Editorial

Drive the British Out of Washington!

As the only qualified Commander-in-Chief of the forces who must defend the United States from the British imperial campaign to destroy it, Lyndon La-Rouche landed a devastating blow on the enemy forces in his Oct. 10 webcast, by exposing the real British attacks and threat against our nation. As has so often happened in the past, his action was geared to emboldening patriots to follow his lead—specifically, by erecting a firewall to save U.S. homeowners and the banking system with the passage of the Homeowners and Bank Protection Act of 2007 (HBPA).

Within 24 hours, some highly visible institutional moves were taken against the chief enforcer for the British destroy-America forces, Dick Cheney. Major news outlets in Britain and the United States gave play to former President Carter's sharp attacks on Cheney—particularly in respect to his role on the Iraq War, and future wars. A Frontline exposé of Cheney's police-state measures was announced as upcoming next week. And, most fascinating of all, MSNBC's Keith Olberman interviewed Watergate veteran John Dean, who went after Cheney, with no holds barred, including for using 9/11 as an opportunity to implement all the "unitary executive" and other police state measures that he had wanted to put into effect for years.

Of special note is the clear identification of Cheney's move for dictatorial powers immediately following the 9/11 attacks, as an outcome which the neoconservative faction in the U.S. had prepared, and desired before the triggering event (see quotes in our *Feature*).

Carter's interviews were aired on two of the world's most widely watched stations, BBC and CNN.

On BBC, the interviewer asked Carter about the Oct. 10 *New York Times* report on a fight over Syria between Cheney urging preemptive strikes, and Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice urging diplomacy. Carter said:

"Well, as usual, Dick Cheney is wrong. He's a militant who avoided any service of his own in the military, and he has been most forceful in the last ten years or more in fulfilling some of his more ancient commitments that the United States has a right to in-ject its power through military means in other parts of the world. And here he's trying again to promote what might very well be a counterproductive and a catastrophic military adventure.... "You know, he [Cheney]'s been a disaster for our country. I think he's been overly persuasive on President George Bush and quite often he's prevailed. But it was one of his main commitments, was to go into Iraq under false pretenses, and he still maintains that those false pretenses are accurate. He still maintains somehow that Saddam Hussein was involved in the 9/11 attacks; he still maintains that Iraq ... had weapons of mass destruction—claims that have been disproven by all reasonable sources."

Clearly, there is a section of the Establishment that realizes the extreme danger of leaving this British stooge in place, at least in the realms of foreign policy and law enforcement. It is likely they realize that Cheney is getting increasingly enraged, as the Bush Administration withers—and is thus more likely to launch his next war, against Iran.

Recent weeks have seen an increased drumbeat for that war, centered around a propaganda campaign charging that Iran is the main cause of the insurgency inside Iraq. This has led analysts to leak warnings of an imminent attack. Among the most recent was a posting by Henry Siegman, a longtime U.S. Middle East peace proponent, of the analysis by former British military officer and European Union peace envoy Alastair Crooke. Crooke wrote his analysis to counter the claim by Steve Clemons, in a widely circulated Internet memo, that the danger of a U.S. attack on Iran has been diminished.

Crooke reported that top officials in Iran, Damascus, and within Hezbollah, all believe that any one of a number of "ticking timebombs" might be "engineered" as a provocation that would bypass the Pentagon chiefs of staff arguments against expanded conflict, and trigger war. "They see the circumstances of the Middle East as one of hair-trigger instabilitiy and escalating tensions."

There is only one protection against this dangerous British stooge: Impeach Cheney now!