

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

April 18, 2008 Vol. 35 No. 16

www.larouchepub.com \$10.00


Global Hunger Catastrophe: Produce Food, Not Biofuels!
LaRouche: How To Deal with the Worst Crisis in History
The U.S.A. Cannot Survive Gore's Genocidal Treachery

**'The Brutish Empire':
The Project Before Us**



21st CENTURY is now electronic!

SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY



Featured in Fall 2007

- **Sufficient Harmony: The Scientific Method of Kepler and Gauss**

by Sky Shields, LaRouche Youth Movement

An introduction to the scientific method of Carl Friedrich Gauss, which looks at it as a continuation of that of Johannes Kepler, is part of an ongoing project of the LaRouche Youth Movement.

- **An Interview with Sea-level Expert Dr. Nils-Axel Mörner: 'It's Not Rising!' The Sun Rules the Climate, and There's No Danger of Global Sea-Level Rise**

by Nils-Axel Mörner

After 35 years of measuring sea levels worldwide, a Swedish expert reports that observational data seriously contradict the global warming scare scenario of rising sea levels.

A Work in Progress:

- **A New Approach to the Ordering Principle Of the Stable Isotopes**

by Laurence Hecht

A new interpretation of the meaning of Planck's constant suggests a solution to the yet-unsolved question of the ordering of the stable isotopes.

Also featured:

- **Nuclear Energy and the CO₂ Fiction**

by Zbigniew Jaworowski

- **It's Time for Next-Generation U.S. Nuclear Plants**

Interview with Phil Hildebrandt

- **INL Plans to Put Next-Generation Nuclear Plant Online by 2018**

- **Fourth-Generation Reactors Are Key to World's Nuclear Future**

- **Bush Nuclear Program Is Technology Apartheid**

- **Report from Colombia: LaRouche Movement Organizes For a Nuclear Renaissance**

- **Conference Report: Why Is the ANS Tolerating Malthusianism?**

- **1975 'Endangered Atmosphere' Conference: Where the Global Warming Hoax Was Born**

- **In Memoriam: James Frazer (1928-2007)**

21ST CENTURY SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

Subscriptions are 6 issues \$25 or 12 issues \$48.

Purchase with credit card online at

www.21stcenturysciencetech.com

Or send a check or money order to the address below.

Electronic subscriptions to **21st Century** can be purchased at

<http://www.21stcenturysciencetech.com>, \$25 for 6 issues, or \$48 for 12 issues. Single issues are \$5 each.

21st Century P.O. Box 16285

Washington, D.C. 20041

Tel. 703-777-6943, Fax 703-771-9214

Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small, Edward Spannaus, Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William Wertz*

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editor: *Susan Welsh*

Assistant Managing Editor: *Bonnie James*

Science Editor: *Marjorie Mazel Hecht*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele Steinberg*

Economics: *Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Law: *Edward Spannaus*

Russia and Eastern Europe:

Rachel Douglas

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almaro*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Rubén Cota Meza*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maïtra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirms@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly (50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc., 729 15th St. N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005. (703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach 1611, D-65006 Wiesbaden, Germany; Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany Tel: 49-611-73650

Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>

e-mail: eirna@eirna.com

Director: Georg Neudekker

Montreal, Canada: 514-855-1699

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11, basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark. Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail: eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico: EIR, Manual Ma. Contreras #100, Despacho 8, Col. San Rafael, CP 06470, Mexico, DF. Tel.: 2453-2852, 2453-2853.

Copyright: ©2008 EIR News Service. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement #40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Assistant Managing Editor

At the time when many of us were growing up in the United States, in the 1950s and '60s, we believed that our nation would succeed, through such programs as Eisenhower's Atoms for Peace, and the Kennedy era's Development Decade and the Peace Corps, in eradicating hunger and poverty worldwide. Yet, in the 40-50 years since then, we have witnessed a horrifying downturn in worldwide living standards, and a soaring increase in hunger, across the globe. As we document in this week's special report on the *Global Food Crisis*, we are now witnessing the results of nearly half a century of "globalization"—a polite term for genocide. Helga Zepp-LaRouche reports that there is an explosion of hunger and food riots in 33 nations across Africa, Asia, and Ibero-America, with the real threat of famine. And Marcia Merry Baker shows how nations, on orders from globalist financial institutions, have been forced to submit to "free trade," the banning of tariffs and food subsidies, and the whims of the "marketplace." On top of this, the British Empire's Al Gore's hyper-fraud of global warming and the "bio-fuels" hoax have already taken millions of tons of wheat and other grains out of food production.

But the LaRouche movement has been addressing this crisis for decades: We reached back into our Archives, to revive two exceptional speeches, given by Lyndon LaRouche in 1988, one in West Berlin and one in Chicago, identifying the oncoming food crisis, and proposing the solutions. In his Chicago "Food for Peace" address, LaRouche echoes the appeal in the Lord's Prayer, "Give us this day our daily bread," and asks, "Who is going to be the hand of Providence to ensure that entire nations are not biologically swept from the map in the coming years, as Uganda is being swept from the map today?"

To do that, we must change history, and do it quickly. This is the question taken up by LaRouche in this week's *Feature*, "The Project Before Us," which gives us a revolutionary concept of history, one that sees the presently onrushing financial/monetary nightmare as the endpoint of a long cycle of history, beginning with the ouster, in 1890, of Germany's Chancellor Bismarck, and dominated by the British Empire. Armed with this knowledge, the time has come, to finish the job begun in 1776.



Cover
This Week



LYM/Matt Ehret

4 The Project Before Us

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. “In ‘Project Genesis’ [*EIR*, April 12], I emphasized the crucially important distinction between ‘event-driven’ behavior in both beasts and man, on the one side, and, on the other, the ‘concept-driven’ behavior which separates the distinguishing quality of the healthy human mind from both the behavior of the beasts, and from currently prevalent U.S. and European popular opinion. I apply that same, crucial distinction, here, for the purpose of emphasizing the significance of that distinction for the shaping of history. I shift the usual reader’s attention away from today’s popular, and often childish misconception of strategic realities, and toward the rarely grasped, higher intellectual level of a competent study of history, a viewpoint required to guide the world’s population away, and up from the holocaust which threatens all humanity in the present, global crisis-situation. The subject is the great crisis gripping all mankind still today: the series of developments beginning with the historically crucial ouster of Germany’s Chancellor Otto von Bismarck in 1890, through today’s immediately threatened doom, that of a global dark age for all humanity.”

Global Food Crisis

20 Worldwide Hunger Catastrophe! Produce Food, Not Biofuels!

By Helga Zepp LaRouche.
Hundreds of millions of people are threatened with death from famine—and yet worldwide agricultural capabilities are being converted to useless biofuel production.

22 Famine: The British Genocidal Food Policy

Today’s famine crisis was caused by a policy modelled on that of the British East India Company policy for agriculture: Exert worldwide control over what gets produced, where, and how—and starve people.

27 War, Food Shortages Ravage Central Asia and Pakistan

30 Wheat Stem Rust Hits Eurasian Food Security

31 Lay Biofuel Death Toll at Gore’s Door

32 From Our Archives: LaRouche’s 1988 Offer to Moscow on Food Crisis

A speech on Oct. 12, 1988 in West Berlin, in which Lyndon LaRouche forecast the early reunification of Germany, and proposed the beneficial terms, for the Soviet bloc, on which it could occur.

35 ‘Give Us This Day Our Daily Bread’

From a speech by Lyndon LaRouche to the Second International Food for Peace Conference, in Chicago, Dec. 10, 1988.

Economics

- 38 Globalization Is Genocide**
 What else would you call a policy whereby trillions of dollars are spent to bail out the banking system, trillions of dollars are spent on war, and people are deliberately starved by a combination of financial policy and food cartel machinations?
- 40 Business Briefs**

International

- 42 How We Must Deal with the Worst Crisis in History**
 Lyndon LaRouche made this presentation to a group of diplomats in Washington, D.C., on April 10. The entire world financial-monetary system is in a hyperinflationary crisis, he said, which is comparable in its dynamics, to what happened to Weimar Germany in 1923. There is no minor financial crisis in the United States. What there is, is a global crisis. There are things that can be done, but time is very short.
- 45 Resistance Grows as Battle over Lisbon Treaty Enters Decisive Phase**
- 47 The British Empire Is Frantic To Eliminate Zimbabwe's Sovereignty**
- 48 British Lords Demand Zimbabwe's Demise**
 From the House of Lords "debate" on April 3.
- 50 Arab League Summit: The Conditions Needed for a Winning Strategy**
- 52 International Intelligence**

National

- 54 The U.S.A. Cannot Survive Al Gore's Genocidal Treachery**
 The British and their stooges are promoting Gore to take the Democratic Presidential nomination, in a deadlocked convention.
- 56 From Our Archives: Gore and the Ehrlichs: A Policy of Genocide**
- 58 Kissinger's NSSM 200**
- 60 Bloomberg's Brit Tax Dead on Arrival**
- 62 The Lurid Case of Britain's Poison Penn**
 Hillary Clinton has finally fired London agent Mark Penn as her chief campaign advisor.
- 63 The Fascist Theory Behind Mark Penn**

Editorial

- 64 The Firing of MacArthur, Then and Now**

The Project Before Us

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

April 4, 2008

The following presentation is a radical, but absolutely indispensable, and uniquely valid correction of the wildly mistaken way in which current history is discussed in leading academic, political and financial, as well as popular circles, more or less world-wide, today. The leading point is the following.

In “Project Genesis”,¹ I emphasized the crucially important distinction between “event-driven” behavior in both beasts and man, on the one side, and, on the other, the “concept-driven” behavior which separates the distinguishing quality of the healthy human mind from both the behavior of the beasts, and from currently prevalent U.S. and European popular opinion. I apply that same, crucial distinction, here, for the purpose of emphasizing the significance of that distinction for the shaping of history. I shift the usual reader’s attention away from today’s popular, and often childish misconception of strategic realities, and toward the rarely grasped, higher intellectual level of a competent study of history, a viewpoint required to guide the world’s population away, and up from the holocaust which threatens all humanity in the present, global crisis-situation. The subject is the great crisis gripping all mankind still today: the series of developments beginning with the historically crucial ouster of Germany’s Chancellor Otto von Bismarck in 1890, through today’s immediately threatened doom, that of a global dark age for all humanity.

A simpler example of such a research project for publication, was the recently issued LaRouche PAC video on the theme of the model effect of the 1923 Weimar hyper-inflationary crisis on world history of the following decades. Only these kinds of dynamic treatments of history, as in Leibniz’s

and Riemann’s sense of dynamics, can guide leading statesmen and relevant other audiences of today to a presently indispensable, competent conception of history as a lawful process, rather than the foolishness of considering history as merely the percussive interactions among relatively isolable, discrete, statistical, mere current events.

Without an understanding of the conception I have just indicated, it were probably impossible to provide the quality of leadership needed to prevent a relatively immediate descent of humanity into a great, prolonged, new dark age.

Prefatory: The Burning Issue of History

The modern world of today is presently gripped, since the close of July 2007, by what is already the onrushing, greatest financial/monetary nightmare since Europe’s Fourteenth-Century “New Dark Age.” Whatever the outcome of this presently doomed international monetary-financial system, the long cycle of history since the ouster of Germany’s Chancellor Bismarck by the British Prince of Wales’ nephew Kaiser Wilhelm II, is now coming to an extremely dramatic, sudden close. Either a viable new system will eliminate the long tyranny of the British Empire, or, now, the culminating events of that Empire’s ups and downs since its birth in the February 1763 Peace of Paris, or the world as a whole is now plunging into what will be, at best, the worst dark age in history since that which occurred during Europe’s Fourteenth-Century New Dark Age.

There have been many relatively crucial developments in European and world history since that New Dark Age. From

1. *EIR*, April 12, 2008.



Bundesarchiv Koblenz

An example of a dynamic treatment of history can be found in the recently issued LaRouche PAC video "Firewall" (larouhepac.com), on the effect of the 1923 Weimar hyperinflationary crisis on world history. Here, a photo from the video: A small firm transports wages from the bank on Aug. 15, 1923, when a gold mark was worth 1 million paper marks.

the standpoint of developments which have had the greatest impact on the history of the world since that time, the most significant for the planet at large, has been the conflict between two great English-speaking, historically adversarial powers, the rise of the United States' globally unique model of constitutional republic, and, opposite, that great adversary of that republic, the British Empire of the 1763-2008 interval to date.

Since the establishment of the constitutional form of that U.S. republic defined by the original Federal Constitution, the most crucial turn in this conflict came with the U.S. victory, under President Lincoln, over London's creation of its puppet, the Confederate States of America (CSA). This victory of the U.S.A. over its British imperial adversary, then, represented the greatest threat to the continued world supremacy of the British Empire since its 1763 origin under the original banner of the private enterprise known as the British East India Company.²

The most crucial effect of the victory which had been led under U.S. President Abraham Lincoln, was the realization of the conception of a continental republic, a conception which had been crafted, for a refined U.S. foreign policy, under the direction of U.S. Secretary of State John Quincy Adams. The crucial element of this realization of a continental republic, from Canada to Mexico, and from the Atlantic to the Pacific, was the development of a transcontinental network of railway systems, a development which, incidentally, defined the thrust

2. Long before the British monarchy was formally established as an empire, the British East India Company operated with its own private armies within its colonies, and fought private wars in territories beyond.

of U.S. naval policy for developing great partners in trade and development, in contest against rival British imperial forces and their satrapies across the Pacific.

Thus, the great U.S. agricultural and industrial revolution, whose sinews were that continental railway system, became the model for the efforts of nations of continental Eurasia to break free of the control exerted by British imperial maritime power. This conflict defined what became known as the great "geopolitical" conflict which has dominated our entire planet since the death of Britain's Lord Palmerston, to the present day. A Europe oppressed by the British world empire found inspiration in this U.S. model; but, the British empire saw such inspiration of the nations of continental Eurasia as an existential threat to the continued existence of the British empire itself. It was the geopolitical conflict

thus defined, which has been the thrust of the motive of the Anglo-Dutch Liberal maritime imperialists for creating great "world wars" from that time to the present hour and day.

The British empire's crucial commitment to London-orchestrated "world wars," was crystalized in 1890, with the British Prince of Wales' success in inducing his nephew, Kaiser Wilhelm II, to fire that German Chancellor Bismarck who was blocking the Prince of Wales' determination to use the aging Habsburg fool of Austria as the instrument to launch those Balkan wars which would allow London to use French fools and Czar Nicholas II as dupes for London's aim at the destruction of Germany in what became a great world war. At the same time, the Prince of Wales and his retinue persuaded Japan's Mikado to break with his U.S. friends, and to join Britain in launching Britain's great 1895-1945 warfare for the intended breakup of China.

Meanwhile, the assassination of U.S. President William McKinley by a European assassin, in 1901, brought British assets Theodore Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson into the U.S. Presidency, putting the U.S. misled in this way into an alliance with imperial Britain, and against the U.S.A.'s former, long-standing allies.³

3. Theodore Roosevelt was not only the nephew of the James Bulloch who served the British interest against the U.S.A., in support of the London-created Confederacy, but was personally indoctrinated into U.S. political life by Bulloch. Woodrow Wilson was, similarly, not only a member of a family with longstanding ties to the Ku Klux Klan, but relaunched the Klan from the edifice which Teddy Roosevelt had renamed "The White House."



Britain's Lord Palmerston (1784-1865) saw the emergence of the U.S.A. as a continental power as a threat to the Empire. Palmerson's (left) "geopolitical" conflict has dominated the planet, and is the motive behind the Anglo-Dutch Liberal imperialists' drive for creating "world wars," from that time to the present day. Germany's Chancellor Otto von Bismarck (1815-98) attempted to prevent the British from succeeding in their "splendid little wars," by bringing American System methods to the continent.

The principal effects of this British geopolitical commitment have been, thus, two official "world wars," a so-called "cold war," and now Britain's efforts, through the imperialist Lisbon Treaty organization, to use its dupes of continental western and central Europe as a foolish tool in aid of the destruction of the nations of Eurasia east of the western border of Belarus and Russia itself. The recently launched, draft Lisbon Treaty, is the virtual act of declaration of a state of global warfare against Russia, China, and India, among other states, with calculable effects vastly worse than those of any British imperialist war to date. That Lisbon Treaty is the keystone of the British monarchy's present commitment to launching the most evil warfare in the known history of mankind, now.

"The Oligarchical Model"

It is often said, especially since the appearance of Edward Gibbons' *The Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire*, that the British Empire has been modeled upon the Roman Empire. That simplistic view has been a significant impediment to competent understanding of the global strategic situation today. In fact, European civilization's concept of Empire dates to such Asian models as those most celebrated cases, those of Babylon and Persia. In fact, the notion of empire as manifest within European culture, dates, in essentials, from the notion of that "oligarchical model" developed as an attempted fusion of the landed oligarchical model of western Asia and the maritime model associated with such precedents as Tyre. The defining principle of that strategic conception of "empire," is that exposed by Aeschylus' *Prometheus* trilogy, as known explicitly from one section of that trilogy, the *Prometheus Bound*.

