irish family. Your great-great-grandfather was Thomas H. Huxley—Aldous Huxley was in your background too. . . .

Tickell: Well T.H. Huxley was in many respects one of my heroes. Aldous was as well. In fact, I think if anybody had any influence on me during my adolescence, it was Aldous Huxley. [He] spoke about the secular versus the spiritual power. And I [was] staggered by what I heard, because he illuminated every aspect of this immensely complicated and still continuing problem. . . .

On directing the thinking of dull underlings:

BBC: You went to Westminster School, you went to Christ Church Oxford, you had a first class honours degree, you went to the Coldstream Guards. This is main line, heart-of-the-establishment background. . . .

Tickell: . . . I've always found that in order to persuade other people of something you have to speak their vocabulary. And so, whether I am an advisor to the Prime Minister, or successive Prime Ministers as I have been, I think it's very important that you should be able to express your thoughts in language that they can understand. . . .

[Later the interviewer returned to this topic.]

BBC: You persuaded Mrs. Thatcher to go to the United Nations and address them about the planet.

Tickell: I think I persuaded Mrs. Thatcher of the importance of climate change, and she took it up in a famous speech at the Royal Society in 1988. She came to the United Nations in 1989 and gave a speech on it. She attended the World Climate Conference with me in attendance in 1990. . . . And being the only scientist in her cabinet, she indeed felt that this was something that she could contribute. Indeed, I remember an all-day meeting

with her ministers, in which we discussed nothing else. So she grasped this issue. . . .

Tickell alluded with pride to his role in manipulating the Boomer Generation to crack up the structure of industrial society. It is eerily reminiscent of the satanic British strategist Jeremy Bentham back in 1789, steering the French Revolution to anarchist catastrophe:

BBC: Things were happening across Europe in the late '60s, and indeed over in the States. But Paris was somehow a particular point of the rebellions and student revolts. . . . How did you experience that?

Tickell: Well, I was the political officer in the British Embassy in Paris, and my job was to report on French politics. And so I had to know all the politicians, and of course the events of 1968 were critical in all respects. And I witnessed all those events from within. Harold Wilson was then Prime Minister, and he required a report to be sent back every night, and I remember walking down the street which led to the Embassy, usually at about 11 o'clock at night, climbing over the barricades, going into the Embassy, sending off my report on the day's proceedings. . . .

So you saw the cracking up, huge fissures developing in a very artificial structure. And looking round at our own society you realize how fragile in many respects it still is, and how something else could pull the rug from underneath, as for example, after any other form of intellectual or other earthquake. . . .

How he decided between Eugenics, and Climate Change, as a "science" career:

BBC: While you were leading this high profile life, . . .

your book *Climatic Change and World Affairs* was published in 1977. . . . Where did this book come from?

Tickell: When I went to Harvard on a sabbatical fellowship . . . I had before me two choices. One was to look into the question of human genetics, and whether there was anything in the way in which elites everywhere were formed, and the other was to look at climate. I had sight of some CIA papers, which showed that, at that time, the CIA were considering whether they should try and induce or withhold rainfall as a weapon of foreign policy. And I thought this was deeply shocking, so I thought it was worth looking at. . . .

On the value of mankind's hopes and ideals:

BBC: . . . [As] a leading member of the tribe . . . what do you believe the destiny of the planet and of mankind is?

Tickell: Well, mankind is a very small part of an enormous natural system. You can argue that in some respects, the proliferation of humans is a malignant maladaptation. . . . I think it's very useful that people should be able to take a space-man's view, and look at the Earth, and this very small animal species, which is proliferating upon it. . . . [Every] human being in a sense is a walking zoo. We are composed of bacteria. . . . We are indeed living zoos, walking zoos, talking to other walking, living zoos who are the other animals, or indeed the plants. . . .

[Since] the last Ice Age . . . there have been something like 30 urban societies, all of which have collapsed. . . . [We] are the inheritors of a civilisation, which is probably as feeble and transient as the other ones. . . .

For a world green police:

Tickell: When I was in the [United Nations] Security Council, I remember once proposing that we should set up [an] arrangement by which if one country damaged the interests of another through abuse of the environment, it would be a case that could be brought to the Security Council. But this caused a number of people's hair to stand on end, and nothing came of it. But I'd like to see . . . the development of a World Environment Agency to balance out the World Trade Organization. . . .

What is Mankind?

Tickell: Life on Earth will come to an end, because the Sun will eventually expand, and the Earth will be pushed out of its present orbit, further away. And the Sun . . . will absorb nearly everything there is and perhaps in another galaxy, in another solar system, in another star system, life may arise again. Of course, we don't know why life ever started. . . .

I think humanity, whatever it is, has a limited life. . . . As I said, we're a bit of a maladaptation, because we are taking the niches occupied by other living creatures, we are destroying other living creatures for our own purposes, and we are therefore rather like some species that has got out of control. It's like some disease that has taken over. . . .

Is That Hitler In Your Garden? No, That's Al Gore

by Dean Andromidas

When Al Gore was Vice President, living at the mansion in the park of U.S. Naval Observatory, he embarked on a project to restore the grounds, especially the gardens and forest. An article describing this project at the time Gore was running for President, stated,

"Gore restored the rich diversity and lush beauty of his own backyard by yanking out *foreign exotica in favor of native plants*" (emphasis added; www.homegardens.move.com). Treating plants as if they were unwanted foreigners from the "mixed races of the South" or other unwanted immigrants under orders from Gore, the gardeners "dug out all exotic plants," including such "invasive" immigrants as English ivy and garlic mustard from Europe and Kudzu from Japan.

Gore's interest in gardens comes from the same fascist roots as his lying CO₂ campaign that would have made Joseph Goebbels blush.

Blood and Soil

A series of articles written by two German professors of landscape and gardening, Drs. Gert Gröning and Joachim Wolschke-Bulmahn*, have exposed that the trend towards "ecological goodness" of so-called "nature gardens" or ecological gardens, free of "foreign exotica in favor of native plants," has its roots deep in blood-and-soil Romanticism that became the ideological foundation of the Nazi movement.

The promoters of "nature gardens" at the turn of the century in Germany, later, under the Nazis, became directly involved in the drafting of the notorious "Generalplan Ost," whose aim was to eliminate the unwanted Poles, Jews, and Slavs in the captured territories, and restore a so-called natural Germanic landscape for the German settlers. In addition to the removal of all non-Germans, all non-German plants were removed and replaced with what were at the time called "native plants." Those who began this revival of nature and ecological gardens in the 1970s and 1980s, as part of development of the fascist Green movement, referred directly to these very same Nazi gardeners who were involved in Generalplan Ost.

*Joachim Wolschke Bulmahn: "The Search for 'Ecological Goodness' among Garden Historians;" Gert Gröning: "The Native Plant Enthusiasm: Ecological Panacea or Xenophobia;" Gert Gröning: "Ideological Aspects of Nature Garden Concepts in Late Twentieth Century Germany."

The only problem was, as the above two German professors have documented, that not only did they fail to write about the Nazi and racist ideology that went into these ideas, but they failed to mention the fact that these gardeners were card-carrying members of the Nazi Party. The two authors have not been able to get any of their well-documented articles published in Germany. Those that have been published in the U.S. have created a firestorm in the field, not to mention a lot of hate mail.

The authors point out that the very term “ecology” was coined by the German naturalist Ernst Haeckel in 1866. Haeckel was the principal promoter of the bestial theories of Charles Darwin in Germany, and was deployed against the tradition of Alexander Humboldt. There have been entire books relating the theories of Haeckel to those of the Nazis (cf. *Ernst Haeckel and the German Monist League: The Volkish Origins of National Socialism*).

Putting aside the fact that to eliminate “foreign plants” from Germany would mean the elimination of the potato and grapevine, the Aryan struggle for the true nature garden was every bit as brutish as the Nazi racism, bigotry, and brutality toward non-Aryans.

The German landscape architect Albert Kraemer wrote in 1936, Germans “still lack gardens that are race-specific, that have their origins in nationality and landscape, in blood and soil. Only our knowledge of the laws of the blood, and the spiritually inherited property and our knowledge of the conditions of the home soil and its plant world (plant sociology) enable and oblige us to design blood-and-soil rooted gardens.”

Gröning and Wolschke-Bulmahn write that a team of Nazi-era Saxon botanists equated “their fight against foreign plants with the fight of Nazi Germany against other nationals especially ‘against the plague of Bolshevism.’ The team demanded ‘a war of extermination’ against *impatiens parviflora*, a little herb that grows in lightly shaded areas in forests.” They quote these botanists again: “As with the fight against Bolshevism, our entire Occidental culture is at stake, so with the fight against this Mongolian invader, an essential element of this culture, namely, the beauty of our home forest” is at stake.

Key Nazi gardeners who have been cited by modern “nature gardeners” searching for “ecological goodness,” as Wolschke Bulmahn has written, include Willy Lange (1864-1941), who adopted his idea of a nature garden from the so-called English Garden or nature park of the 19th Century. Writing on garden architecture theory, Lange wrote in 1927 of the difference between the “metal state” of the South Alpine “Mediterranean mixed races” and their geometrical architectonic gardens, in contrast to the “reawakened race feeling” of the “originally unified Northern-Alpine Nordic race,” as expressed in the “nature garden” which necessarily draws its inspiration from the “natural” German landscape and its “native plants.” Lange accused those Germans who preferred the Renaissance-style geometrical gardens as having “per-

ished in the racial swamps of the South.”

Another is H.F. Wiepkung-Jurgensmann who helped draft a proposed law on rules of Nazi landscape gardening for the Third Reich in 1944, “Only the existence of a race-specific environment . . . produces within us the best creative forces.” Under National Socialism he collaborated with war criminal Konrad Meyer, a professor of agronomy and a member of the SS, who headed the commission which drafted the Generalplan Ost. Meyer was put on trial at Nuremberg; although acquitted of crimes against humanity, he was found guilty of membership in a criminal organization. Nonetheless, both enjoyed successful post-war professional careers as the University of Hannover.

U.S. Collaborators

This form of race gardening had its collaborators in the United States in the 1920s and 1930s. One was Jens Jensen, who was among the originators of the so-called “prairie school.” In 1937, Jens wrote that the American garden of the prairie school shall “express the spirit of America and therefore shall be free of foreign character as far as possible. . . . The Latin and the Oriental crept and creeps more and more over our land, coming from the South, which is settled by Latin people, and also from other centers of mixed masses of immigrants. The Germanic character of our race, of our cities and settlement was overgrown by foreign character. Latin spirit has spoiled a lot and still spoils things ever day.”

Since he was participating in the landscaping of state and national parks, Franklin D. Roosevelt’s Secretary of Interior Harold L. Ickes, denounced Jensen’s anti-Semitism and racial views. This has not stopped the fact that Jensen is still very popular among current ecological gardeners, although they always fail to report the racist and anti-Semitic foundation of his ideas.

In 1983, the 21st Congress of the International Federation of Landscape Architects wanted “nature to become the law,” and passed a resolution demanding that, “All humans need to be instructed that they are part of nature without mercy and without chance for escape, and above all are subject to her laws. . . .” You can be sure they were not discussing Leibnizian natural law.

By contrast, Gröning quotes Humboldt, who in *The Kosmos* counters this blood-and-soil approach to the study of nature: “Deceived, we believed to receive from the environment (*Aussenwelt*) what we have put into ourselves.” Later on in his remarks on “Stimuli for Nature Studies,” he wrote, “This is why it belongs to the most beautiful fruit of the European education of nations that man has enabled himself to create part of the pleasures of nature nearly everywhere he feels threatened by deprivation, by means of cultivating and grouping exotic plants, by the magic of landscape painting, and via the power of stimulating words, which otherwise is granted by real experience on faraway, often dangerous journeys through the inner parts of continents.”