The strict historian's definition of *empire*, is of the type of oligarchical system which combines absolute power of a tyrannical emperor, to whom the power of law is restricted (as of a "Caesar") to dictate principles for entire clusters of peoples, that in such a fashion that mere "kings" are only subordinate agents of the emperor. Thus, the idea of "globalization" or subordinated "commonwealths of nations," or a modern "Tower of Babel" called "Globalization," based on the kernel of the British Commonwealth today, are typical characteristics of imperial forms of organization of an oligarchical system.

This notion of oligarchical society is the typical opponent of the system represented by the Peace of Westphalia, and the opponent of the earlier efforts in that same direction

under Charlemagne, and under the Frederick Hohenstaufen whose family was, unfortunately, butchered by the Venetian financier-oligarchical interests controlling that century's Crusaders.

The British empire of the period 1763-2008 to present date, is a variety of such an imperial oligarchical model, which has served, today, as the British design for the proposed imperial tyranny of the draft Lisbon Treaty organization, which is precisely such an imperial novelty crafted to disenfranchise the freedoms of the subjects, and even suppress the nominal European continental governments which Britain intends to dissolve into such a novel form of mere subjects of a greater British empire. This greater British empire is one, which, according to current British official chatter, may be ruled from its exterior by a self-defined as sublime deity, a United Kingdom, which reigns over hapless continental Europe, but which is not subject to those former nations of the continent over which it reigns.

The foregoing considerations taken into account, the essential feature of this evil British imperial scheme is what is fairly described as the neo-Malthusian fraud associated prominently today with the bloated figure of former U.S. Vice-President, global hoaxster, and current British imperial agent, the carbon-carrying Al Gore of "Sixteen Tons" notoriety.⁴

It is fair to say, as I have often stressed throughout my writings and oral addresses of the past half-century or more, that the essential strategic concept needed to understand history as a process, is that presented in the second part, *Pro-*

4. The modern folk-song, "Sixteen Tons," of Tennessee Ford, refers to the predatory Albert Gore family's brutish ownership and operation of a notorious coal mine.



Library of Congress



EIRNS/Will Mederski

Loading “Sixteen Tons” of coal in a Tennessee mine, like that owned by the brutish Gore family; the Gore family coal mine was the inspiration for the Tennessee Ford folk song. Fareft: Workers at the Cross Mountain Mine, Knoxville Iron Co., in Coal Creek, Tenn.; Left: Al Gore, who has gotten fat off the profits from the family coal mine.

metheus Bound, of the great Aeschylus’ *Prometheus* trilogy. Here we meet the innermost, burning issue of the present crisis in world history.

The Promethean Fire of Human Reason

That essential distinction of human behavior, as by Aeschylus, from that of all lower forms of life, or of debased human minds, is to be found only in those potential creative powers of the human individual which Aeschylus symbolizes as knowledge of the use of “fire,” or, what were better associated with the notion of the power of controlled nuclear fission today. That is to emphasize, that those men and women who, today, eschew the knowledgeable use of nuclear “fire,” thus degrade themselves to something less than the true nature of the human individual and his society. Today, such degradation has terrible consequences for our planet as a whole.

This concept of the “intellectual fire” which sets the fully witting human individual apart from, and above all animal species, had been already presented to modern European civilization afresh, from, among other notable sources, the central principle of scientific knowledge featured in the Fifteenth-Century founding of modern physical science by Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, as in his *De Docta Ignorantia*.

Cusa emphasized two, most crucial, central points to that effect: there, and also among his sermons. This concept, which was associated with his recognition of the systemic fallacy in Archimedes’ misguided notion of the quadrature of the circle, became the efficiently fiery physical notion of the *ontological* (rather than Euclidean, or Cartesian) *infinitesimal*, a notion which also surfaced as the central feature of the founding of

the systematic practice of modern mathematical physics by the work, centered in astrophysics, of Cusa’s avowed great follower Johannes Kepler.


It is this notion of the ontologically infinitesimal, which locates those potential creative powers of the individual human personality, the creative powers which distinguish society fundamentally from the lower forms of life. This principle is the key to any competent understanding of the notion of history.

The essential, contrary social characteristic of the imperial, or other expressions of “the oligarchical model,” is what is recognized today as the anti-creative, “Malthusian” model of “zero technological growth,” such as the “Global Warming” hoax of former U.S. Vice-President, British agent, and general swindler, and, perhaps worst of all, his father’s son, Al Gore, today.

The special significance of the work of Aeschylus for the serious historian, or one who is simply a qualified political leader of today, is Aeschylus’ emphasis on the subject of that great conflict, between the same two natures of man distinguished by Friedrich Schiller’s Jena lecture on the subject of Athens’ Solon versus Sparta’s Lycurgus: between a society of true citizens and one based on the oligarchical model of the reign of masters over slaves, serfs, or by Anglo-Dutch Liberal tyrants over the lower eighty percentile of our U.S. citizens degraded to the oppression which the trans-Atlantic oligarchy has imposed, especially since 1968-1972, upon the great majority of a largely discouraged, and increasingly looted, U.S. population of today.

The typical expression of the modern version of the de-

FIGURE 1
Quadrature of the Circle



Nicholas of Cusa showed that Archimedes' attempt at "quadrature of the circle"—to approximate the value of pi—was ontologically incompetent. The first three drawings show the process of estimating the area of a square approximately equal to that of a given circle, as the average area of two regular polygons. In the last drawing, although the inscribed polygon of 216 may seem to closely approximate a circle in area, it actually contains a devastating paradox. There are slightly more than 182 angles of the inscribed polygon within each degree of circular arc.

praved ancient Sparta as a model in trans-Atlantic civilization, has been the coordinated conflict of, on the one hand, the U.S. struggle for independence against the British Empire, since the February 1763 Peace of Paris, and the degradation of the rights of the majority of our U.S. citizenry, by the overreaching tyranny radiated from the wrecking of our national economy from the time of the riotous Flagellant-like "white-collar Baby-Boomer" riots of the trans-Atlantic "Sixty-Eighters," and the ensuing wrecking of the U.S. economy under the London-steered 1969-1981 U.S. Presidency, under Nixon, Ford, and the Trilateral Commission's then-duped President Carter.

These considerations stated in these preceding pages here, are indispensable keys for understanding the great, global human crisis which the threat of meo-Malthusian imperialism represents today.

1. What Is History, Actually?

If we examine that matter with appropriate rigor, it is evident that all of today's customary statistical methods of so-called economic forecasting, have been shown, consistently, to be hopelessly incompetent. The source of this incompetence is typified by the failure to recognize the evidence, that, essentially, with the appearance of mankind on our planet, we are confronted, thus, by a species whose potential relative population-density can not be competently forecast by Cartesian-like statistical methods, such as those, for example, of the notable R.A. Fisher.

The source of this inbred embarrassment suffered by today's customary statistical forecasters, is the fact that the process of technological and related qualitative development of

the productive powers of the members of society, is a process of changes brought about through willfully creative (*anti-entropic*) forms of human technological and related progress: that change made at the same time that the successful increase of human population-density would, otherwise, turn into a collapse-phase: except as the effects of what are termed loosely as scientific and technological progress. This indispensable quality of progress overcomes the tendency for the lowering of human productivity through effects of depletion.

On the subject of a tragedy such as that which reigns over both the U.S.A. and western and central Europe at this imminently fatal moment of world history, the following must be emphasized at this juncture within our report.

Contrary to the Romantic and like babblers who prate perpetually of the "tragic figure" in history, the root of tragedy lies not in this or that individual, but in the current culture shared by the institutions of that society as a whole. Such, contrary to Romantic and like academic babblers, are the tragedies portrayed by Shakespeare and those of Friedrich Schiller. The tragedy of the *Wallenstein* Trilogy is no fiction, but the true history of the 1618-1648 Thirty Years War, a holocaust which was ended only by that intervention of Cardinal Mazarin which prompted the great Peace of Westphalia on which all semblance of sanity in Europe has depended ever since.

The awful tragedy which grips the entirety of this planet today, is a creation of the currently prevalent global culture of all of the principal powers of trans-Atlantic civilization, the tragic folly of tolerating the current domination of the world's affairs by the stupidity of the U.S.A. and the nations and peoples of continental Europe, in tolerating the existence and bestialities intrinsic to the continued existence of what were most fairly identified as the "Brutish Empire" of the Anglo-Dutch



Trakinec Iron & Steel Works

“It is only through the ‘fire’ prohibited by the Olympian Zeus of Prometheus Bound, that society has been able to increase mankind’s potential relative population-density, as seen in cases of viable forms of human cultures: an increase which occurs in correlation with a raising of the physical, as distinct from the merely monetary standard of living typical of that society.” Here, a metallurgist works with a blast furnace at the Trakinec Iron and Steel Works, in the Czech Republic.

British accomplices in London, unless eliminated, would now plunge the entire planet into a type of global new dark age worse than the Fourteenth Century New Dark Age, or even the effects of the genocidal breakdown-collapse of the old Roman Empire in the west.

The only remedy for this catastrophic state of current global affairs, may be summed up, in brief, by saying that it is only through the “fire” prohibited by the Olympian Zeus of *Prometheus Bound*, that society has been able to increase mankind’s potential relative population-density, as seen in cases of viable forms of human cultures: an increase which occurs in correlation with a raising of the physical, as distinct from the merely monetary standard of living typical of that society.

This urgently needed increase in the physical productive powers of labor, thus depends upon the combined benefit of both *anti-entropic* modes of scientific progress in human practice, per capita and per square kilometer,

Liberal, neo-Venetian imperial usury associated with the Venetian legacy of such medieval creatures echoing today’s circles of Lazare Frères’ miscreations, the virtual Biche and Mouche of U.S. financial lunacy, Felix Rohatyn, and also London’s George Soros, in the U.S.A. today.

It is those literally damned fools, in the U.S. Congress and related other locations, who tolerate the policies of the likeness of Biche-and-Mouche expressed in Rohatyn-Soros, who are, thus, the signal embodiment of the living tragedy of the U.S.A. at this present moment.

Today’s tragedy echoes the Germany hyperinflation crisis of 1923. Today’s crises, which are typified by the continually worsening expressions of sheer insanity, shown, since the late July 2007 outbreak of the greatest world financial crisis in modern history, as typified by the utterly lunatic reactions of the U.S. Government, including the U.S. Congress presently, as also by today’s freakishly maddened U.S. leading financier circles, and by the governments and financial centers of western and central Europe. The economic effects of the financial crisis are already awful in themselves; however, the greatest of all threats is not as much the fact that the presently accelerating global financial crisis is a danger to society in itself, as the Classically tragic force of the insane, and literally fascist forms of policy-shaping reactions from governments, including that of the loony George W. Bush administration. These fascist forms typical of the Bush-Cheney administration and

and in the progress in comparable qualities of development of social relations, relations which are associated only with Classical modes of culture in development of languages, music, and so forth.⁵ This obliges us to turn our attention to the crux of the matter presented in my recently published “The Doomed and Brutish Empire,”⁶ and in “Project Genesis’.”⁷ The indicated case is to be presented as follows.

The Secret of The Human Mind

As I have emphasized in numerous, published locations of several recent decades, what we may define as the creative powers of the human mind, are expressed in terms akin to those of Percy Shelley’s *In Defence of Poetry*: notably, his emphasis on the point that certain phases in the life of a culture, are phases during which a population, or a significant part of it, is empowered with a special quality of capability for “receiving and imparting profound and impassioned conceptions respecting man and nature.” There, Shelley associates the composition and enjoyment of what are the systemic

5. “Classical,” as distinct from the trends of so-called “popular” forms of plastic and non-plastic art under such post-World War II influences as that of the closely interrelated, and depraved Congress for Cultural Freedom and the so-called Frankfurt School of Adorno, Arendt, et al.

6. *EIR*, March 14, 2008.

7. *EIR*, April 11, 2008.

ironies of truly Classical poetry with such remarkably exceptional portions of human social experience.

Thus, if some great poetry, or Classical music from the past does not resound for the populace any longer, it is not the fault of that poetry whose greatness was formerly apprehended during cultural intervals of better moral qualities, but, is an expression of a coming of moral and intellectual decadence into the role of leading influences of that time, as, increasingly, in the U.S.A. since the death of President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, and, far worse even than that, the emergence of the “68ers” as the relatively hegemonic, profoundly corrupting influence in trans-Atlantic cultures over the 1968-2008 interval to present date.⁸

What I had studied from an adversarial standpoint, since the 1950s, under its adopted, generic title of “social theory,” is intrinsically a relevant, exemplary form of incompetence, especially in the respect that it does not take into account the systemic, phase-spatial distinction of the characteristics of the human mind, as distinct from what may be fairly identified as “animal psychology.” Sociology is thus often fairly defined in its common practice, as the form of Sophistry best identified as the furry-animal’s sort of Liberal pursuit of the sexually oriented delights of “feel-ology,” rather than a function of the powers of reason located within the specifically cognitive functions of the human mind.

To make this point clearer, let our attention dwell for a moment on what is known to Europe and the Americas as “the Baby-Boomer” phenomenon.

Who Are Those Baby-Boomers?!

The “Baby Boomers” were not a generation, but, rather a degeneration of a significant, mere portion of the entire so-called “white collar” stratum born in the interval between the close of the 1939-1945 general warfare and that stratum’s experience of their households’ experience of that relative depth of a great post-war economic recession reached approximately 1958. This was the culture of a special niche of the entire generation of that age-group, youngsters born and reared into the special cultural niches which social studies of the 1950s identified by such titles as “White Collar” and “The Organiza-

8. It has been significantly a reflection of my experiences during the successive periods of childhood, adolescence, and coming into adulthood during the war-time period of Franklin Roosevelt’s Presidency, that I experienced, with relatively full awareness of this at those times, first, the decadence of the 1920s, then, the rising confidence among much of my own and the still-living older generations, after that, my war-time adulthood, then the sudden declivity of popular morality over the period from the successful breakthrough at Normandy, through the death of President Roosevelt, and, after that, the depravity which assumed the dominant position of influence under Winston Churchill’s admirer President Harry Truman. It is a sense of a succession of qualitative changes, such as those, which impels one who is sensitive to such apparent mood-swings, to recognize that none of these successive phases represents the true nature of mankind, but that it is the experience of those changes which helps one become aware of the underlying truth of the matter: that which underlies that which has been, successively, changed.

tion Man.” These youngsters of that time reflected the impact of the cultural habits acquired in the households and communities of their parents, parents who had graduated into a certain kind of mature, post-war life under the likes of Franklin Roosevelt-hating Harry S Truman and Senator Joseph McCarthy, that during an interval of a general right-wing, virtually pro-fascist turn of nearly two decades following the 1944 Allied breakthrough at Normandy.

The successive social knee-jerks which those same family households experienced, with the sudden decline of 1957-58, were followed by the successive shocks of the interval from the election of President John F. Kennedy who had attempted a return to the policy-shaping paradigm of President Franklin Roosevelt, which was derailed through President Kennedy’s assassination, an act leading directly, and probably intentionally, into the subsequent, fraudulent launching of the U.S. Indo-China war. That war became the turning-point toward the hellish state of affairs in the U.S.A. and Europe today. This succession of developments, during the mid-to-late 1960s, generated what can be recognized today, retrospectively, as the special element from among the “white collar” adolescents of the 1960s, as the same selection from the “Baby Boomers” generation which emerged as the proto-fascist, anarchoid element of the “68er” phenomenon.⁹

The characteristic of the maturing, degenerative, post-adolescent form of this special portion of that generation, was expressed, at first, during 1968-1972, as an increasingly influential, political-cultural force of moral and intellectual decadence, from among the anarchoid hard core of that post-adolescent social stratum. Under the reign of Zbigniew Brzezinski’s Trilateral Commission (1977-1981), the kernel of this anarchoid element within that generation began to assume the signs of its future control of the ensuing course of the already decadent, cultural-political trends within the Americas and Europe.

This decadence in trans-Atlantic society, was also echoed in the Soviet sector, under the successive, anglophilic misleaderships of the Soviet Union under Andropov, Gorbachev,

9. I first recognized the characteristics of that special category of the “Baby Boomer” generation in June of 1968, when I presented my thesis on this subject to a session assembled at Columbia University. My report on that occasion, later published in a fairly widely circulated pamphlet, was titled “The New Left, Local Control, and Fascism,” in which I compared the phenomena of a second student strike at Columbia University campus that year with the swapping, back and forth, of Communist Party and Nazi youth during the famous Berlin trolley-car strike. Like the fascist movements of history generally, such youth movements and their sequelae owe much to Lord Palmerston’s last miscreation, the “48er,” intrinsically anarchist movement of Bakunin, Richard Wagner, et al. They are anti-technological progress echoes of the strange Flagellant phenomena of Europe’s Fourteenth-Century “New Dark Age” interval. The anti-farmer, anti-labor, anti-science passions of the “68ers” are thus typical of this kind of recurring, intrinsically Sophist strain of “middle class” social phenomena in European history. When one recognized what was actually the Napoleonic model which came to the surface, afresh, in such models as Mussolini and Hitler.



White House photo/Eric Draper

“The useless bums drawn from the anarchoid hard core of the Boomer generation, rose toward leading positions of political and cultural influence. . . .” Shown: President Bush, flanked by Deputy Defense Secretary Paul Wolfowitz (left) and Energy Secretary Spencer Abraham, speaks during an energy meeting, May 2001.

and that Anglo-American-directed, IIASA organization which was steered by the followers of the evil H.G. Wells and Bertrand Russell, as in the Cambridge Systems Analysis group. The systematic destruction of the physical economy of nations, under the influence of such pseudo-science types on both sides of the East-West strategic divide of that period, prepared the way for the accelerated destruction, since the 1981-1989 transition, of what had been, earlier, the world’s leading nations in scientific and related progress of both the Americas and Europe.