A Chronology of the Global Warming Swindle

by Marcia Merry Baker

The current global warming mania is the consequence of a heavily-funded, more than 30-year-long swindle, launched by leading Anglo-Dutch oligarchs, their London and Wall Street bankers and raw material cartel bosses, corrupted pseudo-scientists, and Counterculture zealots, to sell genocide, on the basis of an Armageddonist scare story about ozone holes, melting polar ice caps, and dying pregnant polar bears.

The seeds of the present hoax were laid in the immediate post-World War II period, when leading British oligarchs like Julian Huxley, Bertrand Russell, Sir Peter Scott, and the British and Dutch Royal Consorts, Prince Philip and Prince Bernhard, launched the ecology/conservation movement as an explicit successor to the Nazi-tainted eugenics movement. Subsequently, the currently unraveling global warming hoax, as detailed in the chronology below, was launched as part of the 1970s, post-Bretton Woods System drive to deindustrialize and depopulate the planet, in league with allied predatory speculators who revamped the world monetary system to loot the world.

With the drive in recent years to create a new global financial bubble, based on the global-warming hoax of “carbon emission swaps,” the two coordinated elements of the oligarchical assault on the nation-state system have fused into one final mad leap into a New Dark Age.

1945-1969

1946: Sir Julian Huxley, Vice President of Britain’s Eugenics Society from 1937 to 1944, became Director-General of the new United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO).

1948: The Conservation Foundation was established in Washington, D.C., by leaders of the Hitler-era European-based eugenics and nature societies. Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, in particular, played a leading role. The first CF director was Fairfield Osborn (1887-1969), whose father Henry Fairfield Osborn, was a leader of the 1920s U.S. Eugenics Society. The book, *Our Plundered Planet*, published in London (Faber & Faber), was released in 1948 by Fairfield Osborn.

1948: The International Union for the Protection of Na-

ture was founded near Paris.

1952: The Population Council was founded in the United States, at a meeting including Fairfield Osborn, and his relative, Frederick Henry Osborn, the eugenicist; principal funding was from the John D. Rockefeller Foundation.

1953: The book *The Limits of the Earth*, by Fairfield Osborn was released.

1956: The International Union for the Protection of Nature was renamed International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN—The World Conservation Union), which in the 1970s became a keystone of global action.

1961: The World Wildlife Fund is formed, Sept. 11, in Morges, Switzerland by Sir Julian Huxley and others including Britain’s Prince Philip, devoted to using “ecology” to cull the human herd. WWF’s first president is Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, succeeded in 1976 by John Loudon, former CEO of Royal Dutch Shell, and chairman of Shell Oil Co. Prince Philip served as president from 1981 to 1996. The WWF office in Washington, D.C. adjoined the Conservation Foundation, whose new president in 1961 was William K. Reilly, a protégé of the genocidal environmentalists Russell Train and Maurice Strong.

1962: *Silent Spring* by Rachel Carson, was released, warning of global pollution from DDT and other chemicals.

1968: UNESCO (Julian Huxley) Biosphere Conference was held in Paris, titled, “The Intergovernmental Conference of Experts on the Scientific Basis for Rational Use and Conservation of the Resources of the Biosphere.” It was a turning point in the furtherance of supra-government action to limit population in the name of the planetary resource base.


1968: Club of Rome was founded in April, to promote the thesis that economic growth and population could not continue indefinitely because of the limits of resources.

1970-1979

1970: Earth Day, in April, was launched as an international environmental quasi-religious event, under the auspices of Maurice Strong, Robert O. Anderson and other “corporate” environmentalists.

1971: On Aug. 15, President Richard Nixon announced the decoupling of the U.S. Dollar from a gold-pegged fixed exchange rate, thus completing the breakup of the Bretton Woods System of Franklin Roosevelt, and ushering in decades of unbridled speculation and global deindustrialization and depopulation. The Nixon announcement, engineered by George Shultz, Henry Kissinger, and Paul Volcker, was part of a London-led assault on the FDR monetary system, an assault that had begun with British Prime Minister Harold Wilson’s decoupling of the pound sterling from gold in the mid-1960s.

1972: The “Blueprint for Survival” manifesto was signed by 36 of Britain’s nature activists, and was published in *The*



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

The depopulation lobby ran a mass propaganda campaign starting in the 1970s, to get the Baby Boomers to support their cause. Here, a Boomer addresses an Earth Day celebration in New York City in 1980.

Ecologist, Teddy Goldsmith's journal. It warned of the "breakdown of society and irreversible disruption of life-supporting systems on this planet," and called for a steady-state society.

1972: The book *Limits to Growth* was released by the Club of Rome, sales of which came later to 30 million copies, making it the most-sold environmentalist book in history. It was written by Dennis and Donella Forester, in coordination with Dennis Meadows, based on computerized global modeling. It came to the conclusion that within 100 years, the limits to growth on Earth would be reached, because of present population, food, resources, and pollution trends.

1972: In Stockholm, Sweden, a United Nations Conference on the Human Environment, was chaired by Maurice Strong of Canada, who became Executive Director of the newly formed United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). A simultaneous Environment Forum was a major step in recognizing the role of NGOs—non-governmental organizations, and set a precedent for non-governmental international meetings.

1974: The first United Nations World Population Conference, was held in Bucharest, Romania, with 135 nations participating.

1974: On Dec. 10, then-U.S. Secretary of State Henry Kissinger writes the National Security Study Memorandum 200 (NSSM-200), "Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security Overseas Interests," citing limited resources as the pretext for targetting 13 developing countries for drastic population reduction.

1977: The book *Climatic Change and World Affairs*, was

published (Harvard: 1991; Pergamon: London, 1978) by Sir Crispin Tickell, serving in many capacities for the British government over the 1950s through the 1990s.

1979: March 16. The movie "China Syndrome" was released worldwide with great fanfare. The story revolved around safety coverups at a U.S. nuclear power plant, and promoted the idea of nuclear Armageddon—millions of deaths, if nuclear energy were to continue.

1979: On March 28, the partial meltdown incident of the Three Mile Island nuclear plant in Pennsylvania, became the capstone on the globalist "environmentalist" anti-population drive to stop the development of nuclear power, which also stopped the prospects for new water resources, etc. In the ensuing period, dozens of orders for new nuclear plants were cancelled, and unfinished projects suspended.

1979: The World Climate Conference on the "greenhouse effect" held in Geneva, Switzerland, concluded that the increased build-up of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere required international action to mitigate. Its sponsor was the World Meteorological Organization, with certain British scientists as the leading advocates.

1979: In May, Margaret Thatcher became Prime Minister. Among the first actions of her new government, was to reject the recommendation of a Commission on the Environment to take sanitation precautions for the livestock feed supply; they feared a spread of then-prevalent sheep TSE—transmissible spongiform encephalopathy. By 1986, the dreaded species jump to cows had occurred, called BSE—mad cow disease, and continued to epidemic proportions under Thatcher's no-government-regulation regime.



UN Photo/Mark Garten

Canadian Maurice Strong, a close associate of Gore, has been a leading player in developing the Global Warming hoax, especially the swindle side, through his official positions, such as his role as head of the UN Environmental Programme. He is on the board of the Chicago Climate Exchange.

1980-1989

1980: Thatcher began a “free-market” program of privatizing government assets and state-run industries.

1980: The “World Conservation Strategy” was released by Maurice Strong’s UNEP, the World Wildlife Fund, and the International Union for Conserving Nature. It called for “global coordinated efforts” for “sustainable development”—the first time that this latter term is used in an international document. It called for international “will and determination” to limit human activity that was endangering the planet.

1980: *The Global 2000 report to the President* was presented to Pres. Jimmy Carter. It got wide publicity for demanding “vigorous and determined new initiatives” to deal with environmental deterioration of “alarming proportions.”

1982: The UN “Charter for Nature” was passed by the UN General Assembly. It was prepared by the International Union for Conservation of Nature.

1982: The World Resources Institute was founded, in association with the United Nations, as the “authority” on world data gathering on pollution, population, water, and all resources. Maurice Strong was on the Board of Directors. Al Gore joined the Board in 2005.

1983-90: Sir John Houghton headed the British Meteorological Office, furthering the global warming hoax, and briefing Margaret Thatcher on the “science.”

1984: Thatcher defeated a 12-month coal strike, during which time coal had been discussed as a fossil fuel needing to be phased out for “environmental” reasons.

1985: British scientists announced their discovery that an ozone “hole” exists over the Arctic, which needs to be les-

ened by mitigating ozone-destroying chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs).

1985: The U.S. World Wildlife Fund merged with the Conservation Foundation, whose head, William K. Reilly, then headed the new group, Worldwide Fund for Nature.

1985: The World Resources Institute organized the first international meeting on the effects of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases on climate.

1986: The World Resources Institute released its first annual “World Resources” book, which features a section on global warming, thereafter covered yearly in detail.

1988: On Sept. 27 Thatcher issued the clarion call for reducing human activity because of global warming (see accompanying article).

1988: The United Nations commissioned the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, which became the leading voice for insisting on the danger of global warming.

1989: The new George Bush government pressed forward with assertions about the danger of global warming, which had been started under the auspices of the Thatcher government. William K. Reilly of the Worldwide Fund for Nature becomes head of the Environmental Protection Agency.

1990-1999

1990: Thatcher opened the Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research. Its specialty is super-computer models of global climate change, and it became a center for the science concoctions of the IPCC.

1990: The Climate Change Research Act was enacted by the Bush government. It furthered the global warming campaign in the United States.

1990: The Clean Air Act was enacted by the Bush government. It created ways to tax emissions and other mechanisms both to curb economic activity, and also to further eventual profiteering from these hoaxes. Natural gas got a big boost as a clean air fuel.

1990: CERES was founded, as a “national network of investors, environmental organizations, and other public interest groups working with companies and investors to address sustainability challenges such as global climate change” (from www.ceres.org). Launched the “Global Reporting Network,” as an international standard for “green investment.”

1990: Maurice Strong, Secretary General of UNCED, appointed Stephen Schmidheiny as his adviser for business and industry, and the latter then created the WBCSD (next item).

1991: On April 12, the World Business Council for Sustainable Development was established, “to get business involved in the Rio Earth Summit. . .” (www.wbcsd.org).

1991: *Caring for the Earth: A Strategy for Sustainable Living*, was released as a sequel to the 1980 “World Conservation Strategy” by the Worldwide Fund for Nature, the International Union for the Conservation of Nature, and

the UN Environmental Programme. It set forth 132 actions required to halt the destruction of the earth's capacity to support life.

1992: *Earth in the Balance* was released by Al Gore, elected as Vice President that year. He remaining active on the global warming issue, though at a subdued level, at the request of Administration handlers.

1992: Rio conference, the "Earth Summit." The U.S. delegation is led by William K. Reilly, formerly Bush's EPA director, and thence WWF—U.S. office, director again. Discussion included global warming, and a detailed plan of action was issued, *Agenda 21*.

1992: The UNCTAD report on international emissions trading was released. It was coauthored by Dr. Richard L. Sandor, a Director of the Chicago Board of Trade, and specialist in designing instruments for speculation.

1994: The UN International Conference on Population and Development, was held in Cairo, in September. The meeting adopted more far-ranging support for population reduction than any previous commitment.

1997: The Kyoto Protocol came out of the December meeting in Japan, which was the third meeting of the Conference of Parties to the UN Climate Change Convention. The landmark agreement was to reduce global emissions of greenhouse gases.

1999: The International Emissions Trading Association was formed, "from the UNCTAD (UN Conference on Trade and Development) policy forums on greenhouse gas emissions trading. It was started through the cooperation of UNCTAD and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD)." (INTA website)

2000-2007

2002: The UN World Summit on Sustainable Development was held in Johannesburg, South Africa, to assess the global situation. Many nations balked at submitting to NGO dominance.

2002: U.S.A.—National Commission on Energy Policy was formed, with 20 members, including Wm. K. Reilly and James Woolsey.

2002: July 14-17. Oxford University hosted Forum 2002, a global warming event that drew in American Evangelical Christians, including Rev. Richard Cizik, Vice President of the National Association of Evangelicals. Cizik is transformed into a "born again" environmentalist under the personal tutelage of Sir John Houghton, Margaret Thatcher's Meteorology Office chief.