In that context, the entire generation of the post-war Baby Boomer stratum in Europe and the Americas, most notably, underwent a more general moral, mental, and physical degeneration. Selections from among what were, more obviously, the anarchist bums and intellectual degenerates of the hard core of the anarchoid “68ers,” were systematically, selectively promoted to places selected for the fostering of their advancement in the emerging, post-1968 establishments of the Americas, Europe, and elsewhere. Even many among those relatively more competent, morally and intellectually, from among the same generation who were the achievers in the higher-educational opportunities of the same time as the rise of the 68ers, were also struck, thus, with envy of the rising young anarchoid elements rising toward influential posts within the establishment. This became an envy sensed, increasingly, as the useless bums drawn from the anarchoid hard-core of the Boomer generation, rose toward leading positions of political and cultural influence, leaving behind those Boomers and others who actually embodied some degree of intellectual integrity and competent achievement.

The latter exceptions were “the others” who were distinct from the essentially depraved types such as the Al Gore of today.

Meanwhile, the morally and intellectually inferior types were embedded, to rise upward, toward the top, from among those, including outrightly predatory parasites, who should have been consigned, morally and intellectually, to the relatively lowest social and political ranks of what were to become the emerging elements of the ruling establishments.

Envy promoted wider imitation of the rising influence of those relatively degenerate types. Seemingly, the prevailing mass of the socially influential drawn from the biological ranks of the white-collar stratum born between 1945 and 1958, has accepted the hegemony of the leadership, in politics and culture, represented by the anarchoid hard-core of their same presently leading political and cultural degeneration.

So, the political future of the U.S.A. today appears to have been turned upside-down. Excepting the important, unique cases of relatively rare representatives of a true intelligentsia actually in the higher ranks of society today, the honest potential leadership among the true patriots of our republic today, is concentrated largely in the predominantly abused lower eighty percentile of the family-income brackets, a lower eighty percentile whose expressed potential and actual influence is concentrated, presently, more in the state and local affairs, rather than the more financially corrupted Federal level of leadership.

Only a terrible crisis which terrifies the political and comparable institutions at the national level into abandoning their whorish opportunism, in favor of reality, would unify the once more honest social-political forces of our republic into becoming honestly Americans, rather than British-butt-licking lackeys of the British empire’s George Soros and of the fascist, Lazard Frères heredity of former Pinochet associate Felix Rohatyn.¹⁰

10. Truth be known, Senator Hillary Clinton’s campaign for the Presidency, presently faces no serious opponent but the British empire whose influence controls her nominal (e.g., “American Tory”) U.S. opponents who are each virtually puppets of that foreign empire which is the historically leading adversary of our republic’s existence since its birth (actually) in that February 1763 Peace of Paris which forced our patriots onto the road leading into an American war against that newly established imperial tyranny of the drug-trafficking, slave-trading British East India Company. The other opponents are, like that lying hoaxster, former Vice-President Al Gore, puppets of that same British empire on whose British Isles the Arctic weather has fallen so liberally during the outbreak of this April.

2. What Is Creativity?¹¹

The essential distinction of the human being from the beasts, resides in the potential of the human mind for actual creativity, a quality which does not exist in animal life. Therefore, to understand anything of essential importance from the history of cultures, it is essential to focus attention primarily on two subject-matters. First, on the nature of *creativity* as I shall define the physical meaning of that term in the course of this present chapter; second, on the manner in which this potential, unique to the human species among all living creatures, is variously promoted, discouraged, or even seemingly destroyed in the sundry social strata of cultures and their societies.

Therefore, first of all, as I have, repeatedly defined the term “creativity” in locations published earlier,¹² the competent use of that term itself, must be limited to actions of the individual human mind which correspond (contrary to the perverse Claudius, Grassmann and similar fools) to *a universal principle of anti-entropy* in the practice of physical science, as this was defined by, for example, Albert Einstein’s conception of a Riemannian, finite but unbounded physical universe.

That notion is more readily explained to members of modern societies today, when the subject of human creativity is limited to what is usually called “physical science;” however, the same principle applies, although in a slightly different mode, for the case of strictly Classical modes of artistic composition, as illustrated by the case of the legacy of Johann Sebastian Bach, and also his faithful followers, for the composition and performance of music.

This notion of creativity coincides, systemically, with that discovery of specifically human individual creativity by Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, his denial of Archimedes’ quadrature of the circle (or, implicitly, the ellipse) which gave modern European science such explicit followers of Cusa as Leonardo da Vinci, Johannes Kepler, and, later, their followers Fermat, Leibniz, Gauss, Riemann, the great Academician V.I. Vernadsky, and Albert Einstein. Notably, Cusa echoes the same principle of scientific and social-cultural creativity represented, typically, in ancient European science, by the Pythagoreans, Plato, and, in ancient society still later, Eratosthenes and Archimedes.¹³

As I have summarized the essential point, both earlier here, and in earlier publications, the proper meaning of the term “physical science,” could not be anything different than

the outcome of a preceding, prolonged development of an ancient maritime culture’s practice of long-distance celestial navigation. The very idea of a universal science depends upon the proofs of an experimentally premised notion of *an actual universe* governed by irreversible, progressive, qualitative changes: an anti-entropic universe, in Cusa’s sense of rejection of quadrature, or, in other words, a universe governed by a principle of universal anti-entropy.

The problem which often arises in the student’s mind when my point here is brought up, is that what is included as a notion of “science,” even still today, has deeply rooted ties to the Sophistry of Euclidean or comparable a-prioristic presumptions, such as the notions of definitions, axioms, and postulates, or the similar case of the radically reductionist hoaxster Rene Descartes. Since those aprioristic presumptions correspond, systemically, to the presumption of a digital-mathematical order, the reality of an anti-entropic universe is wrongly excluded, viciously, from consideration, that from the start of the presentation of an hypothesis or a presumed proof. The real universe, the anti-entropic universe, is thus, usually, wrongly excluded from the statement of the experimental proposition, even before the proposition is actually tendered for consideration.

Thus, the absolutely indispensable premise for a truly experimental basis for a notion of scientific universality, depends upon the discovery that the stellar array is subject to not merely simply repeating changes, but that the entire array is also undergoing what appear as *irreversible*, actually anti-entropic changes, from relatively lower, to relatively higher states of organization of the universe as a whole. The very idea of science depends for its competence on the discovery of such a latter, anti-entropic principle underlying the supreme power which shapes the anti-entropic organization of our universe.

Contrary to Euclidean and comparable aprioristic sophistries, the best of ancient science, as from the work of such as Thales, Heracleitus, the Pythagoreans, and Plato, already shared the distinction of the same, common fundamental principle with the later, modern physical science of Cusa and such among his followers as Leonardo, Kepler, Fermat, Leibniz, Gauss, Riemann, Vernadsky, and Albert Einstein. This principle of what was fairly identified by Gauss’s teacher Abraham Kästner as the “anti-Euclidean” geometry which we must discover rooted in the anti-digital, analog methods of the Pythagoreans, Cusa, Kepler, Leibniz, Riemann, et al.,¹⁴ rests on a single common principle of creativity governing experimental method; that principle is expressed as *the notion of the ontologically infinitesimal* in competent experimental approaches, such as that of Cusa and Kepler, in physical-scientific method.

Implicitly, this same method of physical science, is typified by what I have clarified as the *ontologically infinitesimal* of Leibniz’s uniquely original discovery of a calculus, a meth-

11. Note to some readers. There is a significant amount of redundancy here, in this chapter, relative to relevant earlier publications; however, this is necessary for the sake of those who have not yet read those relevant reports.

12. E.g., “The Doomed & Brutish Empire” and “Project Genesis,” *op. cit.*

13. Who died, circa 200 B.C., in the aftermath of the Roman triumph in the Second Punic War. Science did not die with Eratosthenes and Archimedes, but it was almost destroyed by such products as the degenerate Sophistry of the hoaxster Claudius Ptolemy. It was reborn, in principle, with the work of Nicholas of Cusa, the founder of a modern form of universal science, and the exemplary contributions of Brunelleschi.

14. E.g., Gauss to Farkas Bolyai, March 6, 1832. Gauss to C. Gerling, Feb. 14, 1832.



The notion of human creativity is usually presented as related to “physical science”; however, the same principle applies, although in a slightly different mode, for the case of Classical artistic composition, as illustrated by the case Johann Sebastian Bach, for the composition and performance of music. Here, Bach, in a portrait by Haussmann (1748); the score for the opening of the six-part fugue from “The Musical Offering,” in the composer’s own hand.

od which Leibniz derived from the challenge which had been presented “to future mathematicians” by Kepler. The essential feature of all competent modern scientific method is the same principle, which I have repeatedly identified as the principle of that *ontologically infinitesimal* which is the underlying concept of the Leibniz calculus, but which is also a reflection of the ancient Pythagorean concept of *dynamics* specific to the principles of what the Pythagoreans identified as the quadrivium of *Sphaerics*, and to the central principle of the same *dynamics* which underlies the entire work of Plato.

In other locations, I have identified *Sphaerics* with the legacy of ancient oceanic forms of maritime cultures, implicitly those whose origins in celestial navigation must necessarily be located within the last great continental glaciation in the northern hemisphere.¹⁵

However, when we review the known history of mankind, most notably that centered on the region from western Asia, westward, across the Atlantic, works such as Aeschylus’ *Prometheus* Trilogy identify what has been a very long wave of bestialization of the great majority of mankind and its preva-

15. The one preceding the present approach of a new glaciation, contrary to the neo-Malthusian hoaxsters of today’s cult of “Global Warming.”

lent cultures. This can be traced, notably, from the decline of ancient Greece into Sophistry through to the present day. With relatively rare exceptions, humanity in this domain has been dominated chiefly by the intentional and systematic stupefaction of the great masses of the populations, that in all those cases which convention classes as ancient, medieval, and also most modern cultures. Precisely as Aeschylus presents the essential fact respecting this history, the government over the mass of the people of every culture, that over thousands of years to date, has usually relied upon ruling through aid of induced stupidity of the generality of all populations, as the Malthusians and former Vice-President Al Gore do, precisely as the Olympian Zeus of Aeschylus’ *Prometheus* Trilogy forbade the transmission of the knowledge of the principle of “fire” (such as the power of nuclear fission) to the generality of the stupefied, subject populations.

In modern European civilization, since the late Sixteenth Century, this deliberate fostering of the induced stupidity of the generality of subject populations, even including many professional scientists today, has taken a relatively novel form, under the flag of “empiricism,” otherwise known as the philosophical *Liberalism* of Paolo Sarpi and his Anglo-Dutch Liberal heirs. The virulent attack on the then deceased Gottfried Leibniz, an attack launched by the associates of such moral degenerates as John Locke of English slavery practices and such Eighteenth-Century circles of Voltaire as the hoaxster Isaac Newton, as by the practices of de Moivre, D’Alembert, Leonhard Euler, Joseph Lagrange, and their followers, such as the London-sponsored Laplace and Cauchy. The list includes such degenerates, from later times, as the positivists Ernst Mach, Bertrand Russell, et al., degenerates who have induced a systemic stupefaction of the creative faculty of even most among today’s so-called “educated” populations, even among certified members of the scientific professions.

In these putatively educated circles of modern philosophical Liberalism, the very idea of creativity does not actually exist, and would not be tolerated were its presence recognized.

It is of special relevance, under the conditions of moral degeneration typified by the pro-existentialist elements of the “Baby Boomer” generation (or, perhaps, better said, “degeneration”) to consider what would be usually classified as the “spiritual degeneracy” of post-Franklin Roosevelt generations of contemporary Liberalism.

That much said, I now return attention to the subject featured in my recently published “Project Genesis,” as follows:

When “To Believe” Is Not “To Know”

As I have stressed within my “Project Genesis,” the absolute distinction of man from beast is locatable in the fact that today’s generally assumed design of the function of the animal brain, does not present us with knowledge of the manner in which actual, human creative mentation functions in the specifically human ecology of successfully progressive cultures. Nowhere in what usually passes, academically, for



The deliberate fostering of stupidity in modern European civilization, since the late 16th Century, has resulted in the acceptance by those afflicted populations of such anti-scientific frauds as “global warming” and anti-nuclear propaganda.

“conventional wisdom” today, is there any recognized evidence of the means by which those expressions of actually creative mentation by members of the human species (i.e., the members of the Noösphere) have served as the uniquely distinguishing characteristic of the ecology of the human species, the characteristic which distinguishes people, as members of the Noösphere, from the beasts who form an included constituency of the Biosphere. As much as some among our professed philosophical Liberals may pretend to be informed in this matter, they are, in reality, Sophists babbling about *a-priori* sorts of allegedly “self-evident truths.”

Nonetheless, both from such ancients as the Pythagoreans and Plato, and from those currents of modern European science traced from the modern discoveries of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa, the evidence is, that it is precisely that feature of human mentation which ancient Aristoteleanism and modern Liberalism commonly deny as existing, which has been the source of those invented discoveries of universal physical principles on which the increase of the potential relative population-density of the human species has depended, and that absolutely.

The form of action which distinguishes society from all the beasts in this way, is characteristically anti-entropic, and therefore represents an efficient universal physical principle which lies outside the confines of deductive (e.g., “digital”) reasoning.

As I have emphasized in “Project Genesis,” human knowledge is not sense-perceptual (e.g., “materialist”) knowledge. Our sensory apparatus is the inborn sensory apparatus of the biological individual. The development of the conceptions of interpretation of this sensory experience, is a different

matter. The development of physical science has prompted the development of artificial sense-apparatus, that to such effect, that for the exploration of either the sub-atomic domain, or the astronomical universe, we require the invention of laboratory, or comparable apparatus which the human mind learns to treat in a way which is a carry-over from the experience of the ordinary use of our given senses. What the human mind grasps by use of its given sensory apparatus, which the beasts can not, is not a matter of “sense-certainty,” not something akin to the *a-priori* assumptions of Euclidean sophistry; it is, rather, an insight into the universe, of micro-space, astro-space, and sensory space, all combined: the real universe, rather than that realm of bestial superstition called sense-certainty.

It is in precisely that experience of a universe including, but also beyond the ranges of sense-certainty, which is the functional location of those functions of the individual

human mind (and of society) which are properly associated with the notion of universal physical principles, such as the discoveries of the principles of physical science by Nicholas of Cusa and his avowed follower Kepler.

As I have emphasized in “Project Genesis,” the actual universe is essentially anti-entropic, a fact which shows the customary notions of experimental proof of principle to be more or less incompetent, if not, like the positivist presumptions of Mach and Russell, willfully insane, or simply, maliciously fraudulent, as Russell’s were.¹⁶ That means, as I have emphasized in relevant other published locations, that the true principles of the universe are not either sense-perceptual objects, nor a disguise for sense-perceptual objects. The substitution of the mere description expressed as mathematical formulas, for the conception of actual principles, is a typical expression of this kind of more or less slippery confusion among the relevant mathematician-reductionists. As Einstein emphasized, a true principle is a principle *of* the universe, not an object *within* the universe; the discovery of gravitation as presented in Kepler’s *Harmonies*, a discovered rarely known even among scientists today, is an apt illustration of my point. A true universal principle is a principle inherent in the universe, which bounds the universe in and of itself, with no external boundary required, or permitted.

Thus, the experimental expression of the existence of such an identifiable, truly universal principle, is, like Kepler’s dis-

16. It was typical of Russell, that where the continental positivists said “positivist,” Russell used the term “radical empiricist,” which he admitted to mean the same thing.

Ioannis Kepleri
**HARMONICES
M V N D I**
LIBRI V. QVOGVN


- **INTRO**
- Technical Advice
- Acknowledgments
- Archives
- Contact
- **BOOK I**
- ▲ **BOOK II**
- **BOOK III**
- **BOOK IV**
- ▲ **BOOK V**

wlym.com

Johannes Kepler (1571-1630) gave modern science its first practicable, scientific conception of the astronomical universe. The illustrations here are from the LaRouche Youth Movement's "Basement" project on Kepler's Harmony of the World; the LYM explicates this monumental work through the use of animated graphics and musical examples (www.wlym.com/~animations).

The drawing is from Kepler's frontispiece to his 1627 Rudolphine Tables. It shows Copernicus and Tycho Brahe at the center, while Hipparchus and Ptolemy look on. On the base, the panel to the left shows Kepler himself, laboring by candlelight.

The musical scales shown here are taken from Kepler's Harmony, and show the "tonalities" of the harmonic orbits of the planets (these can be heard on the website). Above is the major scale; below is the minor scale.



covery of the principle of gravitation as such, as in his *Harmonies*, something known to the sense-perceptual world only as the foot-print of that actual principle itself, a foot-print which is expressible to the sense-perceptually based processes of human mentation only as its experimentally demonstrable, *ontologically infinitesimal* expression, as in the case of Kepler's account of the discovery of gravitation, in his *Harmonies*. The suggestion, that the idea of the Leibniz infinitesimal connotes Euclidean or Cartesian "smallness," is a matter of approximated distance, or a like notion, is the fraud which distinguishes the fraudulent opinions of such as de Moivre, D'Alembert, Euler, and Lagrange, or Cauchy, on this account. The smallness of the Leibniz infinitesimal, is the limitlessly infinitesimal expression of, a shadow of the action of an "infinite" (e.g., "limitless") universal physical principle, as Albert Einstein argued this point. Hence: *ontologically infinitesimal*.