2003: October. UK Carbon Trust, a quasi-governmental organization in Britain, launched its "Carbon Management Programme." ICF International was subsequently commissioned to push for de-carbonizing.

2003: In November, the Institutional Investor Summit on Climate Risk took place at the UN, at which the INCR was launched—Investor Network on Climate Risk. Institutional

members manage over \$3 trillion in assets.

2003: The Chicago Climate Exchange was started up as a pilot project to trade units of greenhouse gas credits. The CCX is owned by the Climate Exchange Plc group, which in turn owns the European Climate Exchange. Maurice Strong is on the CCX Board. CCX founder and chairman is Dr. Richard L. Sandor, considered a father of the futures trade.

2003: The Northeast states commit to an electricity CO₂ trade bloc. New York gets nine other states to commit.

2003-2007: Senators John McCain (R) and Joseph Lieberman (D) filed carbon-cap-and-trade bills.

2004: The John Ray Initiative, chaired by Sir John Houghton and the Shell Foundation hosted "Science, Ethics and Christian Belief," which further linked American right-wing Evangelical Christians into the global warming hoax.

2004: In April. Generation Investment Management, was created by Al Gore and David Blood, headquartered in London and Washington, D.C. Three of the five founding partners were from Goldman Sachs.

2004: In the United States, in December. the National Commission on Energy Policy (NCEP) report, "Ending the Energy Stalemate: A Bipartisan Strategy to meet America's Energy Challenge" was released. Three co-chairmen—Wm. K. Reilly, John Rowe—chairman of Exelon, the mega-utility owning 30% of all U.S. nuclear plants; and John Holdren, now head of AAAS, who is very anti-nuclear. Reilly said: "We propose mandatory [carbon] intensity-based limits."

2005: In January, the European Union Emissions Trading Scheme started up in Europe with 25 EU member nations.

2005: March. Rev. Jim Ball's Evangelical Environmental Network sponsored an American tour by Sir John Houghton, including a briefing to the National Association of Evangelicals. The Evangelical Environmental Network included such fundamentalist groups as Youth With a Mission (YWAM), World Vision, and the Wisconsin-based Au Sable Institute, which is the American affiliate of Houghton's John Ray Initiative, and which co-sponsored the Forum 2002 at Oxford.

2005: On May 10, the 2nd Institutional Investor Summit on Climate Risk took place at the UN in NYC, Al Gore spoke, as chairman of the Generation Investment Management. The summit was held by CERES/INCR Investment Network on Climate Risk (INCR).

2005: In June, Paul Wolfowitz became head of World Bank. He started work on global carbon trade. (more below)

2005: Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research, Exeter, U.K., hosted an international conference, "Avoiding Dangerous Climate Change."

2005: July 21 Sir John Houghton testifies on Global Warming before the U.S. Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, having been invited to come from England by Chairman Pete Domenici and Ranking Democrat Jeff Bingaman.

2006: In April, at Oxford, in the UK. Al Gore and David Blood addressed an annual conference held by the Skoll Centre at Oxford University, on the topic of the benefits of green investments. The Skoll Centre is funded by Jeff Skoll, E-Bay billionaire, whose company Participant Productions, financed Gore's 2006 "An Inconvenient Truth."

2006: In May, "An Inconvenient Truth" docudrama of Gore is released in U.S.A.; over the next seven months, it was released in 36 nations. His book of same name was also released over the Summer.

2006: In midsummer, televangelist Pat Robertson warned viewers that global warming could destroy the Earth.

2006: October. Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger issued Executive Order S-20-06 assigning his newly-created "Air Resources Board to develop a multi-sector, market-based compliance system that could permit trading between the European Union Trading System and the Northeast Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative [10 state entity] and others." He commissioned the creation of a "market Advisory Committee of national and international experts to advise on implementing this (March 15 testimony to House Energy Committee by Schwarzenegger's Environmental Secretary Linda Adams). Arnie's chief environmental advisor is Jonathan Pershing of the WRI.

2006: In March, the CERES report "Corporate Governance and Climate Change: Making the Connection," was issued.

2006: In July. World Bank head Wolfowitz merged two departments (Environmentally and Socially Sustainable Development, and Infrastructure), into one, under which a "Carbon Finance Organization," was set up. It is managed by the Bank's Director of Environment, James Warren Evans. He and his Carbon Finance agency joined up with the International Emissions Trading Organization to run an annual Carbon Exposition in Cologne, Germany. In 2007 it will take place on May 2-4.

2006: In August, a CERES report "From Risk to Opportunity: How Insurers Can Proactively and Profitably Manage Climate Change," was issued.

2006: The European Carbon Fund (ECF) was started up in Europe, based in Luxembourg, for carbon trading, formed by "bankers and insurers." Three "expert" advisers—William K. Reilly; Brice Lalonde, longtime French Environmental Minister, head of the French chapter of Friends of the Earth, etc.; and Enrico "Chicco" Testa, of Italy. Testa is member of the board of Rothschild Italy; member of European Advisory Board of The Carlyle Group; part of Board of Directors of Lloyd Adriatico (Allianz Group).

N.B. Testa was Chairman of the organizers for the Nov. 11-15 World Energy Council meeting in Rome, the 20th World Energy Congress. It takes place every 3 years, involving 90 countries, "The Energy Future In An Interdependent World."

2006: Oct. 30, *Stern Review* was released in the UK, by Sir Nicholas Stern, on the topic of the economic response to climate change. At the press conference releasing the report, British Treasury Minister Gordon Brown announced that the Blair government had "hired" Al Gore to be an advisor on global warming. As part of the deal, the British government intended to make the showing of Gore's "An Inconvenient Truth" mandatory in all British secondary schools.

2007: On Jan. 22, The United States Climate Action Program (USCAP) announced its formation in Washington, D.C. It is comprised of 10 major companies.

2007: On Feb. 13-15, the GLOBE event took place in Washington, D.C. (Global Legislators Organization for a Balanced Environment, a British-founded group.) McCain spoke, pitching his bill calling for limits to greenhouse gases, and cap-and-trade of CO₂. Nicholas Stern, David Miliband, Environmental Minister of the UK, and many others spoke. The latter promoted emissions trading (and taxes and regulation, etc.)

2007: On Feb. 14. Paul Wolfowitz spoke on global warming in Washington D.C., claiming that developed nations can account for some \$100 billion in flow to the underdeveloped sector, in carbon-reducing schemes. Wolfowitz pledged to push for a \$200 billion global-carbon-swaps trading system within a year. The World Bank operates a Carbon Finance Unit (CFU); see www.carbonfinance.org.

2007: On Feb. 15. the Royal Dutch Shell CEO called for global carbon cap-and-trade. Jeroen Ven der Veer, Chief Exec: "The best news would be to get more cap and trade schemes around the world and merge them post 2012 (when the Kyoto Protocol on curbing greenhouse gas emissions expires)," he told Reuters. "For more impact, the system must be more global."

2007: On March 15. the OECD and the World Resources Institute held an event, "From Kyoto to Chicago" in Washington, D.C., which plugged carbon cap-and-trade. Brice Lalonde (adviser to European Carbon Fund) was featured, and Schwarzenegger's Jonathan Pershing (WRI).

2007: March 19. INCR lobbied Congress.

2007: March 20. USCAP members Duke Energy and PNM Resources reiterated their support for cap-and-trade of emissions, to House Energy Committee hearing.

2007: March 20. The "Safe Climate Act" was introduced into the House by Henry Waxman. It has provision for cap-and-trade of emissions.

2007: March 21. Al Gore told Joint Hearing of House Science and Energy Committees, "Put a price on carbon." In response to a question from Roscoe Bartlett (R-Md.), Gore said, "As soon as carbon has a price, you are going to see a wave [of investment] in it." There will be "unchained investment." Gore said that Morgan Stanley last week just concluded a transaction involving CO₂ in 2012—and there still isn't even a mandatory system yet, he glowed.

For the Good of the Locusts: Gabriel Misuses His Office

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

The following article, written by the Chairwoman of the Civil Rights Solidarity Movement, is going out as a mass leaflet in Germany. It was translated from German.

Our school children have a right to be protected from psychological manipulation and fear campaigns carried out for political reasons. Therefore, I appeal to the schoolmasters and teachers in Germany not to participate in the campaign of Environment Minister Sigmar Gabriel, who wants to put free DVDs of Al Gore's movie "An Inconvenient Truth," at the disposal of the schools. This completely unscientific movie is part of a large-scale campaign by hedge funds and banks that are trying, by creating a deliberate panic, to make trade in CO₂ emissions certificates attractive to unsuspecting little investors.

Al Gore, who is himself a board member of the locust fund Generation Investment Management, and was formerly an honorary board member of Metropolitan West Financial, is totally scientifically incompetent, and personally unbelievable. According to the website Scorecard, the zinc mine on Gore's property, from which, up until 2002, he brought in \$20,000 rent every year, totalling some \$570,000, is among the most environmentally damaging in the United States. And Gore's monthly power bill, thanks to the heating costs for his swimming pool, matches the *yearly* power costs for an average American household.

Gore's movie, which Environment Minister Gabriel now expects pupils to watch, puts forward the unsustainable thesis that climate changes allegedly caused by human activities, such as industrial production, auto emissions, and so forth, could be reversed. Among serious scientists, there is unanimity that this is a politically motivated argument, not a scientific one. The Earth's continual climate changes, which occur over hundreds of thousands of years, between ice ages, warm peri-

ods, interglacials, and intermediate warm periods, depend almost 100% on the cycles of the Sun and the combined orbits of Earth and the other planets, on the angle of the Sun's rays hitting Earth and on cosmic rays and cloud formation—i.e., on processes over which mankind hasn't the slightest impact.

The British TV station *Channel 4* in its anti-Gore film "The Great Global Warming Swindle," has presented extensive documentation of what economic, political, and ideological interests stand behind the campaign on alleged human-created climate change. If schoolmasters and teachers want to come to an understanding about this topic, they must at least watch this movie along with Gore's movie, and then come to a conscientious decision about whether they want to make themselves into servants of financial interests and ideologues of this kind.

Teachers are morally bound to keep children and youth away from injury. But the Gore movie, apparently intentionally, has catastrophic effects on the souls of young people, who are very open emotionally, and malleable on such existential questions. It is basically criminal to drive children and youth into a state of desperation with such a fear campaign. Hence, a piano teacher in Frankfurt reported that all her students no longer wanted to have piano instruction, after the *Bildzeitung* had a huge, blaring headline on the front page saying, "Our Planet Has Only 13 Years." It wasn't worth it for them to learn to play the piano, if everything would be gone so soon. One could describe this fear campaign as spiritual child-abuse.

An Eco-Dictatorship?

The movie on British TV *Channel 4* alludes to yet another consequence of the Global Warming hoax, which would be the implementation of an eco-dictatorship, such as, for exam-

ple, that which has been demanded by British Environment Minister David Miliband, who wants to reduce CO₂ emissions by 60% by the year 2050. For the African continent and the developing countries in general, this means nothing less than genocide, through which every bit of economic development would be paralyzed, and the population potential of the Earth would sink by several billion people. For the notorious supporters of population reduction, this would obviously be a welcome by-product.

In that respect, you must bear in mind that the ecology movement today is simply presenting itself in new clothing, since its historical tradition lies with the Conservative Revolution. The voluminous material in the book *How Green Were the Nazis?* is very informative. In fact, there are immense parallels between the Third Reich's Nature Protection Law of 1935 and the eco-dictatorship proposed today by the ecology movement. Armin Mohler, the former head of the Siemens Foundation, describes, in his scandalous 1949 book *The Conservative Revolution*, the appearance of which produced an uproar because it whitewashed the National Socialists, how a large portion of the conservative currents of the Conservative Revolution belonged to the Gaea cult and shared its cyclical worldview. Armin Mohler was the secretary of Ernst Juengers, who himself belonged to this tradition.