So, the discovery, as by ancient mariners, that the starry universe was not operating as a permanently fixed cycle, but was organized as a self-changing quality of process of (anti-entropic) self-development, has been the fundamental principle of all competent notions of the universality expressed by the starry heavens. It is the notion of the kinds of principles which correspond to such a universality, which is the meaning of the ontologically actual universality of true physical principles.

The idea of "universal entropy," such as that expressed by the hoaxsters Clausius, Grassmann, Kelvin, et al., is thus exposed as not only a Sophistry, but also a fraudulent one. The idea of "universal entropy" was not actually a fruit of the evidence, but built into

the way in which they formulated the subject of the discussion.¹⁷

In the simplest pedagogical implication of this point, the assumption of a universal principle of entropy was the arbitrary, axiomatic, same sort of presumption upon which the edifice of the Malthusian lie has been premised, then and under the guidance of the notoriously “fruity” Charles, Prince of Wales, and his lying lackey, former Vice-President Al Gore.

It is the anti-entropic form of what is to be adduced as universal physical principles, which expresses the creativity through which the men and women made in the likeness of the universe’s Creator, as stated in *Genesis* 1, express that likeness in relevant forms of creative action. So, implicitly, the friend of the Christian Apostle Peter, Philo of Alexandria, exposed that Aristotelean fraud which was the presumption that the Creator Himself was rendered impotent by the permanent lawfulness of His own Creation, a lying Sophist’s presumption of law which relegated the management of the universe thereafter to the Devil (and, thence, thus, to such true and faithful heirs of that Devil as the Tiberius of Capri’s Roman, and Lord Shelburne’s British empires).

3. Sociology: The Olympian Zeus

There is nothing “natural” in the devilish relegation of the majorities of cultures into that status of a virtual cattle which is relegated to caring for the comfort and amusement of a ruling oligarchical system of tyranny, such as that prescribed in the evil, imperialist, Lisbon Treaty of today. In all of the well studied cases of societies which relegate the majority of a population to the cattle-like status of being, the foisted doctrine of law is the pro-Satanic doctrine of that evil monster whom Aeschylus presents as the Olympian Zeus of the (Satanic) Apollonian-Dionysian, Gaea-Python cult of Delphi, and of the Spartan, oligarchical law of Lycurgus which was crafted by the priests of that pro-Satanic cult.¹⁸

That Apollo-Dionysus cult reflects a policy known to ancient Classical European times as “the oligarchical principle.” Certainly, the hated Tyre of those ancient times expressed this. This notion, as it appeared in accounts dating from ancient “Greek” times, represents an attempted fusion of the land-

based oligarchical traditions of western Asia with the maritime-based oligarchical cultures congruent with that Mediterranean “Olympia” myth of Diodorus Siculus and others¹⁹ to which the oceanic origins of European civilization are largely attributed by Plato and others.

In any case, the emergence of specifically European civilization is traced, chiefly, to the interaction of ancient Egypt (e.g., the Nile, ancient Cyrenaica)²⁰ with the development of the Mediterranean littoral, which became the dominant form of the efforts pursued by what we may recognize as the pro-imperialist impulses which dominated the Mediterranean since the ancient Tyre against which Egypt, the Ionians, and the Etruscans mobilized resistance: a cultural

19. It must not be overlooked, that for more than a hundred thousand relevant years, the northern regions of the continent of Eurasia were dominated by a shifting but persisting mass of glacial ice, a condition of the planet which is presently on the way again, unless we do something effective to control that. During this ancient period of glaciation, the continuing development of successful forms of human culture was necessarily dominated by the scientifically superior cultures rooted in the role of astronomy in long-ranging maritime navigation, ocean-going maritime cultures, rather than the more poorly developed inland ones. It was from these maritime cultures that the roots of a scientific culture appeared, as a reflection of navigating by the evolving configurations of the planets and stars. The tendency of some parts of this culture to degenerate into an oligarchical model, as Diodorus’s and other accounts indicate this to be the case, promoted systems of tyranny in which the more scientifically developed maritime cultures we encounter in the ancient Mediterranean littoral, developed systems of subjugation of the less culturally developed relics of inland cultures. We refer at this point, above, to what we know as the European case, rooted in a known maritime culture, as distinct from the inferences of forms of oligarchical society to the East. In any event, it was the proposed fusion of the two, European and Asian, models of oligarchical tyrannies, which emerged in the aftermath of the ruinous effects of the Peloponnesian War.

20. Thor Heyerdahl’s original assumptions respecting Egyptian technology, do not stand up. The principal leading cultures of the Mediterranean region, and beyond, were maritime cultures which settled on relevant kinds of hospitable coastal sites, and, then, often moved upstream from the mouths of great rivers. The great Pyramid of Giza, for example, was not a creation of “landlubbers.” It is the degeneration of the most advanced cultures, which had been astronomy-oriented maritime cultures, which accounts for the dominant currents of culture world-wide, until the development of the institution of the transcontinental railway systems during the course of the Nineteenth Century. The principal European empires, including the Anglo-Dutch Liberal form of tyranny, such as the ancient Tyre noted for the idea of tyranny, the ancient Greeks, the Romans, and the Anglo-Dutch Liberal followers of Paolo Sarpi’s reform, were based on the relative advantage of the power of maritime cultures over those of landlubber societies. It was the extensive development of inland waterways under Charlemagne, the great challenge to the Byzantine tyranny of that time, which anticipated what was to be achieved by the pioneering development of the transcontinental railway systems in the U.S.A. It was the Anglo-Dutch Liberal imperialist’s hatred of the threat to their imperial power represented by transcontinental railway systems, which prompted the British empire to organize two world wars, and which prompted the Anglo-American Liberal and its treasonous component among us, which prompted the Lincoln-hating and Franklin Roosevelt-hating launch of two, geopolitical, imperialist world wars, the so-called “Cold War,” and the present drive for a form of world imperial tyranny called “Globalization” and the systematic destruction of the internal U.S. economy, and also, as now, the U.S.A. itself, since the death of President Franklin Roosevelt.

17. Admittedly, as former Vice-President Al Gore’s famous lecture-hoax illustrates the point, the essential argument on which Gore’s proposed conclusion depended on that occasion, was as crude a fraud as presenting the causal roles of increased carbon dioxide and ice in a order directly opposite to reality, and that by a very long margin. Gore thus proved actually nothing more than that he is a fraud. He simply assumed the conclusion he wished to claim he had discovered. However, like Malthus’, Gore’s fraud was based on the assignment given to him by his British royal masters; it was a lie told because he was his master’s fat dog, who wagged his tail and tongue on command.

18. Consider that annal of devilish mischief presented in the Homeric *Iliad!* Read Friedrich Schiller’s account of the Solon-Lycurgus conflict against that most informative background.

phenomenon which gave rise to what can be recognized as the birth of a specifically European culture since that time.

That much said on background in this chapter so far. How did what were to emerge as the imperial powers of Mediterranean-centered culture, as since the time of Homer, manage to maintain an uncertain, but persisting grip of oligarchism over what was to become known as European civilization?

So, what is called today, in retrospect, “Greek” civilization, used the self-destruction of Greece through the Sophist corruption which shaped the Peloponnesian War, to clear the way for the institution of a specifically European form of systems of imperial rule. It was in this circumstance, that the death of Alexander the Great opened the door for the forms of imperialism and related developments expressing what is called “the oligarchical model.” The emergence, from the Isle of Capri, of the Roman Empire of Caesar Augustus and Tiberius, has been the Europe-based enemy of humanity throughout the planet from that time on, up to the British—or should we not say, “British”?—of the present day.

How That Model Works

The essential feature and mission of that European “oligarchical model,” operates, in principle, precisely as Aeschylus presents the essentials of the case. The principle involved is the following.

The essential principle of empire is to, in effect, “decorticate” the great mass of the subject population of one’s own and other nations. To accomplish this efficiently, means to do exactly as has been done to the U.S.A., itself since Harry S Truman’s accession to the Presidency, as in numerous attempts of a kindred type, earlier, such as the Nineteenth-Century British operation, of imposing slavery as law, on London’s behalf, in the U.S.A., an imposition conducted largely through the British Empire’s stooges of the degenerate Nineteenth-Century Spanish monarchy, as had been attempted, repeatedly, against our republic earlier. By dumbing down a great mass of the enslaved portion of our population, and, using the influence of the British-run slavery system to dumb



The Olympian Zeus (shown here, depicted on a red-figured amphora of Panatheneas, ca. 480-470 B.C.) reflects the “oligarchical principle,” by which the majority of the human population is relegated to a cattle-like status, denied the human capacity to discover and apply universal scientific principles (“fire”) to the improvement of the condition of mankind.

down a large part of the remainder of the U.S. population, our destruction had seemed to be well on the way after 1815, until the signal victory of the U.S. over the British under the leadership of President Abraham Lincoln.

If we dumb down a large part of our own population, or permit outsiders, such as the British, to perform this service for us, there is no need to enslave us, since we will then destroy ourselves, as has been done systematically, since the assassination (i.e., elimination) of the President John F. Kennedy who had avowed, and demonstrated himself committed to reviving the Franklin Roosevelt legacy.

In such a variously foreign, or efficiently treasonous program directed against our post-Franklin Roosevelt republic, since the most untimely death of that great President, and as to be seen in the extreme under the Bush-Cheney government and the management of the U.S. Congress by the Nancy Pelosi functioning as a stooge of the fascist (“corporativist,” “ppp”) Felix Rohatyn, we (at least a large number of fools recruited from among us) proceed to destroy our-

selves. It is sufficient, under such circumstances, to degrade our citizens to the condition of “dumb bunnies,” a feat which reforms of our educational systems combined with our print and other “popular” mass media have thus far done very well.

The essence of the matter is elementary. To wit.

The essential distinction of the human individual from the bestiality of the higher apes, lies in the development and exercise of those intellectual powers associated with the model precedent of both ancient Pythagorean and Platonic culture, and the revival of that legacy around the role of Nicholas of Cusa et al., in the great ecumenical Council of Florence. Modern science, as best typified by the pioneering of Nicholas of Cusa, and by the legacy of Dante Alighieri, Leonardo da Vinci, Raphael Sanzio, Shakespeare, Rembrandt, Kepler, Fermat, Leibniz, Moses Mendelssohn, Friedrich Schiller, the founders of France’s Ecole Polytechnique, and, above all else, the founding of the U.S. Federal republic as the counter against the evils of European oligarchist traditions, are typical of relevant references.

In each and all of these referenced and kindred cases, it has been the developed expression of those mental qualities associated with physical science in the tradition of the Pythagoreans and Plato, which has typified the cultivation of the higher powers uniquely specific to the human species, which has been crucial.


However, the advantage thus indicated for civilized, anti-oligarchical culture, is not merely a matter of developing living individuals within societies.

The True Nature of Man

Compare the nature of the increase of the potential relative population-density of man in society (when this happy result actually occurs), per capita and per square kilometer, with the comparatively fixed potential relative population-density of lower forms of life. In that case, a certain fact should become readily accessible to our persistent reflection. We are not merely some animal species which has the most unusual capability of willfully increasing our species' potential relative population-density. We are not merely changed in our quality as human individuals; the systemic relations among the members of society is also changed qualitatively, this to the effect that we are not merely improved as a species. We are also changed in respect to the specific quality of relationships within society.

Thus, instead of attempting to locate productivity at the proverbial "point of production," the relations of production are changed in a qualitative way. For example: our needs as a productive individual in society are changed not merely quantitatively, but, more emphatically, qualitatively.

In first approximation, nothing illustrates this fact more simply and clearly than the effects of the shift from burning of wood, to charcoal, to coal, to petroleum, and to nuclear-fission power. The relationship of the individual within society is changed qualitatively, in virtually every aspect of life's activity as an individual, and as an actor within society.



President Abraham Lincoln's victory over the British slave system, unleashed the economic potential of our continental republic. This photo, by Mathew Brady, was taken at Cooper Union in New York, following Lincoln's nomination in 1860 as the Republican Party candidate for President, and appeared on the cover of Harper's Weekly, May 26, 1860.

Among the most notable of the kinds of changes related to the shift to a source of heat-power which is of qualitatively higher energy-flux density, per capita, and per square kilometer, the increases of the energy-flux-density mean a qualitative change in that to which our essential activities are related. In this way, we not only change those conditions to which our existence is related, but we are now compelled to change ourselves in ways dictated implicitly by the new requirements these necessary changes demand of us and of relations within society.

Because we are not limited, as a species, to a fixed potential relative population-density, we are able and obliged to change our environment itself, both to meet the obligations incurred through depletions of certain resources of a certain customary quality, and to shift to dependency upon more powerful resources. We are compelled to change the Biosphere, not only to overcome the effect of incurred depletions, but to redefine the needed environment.

These required, and optional changes are, in the end, always to the effect of an anti-entropic upshift in the environment we must create for ourselves as

replacement for the environment to which we had been related earlier.

This sort of change includes a type of a more critical significance. To the degree that our advances involve the development of newly encountered universal physical principles, our relationship to the universe, in our character as a species, has been changed fundamentally. Thus, rather than adapting to changes bounded by fixed types of principled considerations, we have changed our universe by making our relationship to that universe dependent on newly adopted, or even newly created universal physical principles. Thus, we are not only altering the universe in respect of matters of universal principle, but are on a course of long-ranging changes in the physical universe which our human species inhabits.

The most important of the changes we must impose upon



In his "St. Jerome Reading in an Italian Landscape" (1653-54), Rembrandt shows us Jerome, acting on the cognitive, immortal level, while the lion, whom he has tamed, and who protects him, embodies Nature, as improved by man.

ourselves as a species, is to redefine the universe in terms of our outreach to, and dependency upon employment of new universal physical principles. Such is the essential, functional distinction in principle of the Noösphere from the Biosphere. We are not creatures of the Biosphere; we are creatures which not only change the Biosphere, but also the Noösphere.

For the citizen, or other persons, who accept the general rules of belief associated with modern empiricism, beliefs like that of the empiricists, such as D'Alembert, Euler, and Lagrange, who defied Leibniz's discovery of the ontological infinitesimal of the Leibniz calculus, the real universe as I have described it does not exist as a viable option of practice for them. That is the essential tragedy which remains embedded in themselves for as long as they remain empiricists or persons of a kindred sort of mental affliction.

Yet, on the other side of what we might say is the same coin, if we accept what I have described here for practice, then there are no absolute limitations upon the self-develop-

ment of mankind. The Creator is no longer forbidden to change His creation with improvements in its design. Rather, as the man and woman defined by *Genesis* 1, his reason for being is precisely the obligation to supply such improvements. Heaven were not a place of retirement from work, but an active profession of that sort of work. The Creator of this universe, who is not disposed to be hampered by opinionated fools, would not have it any other way.

The vehicle we inhabit, temporarily, the animal body which serves as the temporary conveyance of the soul we already are and shall be, is mortal, animal in many important features of its mortal existence. However, there is clearly a higher domain, a domain in which temporal relations, as people today define them, are surpassed by what may be described fairly as an absolutely non-linear domain of physical space-time *action*. There, in that domain of action, the domain of personal mission implicitly assigned, we may find our true, immortal selves, and our happiness, as Gottfried Leibniz defined "the pursuit of happiness" for the attempted edification of the insensate mind of the miserable slave-master called John Locke.

Happiness, as Leibniz conveyed this notion to the authors of our Declaration of Independence, and, implicitly, the all-encompassing body of law expressed by the Preamble of our Federal Constitution, is a way of expressing the truly immortal purpose underlying the properly adopted mission of our mortal existence. Thus, the great evil which is typical of our officials and most citizens today, is their pitiable delusion that goals and experience of the individual human identity are limited to that which might be consumed within the expanse of our mortal existence.

Thus, when we consider the risk that we might die tomorrow, what could the purpose of our continued existence be if that purpose is confined within the temporal bounds of our mortality? The greatest achievement enjoyed by any human being who is truly human, is the good outcome to which we contribute, but which is delivered long after our mortal existence has ceased.

It is the life lived for the sake of a mission which is in itself immortal, which is the properly defined location of the true self-interest of any truly human being.

Let our citizens, therefore, cease to be fools. The penalty for not finding our identity in the true distinction of the living human personality from the beasts, is a life which may not have been worth living, since there is no justification for it in the future. It is time for the citizens of our republic, and other nations, to grow up to a sense of true immortality.

Worldwide Hunger Catastrophe! Produce Food, Not Biofuels!

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

This article was translated from German for EIR.

What's happening now was totally foreseeable: There is an explosion of disastrous hunger and food riots in 33 (!) nations in Africa, Asia, and Ibero-America. Without doubt, the world is standing at the brink of a hunger-tsunami, which is the direct result of the attempt by the central banks to postpone the collapse of the hopelessly bankrupt world financial system, with injections of more and more liquidity, as well as that of speculators who have thrown themselves into the food sector, for the inhuman destruction of food in order to produce biofuels.