The head of the Board of Directors of Siemens A.G., Dr. Klaus Kleinfeld, on March 18, under the patronage of Minister Gabriel, issued an invitation to an "education matinee," attended by over 700 prominent personalities who came to see the Gore movie. Invited for the ensuing discussion, among others, was Dennis Meadows, who played an important role in the early phase of the paradigm shift initiated by the ecology movement at the beginning of the 1970s, with the book *Limits to Growth*, which he co-authored. Meadows would later, of course, admit that the main argument of the book—that raw materials were limited—was a conscious swindle, because he had knowingly concealed the role of scientific progress and of qualitatively new technologies in the definition of raw materials.

The fact that the Goetz Partners Company has undertaken the financing of Gabriel's planned donation of 6,000 Gore movie DVDs to the schools, underscores once more the interests which the locust funds have in this campaign. Goetz Partners over the past year participated in a large number of sales of houses and communal apartments to the notorious hedge funds.

Al Gore has already made a name for himself as the man who brought George W. Bush into the White House, and with that, he has contributed much to creating lasting damage to the interests and the reputation of America. We should, under all circumstances, prevent his propaganda film from burdening the souls and spirits of our school children, in the interests of the locust funds. And Minister Gabriel should, for the sake of her own reputation, be rebuked and re-called by Chancellor Merkel.

Will Germany Revive Nuclear Power?

by Rainer Apel

To understand why the German elites still don't dare to bury the radical Green paradigm of the past 30 years, one must consider the motives behind the German government's giving the global warming propaganda so much priority: Germany is under heavy blackmail from hedge and equity funds, which threaten to pull out billions of dollars "invested" (spent for takeovers, that is), and since these are the same funds that have a hand in the raw materials markets, the import-dependent German industrial sector fears being cut off from crucial supplies. The German government also seeks to distract public opinion from its economic policy failure and the country's high unemployment; therefore, the climate issue is a welcome diversion.

But the German elites also have a big problem with the ecological brainwashing they have been put through, over the past 25-30 years. Therefore, unlike the situation in all other European countries, no prominent politician in Germany has dared to come out in favor of the construction of new power plants, and the most advanced "pro-nuclear" position here is one that calls for the extension of licenses for existing power plants—"to gain time for the development of alternative energy sources."

With this outlook, Chancellor Angela Merkel, presiding over the European Union for the first half year of 2007, went into the March 15, EU Spring Summit in Brussels, for which she had prepared an agenda on renewable energy. She fit into the picture of Al Gore showing up in Brussels, the day before, calling on the EU to take a lead globally, in the drastic reduction of CO₂ emissions. Crowding into the same picture was German Environment Minister Sigmar Gabriel, who announced the co-sponsorship for free distribution of 6,000 DVDs with the Gore movie "An Inconvenient Truth," to German schools, revealing that on March 18, he arranged for 5,200 German teachers to have a free viewing of this celluloid fraud in 27 German cities. On March 18, Gabriel joined a Munich panel on the same issue, with the genocidal Club of Rome co-founder Dennis Meadows.

A Setback for Merkel

However, Merkel suffered a setback at the EU Summit, when, France, backed by the East European countries, extracted a concession from Germany that the French nuclear power sector be listed as a contributor to emission-reduction, along with other, alternative efforts of the kind that the Ger-

man government prefers—solar, wind, geothermal power, biofuels and the like.

With the exception of Austria, which shares the German anti-nuclear view, all other countries in Europe are favoring nuclear technology, and although at present, France and Finland are the only Western countries in Europe to have begun construction of a new, pressurized water nuclear reactor, similar projects are under discussion in Switzerland, Britain, Spain, Denmark, Italy, and Sweden.

Perhaps, in what can be viewed as one of the few benefits from the separation of Europe before 1990, the Soviets had prevented, in Eastern Europe, the intensity of ecological brainwashing that West Europeans went through, in the 1970s and 1980s. With the exception of Poland, which has been a special case with its non-nuclear orientation (and that is changing, as well, now), the East Europeans never opted out of nuclear power. It is only under the blackmail from the EU bureaucracy in Brussels on the membership admission issue, that East European countries promised to shut down their Soviet-era nuclear reactors in return for the EU membership.

For example, Lithuania closed its Soviet-era reactor complex at Ignalina, and Bulgaria ceased operations at its two reactors at Belene. Slovenia cancelled its share in the pre-1991 Yugoslav-era joint reactor with Croatia, in Krsko. But that happened before 2004, and Brussels has never fulfilled its promise to give Western nuclear technology to the East Europeans, in return for their shutting down the Soviet-era reactors.

Now, the situation has radically changed: The three Baltic republics of Lithuania, Estonia, and Latvia announced a new joint reactor complex project with Poland, to be built at Ignalina; Bulgaria has purchased a new reactor from Russia, and announced the reopening of the two reactors shut down at Belene; Slovenia announced its intent to build a new reactor, as well.

The Czechs and Slovaks never accepted the Brussels dictate on what types of reactors they should operate, and they have close nuclear technology cooperation with Russia, continuing the arrangements they had with Soviet Russia before 1991. Ukraine has recently declared its intent to resume nuclear power cooperation with Russia—ironically, as a way to reduce energy dependency on gas and oil from the Russians. Romania is considering new nuclear power construction, too.

All of that also has to do, naturally, with the intense Russian energy diplomacy of 2005 and 2006, offering Russian nuclear reactors to all these countries, at favorable conditions—including the funding.

The South African Paradigm

As for Germany: When will it scrap its government-industry agreement on the final exit from nuclear technology by 2020, and reenter the sphere of the atoms? The problem today, is that were the Germans planning to construct a new reactor, they would not have the required engineering workforce to do it. Germany prefers experts for the dismantling of power plants; therefore, engineers who want to, and are qualified to build reactors, have gone abroad. The greatest emigration of German nuclear power know-how has been to South Africa, which is developing its Pebble Bed Modular Reactor (PBMR) on the basis of systems that German nuclear engineers once developed for the gas-cooled high-temperature reactor (HTR).

And the great irony of the present international energy debate is that, in parallel to the German Chancellor's drive for non-nuclear renewable power, the South African government has made the only meaningful proposal for the reduction of CO₂ emissions. On March 6, Pat Davies, chief executive of South Africa's synfuels giant SASOL, said his company had conducted preliminary discussions with the government about the potential for PBMR technology "to help us reduce our carbon footprint." Jaco Kriek, chief executive of the PBMR project, pointed to "emission-friendly" uses of the heat component of the high-temperature reactor, saying that hydrogen can be reduced without carbon dioxide pollution by applying nuclear heat of 900° celsius to water to split it into its components—hydrogen and oxygen. And on March 15, in his opening speech to a conference held in South Africa on the peaceful use of nuclear power, South Africa's Minister of Science and Technology, Mosibudi Mangena, said that more efforts must be made to supply highly skilled workers for the nuclear technology sector in the future. The workforce that the country has today, is aging, and the sector keeps expanding, requiring the development of a new generation of qualified workers.

The country's HTR project, Mangena said, has seen "the rapid development of the PBMR programme over the last few years, from a mere idea to a company and project that is attracting international interest and admiration, and bears testimony to the continued strength of South Africa in nuclear science and technology." Mangena also mentioned several applications of the PBMR that should be of interest to everyone (especially in Germany) who is concerned about the environment, and man's survival: the development of isotope labelling to map underground water resources; controlling the populations of pests such as the tsetse fly and the fruit fly through the sterile-insect technique; and the early detection of tuberculosis using nuclear-based diagnostic techniques.

**WEEKLY INTERNET
AUDIO TALK SHOW**

The LaRouche Show

EVERY SATURDAY

3:00-4:00 p.m. Eastern Time

<http://www.larouchepub.com/radio>

EIR Releases Stanislav Menshikov's 'The Anatomy of Russian Capitalism'

EIR News Service on March 23 announced the publication of *The Anatomy of Russian Capitalism*, by Professor Stanislav M. Menshikov. Translated from the Russian by Rachel Douglas, the book is an authoritative study of the Russian economy during the first 15 years after the break-up of the Soviet Union. The Preface, by *EIR* founder and contributing editor Lyndon LaRouche, titled, "Russia's Next Step," poses the need for U.S. policy-makers to study and grasp the "disease" presented in this book, since it represents "an economic global pandemic which we must all join to defeat."

Professor Menshikov, author of in-depth studies of the international and Russian economies, became famous as one of the Soviet Union's top experts on the United States. In *The Anatomy of Russian Capitalism*, he turns his attention to Russia in the post-Soviet period. Here is the story of the new Russian oligarchs: who they are, and how they amassed their fabulous fortunes during the chaotic 1990s. Does the emerging Kremlin financial industrial group of President Vladimir Putin's second term represent a shift? The author believes that the Russian economy has fallen into a trap, from which the only escape route leads through a fundamental break with the oligarchical system.

Comments printed on the book's back cover testify to the stature of its author. "Stanislav Menshikov has been our most astute observer of the Russian economy for many years," says Prof. James Galbraith of the University of Texas at Austin. "He saw that communism needed reform a generation ago, when it might have worked. He recognized the reforms after communism for the disaster they were. Now he gives a comprehensive account of the state of capitalism in today's Russia."

The Scottish economist Angus Maddison, who teaches at the Universities of Groningen (The Netherlands) and


Brisbane (Australia), points out, "*The Anatomy of Russian Capitalism* is the latest of many books by Stanislav Menshikov, and the fifth to be translated into English. He is the most cosmopolitan of Russian economists, with an insider view of the old style command economy and the problems of transition. He has a comparative perspective gained by nearly fifty years scrutinizing the performance of Western capitalist economies."

LaRouche, in his Preface, which is published in full in this issue of *EIR*, urges Americans to pay attention to this book: "It is time to learn the lessons of Professor Menshikov's account, as they bear on the decisions which must be made, as we enter what threatens to become the worst economic collapse the world will have experienced, since a period of horrifying religious warfare was ended by the crucial adoption of the 1648 Treaty of Westphalia. The methods accumulated during looting of the former Soviet Union and associated Comecon nations, express the acquired habits that now threaten the ruin of the world as a whole, unless the adducible lesson of this experience of recent decades, described in this book, is learned and applied."

'Bolshevism in Reverse'

Professor Menshikov analyzes the sudden appearance of private enterprise in Russia after 1991 as "Bolshevism in reverse." He writes, "The post-Soviet Russian reformers' blueprint followed Western neoclassical dogma, according to which, to restructure socialism into capitalism, it should suffice merely to replace state-owned property with private property, and the planned economy with the free play of market forces. In reality, this was Lenin in reverse," since it was only formally that socialism had prepared the ground for capitalism, whereas in practice it had made this task extremely difficult, costing enormous social sacrifices in the form of an acute deterioration of living conditions for the majority of the population."

Chapters of *The Anatomy of Russian Capitalism* examine that difficult process from different standpoints: economic theory, the composition of privately owned capital in Russia, the state sector, the structure of production and income distribution, economic policy, and Russia's relationship with the globalized economy. The English edition is substantially updated from the Russian book, published in 2004, allowing a



comparison of two basic periods: from the end of the Soviet Union until the Russian government bond default of August 1998, and the attempts at relaunching economic growth in 1999-2006 under Putin's administration.

The Anatomy of Russian Capitalism, 398 pages with a soft cover, is priced at \$30.00 and may be ordered directly from EIR News Service, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390; 1-800-278-3135; eirns@rouchepub.com. Add \$2.50 per book for shipping. Contact EIR News Service for information on bookseller discounts.

Russia's Next Step

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Here is LaRouche's Preface to the English edition of Professor Stanislav Menshikov's book, The Anatomy of Russian Capitalism. It is dated May 14, 2006.

In the aftermath of President Abraham Lincoln's defeat of the London-backed slave-holders' Confederate insurrection, the London-linked New York faction of U.S. finance unleashed a predatory looting of the physical assets of the territory formerly ruled by the defeated Confederacy. That operation, which was described then as "carpetbagging," is a term that pointed to the style of the personal baggage, in which the travelling, locust-like predators carried their personal effects.