The World Bank has now published numbers which say that the price of wheat, as of February, has gone up over the last 36 months by 181% (!), and that food prices overall have gone up 83% (!) over the same period. If worldwide riots, a hunger catastrophe threatening a billion people's lives, and a collapse into a New Dark Age are to be stopped, then the criminal destruction of foodstuffs for biofuels must be immediately ended, and a worldwide crash program for doubling the production of food be put in place.

To make the point clear: Hunger riots and protests have occurred in Liberia, Nigeria, Senegal, the Ivory Coast, Egypt, Cameroon, Burkina Faso, Mauritania, Kenya, Mozambique, Uzbekistan, Yemen, Jordan, Bolivia, Indonesia, Haiti, Guatemala, Nicaragua, Honduras, El Salvador, and are looming in other nations. For many countries, and the approximately 2 billion people who have been suffering from malnutrition for decades, the current hyperinflationary explosion of prices is an existential question.

Although it must have been clear to anyone, at least by the breakout of the end-phase of the systemic collapse of the global financial system in July 2007, that very soon, this catastrophic hunger crisis would hit the world's poor, and especially, of course, the developing countries, all of a sudden, the food riots

and food price inflation have become the subject of countless conferences, declarations, and media reports. But instead of identifying the real problem, especially the financial press—from London's *Economist* and *Financial Times*, to the *Wall Street Journal*—have brought out their old Malthusian arguments, that too many people want to eat ever-better food. At the same time, they attack countries such as India or China, that are trying to protect food supplies for their own populations through restricting exports, and insist on free trade.

In reality, the crisis is a declaration of the bankruptcy of globalization, which for decades, under the slogan “buy cheap, sell dear,” has insisted that the low-wage countries export their foodstuffs, although their own populations were not supplied sufficiently with food. But it's primarily the utilization of corn, grain, soy, and other agricultural products for the manufacture of biofuels—i.e., the transformation of a high-value product, into a low-grade one—which has played a major role in the price explosion. You don't have to agree with Fidel Castro on all issues, to agree with his forecast that the attempt to cover a large portion of the energy gap with biofuels, would potentially cost the lives of 3 billion people.

All the more scandalous, is the fact that, despite the manifest ethanol debacle, which has made it clear that most cars, the environment, and agriculture cannot cope with biofuels (tropical forests would be felled, swamps would dry up, and the price of animal feed is being driven up), German Environment Minister Sigmar Gabriel is, out of ideological stubbornness, insisting that in 2009, as planned, the share of biodiesel fuel is to rise from 5% to 7%. And if Czech President Vaclav Klaus considers “ecologism” to be the greatest threat to mankind, then, if one considers the consequences of it regarding the world hunger catastrophe, he has surely recognized it as one of the greatest dangers.

Completely opposite was the tenor of the discussion at the



Saab

"You don't have to say Fidel Castro is always right, to agree with his forecast that the attempt to cover a large portion of the energy gap with biofuels, would potentially cost the lives of 3 billion people." Here, a Saab 9-5 BioPower vehicle at an ethanol plant in Germany.

summit between India and the African Union (AU), which just took place in New Delhi. A whole series of speakers blamed the shift from food to biofuels for a very large part of the explosion in food prices. It was pointed out that in the U.S.A. alone, since 2006, 8 million hectares that previously had been planted with corn, cereals, and soy, for food and fodder, was turned over to biofuel production. In 2008, 18% of American cereals production is supposed to be wasted for biofuels, and similar proportions in Brazil, Argentina, Canada, and Eastern Europe—while hundreds of millions of people are threatened with death from famine!

This India-AU summit also showed what a different approach is needed today. The general director of the UN Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), Kandeh K. Yumkella, stressed that solid cooperation between India and Africa in agriculture could feed the world. India would possess the technological capacities, and Africa would have the land and the labor-power.

Yumkella referred to the Green Revolution in India of the 1970s and '80s, which proved that technology can raise productivity and increase the cereals crop dramatically, in the quickest way possible. But similar improvements must also be made in manufactures and transportation of the foodstuffs. Indian Prime Minister Manmohan Singh promised help to Africa in solving the food-supply catastrophe. The AU undoubtedly compared this summit with the EU-AU summit in

Lisbon at the end of last year, at which Chancellor Angela Merkel not only made herself the mouthpiece for British Prime Minister Gordon Brown and his policy of recolonizing Africa, but at which there was no real help provided to Africa.

Although the EU promised to raise its aid to Africa to Eu2 billion, this is only peanuts, when you consider the scope of the disaster, and that hundreds of billions are squandered in the supposed saving of the banks. And instead of sounding alarms about the engagement of China, Russia, and India in Africa for the construction of infrastructure, the European nations should follow the example of these countries, and contribute to help, so that the causes for the vulnerabilities of the African continent are eliminated—namely, the widespread lack of infrastructure.

The currently exploding world hunger catastrophe is the declaration of bankruptcy of globalization, which simply underscores that the free-market system is now some orders of magnitude more bankrupt than the communist system was between 1989 and 1991. And one should remember the words of Pope John Paul II, who commented upon the collapse of the Comecon, that one should not draw the conclusion from the collapse of the communist system, that the system of the free-market economy is a more moral one. This would become obvious, if one considered the situation of the developing countries.

What Is To Be Done

There is no rational reason not to immediately implement a package of measures to overcome the world hunger catastrophe as quickly as possible. The goal must be to overcome world hunger and the undernourishment of approximately one-third of mankind, which was already in effect before this current crisis.

Therefore we need:

- the doubling of food production worldwide as soon as possible;
- the immediate cessation of the misuse of food for biofuels;
- the immediate construction of infrastructure in Africa, Asia, and Ibero-America;
- comprehensive help in the processing of foodstuffs, including food irradiation;
- the immediate utilization of unutilized acreage;
- the immediate construction of the inherently safe high-temperature reactors for the desalination of large amounts of sea water for irrigation;
- the deployment of corps of engineers, farmers, and mid-sized entrepreneurs, under the provision of the agreement of sovereign and equal governments.

Because the global hunger catastrophe is only the consequence of the collapse of the world financial system, the question of a new financial architecture, a new Bretton Woods, must immediately be put on the agenda.

Because any reasonable person knows that it would be easy to solve the problem, provided that the political will can be mobilized, those in responsible positions will be measured by this yardstick. The world possesses all the technological and industrial capabilities to put into effect in a very short time, a global Marshall Plan, a global New Deal.

What Stands in the Way?

The international financial oligarchy, which is presently about to enlarge the British Empire into a world empire, with a weakened America as a satrapy on the other side of the Atlantic, and an EU dictatorship, which threatens to rob the nations of continental Europe of any sovereignty, is absolutely determined to throw the world into a New Dark Age rather than agree to a rational reorganization of the world financial system, and a worldwide financial order.

Not a few of them see, to the contrary, in the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse, an effective means of eliminating what they consider the current overpopulation. There are innumerable statements by Prince Philip, in which he has expressed his wish to solve the problem of this alleged overpopulation, including that he, for example, would like to be reincarnated as an “especially deadly virus.”

Thus Philip wrote in 1988, in the chapter entitled “The population factor” in the book *Down to Earth*: “What has been described as the ‘balance of nature’ is simply nature’s system of self-limitation. Fertility and breeding success create the surpluses after the replacement of losses. Predation, climatic variation, disease, starvation—and in the case of the inappropriately named *Homo sapiens*, wars and terrorism—are the principal means by which the population numbers are kept under some sort of control.”

And in an interview published in the Dec. 21, 1981 *People* magazine, he said: “Human population growth is probably the single most serious threat to survival. We are in for a major disaster if it isn’t curbed—not just for the natural world, but for the human world. The more people there are, the more resources they consume, the more pollution they create, the more fighting they will do. We have no option. If it isn’t controlled voluntarily, it will be controlled involuntarily by an increase of disease, starvation and war.”

The rapidly worsening world hunger catastrophe is the test for all the world’s governments. It is high time to throw overboard the political axioms which are responsible for the looming existential crisis for mankind. And these are, above all, neoliberalism, Malthusiansim, and ecologism, imperialism, and colonialism.

What we need instead, is a world of sovereign nation-states, which work together for the common goals of mankind on the basis of the principle of the Peace of Westphalia, that is, for the interest of the other. The absolute precondition for this is the New Bretton Woods system proposed a long time ago by Lyndon LaRouche. Do we in Europe have the moral strength, to make a decision in favor of this perspective?

Famine: The British Genocidal Food Policy

by Marcia Merry Baker

It is now planting season in the Northern Hemisphere, for corn, soybeans, Spring-sown wheat, and other small grains, coming at a time of global food scarcity and hyperinflation. Yet, no concerted international food mobilization is under way. Instead, the U.S. Agriculture Department (USDA) is conducting studies of the size of the world “food gap” in the making, caused by the food “price shock scenario.” In the February issue of its *Amber Waves* monthly, the USDA foresees that 30 million tons of grain—enough to feed more than 50 million people for a year—soon will not be there, not at any price. In other words: famine.

This is not the consequence simply of bad weather, poor crops, or even the hyper-speculation in commodities and the insane biofoolery of Al Gore et al. Today’s famine crisis culminates decades of the neo-British East India Company policy for agriculture: Exert worldwide control over what gets produced, where, and how—and starve people.

This British imperial policy today is called *globalization*, and it proscribes the most basic rights of populations and their nation-states, specifically the right to exercise their sovereignty to provide secure supplies of food and other necessities to their populations, and their posterity. Instead, as in the British Empire’s exercise of power in the 18th and 19th centuries, nations are forced to submit to “free trade” demands, which eliminate protection and subject their fate to the “marketplace.” Tariffs are banned; food subsidies are banned; and economic aid is premised upon producing cash crops for the international financial markets. The result is that nations must submit to the will of the imperial financial powers-that-be. And today, that will is to let those people considered “excess” die.

Food Shortages

For seven of the last ten years, world annual production of basic grains has been below the level of consumption, drawing down any existing stocks to historically low levels. On top of this, in the mid-2000s, came the Al Gore “alternative fuels” insanity, of the large-scale diversion of corn, cane, and oil crops to biofuels.

The run-up in food prices occurred accordingly, with the additional factor of unprecedented gambling in futures contracts on the agro-commodities exchanges. Hedge funds and other players have swarmed to the Chicago Board of Trade, and to the Kansas, Minneapolis, London, and other trading

venues, as the overall financial crash has cut off their other speculative “opportunities.”

The effect has been that the price of rice has “gone vertical.” In only three months, the world market price (medium-grade, from Thailand) nearly doubled, going from \$360 up to \$760 a metric ton (as of March 27). The price has headed higher in April, in the face of severe shortages and lack of an international effort to deal with the crisis.

Now, for increasing millions of people, the *food isn't there* at any price. “The new hungry” is the parlor name for this phenomenon, in the words of Josette Sheeran, director of the World Food Program. Speaking at a conference in Addis Abeba in late March, she said: “We are seeing a new face of hunger. We are seeing more urban hunger than ever before. Often, we are seeing food on the shelves but people being unable to afford it.”

The more accurate terminology is, genocide. In February, the World Food Program announced that it has begun plans for rationing scarce food aid among 73 million people in 2008, mostly in Africa, because of the out-of-control food prices and scarcities. Some people will starve to death.

At present, nations are responding to the crisis by taking rearguard actions, to try to line up supplies, and protect domestic consumption in any way they can, in order to limit suffering and prevent food riots.

Rice. Three of the world’s leading rice exporters have placed bans on exports in order to protect domestic consumption—Vietnam, India, and China.

Wheat. Two of the top eight wheat exporting nations are resorting to limitations: Russia (its restrictions are due to expire April 30); and Kazakhstan (still considering banning exports.)

Many governments are trying to mandate food rationing and substitutions. In Bangladesh, the advice is to try to eat potatoes, given the rice shortage. In the Philippines, the government ordered restaurants to cut back the size of rice portions in meals.

Every day, food riots are taking place somewhere in the world against hyper-prices, shortages, or both. In Haiti on April 8, a mob tried to storm the Presidential palace in Port-au-Prince, crying, “We are hungry,” protesting the 50% increase in the price of staples over the past year. Five people died in protests the week before in provincial towns around the starving country. In Africa, major protests have occurred in Cameroon, Mauritania, Senegal, Burkina Faso, and Mozambique. In Tanzania, because of chronic malnourishment, 38% of children under five years old are stunted in height.

In North Africa, violent food protest riots and jailings have occurred in Egypt and Algeria.

Emergency Measures To Stop the Catastrophe

The question of the hour is, can this catastrophic course toward famine be stopped? The answer, in terms of bushels, liters, and metric tons is, “yes.” Even at this advanced stage of

collapse, national agro-industrial emergency measures and international collaboration for a kind of “victory garden” approach, could provide interim food supplies for millions, until economic activity is rebuilt to provide food security. During World War II, when the United States mobilized to increase food output, local and state groupings of agriculture and food-processing networks helped decide how to meet goals, and what manpower and resources were needed. We can do the same today.

Mustering the needed agriculture inputs on a crash basis, and for the longer term, would require the kind of nation-serving financial measures called for in the March 17 policy statement by Lyndon LaRouche, “Doom Has Struck! Three Steps to Survival,” now in mass circulation through the LaRouche PAC networks. The first points, focussed on the United States, embody the principle of averting collapse, and then reviving economic activity.

After identifying as Point 1, the need for U.S. action on the LPAC proposal for a Homeowners and Bank Protection Act of 2007, LaRouche lays out Points 2 and 3 about credits for revival—the kind needed for revving up farm output, and for a stable international financial system in which to build up national and regional productive systems of agro-industrial capacity:

“2. A two-tier credit system, in which a) U.S. government credit for physical-economic recovery programs is provided at between 1-2%, and b) other utterances of credit-injections float more or less freely.

“3. The U.S. government must now immediately approach the governments of Russia, China, India, and others for the prompt establishment of an international, emergency fixed-exchange-rate system, ending the presently hopelessly bankrupt floating-exchange-rate system.”

Among the many kinds of capital-intensive development cited to be carried out, is that of building up regions of industry and agriculture characterized by “closely held productive enterprises dispersed as essential elements of the economy of moderate-sized regions of combined private entrepreneurial industry and agriculture....”


In the meantime, speculation could be stopped cold by government mandate. And in this spirit, food relief measures, despite the acute shortages, could be innovated in some fashion.

‘One World, One Market’

The major obstacle to averting famine lies in the myth of the “markets”: So far, there has been no subjective break with decades of brainwashed public and lawmaker opinion about the primacy of the “markets.” On one level, it ought to be easy. The world of “markets” has crashed.

But the grip of decades of globaloney about how the world’s “free” (rigged) trade will feed people, is still in force. The myths were codified in 1984, with the GATT—General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade—process, to “reform agri-

FIGURE 1



UN Food and Agriculture Organization

culture” to allow multinational private interests to globalize farming, processing, and distribution. This was further implemented in the 1995 World Trade Organization and the 1994 North America Free Trade Agreement. The central axiom was stated in the GATT poster slogan: “One World, One Market.”

Under this theme, nations were coerced to forgo grain reserves, forgo support for their agriculture sector, and forgo even the goal of domestic food self-sufficiency—all in the name of preventing “markets-distorting” impacts.

Now we have reached the stage of breakdown of the food chain, where to remain locked in these axioms means famine. Averting famine requires breaking with the markets’ con game. To prevent such a break, the voice of the City of London, *The Economist* magazine, is conducting a “Don’t You Dare” campaign. Its article in the March 29-April 4 issue is titled, “Cereal Offenders,” and it denounces any national-interest action by governments to protect their own food supplies, as “disincentivizing farmers” from producing for world markets.

The Economist is merely continuing the message from the

neo-British Empire perspective, for which the Margaret Thatcher years of the 1980s were the critical period of imposing extreme free-trade and globalization axioms throughout world agriculture.

We here summarize the scope of today’s immediate crisis, and review the leading aspects of the decades of Made-in-London globalization policies that got us to this point.

World Hunger Map

The United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization maintains an interactive map of “World Hunger” (www.fao.org/es/ess/faostat/foodsecurity/FSMap/map14.htm) in which it updates the statistics of the nations which are food short. **Figure 1** shows 70 nations. By region, the estimate for those now going hungry, even before the famine ahead:

Sub-Saharan Africa:	204 million
Asia/Pacific:	156 million
India:	221 million

China:	142 million
Latin America/Caribbean:	53 million
North Africa/Southwest Asia:	39 million
Countries formerly part of the U.S.S.R.:	28 million
Other industrialized countries:	9 million.

This adds up to 852 million people, nearly one-seventh of the world's population, for whom sufficient food is not now being provided.

Take basic grains as a measure: The volume of production is below what is necessary for consumption and carry-over as reserve. The same point applies to tubers, legumes, and other staples. Now, as certain nations withhold grain from the export markets in order to meet home needs, *the volume of grain for commercial imports and aid is disappearing.*

For the current crop year, 2007-08, consumption of grains (all kinds), for all uses (food, animal feed, biofuels) is projected at 2,120.3 million metric tons, which is 17.7 mmt more than the projected production this year, according to the February estimates by the FAO. "World reserves are heading to yet another decline from their already low levels," stated the FAO. "World cereal stocks by the close of the [crop] seasons ending in 2008 are expected to fall to just 405 mmt, down 22 mmt, or 5%, from their already reduced level at the start of the [crop] season and the smallest since 1982."