When this English edition of Professor Stanislav Menshikov's book has been printed, Russia's President Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin will have delivered his landmark May 10, 2006 "state of the union" address. The President's address will have marked the probable close of what had been the demographically murderous, greatest carpetbagging swindle in history. The carpetbagging which Professor Menshikov's book describes, is the post-1989 looting of the territory of the former Soviet Union, a looting that, in fact, has also been the predatory ruin of most of the East European territory of the Comecon outside Russia then and now.

Ironically, it should be clear today, that no net gain was to be had over the long term, from that vast amount of looting done by the presumed victors over the Soviet system, such as President George H.W. Bush's USA and the relevant West European nations. Whatever the perceived benefits to anyone from the Soviet system's collapse, the current physical economic condition in Europe and the Americas today, is far worse than in 1989.

Since the earlier, Russian edition of this book appeared, relevant and crucially important additional elements in that story have been taken into account in the present English edition. With the book's completion, a chapter of world his-

tory is being closed.

It is now time to learn the lessons of Professor Menshikov's account, as they bear on the decisions which must be made now, as we enter what threatens to become the worst economic collapse the world will have experienced, since a period of horrifying religious warfare was ended by the crucial adoption of the 1648 Treaty of Westphalia. The methods and habits accumulated during looting of the former Soviet Union and associated Comecon nations, express the acquired habits that now threaten the ruin of the world as a whole, unless the adducible lesson of this experience of recent decades, described in this book, is learned and applied.

There was an earlier point in time, at which the worst of the effects of the post-1996 phase of the ruin of Russia might have been prevented. On April 24, 1996, I appeared as a featured guest at a Moscow seminar of a roster of distinguished leading figures of Russia. The hosts of the seminar represented as prestigious an assembly of responsible policy-shapers as one could have desired. The purpose of that meeting was to define a launching-point for a possible role of the USA, then under President William J. Clinton's leadership, in halting the carpetbagging process, and beginning new forms of collaboration between Russia and the USA, which might end the ongoing process of carpetbagging. Some in the USA strongly advised the Clinton Presidency against the course of action implied in that April 1996 Moscow seminar. On that account, the implied threat from President Clinton's political opposition, which included some of the most powerful predators of the Transatlantic financier community, was ominous.

This U.S. rejection of the course of action implied in that Moscow seminar, had serious consequences for not only Russia, but the world at large, including much of the worsening global economic nightmare which has been experienced to the present day.

It was soon apparent that U.S. failure to respond to the issues tabled at the Moscow seminar had been a grave mistake for the USA, too. The 1997-1998 world crisis of the financial-derivatives system, especially the GKO hedge-fund crisis and its aftermath of August-October 1998, was the consequence of the U.S. failure to seize that opportunity, which the Moscow seminar had signalled. To his credit, President Clinton proposed, in September 1998, to move toward a needed reform of the world monetary-financial order. The attempted impeachment of the U.S. President, organized by the very bitter, and very predatory opponents of his proposed reform, was the result. Now, ten years after that 1996 Moscow seminar, the U.S. failure to accept the implied cooperation from Moscow has come to menace not only the USA, but all of Western Europe, and much of other parts the world, as distant as Tokyo.

During the time the English edition of Professor Menshikov's book was being prepared for publication, there was the thought that the celebrated John Kenneth Galbraith, who

had co-authored an earlier book with Professor Menshikov, would be a most appropriate contributor of an introduction to this edition. Concerns for Mr. Galbraith's health precluded his undertaking that chore. Since then, as we know, the venerable John Kenneth Galbraith has recently died. His association with the U.S. Franklin Delano Roosevelt administration, his association with President John F. Kennedy, and his role as a sometime senior advisor to President William J. Clinton, would have made him a most appropriate contributor.

The point to be stressed here and now, is that the presently onrushing hyperinflationary spiral in world prices of primary materials of production, means that deep-going, and far-reaching reforms in the world economic order must be made, and that cooperation between the USA, among others, with Russia today, will be an essential part of any successful escape from the immediately threatened global catastrophe.

During 2006 to date, the rate of increase of the rate of inflation in primary commodities, is comparable to the pattern of increase of prices in Weimar Germany of the second half of 1923. In 1923, that inflationary crisis in Germany was the result of the predatory terms imposed by the financial interests represented by the Versailles Treaty organization. Today, the immediate cause of the world's present hyperinflationary crisis, is those same kinds of policies, recently operating world-wide, which were expressed by the carpet-bagging operations against the territories of both the post-1989 Soviet Union and other territories of the former Comecon association.

In reflection on this experience from the period of world history since 1989, we should learn afresh, that looting one's neighbor, even a presumed adversary, is a bad policy, a policy which would probably cause ultimate suffering to the predator. We live on one planet, such that deductions from the physical and related well-being of any part of humanity, is a lowering of the conditions, under which the whole of the planet lives.

That is a well-known principle of natural law, called *agapē* in ancient Classical Greek, as in the Christian New Testament; it is also the principle set forth in the opening sentence of the great 1648 Treaty of Westphalia, on which the well-being of modern European civilization has always depended since. The rule of natural law, which is affirmed in the 1648 Treaty of Westphalia, is one that only fools violate. The rule is, that in all conflicts, the victor must benefit, not loot the vanquished—as President Franklin Roosevelt had intended to do as the close of World War II approached.

Therefore, I pray that you read this account by Professor Menshikov by that light. What happened to Russia, as he recounts that, not only could happen to us; it is, in effect, now happening to us in the USA, and to most of the world besides. The disease, which Professor Menshikov has described in these pages, is, in effect, now an economic global pandemic which we must all join to defeat.

Iraq's Other Tragedy: 2 Million Refugees

by Muriel Mirak-Weissbach

Four years into the war, it is impossible to say how many Iraqis have died. The occupying forces do not keep such statistics, and the local authorities are so swamped at the morgues and hospitals, that they cannot guarantee accurate figures.

Now, there is another category of Iraq War statistics, and it is somber: the number of Iraqis driven from their homes, and either displaced internally, or scrambling for refuge in some neighboring country. Here, too, the statistics are unreliable, since many flee clandestinely, and do not register in their exile land; but the dimensions are daunting. According to the United Nations, 727,000 Iraqis have been displaced internally since the February 2006 bombing of a Shi'ite shrine. About 470,000 have registered with the Ministry of Displacement and Migration, since the beginning of the war. About 160,000 have sought refuge in the Kurdish region. It is estimated that the exodus of Iraqis since 2003, is the largest such refugee stream since the Palestinians were driven off their land in 1948: an estimated 2 million have fled, and, by the end of this year, that will rise to 10% of the entire population (today, about 27 million).

The consequences, for both Iraq and the countries to which refugees have fled, are devastating. For Iraq, it means a further brain drain, as the most highly qualified members of the workforce flee. For the host countries, it means enormous economic strains, social tensions, and, in some cases, religious/ethnic strife.

Syria Under Pressure

The country which has been most overwhelmed by the number of Iraqi refugees is Syria, followed by Egypt, Jordan, and Iran, then Europe, and faraway lands like the United States, Canada, and Australia. Jordan, with 800,000 Iraqis, has halted the inflow. When rumors spread, in mid-February, that Syria would curb the influx, refugees organized a demonstration in front of the United Nations High Commission for Refugees (UNHCR) office in Damascus. The Syrian government estimated in mid-March that there are already 1.5 million Iraqis in the country. According to Laurens Jolles, the representative of the UNHCR in Damascus, somewhere between 10,000 and 40,000 refugees cross the border each month. Other estimates put it at 50,000 per month.

The crisis there has become so grave that even the Bush Administration has had to violate its own ban on contacts

with Syria, by sending a State Department representative to talk to government and UN officials there. After that visit, during which the U.S. representative also met with the UNHCR personnel, led by António Guterres, the number of Iraqis to be granted asylum in the U.S. was raised to 7,000, from 435.

The reasons for the mass exodus should be obvious: First is the well-grounded fear of being killed randomly, by suicide or car bombs. Then, there are fears of sectarian violence: Sunnis, particularly those in any way associated with the Ba'ath Party or former administration, are political and sectarian targets, just as Shi'ites are, from the opposite side. Particularly targeted are Christians, who are mainly Chaldeans, but also the gnostic Mandaens. Of the original 30,000 who lived in Iraq, there are now only 13,000. One Mandaer interviewed by the *Neue Zürcher Zeitung* explained: "Our women are without veils, our men are traditionally goldsmiths, and we have our own language. All this creates bad blood."

Iraqis with money are profiled for kidnappings; numerous exiles have reported that they had had family members kidnapped, often children, and had been forced to pay high ransoms. In more cases than not, even after the ransom had been paid, the abducted family member was found dead. One Iraqi refugee told the *Neue Zürcher Zeitung* that she had paid \$40,000 to free her kidnapped husband, only to discover soon after that he had been beheaded. Another woman reported that her daughter had been kidnapped; when her husband left to sell his grocery store, to raise the ransom, he didn't return. A phone call informed her that the store and her husband's car were burning. She fled with a son, without news of her daughter.

Iraqi Arabs who flee to Syria have a three-month sojourn permit, with the possibility of a three-month extension. Following the permit's expiration, the refugee usually returns briefly to Iraq, and starts all over again.

The strain on Syria's economy is profound. The UNHCR estimates that it needs \$60 million to deal with the refugee flow, \$15 million of which is for Syria. Thus far, according to varied reports, Japan has pledged \$3 million, and Jordan, \$1 million. But this comes nowhere near the sum required. Syria's health system is totally unable to cope with the new demands, and cannot cover the costs of health care for such a huge number of people. The UNHCR and the Red Crescent (the Islamic counterpart to the Red Cross) have set up two new clinics. According to Caritas, many doctors reportedly provide free care, or give discounts for X-rays and other treatment. Although Iraqi children are allowed to attend Syrian schools, the schools too are totally overwhelmed.

Some refugees are so poor, that they are forced into prostitution or other criminal activities. A prostitute can earn \$60 a night, whereas a seamstress earns \$50 a month. According to Sister N., director of a Christian order interviewed by the *Neue Zürcher Zeitung*: "Children are up until 3:00 a.m. in the winter, wearing flip-flops, and cleaning houses. Former

professors are begging for a kilo of rice." And the rich? They are not so few in number. Some can afford to buy million-dollar villas, or apartments costing a few hundred thousand dollars. The massive influx of refugees has placed such pressures on the housing market, that an unprecedented speculative construction boom has resulted, driving prices of existing homes for sale and apartments for rent, into the stratosphere. Rents have gone up in some areas by 300%. The poor, including Syrians who had made ends meet prior to the refugee crisis, have found their rents raised, and cannot any longer cope.

Ethnic-Religious Destabilization

One of the most insidious features of the refugee crisis is the impact on the delicate social balance in Syria. To appreciate the nature of this phenomenon, one has to first consider the ethnic/religious makeup of Syria. According to an in-depth study published in the German weekly *Die Zeit* on Feb. 22, out of a population of 18 million, 70% are Sunnis, 16% Shi'ites (Alawites), and 10% Christians. In addition, there are an estimated 150,000 Kurds, largely unregistered. Within each religious denomination, there are further subdivisions. Among the Christians, there are 11 confessions: five Orthodox groups, five Catholic and one Protestant. And there may be religious differences among the same ethnic community: For example, there are Armenian Orthodox as well as Armenian Apostolic and Armenian Catholic communities. In addition, the Muslims are also divided into five sects. Religious tolerance in Syria has been a trademark in the country's 6,000-year history. The condition of Christians, according to church representatives, continues to be very good under the Bashar al-Assad government.

Now, with the refugee stream from Iraq, this complex religious/ethnic mosaic is being affected. According to Pater Metri Hadji-Athanasios, a Greek Catholic priest, who studied at the Sorbonne in Paris and in Belgium, it is not to be excluded that the Bush regime may aim deliberately to destabilize Syria along ethnic/religious lines, through the refugee crisis. If the feared event of a total disintegration of Iraq along ethnic/sectarian lines takes place, this crisis could explode overnight.

Given this grave situation, it is not only absurd but criminal for the Bush Administration to exclude Syria from direct talks, aimed at stabilizing the Iraq situation. As mentioned above, Washington was forced recently at least to send an envoy to talk about the refugee crisis. But managing the crisis, is no solution. The only solution, four years after the dreadful war began, is to put an end to the conflict, with a carefully planned, phased troop withdrawal, within the context of a regional security arrangement, supported by the United States. Syria's role in this process, along with Iran's, is vital, not only because of the refugee plight which the war has created, but because of the political, as well as ethnic/religious experience Damascus could contribute.

How the Financiers Rigged the Game

by Christine Pierre

The electoral process in France should have started with an announcement by the Constitutional Council of the list of candidates in the Presidential election (the first round of voting is April 22). But in fact, the period in which candidates collected the 500 signatures of elected officials they needed to participate in the Presidential race, was used to eliminate any candidate who could challenge the policies the French elite has imposed for the last 30 years.

In particular, that process eliminated Jacques Cheminade, the Lyndon LaRouche collaborator who is the only French political figure whose intervention could have brought back to power a form of republican alliance among true Gaullists, Social Christians, and Socialists. But it also eliminated other republican figures, like National Assembly Deputy Nicolas Dupont-Aignan and architect Roland Castro, who, at different levels, could have usefully intervened in the campaign.

This elimination process was organized in the form of an intensive campaign carried out by the system's mass media to stop mayors from giving their signatures to the smaller parties—holding the mayors responsible for the fact that in 2002, extreme right-winger Jean-Marie Le Pen made it into the second round of the Presidential elections because the mayors allowed too many small parties to run. Thus, exploiting the 2002 trauma, in this year's signature collection period, parties were classed by "notoriety," receiving media coverage according to that status. As a result, there was an ocean of coverage for the established parties, and almost none for "outsiders" who represented a serious challenge to the elite.

This terrorization of the mayors worked so well that a week before final collection of the official signatures of the mayors—between Feb. 22 and March 16—none but the four "mainstream" candidates, Ségolène Royal, Nicolas Sarkozy, François Bayrou, and Marie George Buffet, had enough signatures to run! Realizing that the country would be in an uproar at this lack of democracy; seeing that Le Pen is polling at 20-30%; and seeing that several extreme-left parties have gotten up to 5% in recent elections, candidate Sarkozy declared on national television that he would personally see to it that Le Pen and Olivier Besancennot of the Revolutionary Communist League got the signatures they needed. He called on the mayors to sign for those parties which represent a "real current" in French political life. After this call, lo and behold,

signatures started falling as if from the sky, on the chosen candidates.

Among others, Dominique Voynet and José Bové reportedly got their signatures from the Socialists; Olivier Besancennot from Sarkozy and the Socialists; and Le Pen from Sarkozy's Union for a Popular Movement (UMP). Sarkozy's immediate aim was, of course, to use the ultra-left and green candidacies to suck votes away from his Socialist Party rival Ségolène Royal, and to use Le Pen as a way to destroy the "third man" in the race, François Bayrou.

The Three Main Contenders

At this point, it appears that France's next President will be chosen from the three main contenders: Sarkozy, Royal, and Bayrou. But some observers don't exclude the possibility that the election will be won by a tiny margin, and that those three candidates and Le Pen could each get around 20%.

None of these candidates is proposing any fundamental changes to the present system. In economic terms, Sarkozy is undoubtedly the most liberal—in the sense of pro-free-market—and has the full support of France's great fortunes: Bernard Arnault (the LVMH conglomerate), Martin Bouygues (public works), Antoine Bernheim (Generali Assurance). Royal is more in line with the French-style social-liberal of the post-Mitterrand era, a "compassionate" defender of the population against the greater excesses of the ultraliberal system. Her anti-nuclear policies would deal a blow to France's scientific and technological excellence.

Perhaps even worse than these two is Bayrou, president of the Union for French Democracy, who rose to the position of "third man" in the race. He claims to be in the center, but his economic policies are the most reactionary of all. Bayrou is increasingly accused of being an impostor because, although he claims to be on the side of the lower 80% of the population, his only program is a three-year state austerity assault to eliminate France's functioning debt. His obsession with the balanced budget is such that he proposes to inscribe in the French Constitution language forbidding the government to adopt deficit budgets for operating expenses.

We have "over-consumed" in the last 25 years, Bayrou tells audiences, in accents reminiscent of the wartime Nazi collaborator Pétain. He calls on all parties to stop making promises, and makes them feel guilty about leaving such a debt burden to their grandchildren. Bayrou has also been the most up-front in support of biofuels and the overall green agenda, going so far as to tell the *New York Times* March

**To reach us on the Web:
www.larouchepub.com**

8 that if he were an American, he would vote for Al Gore in 2008.

Bankers: The Real Soul of the Campaign

Why are these candidates so small, their programs so non-existent? The reason was revealed by *EIR*, which was the first to point out that Bayrou's policy on public debt was directly inspired by the debt report assembled by financier Michel Pébereau for the French Economics and Finance Minister Thierry Breton in December 2005. We have overspent in the last 25 years, stated Pébereau in this report, claiming that the debt was not 64% of the GDP but closer to 100%, if one includes retirement pay. (This is a fraud, because it is not today's state, but subsequent generations who pay those retirement benefits.) The report identifies as one of the main reasons for the debt increase the fact that there are too many elected officials and too many elections, which create continual constituency pressure on elected officials to spend money.

This argument is not far from that of the Synarchist bankers in the 1930s, who thrice attempted to impose fascist dictatorships on France, and finally in effect called in the Nazis to impose the Pétain dictatorship.

Le Nouvel Observateur, one of France's main weeklies, stated recently that Pébereau was so powerful as to be considered the second finance minister of France. But who is Michel Pébereau? President of the board of the BNP/Paribas banking group, he is indeed among the most powerful financiers in the world. He is also the president of the advisory council and oversight council of the influential French Aspen Institute, which was revamped in 1994 by former French Prime Minister Raymond Barre, who is still its honorary president.

Some might recall that as Prime Minister to Valéry Giscard d'Estaing, Raymond Barre imposed the first austerity program of the post-de Gaulle era, making Giscard so unpopular that he lost his reelection bid. Former head of the Trilateral Commission in Europe, Barre is very close to the Synarchist circles which built up the European Monetary Union; he is also close to Generali's Antoine Bernheim, one of the most powerful financiers in Europe and the Americas.

Through his debt program, and a website he created out of the Enterprise Institute which he runs—Debats2007.org—Pébereau became the controlling figure in the French political world, blocking politicians from presenting any program which could be accused of increasing the indebtedness. The Debats2007.org site featured an accounting of the exact amounts of "spending" each Presidential candidate was promising to the electorate. Thus, none of the candidates, states *Nouvel Observateur*, dared to present any ambitious policy. Even worse, Pébereau created an organization called Codice (Council for the Expansion of Economic Culture), where some 15 financial and media influentials elaborated "Ten Commandments" for the Presidential candidates. Number One is explicit: "An economically responsible speech does not make any promises for which it does not propose a



EIRNS/Karel Vereycken

French Presidential candidate Jacques Cheminade, Lyndon LaRouche's collaborator, was eliminated from the race to prevent him from reviving a republican alliance among Gaullists, Social Christians, and Socialists.

source of financing."

Among the other economic advisors announced to the media by candidate Bayrou (who doesn't hide his friendship with Barre), are Jean Claude Casanova, editor of the French version of the neo-con magazine *Commentary* and a Trilateral Commission crony of Barre; and Jean Claude Peyrelevede, former CEO of the Crédit Lyonnais bank and former associate of its head financier, Edouard Stern. (Stern's body was found a few years ago in Geneva with three bullets in the head; police suspected that he was involved with the Russian mafia.)

In the context of this programmatically bankrupt campaign, Jacques Cheminade recently announced that although the "main" contenders and their controllers seem to have taken over the scene, the turbulent times ahead will make clear that the future is in the hands of those who, like Cheminade, will pursue the fight to reestablish the republican principle of public welfare against oligarchic forces. Proud of the 220 signatures of mayors gathered by his movement, Cheminade announced that he will be pursuing his work with the mayors and with the LaRouche Youth Movement, bringing them into an alliance in the upcoming legislative elections to solve France's urgent economic problems—in particular, in the poor suburbs.

Of Bushes and Ethanol Madness in Colombia

by Javier Almario

On the occasion of President George Bush's six-hour visit to Colombia on March 11, President Alvaro Uribe offered the United States 6 million hectares—60,000 square kilometers—of territory in the Colombian Orinoquia, to cultivate sugar cane for the production of ethanol, presumably to replace the gasoline now used in automobile engines.

To get an idea of how monstrous this proposal is, consider the fact that *total* land under cultivation in Colombia in 2005, including coffee, but excluding pasturage, was only 4.06 million hectares! The greatest area Colombia has had under cultivation in the last 17 years was 4.6 million hectares, and that was in 1990, right before protective tariffs began to be removed, and Colombian agriculture began shrinking.

Colombia is already the second-biggest ethanol producer on the continent, after Brazil. President Uribe proposed that the country make this gigantic jump in its biofuel crops by opening up the Orinoquia, a sparsely populated region in the east of the country, which is a mix of great plains and jungle.

Today, the economy of the Orinoquia region is largely limited to oil, some cattle ranches, and drugs. The LaRouche movement in Colombia has insisted for decades, however, that the Orinoquia, with its fertile, flat, easily tilled plains, lacking only infrastructure and water management, should be transformed into a new breadbasket for Colombia and South America as a whole.

More than doubling land under cultivation nationally would require vast investments in infrastructure (highways, railways, tunnels, bridges, energy, relocation of population, health and education services, etc.). To do so merely for the production of ethanol that would be burned as a fuel, would drive the country into bankruptcy, food scarcity, and starvation.

And the question remains: Who is going to put up the money to install these sugar plantations? Could this be related to reports received by *EIR* in Washington that the U.S. President's brother, Jeb Bush, is investing big in ethanol in Peru and Colombia?

So Far, So Bad

Since November 2005, some 57% of the gasoline distributed in Colombia comes mixed with 10% ethyl alcohol. What is never mentioned is that the Colombian government has organized things so that Colombian society is subsidizing

ethanol production to the tune of a dollar per gallon, a surcharge which both the gasoline consumer and the rest of Colombia's population ends up paying.

In effect, Ecopetrol, the state oil company, which controls the general distribution of liquid fuels in Colombia, is paying gasoline producers 3,089.41 pesos (\$1.42) per gallon, while at the same time, paying the producers 5,128.73 pesos (\$2.35) per gallon of ethanol. In other words, Ecopetrol is paying 60% more for ethanol than for gasoline.

And who gets that subsidy, so that lands which could be used to grow food are used to produce ethanol? At the moment, five sugar mills located in one of Colombia's most fertile regions, the valley surrounding the Cauca river, which encompasses the departments of Cauca, Valle, and Risaralda. This area, where agriculture is highly mechanized, and which used to produce soy, cotton, corn, beans, and other crops, is now dedicated to sugar-cane cultivation. The sugar mills decided to invest in distilleries, after the government guaranteed them a price tied to the international price of sugar.

At the same time, these sugar refiners have provoked serious labor problems over the past two years, as they attempt to lower the cane cutters' wages and benefits by trying to hire workers through cooperatives, instead of directly, to avoid paying workers the benefits required under existing labor contracts.

The first consequence of using alcohol as fuel in Colombia was an increase in the price of sugar, which provides more than 30% of the caloric intake in the diet of Colombians. The euphoria over ethanol—which has been shown to take more energy to produce than it generates—is going to affect all food production in Colombia. In 2005, there were 431,781 hectares planted with sugar cane, a number which probably shot up in 2006, affecting the prices of all agricultural products of human and animal consumption.