All grains are in short supply. In the United States, wheat stocks are at their lowest level since 1946-47, in absolute tonnage.

Capping all these trends, is the "Gorey" catastrophe of the biofuels mania that is increasingly diverting grains and oil crops away from the food chain. At present rates, in 2008, 95 mmt of corn could be consumed for ethanol, which is 12% of the expected total world corn harvest. On top of that, 10 million tons of wheat and other grains are going into biofuels. This doesn't count the capacity going into cane ethanol in Brazil, or Asian and European oilseeds for biodiesel.

The following figures give a snapshot view, as of the March 2008 issue of the monthly *World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates* by the USDA.

Rice. The tonnage of rice available for importing this year is falling drastically. The USDA estimated in March that rice for export was trending downward from 30.85 mmt in 2006-07, down to perhaps 29.39 mmt this year. But that does not reflect the announcements by major rice-exporting countries of limiting or banning exports. Of the 29 mmt of rice for trade or aid, only seven nations account for 27 mmt. Four of them have restricted exports: Vietnam (previously exporting 5 mmt in a recent normal year); India (3.5 mmt); China (1.3 mmt); Egypt (1.3 mmt). Pakistan, exporting some 3 mmt in recent years, is in a shortage crisis. (See article, in this section.)

Wheat. The tonnage of wheat traded each year is falling. In 2005-06, it was at the level of 116 million metric tons; then down to 111 mmt last year. This year, the USDA puts it at 105

mmt, but that is not accounting for the withholding that may occur. The top eight wheat-exporting nations would account for 96 mmt of wheat traded this year, but so far 21 mmt from Russia and Kazakstan may not all go on the market.

Genocide in Africa

Under these circumstances, the dependence of more and more nations on imports for their daily bread has been a guarantee for hunger and starvation. Yet, among the world's lowest-income nations are found the greatest number of people both dependent on grain to supply energy and nutrients in their diet, and upon imports to supply that grain.

A study of this dependency crisis by the Economic Research Service of the USDA was reported in its February *Amber Waves* e-journal, titled, "Rising Food Prices Intensify Food Insecurity in Developing Countries." It reports: "To identify countries that are highly sensitive to increases in grain prices, ERS ranked the 70 low-income countries by grain import dependence and daily calorie consumption. Six of the lowest-income countries (Eritrea, Liberia, Haiti, Georgia, Burundi, and Zimbabwe) depend on grain imports for more than 40 percent of their diets and consume an average of less than 2,200 calories per day. Eritrea, for example, is highly dependent on food imports: 87 percent of grains, 51 percent of vegetable oils, and 100 percent of sugar. Export earnings cover only 24 percent of Eritrea's import bill; the remainder is filled by external assistance. Eritrea's daily calorie availability of 1,465 in 2005 was among the lowest in the world. . . .

"Of the world's least developed countries (50 countries, as defined by the United Nations' FAO, 32 of which are in Sub-Saharan Africa), the import share of production [volume of imported foodstuffs taken as a percent of domestic production] for wheat jumped from 93 percent in 1980 to more than 130 percent in 2005. For sugar, the share soared from only 4 percent in 1980 to more than 65 percent in 2005. A similar pattern is seen for vegetable oils, with the share rising from about 6 percent to 80 percent."

One of the Sub-Saharan countries hard hit is Côte d'Ivoire. From 1990 to the early 2000s, grain production remained level. As the USDA reports, "To maintain grain supplies for a growing population, grain imports rose, and have been virtually equal to production for the past 5 years or so." In Zimbabwe, grain output has fallen by about half since 2000, with a rise in import-dependence and vulnerability.

This same food gap is to be seen around the globe. In Central and South America, the nations of Guatemala, Honduras, and Peru are extremely import reliant. Grain imports have been rising 10 percent a year since 1990 in Guatemala and Honduras. The USDA reports, "In fact, in 2006, grain imports exceeded domestic production in Honduras by 30 percent, and Guatemala by 55 percent."

Now, the food isn't to be had. This is the result of the deliberate "world markets-dependence policy," intended for genocide.



The British East India Company's economic model was to impose a global system of colonial looting, which caused repeated famines in India and elsewhere. Here, the Indian Ocean slave trade.

Famine: Made in London

At Bretton Woods, New Hampshire, in 1944, a proposal was made in the discussions of setting up a post-war financial system, to create an International Trade Organization (ITO), to advance free marketeering among nations, in the style of the former British Empire's relations with colonies. It was easily defeated, under the opposition of the United States and its allies, who opposed setting up anything like the pre-war imperial looting of regions. Instead of an ITO, trade was to occur through mutually beneficial bilateral and multilateral trade arrangements among participating nations.

In line with this, the FDR-era economic development impulse prevailed over the 1950s and 1960s, in the furtherance of the agro-industrial build-up of nations and regions, including newly independent countries.

There were outstanding agriculture achievements. In the 1960s, Mexico was a grain exporter, benefitting spectacularly from the build-up of the agriculture base and crop yields under the Green Revolution, that originated at Mexico City's International Center for Wheat and Corn Research (CIMMYT). This world-class agriculture R&D center was founded at the instigation of President Franklin Roosevelt's Agriculture Secretary and Vice President, Henry Wallace, a plant geneticist from Iowa. In Africa, development projects included the major water management of the White Nile, with the initiation of the Jonglei Canal.

In 1974, India—thanks to collaboration with CIMMYT for seeds—became self-sufficient in grains of all types, for the first time in modern history. This went against all the doom-and-gloom forecasts from the propaganda machines of the now greenie neo-British Empire. In his 1968 book, *The Population Bomb*, genocidalist Paul Ehrlich had said specifically that it was a “fantasy” that India could “ever” feed itself.

Like CIMMYT, other research centers were established for oilseeds, potatoes, and other crops, especially rice, for which the IRRI, International Rice Research Institute, was set up in the Philippines. By the early 1980s, the Philippines became self-sufficient in rice; then-President Ferdinand Marcos had plans for developing Filipino and Asian agriculture productivity even more.

The association of IRRI, CIMMYT, and other such agencies was called CGIAR—Consultative Group for International Agriculture Research. The guiding principle was that scientific advances could continually be made in seed quality (disease resistance, yield, and other characteristics) so that, given requisite agriculture infrastructure and cultural practices, the world population would continue to grow by billions.

But by the 1970s, this trajectory was dashed. The onslaught against it included the 1971 floating-exchange-rate policy, the “Save the Earth” greenie brainwashing against technology, and especially, the imposition of privatization and free trade—the euphemisms for globalizing and controlling economic activity by a select financial elite.

The 1980s, the “Margaret Thatcher” years in Britain, were a watershed decade. Domestically, the Thatcher government deregulated and privatized infrastructure, industry, and agriculture. The outbreak and spread of Mad Cow Disease—bovine spongiform encephalopathy—was a spin-off of that takedown process. Internationally, a renewed demand for an imperial International Trade Organization was launched.

In 1984, in Punta del Este, the Uruguay Round of the GATT—General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade of the UN—was launched. Its focus was “to reform agriculture trade,” so that there would be borderless markets, in which cartels of multinationals would dominate. National populations were to

obtain food and maintain agro-capacity, based only on “market forces.” In negotiations culminating in the 1995 World Trade Organization (WTO), nations were coerced into agreeing to forgo their right to food reserves, because such reserves were categorized as “trade distorting.” Supports for the farm sector were similarly proscribed. Even setting a goal of food self-sufficiency was considered to be “trade distorting” and disallowed.

During the same period, sweeping patent rights to food seeds and seed-engineering methods were granted to a small cartel of multinational agro and pharmaceutical companies, including Monsanto, Cargill, DuPont, Novartis, and a few others. At the same time, funding was slashed for the public-good research at the CGIAR network, to the point now where its only apparent recourse is to seek funding from billionaires Bill Gates and Warren Buffett. While their handouts may be put to good use, it is no substitute for a public-good policy.

The WTO today is widely considered an institutional zombie, but it has accomplished the desired wreckage. Vast land-use shifts have occurred over the past 35 years, degrading world agriculture production and output potential way below the threshold of meeting needs. Huge amounts of land have been lost to farming, as urban areas have sprawled out over the landscape, instead of being concentrated as highly organized industrial, residential, and cultural centers. This is the case ranging from China, to India, from the Philippines to the United States, and throughout the Americas. China recently announced that it had lost 7 million hectares to this process, and it curbed further land conversion.

At the same time, once diversified and productive national regimes of agriculture, like that of mid-20th-Century Argentina, have been degraded into monoculture. Fifty or so years ago, the Pampas and other physiographic regions of that nation produced wheat, cattle, and a full range of fruits, vegetables, and oilseeds. Today, close to 50% of all the arable land in Argentina is in soybeans. Brazil, likewise, has been downgraded into vast soy plantations, and sugar cane operations to feed the cane ethanol craze. There are plans in the works for a dedicated ethanol pipeline from inland plantations to the port, for foreign consumption.

Dominating these shifts are the same few financial circles, involving Cargill, Bunge, ADM, George Soros, and the like.

This is exactly the British East India Company model of private control and dictation of basic economic life. From 1600 to 1873, this imperial gang imposed systems of producing what it wanted for trade and control—indigo, jute, rice, cotton, spices, and other goods around the globe. The British East India policy on the Indian Subcontinent is the textbook record of how to cause famines. In 1857, for example, the Company forced the conversion of local farm operations into foreign plantations. Famine ensued repeatedly. Presiding over the Great Famine in 1877-79, Lord Lytton, the British Viceroy of India, said, “Don’t interfere.”

It’s long overdue to break with this evil.

War, Food Shortages Ravage Central Asia And Pakistan

by Ramtanu Maitra

After the landing of U.S. troops in Afghanistan in the Winter of 2001, Afghanistan, and the adjoining areas of Central Asia and Pakistan have become one large theater of war and destruction. While it was a deliberate policy of the Bush Administration neocons to set up forward bases at the crossroads of three major areas—Southwest Asia, Central Asia, and South Asia—the British colonial forces have seized upon this American folly to unleash irregular warfare against Russia, Central Asia, and China.

For the British, who lost two wars against Afghanistan during the heyday of its colonial power in the 19th and 20th centuries, the sole value of Afghanistan is its space, and not its people. Such an empty space would not only be policed and maintained at the least cost, as Marc Herold of the University of New Hampshire wrote recently; Afghanistan would provide Britain what it needs the most: opium. Britain used opium grown in British India in the 19th Century to drive China into addiction, and severed Hong Kong from China in 1842 after the First Opium War. London, given the opportunity by the Bush Administration, is now turning Afghanistan into the opium country. The aim is to use the opium not only to enhance the City of London’s financial strength, but also to weaken China, in a campaign to pry loose the western Chinese province of Xinjiang.

Afghanistan, as late as the 1970s, had the capability to grow enough food to feed its people. But as a result of London’s unmitigated evil policy, 30 years of war later, it is now fast turning into a permanently food-short nation. Meanwhile, opium production is growing fast and furiously. Aggregate production of opium today is more than four times what was produced in Afghanistan in the 1970s, and today, U.S.- and NATO-occupied Afghanistan “boasts” of producing almost 95% of the world’s opium. If this policy is allowed to continue much longer, it is almost a certainty that Afghanistan, and adjoining nations, would become mere “empty spaces.”

Since 1979, when the Soviet Army came in to occupy, Afghans have never enjoyed a day of peace. Years of bombings, hundreds of thousands of land mines strewn all over the agricultural and other areas, indiscriminate air strafing, and inability to carry out regular maintenance, have destroyed Afghanistan’s irrigation canals and silted the rivers that were indispensable to the country’s wheat and corn production. Without agricultural infrastructure, farmers became power-

less, and the land became good for opium production only. Just the way to create “empty space”!

Since the 2001 U.S. invasion of Afghanistan gave the boost to opium production to maintain drug warlords and their militia, and to maintain the bankrupt international banks with opium money, Afghanistan has been teetering on the brink of famine. In 2001, the UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) reported that about 7.5 million out of 23 million Afghans were short of food. This gap was, however, covered by donor nations by buying some of the surplus food that the world enjoyed at the time. But now, the situation has changed. The food shortage is no longer local, but global (see accompanying articles). As a result, the “smart” speculators have jacked up the food prices to make a fast buck by starving a few millions.

Early in 2008, Afghanistan appealed to the international community to provide extra supplies of wheat to alleviate a shortage, Commerce Minister Mohammad Amin Farhang said in an interview with the German newspaper *Neue Osnabrücker Zeitung*. He made this request amid rising discontent inside Afghanistan at the spiraling cost of wheat and other basic foods. The Winter turned out to be rougher than usual, reducing grain production further.

According to Amin Farhang, in some areas of Afghanistan, the price of bread has doubled or even quadrupled. “Food prices have gone up and no one can afford to buy all the food they need,” said a resident of Lashkar Gah, the capital of the southern Helmand province.

“A 100 kg [about 222 lbs.] of flour is about 3,300 afghanis (\$67), and poor people will not be able to afford to pay this at all. The government should do something about it,” he added.

Helmand province is one of the worst-affected areas. In 2007, it produced 53% of Afghanistan’s total opium, and it is where the British and Dutch, along with the Canadian and Australians, have been deployed to counter the insurgents. The fact remains that the opium poppy in Helmand is now the best protected, under the “watchful” eyes of the British. It is likely that no Afghan farmer is even allowed to grow wheat in Helmand, instead of opium poppy.

Smuggling and Hunger in Pakistan

Hamid Karzai’s government in Kabul has come under increasing pressure to take action, amid rising grain prices on the international market. Afghanistan’s northern neighbor, Tajikistan, is now a perpetually food-short nation. To the east, Afghanistan borders Pakistan, which, only a few years ago, was food-sufficient. But the 2001 invasion of Afghanistan by the United States brought Pakistan into the war zone. Pakistan is today torn apart by politics of dissension, militant movements, and Islamic jihad, imposed upon it by the British, Americans, and NATO.

As a result, Pakistan’s domestic policy was restructured to put out the many fires breaking out all along the border with

Afghanistan. Along these virtually undefined borders, militant secessionist forces, aided by the British, and those elsewhere with British colonial minds, are gaining ground.

This massive political crisis, now almost half a decade old, has induced Islamabad to neglect the agricultural sector. Pakistan is now short of water, short of power, and short of food. This is not only bad for the nation of 160 million people, but it also further endangers the Afghans, who, in times of food shortage, used to get surplus food grains from Pakistan, though not always legally. One recent report from Pakistan shows that 77 million Pakistanis are food-short.

Reports indicate that illegal food smuggling from Pakistan into Afghanistan continues. But this food is not meant for poor Afghans, but for the drug lords, who maintain thousands of armed militias and are flush with opium-generated cash. These Afghans have further pushed up food prices in Pakistan. This is particularly visible in Northwestern Pakistan, where the population has been suffering a shortage because of rampant smuggling of edible goods to Afghanistan.

These drug warlords buy not only cereals, such as flour and rice, but also pulses and edible oils. The smugglers carry the foodstuffs illegally across the porous borders of the North-West Frontier Province (NWFP) and adjoining Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA), and the goods are sold across Afghanistan. According to traders, there has been a 100% hike in prices in Pakistan because of unhindered smuggling. The people most affected by the rampant illegal trade to Afghanistan are those Pakistanis who are living in the border areas of NWFP, FATA, and Balochistan.

The Central Asian Republics

North of Afghanistan, a humanitarian crisis has developed in the perpetual food-short Tajikistan. This year is going to be worse for three reasons: The Winter was particularly harsh; there is little or no surplus food available on the world market; and the opium poppy is blooming brighter than ever in Afghanistan, under the vigilant patrol of the British troops.

Meanwhile, reports indicate that anger is mounting among Tajiks, who, for the first time since their civil war in the 1990s, may be ready to protest for major change. The anger has been furthered by the fact that energy supplies have also been cut from neighboring Kyrgyzstan and Uzbekistan, in the midst of the harshest Winter in living memory. Some people also blame shortages of food in Afghanistan on the fact that wheat is being smuggled from there, into Tajikistan.

On Feb. 13, and again later, the United Nations urged the international community to come up with \$25 million to help feed 260,000 Tajiks. UN spokeswoman Michele Montas said, “More than half a million Tajiks are estimated to be food-insecure, while at least 260,000 need immediate food aid.”

Among the Central Asian nations, particularly the “stan”



USAF/Sgt. Jeremy T. Lock

Afghan villagers sit alongside a poppy field, watching the activities of Coalition forces. Afghanistan produces almost 95% of the world's opium, while one-third of the population does not have enough to eat.

nations—Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan, Kazakhstan, and Turkmenistan—Kazakhstan was by far the most food-stable. Helped by the fertile Ferghana Valley and the year-long adequate supply of water, Kazakhstan is one of the world's largest exporters of wheat, and much of Central Asia depends on a good wheat crop in Kazakhstan.

But Kazakhstan is worried about the intense wheat shortage all around. It does not want to allow a situation to develop similar to what exists in Pakistan. In other words, Kazakhstan does not want to become food-short by allowing drug money to buy off its people's food.

On April 7, Kazak Prime Minister Karim Masimov told reporters that he is considering imposing export duties on grain, or even banning exports altogether. This announcement is diametrically opposite to what Kazakhstan had said in 2007.