Meanwhile, the inclusion of alcohol in the gasoline sold in Colombia has adversely affected the efficiency of the country's automobiles. According to a study by the National University's School of Engineering, ethanol use increased automobile pollution by 3%, because it increased the internal heat of the engines. Likewise, the study pointed out that fuel efficiency dropped with the use of ethanol, due to the fact that the alcohol evaporates more rapidly than gasoline, and therefore, is burned even when the car's motor has been turned off.

At the same time, the majority of the automobiles used in Colombia still use carburetors, and these have been seriously affected, especially because it is very difficult not to have small amounts of water left in the ethanol at the end of the distillation process. So perhaps the government could claim one success from its ethanol program: that it improved the income of Colombia's auto repair shops, providing them an unexpected windfall from washing gasoline tanks and calibrating carburetors.

Civil Rights Heroine Honored Across Nation

by William F. Wertz, Jr.

Amelia Boynton Robinson, the Vice Chairwoman of the Schiller Institute, who is 95 years young, received numerous honors over the last month for her pioneering role in the Civil Rights Movement. But Amelia, for whom recognition of her unique contribution to the history of this nation and thereby the world is long overdue, is not one to rest on her laurels. For Amelia, the fight in which she and her late husband, S.W. Boynton, were engaged in Dallas County (Selma), Alabama, 30 years before it caught the headlines in the 1960s, is not a museum relic, but rather a continuing, living mission to the present day, a mission she shares with Lyndon and Helga LaRouche, the Schiller Institute, and the LaRouche Youth Movement.

In early February, Amelia, who now lives in Tuskegee, Alabama, travelled to Rhode Island, where she was joined on Feb. 13 by Bernard LaFayette, Jr., director of the University of Rhode Island's Center for Nonviolence and Peace Studies, in a public dialogue on their shared experiences in the Civil Rights Movement. Lafayette had been sent to Selma by Dr. Martin Luther King Jr.—who had earlier, virtually written

off the city as too dangerous a place to organize—to work with Amelia out of her home and office, which was the center of Selma's Civil Rights battles. (Last year the street on which her home, now run by the National Voting Rights Museum, is located, was renamed from Lapsley Street to Amelia Boynton Robinson Street, by the Selma City Council.)

After this event, Amelia spent several days in the Boston area, at the invitation of the LaRouche Youth Movement, meeting with high school students and others. On Feb. 16, she was the featured speaker at a town hall meeting in Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Returning to Tuskegee Feb. 18, Amelia was honored at the Greenwood Missionary Baptist Church, Tuskegee Institute, as one of the planners and leaders of the "Bloody Sunday" March from Selma to Montgomery, on March 7, 1965. This was the famous march at which Alabama state troopers, under orders from the late Gov. George Wallace, brutally attacked the marchers on the Edmund Pettus Bridge. A photo of Amelia, gassed, beaten, and left for dead, which appeared in the news media worldwide, helped galvanize the nation and the world, resulting in the passage of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, later that year.

In announcing the recognition of Amelia at the church of which she is a member, the Rev. John L. Cantelow, III, said: "It is most timely and appropriate that we pause to honor and recognize our member, Mrs. Amelia Boynton Robinson, especially during African American Heritage Month. Her boldness during the historic march across the Edmund Pettus Bridge 42 years ago is a true testimony of the journey from 'Slavery to Freedom.' Mrs. Robinson's role as a social advocate is an expressed extension of her commitment to freedom,



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

Today, Amelia Boynton Robinson carries on the fight for human rights for all mankind, alongside Lyndon and Helga LaRouche. The three are shown here at a conference in February 1994.



Courtesy of Mrs. Robinson

Five months after Amelia was nearly killed in Selma, she was in the Rose Garden with President Lyndon Johnson (they are shown shaking hands), following the signing of the Voting Rights Act on Aug. 6, 1965.

justice, and equality.”

In recognition of Amelia’s world-historic role, the honorable Johnny Ford, Mayor of the City of Tuskegee proclaimed the 18th day of February “Amelia Platts Boynton Robinson Day.”



EIRNS/Leon Fraser

Here is Amelia, with some of her friends from the LaRouche Youth Movement, who visited her in Selma in November 2006.



EIRNS/Wes Irwin

On the 40th anniversary of Bloody Sunday in 2005, a monument, dedicated to Amelia and Marie Foster was unveiled at the base of the Edmund Pettus Bridge in Selma.

On Feb. 21, Amelia was again honored when a group of students at Tuskegee Institute, her alma mater, performed portions of the play she authored in the 1930s, “Through the Years.” Then, on March 1, before departing for Selma, Amelia was honored by the National Conference of Black Mayors assembled in Tuskegee.

Following this meeting, Amelia returned to Selma for the annual celebration and reenactment of “Bloody Sunday.”

Two years ago at this time, on the 40th anniversary of “Bloody Sunday,” a monument was erected at the base of the Edmund Pettus Bridge honoring Amelia and Marie Foster, a close friend of Amelia’s, who has since passed away. This year, the Alabama State Legislature approved resolutions on March 1, submitted by State Senator Hank Sanders (D-Selma), dedicating three one-mile sections of U.S. 80 to Amelia Platts Boynton Robinson, John Hulett, and Marie Foster, respectively.

On Feb. 22, at the Bridge Crossing Jubilee’s mass meeting, Rev. James Orange, who gave the keynote, said that he would never forget when Civil Rights leader Rev. James Bevel (who ran as Lyndon LaRouche’s Vice Presidential running mate in 1992) sent him to Selma in December 1964. Orange and eight of his peers were asked to go to the home of Amelia Boynton Robinson and deliver the message that Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. would arrive in Selma on Jan. 2, 1965.

Freedom Flame Awards Banquet

On Saturday, March 3, Amelia, Rep. John Lewis, and others were honored at the Freedom Flame Awards Banquet at the Elks Lodge. As news coverage of the event reported, on March 7, 1965, “participants in the first of three marches for voting rights were beaten and gassed and one organizer, Amelia Boynton Robinson, was nearly killed. Five months later, she was present for the signing of the Voting Rights Act by President Lyndon Johnson.” At the banquet, Amelia was greeted by former President Bill Clinton and his wife, Sen. Hillary Clinton (D-N.Y.), both of whom told her that they had read and remembered her book, *Bridge Across Jordan*, which was published by the Schiller Institute in 1991.

Less than a week after returning home to Tuskegee, Amelia was on the road again. She is now on the West Coast, where she has been addressing groups from San Diego, California to Seattle, Washington. On March 13, she addressed 250 members of the Central Committee of the Los Angeles County Democratic Party.

Amelia Boynton Robinson should rightfully be viewed as a national treasure. Many of her contemporaries in the Civil Rights Movement have unfortunately passed away. But Amelia keeps on fighting, mindful of her responsibility to pass on the torch to a new generation. Although she has quietly assembled a museum in her home in Tuskegee, she is not stuck in the past.

While Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. was still alive, many in the South were afraid to associate with him openly. He was slandered as a communist and a troublemaker in order to undermine his leadership. Today, Lyndon LaRouche is similarly slandered to intimidate those without Amelia’s courage from openly working with him and recognizing his leadership.

After King’s death, the remaining leaders of the Civil Rights Movement, lacking the leadership qualities of Dr. King, were unable to replace him. Today, as Amelia uniquely among the veterans of the Civil Rights Movement recognizes, Lyndon LaRouche has the quality of leadership which has been lacking in this country since King’s assassination.

As important as is the contribution Amelia made to the enactment of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, for which she is increasingly honored today, in a very real sense, her greatest achievement is to have continued her long fight by now collaborating with the LaRouches and the LYM. If you ask her, she will tell you: That is what keeps her young. That is what led her and her husband, S.W. Boynton, to fight for justice in Dallas County for decades. That is what led her to invite Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., to Selma; to risk her life on the Edmund Pettus Bridge on “Bloody Sunday”; and then, not to consider her work done after King’s assassination, but rather to continue to fight under the leadership of Lyndon LaRouche. That is what makes her unique.

Amelia Boynton Robinson lives among the angels. More should join her.

LaRouche Movement Expands in Italy

by Andrew Spannaus

The Movimento Solidarietà (Solidarity Movement), the political movement of American statesman Lyndon LaRouche in Italy, has launched a campaign to rapidly expand its organizing throughout the country. This offensive will build on the excellent response there to LaRouche’s proposals for reorganizing the international monetary system as an alternative to the financial oligarchy’s plans for permanent war and a new age of feudalism. The Movimento’s periodical has changed its name from *Solidarietà* to *Solidarietà e Progresso* (Solidarity and Progress, which is also the name of the LaRouche-associated political party in France); a run of 40,000 copies of the bulletin—an exponential increase over its previous circulation—has just been printed, and is now being distributed throughout the country by the Movimento’s members and supporters, joined by four members of the LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM) from Berlin.

The shift in the intensity of the Movimento’s activity was formalized with a change in the leadership, ratified at a membership meeting in Milan on March 18. The Movimento’s new officers are Liliana Gorini, chairwoman; Claudio Celani, vice chairman; and Andrew Spannaus, general secretary. The new leadership has decided to follow the lead of the LaRouche movement internationally in launching a mass-based organizing campaign, consisting of large-scale literature distribution and an emphasis on recruiting youth to the LYM by challenging them to take responsibility for the future of a world which has been left in shambles by the current political and cultural establishment. As LaRouche has emphasized on numerous occasions, the key to such leadership lies in reliving the conceptual breakthroughs of great minds such as Carl Friedrich Gauss and Johannes Kepler, and working to replicate the creative process demonstrated in the polyphonic works of Classical composers such as Bach and Mozart.

The lead headline of the first issue of *Solidarietà e Progresso* is “Bush and Gore; the two faces of the new fascism.” Articles explain the manner in which the Anglo-Dutch oligarchy is using the hoax of man-caused global climate change to manipulate people who may otherwise consider themselves as “anti-establishment” into supporting precisely the anti-human, free-market policies of globalization, which have already destroyed the Third World, and threaten to eliminate any possibility for the world’s leading nations to avoid a crash into a prolonged period of war and depression.

By opposing dirigistic policies in favor of technological and economic development—in the name of preserving equilibrium on the planet—they play right into the hands of the financial establishment, which intends to use the free market to block countries from making productive investments which would allow them to emerge from the control of international cartels and financial speculators.

Overcoming Years of Green Propaganda

In Italy, as in Germany, the population has been inundated with environmentalist propaganda in recent years, starting at a very young age. However, there is also widespread recognition of the bankrupt state of the international financial system, and a positive identification with the pro-growth policies which allowed the nation to rebuild after World War II. One of the clearest reflections of this potential has been the response to the LaRouche movement's campaigns among polit-

ical layers in Italy, who have repeatedly launched public initiatives in favor of a New Bretton Woods-style reorganization of the international monetary and financial system. In 2005, a motion, introduced by Hon. Mario Lettieri in the Chamber of Deputies, was approved, calling for “an international conference at the level of heads of State and Government, to establish a new and more just global monetary and financial system.”

On Feb. 13, 2007, LaRouche was invited to speak in the prestigious Sala del Cenacolo of the Italian Chamber of Deputies in Rome, invited by Hon. Andrea Ricci, a former economics professor who has written a book on the New Bretton Woods, in which he cites proposals made by LaRouche at a conference held at the Catholic University in Milan in 2001. Both Ricci and Gennaro Migliore, the group leader of the Rifondazione Comunista party in the Chamber, which is part of the center-left coalition led by Prime Minister Romano

Prodi, emphasized that they want to establish contact with those forces in the United States who are leading the fight against Bush and Cheney, and thus break up the false debate over who is pro-American or anti-American based on the war policies of the current administration. Migliore also responded positively to LaRouche's call for a new Treaty of Westphalia as the basis for cooperative relations among nation-states, as opposed to the imperial approach of globalization.