In 2007, the Kazakhstan government had said that its grain harvest would hit a post-Soviet record of 20.1 million tons. That would mean that the country's export potential is around 10 million tons. When the government floated the idea of grain export duties in March 2007, the news was one of several factors that contributed to a global 25% increase in grain prices, reports indicate.

According to analysts, the region that would suffer the most is Kazakhstan's own neighborhood, Central Asia. Apart from Kazakhstan itself, none of the Central Asian states is a grain exporter. Soviet-era economic planners devoted the agricultural land in the other four states to growing cotton as a cash crop, and that practice has not changed since the collapse of the Soviet Union. The Central Asian countries import all of their grain, mostly from Kazakhstan.

Kazakhstan's decision, if it is final, will create a crisis in Kyrgyzstan, which had very severe problems in 2007. Last Autumn, the price of bread—the basic foodstuff for much of the nation—rose sharply, leaving many families battling for survival.

The situation inside Kyrgyzstan also needs special attention. It has only about 1.5 million hectares of arable land, less than 7% of its territory, and only 360,000 hectares is used for grain production. The country is highly vulnerable to fluctuations in cereal prices on world markets. To feed a population of just over 5 million, Kyrgyzstan has to import more than 200,000 tons of grain and about 30,000 tons of flour every year. Most of it comes from Kazakhstan, the breadbasket of Central Asia. However, from last August onwards, prices rose by 100% from an average of U.S.\$140 per ton of grain to a high of \$300.

It must be said, that the food crisis in this area could become even worse. With the world financial collapse at an advanced stage, and the Britain-led war to destroy the region in progress, this food-short area is further threatened by a new and virulent wheat fungus, Ug99, which was previously found in East Africa and Yemen, and has moved to major wheat-growing areas in Iran.

The FAO has warned wheat-producing countries east of Iran, such as India, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, and Kazakhstan, of a "dangerous wheat fungus on the move from East Africa to the Middle East." FAO warned: "The fungus is spreading rapidly and could seriously lower wheat production in these countries..." (See accompanying article.)

Wheat Stem Rust Hits Eurasian Food Security

by Christine Craig

Ug99, a highly virulent new strain of the wheat stem rust *Puccinia graminis*, has moved into central Iran, where prevailing wind patterns could blow trillions of spores to the wheat-growing regions of Kazakstan and Russia, as well as into Pakistan and India. With wheat and grain stocks already depleted in recent years, and prices setting records, the movement of the fungus into these wheat-growing regions could lead to widespread famine. Botanists estimate that 90% of all wheat varieties around the world are susceptible to this new strain of disease.

Wheat stem rust last caused large-scale devastation in the United States from 1950-54, decreasing the Spring wheat yield by up to 40%. Part of Norman Borlaug's Green Revolution,

in response to this periodic devastation, involved the breeding of durable rust resistance into wheat crops, a strategy which helped produce decades of freedom from large-scale rust losses. In recent decades of free trade and cartel-serving private research, however, national research funding for, and focus on, wheat rust resistance has fallen precipitously. In fact Borlaug's International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) in Mexico has itself been in grave financial difficulties recently.

The Ug99 strain was first identified in 1999 in Uganda. It spread quickly to Kenya, Ethiopia, and elsewhere, where almost no local wheat variety could resist its onslaught. Then a year ago, strong winds blew the fungus across the Red Sea to Yemen, and thence straight north to western Iran this Spring, years earlier than scientists had expected. There is now a mad scramble to develop new varieties of "resistant wheat"—a process that could take up to five years of laborious breeding—while the fungus reproduces prodigiously by spores which are swiftly spread by wind.


Last Fall, a crash program and early warning system for wheat rust was formed—the Borlaug Global Rust Initiative, mostly under the wing of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR)—a research network that grew out of the initiative of President Franklin Roosevelt and plant geneticist Henry Wallace, his Agriculture Secretary and Vice President.

An expanded grouping of CGIAR and other agencies met March 10-11, 2008 in Syria, to ramp up their fight against Ug99, in collaboration with scientists from over a dozen national agriculture research systems, most of which were already affected, or in the path of the marauding fungus. A major question was, how to get funding for the intensive breeding programs required to keep ahead of the fungus.

A prominent participant at the March meeting was Cornell University, which has just been awarded a \$26.8 million grant by the Bill and Melinda Gates

Foundation to head up yet a new global partnership called the Durable Rust Resistance in Wheat Project, to lead the fight to develop a new generation of rust-resistant wheat varieties. In January, Bill Gates gave a similar grant, worth \$19.9 million, to another underfunded CGIAR collaborator, the International Rice Research Institute in the Philippines (likewise an FDR-legacy Green Revolution institution in financial trouble).

FIGURE 1
Spread of Ug99 Wheat Stem Rust into Asia
(December 2006-February 2007)



Courtesy of Dave Hodson, GIS unit, CIMMYT

See the entire interactive graphic at www.cimmyt.org/gis/rustmapper/.

The spread of wheat stem rust could threaten harvests throughout Eurasia.

Lay Biofuel Death Toll at Gore's Door

by Marcia Merry Baker

Among all the ways that Al Gore has advocated and worked to kill people, his biofuels crap is the worst.

Just at the time when low world grain production and stocks, relative to needs, began hitting new danger levels in the mid-2000s, Gore stepped up to lead the “alternative fuels” insanity of the large-scale diversion of corn, cane, and oil crops to biofuels. Gore was the neo-green public figure, working in alliance with the neo-con, so-called right-wing side of the promotion machine for biofuels. Gore grandstanded during the 2004-06 period, as nations were coerced to pass laws ordering that a big percentage of ethanol and biodiesel must be included in gasoline and diesel fuel, by certain deadlines. What ensued, besides a financial mini-bubble, was a massive shift in area planted to biofuels crops—corn in North America, cane in Brazil, oil crops in Asia, wheat, and rape-seed in Europe, and crops in Africa too. The conditions for genocide by starvation were created.

The Gorey/leftie line for doing this involves the familiar, multiple lies: the Earth is overheating from carbon dioxide; biofuels will emit less CO₂; energy from biomass exceeds the energy put in to produce it. The Big Lie is that the Earth is overpopulated anyway, and reducing numbers is good. For example, Gore's 1992 book, reissued in 2006, *Earth in the Balance; Ecology and the Human Spirit*, gives his standard

argument: “[The countries of Africa] are already putting great strains on their natural resources and threatening the integrity of their ecological systems, so it is truly frightening to imagine the impact of doubling or tripling their numbers....”

The right-wing version, promoted by networks including George Shultz, one of the longtime “economic hitmen” of the Republican stripe, and James Woolsey, former CIA Director, is the lie that biofuels will provide energy independence and security.

Death Toll from Biofuels Swindle

At present rates, in 2008, at least 95 million metric tons of corn will be utilized worldwide for ethanol, which is 12% of the expected total world corn harvest this coming year. On top of that, 10 million tons of wheat and other grains are going into biofuels globally. This doesn't count the agriculture capacity going into cane ethanol in Brazil, or Asian and European oilseeds for biodiesel.

LaRouche spelled out the consequences in a March 7, 2007 webcast, “Implications of the Gore Hoax for International Policy.” He said, “There's no possible way that this biofuels swindle could work. Because what the system requires—it consumes, actually, in raw caloric terms, the production of this fuel produces less fuel in terms of power, than it consumes. In other words, there's no net gain from so-called biofuels. It's also an idiotic thing to do, because what biofuels means is *reducing food!* It means going into corn production for fuels, at a loss: that is, you get less power out of the fuel than you put into making it! This would mean destruction of the landscape, destruction of the entire economy, mass starvation around the world. It would destroy whole areas of food producing. Idiocy!”

Starving people is not satisfying enough for the appetites of Al Gordo. He is also directly cashing in on the biofuels craze. His London-based financial Blood and Gore outfit is directly involved in making money off the U.S. ethanol binge, calling it “green smart” investment. His company, Generation Investment Management, whose co-officer is David Blood, formerly of Goldman Sachs, is significantly invested in the Danish firm whose subsidiary supplies 40% of all the enzymes used in the U.S. ethanol distilling process. The firm is Novo Nordisk, whose sub-group, Novozymes, is the world's leading supplier of enzymes used in processing corn into ethanol.

Novo Nordisk is one of 14 huge corporations (with Hewlett Packard, Sony, etc.) steered by the World Wildlife Fund as “Climate Savers.”



EIRNS/Alan Yue

The biofuels: genocide alliance: Al Gore (right), the greenie side; and George Shultz, the “energy independence” neocon.

LaRouche's 1988 Offer To Moscow on Food Crisis

On Oct. 12, 1988, Lyndon LaRouche announced the impending collapse of the Soviet system, a collapse which he said would begin soon in Poland and would lead to the restoration of Berlin as the future capital of Germany. Not one leading figure of the world agreed with LaRouche then; but it happened the next year. LaRouche outlined the growing economic crisis in the Soviet bloc—especially the collapse of agriculture—and declining food stocks worldwide. He made a proposal to Moscow, Bonn, and his own government in Washington, to cooperate to overcome the immediate food shortages, and to map out a collaborative effort to build up the physical economy of Poland. In exchange, Germany would be reunified.

The following is the text of his speech at a press conference at West Berlin's Kempinski Bristol Hotel. He was at the time an independent candidate for the Presidency of the United States.

The Reunification of Germany

I am here today, to report to you on the subject of U.S. policy for the prospects of reunification of Germany. What I present to you now, will be a featured topic in a half-hour U.S. television broadcast, nationwide, prior to next month's Presidential election. I could think of no more appropriate place to unveil this new proposal, than here in Berlin.

I am the third of the leading candidates for election as the next President of the United States. Although I shall not win that election, my campaign will almost certainly have a significant influence in shaping some of the policies of the next President.

Although we can not know with certainty who will be the winner of a close contest between Vice President George Bush and Massachusetts Gov. Michael Dukakis, it is the best estimate in the United States today, that Mr. Bush will win the largest electoral vote. Obviously, I am not supporting Mr. Bush's candidacy, and I am not what is called a "spoiler" candidate, working secretly on Mr. Bush's behalf. Nonetheless, should Mr. Bush win, it would be likely that I would have some significant, if indirect influence on certain of the policies of the next administration. How this result would affect the destiny of Germany and Central Europe generally, is the subject of my report here today.

By profession, I am an economist in the tradition of Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz and Friedrich List in Germany, and of Alexander Hamilton and Mathew and Henry Carey in the

United States. My political principles are those of Leibniz, List, and Hamilton, and are also consistent with those of Friedrich Schiller and Wilhelm von Humboldt. Like the founders of my republic, I have an uncompromising belief in the principle of absolutely sovereign nation-states, and I am therefore opposed to all supranational authorities which might undermine the sovereignty of any nation. However, like Schiller, I believe that every person who aspires to become a beautiful soul, must be at the same time a true patriot of his own nation, and also a world-citizen.

For these reasons, during the past 15 years I have become a specialist in my country's foreign affairs. As a result of this work, I have gained increasing, significant influence among some circles around my own government on the interrelated subjects of U.S. foreign policy and strategy. My role during 1982 and 1983 in working with the U.S. National Security Council to shape the adoption of the policy known as the Strategic Defense Initiative, or SDI, is an example of this. Although the details are confidential, I can report to you that my views on the current strategic situation are more influential in the United States today than at any time during the past.

Therefore, I can assure you that what I present to you now, on the subject of prospects for the reunification of Germany, is a proposal which will be studied most seriously among the relevant establishment circles inside the United States.

Under the proper conditions, many today will agree, that the time has come for early steps toward the reunification of Germany, with the obvious prospect that Berlin might resume its role as the capital.

For the United States, for Germans, and for Europe generally, the question is: Will this be brought about by assimilating the Federal Republic of Germany and West Berlin into the East Bloc's economic sphere of influence, or can it be arranged differently? In other words, is a united Germany to become part of a Europe from the Atlantic to the Urals, as President de Gaulle proposed, or, as Mr. Gorbachov desires, a Europe from the Urals to the Atlantic?

The Worldwide Food Crisis

I see a possibility, that the process of reunification could develop as de Gaulle proposed. I base this possibility upon the reality of a terrible worldwide food crisis which has erupted during the past several months, and will dominate the world's politics for at least two years to come.

The economy of the Soviet bloc is a terrible, and worsening failure. In Western European culture, we have demonstrated that the successes of nations of big industries depend upon the technologically progressive independent farmer, and what you call in Germany the *Mittelstand* [Germany's small and medium-sized entrepreneurs]. Soviet culture in its present form is not capable of applying this lesson. Despite all attempts at structural reforms, and despite any amount of credits supplied from the West, the Soviet bloc economy as a whole has reached the critical point, that, in its present form,



EIRNS/Dean Andromidas

Lyndon LaRouche addresses a press conference in West Berlin, Oct. 22, 1988. Forecasting the looming food crisis and the early economic collapse of the Soviet bloc, he proposed an East-West effort to solve it—in exchange for German reunification.

it will continue to slide downhill from here on, even if the present worldwide food crisis had not erupted.

I do not foresee the possibility of genuine peace between the United States and Soviet Union earlier than 30 or 40 years still to come. The best we can do in the name of peace, is to avoid a new general war between the powers. This war-avoidance must be based partly on our armed strength, and our political will. It must be based also, on rebuilding the strength of our economies.

At the same time that we discourage Moscow from dangerous military and similar adventures, we must heed the lesson taught us by a great military scientist nearly four centuries ago, Niccolò Machiavelli: We must also provide an adversary with a safe route of escape. We must rebuild our economies to the level at which we can provide the nations of the Soviet bloc an escape from the terrible effects of their economic suffering.

I give a concrete example.

Recently, in response to the food crisis, I sponsored the formation of an international association, called Food for Peace. This association has just recently held its founding conference in Chicago Sept. 3-4, and since then, has been growing rapidly inside the United States and in other nations represented by delegates attending that conference.

One of the points I have stressed, in supporting this Food for Peace effort, is that the Soviet bloc will require the import

of about 80 million tons of grain next year, as a bare minimum for the pressing needs of its population. China is experiencing a terrible food crisis, too. As of now, the food reserves are exhausted. There are no more food reserves in the United States, and the actions of the European Commission in Brussels have brought the food reserves of Western Europe to very low levels. Next year, the United States and Western Europe will be cut off from the large and growing amount of food imports during recent years, because of the collapse of food production in developing nations throughout most of the world.

During 1988, the world will have produced between 1.6 and 1.7 billion tons of grains, already a disastrous shortage. To ensure conditions of political and strategic stability during 1989 and 1990, we shall require approximately 2.4 to 2.5 billion tons of grain each year. At those levels, we would be able to meet minimal Soviet needs; without something approaching those levels, we could not.

If the nations of the West would adopt an emergency agricultural policy, those nations, working together, could ensure that we reach the level of food supply corresponding to about 2.4 billion tons of grains. It would be a major effort, and would mean scrapping the present agricultural policies of many governments and supranational institutions, but it could be accomplished. If we are serious about avoiding the danger of war during the coming two years, we will do just that.

By adopting these kinds of policies, in food supplies and other crucial economic matters, the West can foster the kind of conditions under which the desirable approach to reunification of Germany can proceed on the basis a majority of Germans on both sides of the Wall desire it should. I propose that the next government of the United States should adopt that as part of its foreign policy toward Central Europe.

Rebuild Economies of Eastern Europe

I shall propose the following concrete perspective to my government. We say to Moscow: We will help you. We shall act to establish Food for Peace agreements among the international community, with the included goal that neither the people of the Soviet bloc nor developing nations shall go hungry. In response to our good faith in doing that for you, let us do something which will set an example of what can be done to help solve the economic crisis throughout the Soviet bloc generally.

Let us say that the United States and Western Europe will

cooperate to accomplish the successful rebuilding of the economy of Poland. There will be no interference in the political system of government, but only a kind of Marshall Plan aid to rebuild Poland's industry and agriculture. If Germany agrees to this, let a process aimed at the reunification of the economies of Germany begin, and let this be the *punctum saliens* for Western cooperation in assisting the rebuilding of the economy of Poland.

We, in the United States and Germany, should say to the Soviet bloc, let us show what we can do for the peoples of Eastern Europe, by this test, which costs you really nothing. Then, you judge by the results, whether this is a lesson you wish to try in other cases.

I am now approaching the conclusion of my report. I have two more points to identify.

The End of an Era

All of us who are members of that stratum called world-class politicians, know that the world has now entered into what most agree is the end of an era. The state of the world as we have known it during the postwar period is ended. The only question is, whether the new era will be better or worse than the era we are now departing?

The next two years, especially, will be the most dangerous period in modern European history, and that worldwide. Already, in Africa, entire nations, such as Uganda, are in the process of vanishing from the map, biologically. Madness on a mass scale, of a sort which Central Europe has not known since the New Dark Age of the 14th Century, has already destroyed Cambodia, is threatening to take over the Middle East as a whole, and is on the march, to one degree or another, in every part of the world. As a result of these conditions of crisis, the world has never been closer to a new world war than the conditions which threaten us during the next four years ahead. What governments do during the coming two years will decide the fate of all humanity for a century or more to come.

There have been similar, if not identical periods of crisis in history before this, but, never, to our best knowledge, on a global scale, all at once.