LaRouche also met at that time with members of the opposition coalition, including some who had participated in earlier debates in the Parliament on the New Bretton Woods, and a leading center-right figure who has been outspoken in favor of large-scale infrastructure projects, in opposition to the monetarist ideology of the Maastricht Stability Pact. The current Prodi government, although it has broken with the Bush Administration over the war in Iraq, is under heavy pressure not to reduce its deployment in Afghanistan, and especially, to accelerate the budget-cutting, pro-privatization policies which have only been implemented partially in Italy in recent years. The Movimento Solidarietà e Progresso's program for Italy, which calls for a massive increase in sovereign public credit for financing industry and infrastructure, lays out the clear alternative: Referring to former European Central Bank board member Tommaso Padoa-Schioppa, the current Economics Minister, the program leads off by citing a sign which Movimento activists wore during a recent day of action at the Parliament in Rome: “More Roosevelt, Less Padoa-Schioppa.”



The new bulletin Solidarity and Progress (shown here) of the Italian LaRouche political movement, has begun to build a mass movement in Italy, with the circulation of 40,000 copies of the first edition. The headline says, “Bush and Gore: the two faces of the new fascism.”

House Bill Would Stop Halliburton-like Abuse

By a vote of 347 to 73, the House passed the "Accountability in Contracting Act" March 15. Largely authored by House Oversight Committee chairman Henry Waxman (D-Calif.), the bill requires Federal agencies to minimize use of sole-source contracts, limit the duration of no-bid contracts, and encourage the use of fixed-price contracts over cost-plus contracts. It requires that reports be made to Congress when such contracts are used, and puts constraints on employee movement between the Federal acquisition workforce and contractors.

Waxman began the debate by noting the skyrocketing dependence on contractors by the Federal government under the Bush Administration, and the abuses which have become associated with names such as Dick Cheney's Halliburton.

The bill easily overcame opposition by a handful of Republicans who were voicing the White House's objections to the bill. Rep. Tom Davis (R-Va.), the ranking Republican on the Oversight Committee, complained that the employment restrictions in the bill "would lower the quality of procurement . . . and would significantly harm the Executive Branch's ability to recruit and retain experienced procurement officials from the private sector. . . ." He also complained about the exhaustive reporting requirements in the bill.

Waxman responded to these and other objections by noting that "this Administration would now like to keep us from getting embarrassing information about them because they don't like this bill." He told the House, "We are spending an incredible amount of money on these outside

contracts. And from what we have seen, our taxpayers are not being protected from waste, fraud, abuse, and corruption."

The issue of contractor abuse has also come up in the Senate, with a bill introduced in January by Judiciary Committee chairman Pat Leahy (D-Vt.) to criminalize war profiteering, which it defines as "materially overvaluing any good or service with the specific intent to excessively profit from the war and relief or reconstruction activities."

During a March 20 hearing on the bill, Leahy noted that nearly a half-trillion dollars has been sent to Iraq with few or no controls over how the money is spent, and that the Bush Administration has relied on private contractors to a greater extent than any previous administration. "This trend has raised the cost of this military action by untold billions," he said, and these actions by the Bush Administration "have led to widespread fraud, waste, and abuse in Iraq, on a scale that may be unprecedented in our history."

House Votes Up Iraq Supplemental . . . Barely

The House of Representatives passed by 218-212 on March 23 the \$124 Iraq Supplemental bill which includes an August 2008 withdrawal date for U.S. combat troops.

Bush insanely responded immediately with a press conference where he surrounded himself with family members of U.S. military deployed to Iraq. Bush denounced the House passage of the bill as "an act of theater," that has no chance of becoming law. Bush said that it will delay funding the troops, who require the funds by "April 15 or

face disruption," but then announced that he would veto it.

A similar bill will be taken up in the U.S. Senate the last week of March.

Senate Democrats failed March 15 to muster the votes to pass the Democrats' resolution for troop withdrawals from Iraq. After a day of debate on the Senate floor, the vote was 48 in favor of the resolution, and 50 against. The vote was generally split along party lines, but Democratic Sens. Mark Pryor (Ark.) and Ben Nelson (Neb.) voted with the Republicans, and Republican Sen. Gordon Smith (Ore.) with the Democrats. Former Democratic Sen. Joseph Lieberman (Conn.) voted with the Republicans.

Two other resolutions, both non-binding, were voted up by the Senate after the troop-withdrawal vote. A resolution offered by Sen. Patty Murray (D-Wash.) that declares that the President and the Congress have shared responsibilities regarding the Armed Forces, and that Congress will support the troops by providing equipment and training before they deploy, and veteran services and healthcare on their return, passed by a vote of 96 to 2. Another non-binding resolution, sponsored Sen. Gregg (R-N.H.), passed 82-16. It expresses Congress's intent that no funds for should be cut off or reduced for American troops in the field.

Will Condi Ever Answer Questions on Niger Claim?

Noting that he had already sent Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice 16 letters, and that she had only "satisfactorily responded" to five of them (the ones that had been co-signed by Republicans), Chairman of the House Committee on Oversight and Govern-

ment Reform Henry Waxman (D-Calif.) sent Rice yet another letter on March 12. Making reference to the fact that he is now Chairman of the Committee (i.e., now has subpoena powers), Waxman outlined the chronology of continued administration assertions of the Niger yellowcake lies, in the face of evidence to the contrary. Specifically, he referenced a CIA memo, sent directly to the White House Situation Room on Oct. 6, 2002, which noted the “weakness in the evidence,” and also stated that this was “one of two issues where we differed with the British intelligence.” This memo came to light in a July 22, 2003 press conference, given by Stephen Hadley, then Rice’s Deputy Secretary of State. Nonetheless, both Rice and President George Bush made public statements asserting the validity of the claim afterwards.

Because of Rice’s failure to respond, Waxman said, “We still [do] not know what you knew about the fabricated Niger claim, and when you knew it.” The committee also does not yet know “who at the White House kept resuscitating this claim after intelligence officials questioned its veracity.

Waxman: White House Must Explain Security Breaches

Following up the extraordinary March 16 hearing on disclosure of Valerie Plame Wilson’s identity by the White House, the Chairman of the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Rep. Henry Waxman (D-Calif.), sent a letter to White House Chief of Staff Joshua Bolten demanding an explanation as to why no internal White House investigation was conducted.

Waxman referred to statements made by James Knodell, the director of the Office of Security at the White House, who testified that his office had never conducted any investigation of the disclosure of Plame’s affiliation with the CIA, which was classified information.

“The testimony of Mr. Knodell appears to describe White House decisions that were inconsistent with the directives of Executive Order 12958,” wrote Waxman. “Under this executive order, the White House is required to ‘take appropriate and prompt corrective action’ whenever there is a release of classified information.

“Taken as a whole, the testimony at today’s hearing described breach after breach of national security requirements at the White House. The first breach was the disclosure of Ms. Wilson’s identity. Other breaches included the failure of Mr. Rove and other officials to report their disclosures as required by law, the failure of the White House to initiate the prompt investigation required by the executive order, and the failure of the White House to suspend the security clearances of the implicated officials.”

Waxman requested a complete account of the steps taken by the White House after the leak.

‘No!’ Say 60 Senators To Bush Medicaid Proposal

A rule proposed by the Bush Administration Jan. 18 “would dramatically reduce Medicaid funding to safety-net hospitals and health systems across the country,” warned the National Association of Public Hospitals and Health Systems (NAPH) on March 21. Furthermore, “The Administration’s proposed cuts will force many public hos-

pitals to slash vital services, and some may even be faced with closure. At a minimum, if these regulations go into effect, they will destabilize the health care safety net in many parts of the country,” said NAPH President Larry Gage. Both the American Hospital Association and the National Governors Association (NGA) are joining with NAPH to lobby Congress to make sure the rule never takes effect.

The proposed rule would impose a cost limit on payments to public hospitals, limit permissible sources of the non-Federal shares under Medicaid and the State Children’s Health Insurance Program, and impose new requirements on providers in those programs. The NGA estimates that the rule would result in about \$5 billion in cuts in Medicaid spending over five years.

Sen. Jeff Bingaman (D-N.M.), in a press event with the hospital organizations, reported that he had sent a letter to Acting Administrator of the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services Leslie V. Norwalk, opposing the proposed rule. Sixty Senators have signed the letter. “That should be an indication to the CMS [Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services] that they are acting in a way contrary to the desires of Congress,” Bingaman said.

Reps. Ron Klein (D-Fla.) and Ileana Ros-Lehtinen (R-Fla.) have introduced legislation that would impose a two-year moratorium on implementing the rule, to allow more time to study how the rule would affect states, particularly those that have waivers granted by the Secretary of Health and Human Services. Klein said on March 21 that cuts of the magnitude proposed would not only devastate public hospitals, but would also “jeopardize the health and safety of the patients they serve.”

Editorial

A Bipartisan Committee of the Sane

In the wake of the LaRouche Youth Movement's deployment on Capitol Hill, during the appearance of snake-oil salesman Al Gore, Lyndon LaRouche laid out the only sane perspective: "I'm building a bipartisan committee of the sane," LaRouche said. "Join, and make it the majority of the sane."

LaRouche's remarks were sparked, in part, by the fact that it was primarily members of the Republican Party who stepped forward to challenge the in-credible Gore on his Global Warming hoax. Democrats who know better—and have every reason to hate what Gore has done to their Party—mostly fell all over themselves in order to heap praise on the racist liar that most of them would have denounced a mere few years ago.

One major cause of this problem can be found in and around the California Democratic delegation, led by Senator Dianne Feinstein, and House Speaker Nancy Pelosi. It turns out that the husbands of both of these legislators are extremely close (and wealthy) friends of Felix Rohatyn, the leading fascist among Democratic Party funders, and the mainstay of political campaign money for the entire California Democratic delegation. Thus, Congressmen like Henry Waxman, and others, who have distinguished themselves by going after the fascists in the Bush Administration, all of a sudden are turning a blind eye to the blatant depopulation agenda of Al Gore's global warming hoax.

Of course, as LaRouche emphasized, the Democratic Party has no franchise on the insanity of the scientific fraud called global warming. "Remind Republican and right-wingers who oppose Gore that this insanity is bipartisan," LaRouche said. "George Shultz is a fascist 'Republican;' Felix Rohatyn is a fascist 'Democrat.'" It is incumbent upon sane individuals in both parties to distance themselves from these bankers' tools, and concentrate on the primary agenda for our nation's future—dumping the Cheney/Bush regime, and putting in place an FDR-style economic policy framework, to save the nation from disaster.

Are the members of the Congressional Black Caucus really going to go along with this Gore program? You only have to glance at Al Gore's book, and listen to his

program for a moment, to realize that his proposals will condemn the poor, darker people of this Earth to extinction. Remind them again to watch "The Great Global Warming Swindle," the British Channel 4 refutation of the Gore propaganda film, with its documentation of how Gore's "anti-global-warming" policy leads African nations to have to choose between refrigerating their medicines, and keeping on the lights. The lack of electricity kills.

Are pro-industry members of the Republican Party really going to go along with a program which demands the shutdown of industry, and looks forward to the *elimination* of major power plants, in favor of relying on your own solar panels and windmills? Of course, those who have the money, like Gore himself, will be allowed to pollute, big-time—but the ordinary entrepreneur or farmer is supposed to get by by cutting back production and consumption. "Back to nature" means poverty, and death.

We have already seen the seeds of a bipartisan coalition for sanity over the recent years. Both Republicans and Democrats came together to stop Dick Cheney's attempt to destroy the Senate's Constitutional powers in the Spring of 2005. Both Republicans and Democrats have come forward to demand an end to the Cheney-Bush drive for perpetual war. There have even been signs of bipartisan motion in favor of addressing the disastrous state of U.S. transport infrastructure.

Indeed, it was just as the potential for a bipartisan alliance to end the war was coming into focus early this year, and as the tremors in the bankrupt world financial system were impelling leaders of both parties to turn toward LaRouche, that Gore's British bankers launched their global, full-court-press on Gore's global warming hoax. The sane agenda got swallowed up in Gore's genocidal drive.

LaRouche and his movement are determined that this sabotage be stopped, now. "I'm in this fight to win, for a new financial system to secure the Earth's next 50 years, for a future for the young generations," LaRouche explained. That's the perspective a sane bipartisan grouping must come together around now—before it's too late.