I recall the famous case of a certain German gentleman of the Weimar period. This gentleman was persuaded that a Second World War was inevitable. He searched the world for a place to which he might move his family, to be out of the areas in which the next war would be fought. So, when the war erupted, he and his family were living in the remote Solomon Islands, on the island of Guadalcanal.

In this period of crisis, there is no place in which any man or woman can safely hide in a crisis-ridden world without food. One can not duck politics, with the idea of taking care of one's career and family, until this storm blows over. There is no place, for any man or woman to hide. There is no room for today's political pragmatists in the leadership of governments now. If we are to survive, we must make boldly imaginative

decisions, on the condition that they are good choices, as well as bold ones.

The time has come for a bold decision on U.S. policy toward Central Europe.

If there is no Soviet representative here in this audience at the moment, we may be certain that the entire content of my report to you now will be in Moscow, and will be examined at high levels there, before many hours have passed. The Soviet leadership has said in its newspapers and elsewhere, many times, that it considers me its leading adversary among leading individual public figures today. Nonetheless, Moscow regards me with a curious sort of fascination, and, since President Reagan first announced the Strategic Defense Initiative, considers everything I say on policy matters to be influential, and very credible.

Moscow will read the report I deliver here today. It will wait, as Soviet political leaders do, to see what other circles around the U.S. establishment and government might echo the kind of proposal I have identified. Once they see such a signal from those quarters, Moscow will treat my proposal very seriously, and will begin exploring U.S. and European thinking on this.

Germany's Sovereign Choice

As far as I am concerned, it is Germans who must make the sovereign decision on their choice of fate for their nation. My function is to expand the range of choices available to them. So, I have come to Berlin, where the delivery of this report will have the maximum impact in Moscow, as well as other places.

I conclude my remarks with the following observation.

Moscow hates me, but in their peculiar way, the Soviets trust me to act on my word. Moscow will believe, quite rightly, that my intentions toward them are exactly what I described to you today. I would therefore hope, that what I am setting into motion here today, will be a helpful contribution to establishing Germany's sovereign right to choose its own destiny.

For reasons you can readily recognize from the evidence in view, I know my German friends and acquaintances rather well, and share the passions of those who think of Germany with loving memory of Leibniz, Schiller, Beethoven, Humboldt, and that great statesman of freedom, Freiherr vom Stein. If I can not predict Germany's decisions in this matter exactly, I believe that if what I have set afoot here today is brought to success, the included result will be that the Reichstag building over there, will be the seat of Germany's future parliament, and the beautiful Charlottenburger Schloss, the future seat of government.

If the conditions arise, in which that occurs, President de Gaulle's dream of a Europe from the Atlantic to the Urals will be the peaceful outcome of 30 years or so of patient statecraft, and that durable peace will come to Europe and the world within the lifetime of those graduating from universities today.

Heute, bin ich auch ein Berliner.

'Give Us This Day Our Daily Bread'

by Lyndon LaRouche

Lyndon LaRouche gave the speech excerpted here to the Second International Food for Peace Conference, in Chicago, Dec. 10, 1988. Subheads have been added.

Around the world, as most of you know, the conditions of food crisis exist, and will worsen over the coming 12 months. It is almost as if we can hear a section of the Lord's Prayer coming from the developing nations, from the poor of Eastern Europe, from the poor, the tens of millions of poor in our own country: "Give us this day our daily bread."

The question is, who is going to answer that prayer? Who is going to be the hand of Providence to ensure that entire nations are not biologically swept from the map in the coming years, as Uganda is being swept from the map today? You have the greatest genocide in all human history; who will see that it is not unleashed in full force in the coming two years?

It is possible to locate fairly readily the principal culprits for this drought. The drought is not natural. We are in the middle of the natural cycle of droughts. We should be at the high point of our weather patterns, but we're in a manmade drought Which men made it?

In one part of the world, it was the Soviet Empire and Communist China. In the rest of the world, the drought was made by the kinds of policies which have been maintained by the U.S. Department of Agriculture since the early 1960s. The USDA and its policies, as carried out by the European Commission and similar agencies throughout the world, if permitted, will kill more human beings with genocide than any other agency in history to date. The U.S. Department of Agriculture is not owned by the American people; it's owned by the international grain cartels. You had Daniel Amstutz at the USDA [as Undersecretary of Agriculture]; Amstutz is a Cargill grain company official. Cargill grain cartel officials have run the USDA since at least the early 1960s, under Kennedy and Johnson....

Much of this disaster has been caused by the influence of the environmentalists. The environmentalists are the greatest mass murderers in history, next to the grain cartels, and the USDA's policies.

We live in an earthly biosphere. The biosphere is organized in a certain way. I happen to know a great deal about that. I've spent a great part of my life on that particular question. The biosphere depends upon higher states of organization, and it depends upon an increase in the amount of energy organized in a suitable form available per square hectare and

per unit weight of biomass. When you turn the clock backward, by simply depleting rain forests as they did in Brazil, as a result of U.S. and international financial institutions' policies, beginning in the 1960s, you are destroying the biosphere. The rainforest was looted, and the land was turned into a desert in the areas where this was done. This area is spreading. The amount of land area lost in Brazil every year is equivalent to the size of a state of the United States.

As a result of this, in particular, one of the great weather systems in the world—the Brazilian Amazon system—decided to move from its usual parking place over the Amazon Basin. It moved out into the South Atlantic. This happened in the early 1970s. This has had a ping-pong effect on the entire world's weather systems. As a result, we've had problems ever since then.

Nuclear Energy for Development

Similar policies were implemented in other parts of the world. When we put land in reserve without maintaining a cover on agricultural land put in reserve, we are destroying the environment. We are changing weather patterns. When we insisted that the sub-Saharan states increase the rate of taxation on grazing farmers, they over-grazed the land and helped to spread the sub-Saharan desert, destroying entire regions.

In Indonesia, an entire island's wood supply in a rainforest was cut down. This rainforest happened to be situated in a location which is one of the most powerful catalysts for the world's weather system, especially the monsoon system of South Asia and Southeast Asia. In India, the average temperature has risen about 10°F in the post-war period. Why? The cutting of trees as fuel for cooking. Why? Because of those who are opposed to a high-technology orientation for the development of India's agriculture.

We've looked at India's agriculture. It could be developed. In this case, it's not just the international authorities. It's the Indian state bureaucracy, one of the most evil phenomena on the face of this Earth, which ruled India....

India has one of the largest government development budgets of any nation in the world, a vast amount of money because of the country's large population. If this money were properly spent for improvement of water management for reforestation, especially with fruit trees, like the mango tree, [India's water resources could be brought under control, and] you could provide food.

Also needed is the improvement of the rail system, which is breaking down for lack of nuclear power. The only power available to solve India's economic development problem is nuclear power. What they now use is coal. They transport the coal from the mines to the places where they combust the coal for generating electricity. The entire Indian railway system is occupied by transporting coal, and it is collapsing as a result.

Without nuclear energy, which can be developed in India very easily, India will not develop. India has great resources of radioactive thorium and the thorium-cycle fission reactor is



Lyndon LaRouche addresses a Food for Peace meeting in Chicago, Dec. 20, 1988.

EIRNS

perfect for them. With that, water management, transportation development, the development of India could be very readily accomplished. But India's bureaucracy fears that if their country is developed, the "Untouchables"—politely called the "scheduled castes"—and the other low-ranking and poorer social strata in India, would rise to social equality with the South Indian Brahmins. They would rather keep their own country in perpetual poverty than allow their fellow Indians to achieve social equality with them. . . .

Toward 100 Billion People

So that is what we're doing to nature. We are not developing. This would mean increasing the density of energy in use by man on the planet. We should have a very explicit policy to develop in terms of using gigawatts of energy a day, to using terawatts of energy, within two generations from now. This is very simple. We can do it, if we are determined to do it. We can increase the potential population density of his planet in the next 50 to 60 years by a factor of 10. If we used all the technology we had at the beginning of the 1970s, and applied it freely on a global scale to all the development problems, including infrastructural technology, this planet could sustain between 50 and 25 billion people at a standard comparable to that we used to enjoy in the United States when times were much better, about 20 years ago, when we all had a better living standard.

With what we have available, we can increase that standard of living by a factor of 40 to 50 times in terms of productivity, over the next two to three generations. We have the means in hand to do so, if we are so determined. If we were to take the attitude that the United States had under the Kennedy

space program, or actually the Eisenhower-Kennedy space program, from around 1958 to about 1965, if we maintained that, combined with policies of tax credits for investment of a suitable kind, with a science enrichment program in our schools, and similar kinds of things, and we did that, nothing more than that, we could accomplish this task. I can assure you, that knowing what we know is important to work upon in science and technology, if mankind on this planet had the political will to do just that, we would increase the potential population density of this planet at a higher standard of living by a factor of as much as 40 over the next three generations, by a factor of 10. We could sustain by the end of two generations, a potential population in the order of magnitude of 100 billion people—more comfortably, much better fed, much more secure, much freer, much less crowded than today, because we'd use land more intelligently. . . .

Farmers and Eaters Unite!

In this matter, the way in which we organize around the Food for Peace question will determine whether or not we succeed. Let me be very brutally frank about this, as I am on many occasions. I have been involved in a significant degree in fighting on the agricultural issue in this country and abroad for about 12 years. And I tell you that, in general, except for a handful of farmers in this country, farmers behave like a bunch of idiots.

When you would tell farmers how they ought to organize, they say, "No, we're just going to just organize farmers, and we farmers as farmers will work out tactics for solving our problems." Now, where are those farmers today? Where are those farm organizations which had this great all-so-wise policy?

And then, on the other hand, you go out to the other side of the tracks, to the people who eat. And you say to the people who eat, "Look, if the farmers are not able to produce, what's going to happen to your diet?" And they say, "I don't depend on farmers. I buy my food at the supermarket." And that's literally the case. I'm not exaggerating. That's not hyperbole. I have had this thrown in my face so many times by the so-called consumerists.

The society is divided into two kinds of people, according to the late President Johnson: producers and consumerists. And we have to protect the consumerists against the producers. As a matter of fact, we have succeeded. Less than 10% of the total population of the United States is in any way productive. Guess why we have inflation? Guess why we are poor?

Someone said we have too much agriculture, too much industry, too much infrastructure. All the things which are productive. So we have stopped doing productive things. We have turned ourselves into an international scrap heap, a junk yard, a wasteland, an agro-industrial wasteland. And you can see it, flying over this country on a clear day, agriculture as we used to see it. Look at it today. What about southwards of Chicago? What happened to that? What happened to the other industries? Where's the tax revenue base of the cities? What are we doing with our suburban sprawl?

We're not housing more people. We are moving people out of slums into places with a 30-year mortgage and a 10-year life expectancy. When these \$300,000 gypsum shacks fall down, there won't be anything left. If a heavy man leans against one of these row shacks, the whole kit and caboodle is going to come down. Imagine if you had elephants with this thing! A gale-force wind would take the whole thing out into the Atlantic.

What we have to do is recognize that in order to win, we can't count people in numbers alone. You have to count the combat potential of people for what China calls "People's War," in which there are often no front lines, except everything is a front line. You have to build the spiritual strength of people....

We have to attend to the way our works impact upon the faith, the faith needed for the struggle. We have to fight in a manner which is consistent with the goals for which we fight. The farmers and the eaters must unite. They have but one cause, one common interest. There is no essential conflict between them. What is good for the farmer is good for the eater. Without that, eaters will cease to be eaters.

There is no part of society, no constituency, which does not have the same interest. There is no people of any nation which has any different interest than that of any other nation in this matter. We're speaking of the future of hundreds of billions of unborn souls, without whose success our lives mean nothing. That is the common interest which unites each and every one of us such that there is no distinction among any of us on this issue, on this cause, on this interest.

We must fight so, fight with love of humanity by thinking

especially of those hundreds of billions of souls waiting to be born. Thinking also of those whose martyrdom and other sacrifice gave us what is our potential and our debt to them, respecting what we pass on to the future. We must think of our lives as something lived from moment to moment, but as a very small piece of experience with a beginning and, not too much later, an end. And think of our lives not as things that are lived for pleasure, in and of themselves, but as an opportunity to fulfill a purpose, a purpose which is reflected in what we bequeath to those hundreds of billions of souls waiting to be born.

We must understand that, if we at any point were forced to cut short our mortal life by spending it in a way which ensured the cause of those hundreds of billions of souls yet to be born, we could walk to death with joy, because we had completed our life. Fulfilled it. We might have been denied a chance of fulfilling it a little bit more, but nonetheless we had fulfilled it.

To do this, we must fill our hearts with love for our fellow human beings, a love called "*agapē*" in the original Greek, "*caritas*" in the Latin, and "charity" in the King James version of the Bible, as referred to in Saint Paul's First Letter to the Corinthians. The quality of *agapē*, the quality of charity, the quality of sacred love, which unites us as individuals with the hundreds of billions of unborn souls for whose love we can give our lives, and with whom we can walk smiling with joy, knowing that in a sense they love us too, even though they are yet to be born. It gives us a sense of the true importance of our lives, the true joy of being a living human being.

We must work with one another in the sense of that attitude toward historical humanity. Humanity which is as a great family which owes to its past generations and the present owes to its future generations. The love uniting that family is in the matter of works. Works are the practical expression of faith, from which faith derives the strength to fight and win this war.

If we can do so, I am certain we shall win. I am better than most at understanding the laws of nature, natural law generally, and understanding such recondite concepts as Absolute Time, and things of that sort. I can understand, perhaps, more readily than most, how faith expressed in this way, in a practical way, is assured of success. We are each little, we are each individual, but if we know we are united to this effect, then we know that what each of us as an individual does in this united way will be caused to prosper.

Thus, in this terrible moment of humanity, when civilization as we have known it for hundreds of years threatens to be removed from us in the coming two to ten years or so, as we face the risk of losing civilization, we also have the possibility of heroic solution to this crisis. Of becoming generations, which in our time, faced with the cup of Gethsemane, accepted it, and thus perpetuated, in the imitation of Christ, the cause of the salvation of future souls.

Globalization Is Genocide

by John Hoefle

Globalization is genocide. What else would you call a policy whereby trillions of dollars are spent to bail out the banking system, trillions of dollars are spent on war, and people are deliberately starved by a combination of financial policy and food cartel machinations? It is a decidedly anti-human policy, intended to restore what the London-centered international financial oligarchy sees as the natural order of things: itself on top, and everyone else expendable.

Globalization is a policy explicitly designed to destroy the nation-state. The globalizers claim that the nation-state is archaic, that it has failed, and must be replaced with a more “modern” form of world management—but that is a lie. The nation-state, and specifically the form of republic established by the Declaration of Independence and U.S. Constitution, is the highest form of political organization ever designed by man, one specifically designed to promote the General Welfare of all citizens.

The great irony is that what the “modernizers” are pushing is actually a far older, repressive system designed to protect the elite and keep the peasants in line. Were we to do what these modernizers propose, we would set the world back more than 250 years, to the days when the British Empire ruled, and the United States was a gleam in Ben Franklin’s eye.

The Nation-State

How do you build a nation-state? You build cities with all the necessary infrastructure: power, water, sewage facilities, housing, transportation systems, schools, hospitals, libraries, cultural facilities—all the things you need to make the population productive. You create industry to produce goods and employ the people. You have agriculture in the surrounding areas to feed the cities. You build up its transportation systems so people can get around, and goods be moved effi-

ciently. You build the transportation and communications grids necessary to move people and goods between cities. And above all, you have an educational system in which students can re-experience the great scientific and philosophical breakthroughs from the past, so that they may make the new breakthroughs required for the future.

The greatest asset any society has is the power of reason of individual human minds, for it is from those minds that the scientific and technological discoveries are made which increase the productive power of human labor. Societies which nurture this process succeed, and societies which do not, fail. A nation-state organized around these concepts is the most powerful, and most modern, form of political structure possible.

Look at globalization from this standpoint. One of the primary tenets of globalization is to move production to the areas where labor is cheapest. This is presented as a benefit, when in fact it is a highly destructive race to the bottom. Paying people fair prices for their labor is an essential component of a stable society. Families must have sufficient income to cover their basic expenses (with extra to put away as savings), and the time and money to pursue intellectual and cultural interests. People should not have to work all the time just to make ends meet—it’s bad economics as well as bad social policy.

Additionally, moving production from areas of higher technological levels to areas of lower technological levels actually reduces the economic benefit of that production. Far from making the world more productive, globalization has made it weaker.

So who benefits? The corporations obviously benefit because it increases their profits, and the bankers benefit because they can extract more money from these corporations; but these benefits are an illusion, a short-term boost in profit

at the expense of the long-term degradation of the planet. It is a form of economic cannibalism.

This cannibalism is deliberate, a policy designed to reduce the carrying-capacity of the world in order to reduce population levels. Contrary to Malthusian propaganda, the reason for this is to prevent the nations of Asia, Africa, and Ibero-America from developing their potential and taking their rightful place in the world.

For centuries, the European-centered oligarchy, located at various times around the Roman, Venetian, Spanish, British, and other empires, has viewed the world as its playground, and they want to keep it that way. They view the world's natural resources as theirs, no matter where they are located, and they will not tolerate nations interfering with their "rights."

The history of the world is replete with examples of governments being overthrown, and national borders redrawn, to protect these imperial looting prerogatives. Were these nations to develop themselves along the lines of the United States, these oligarchs know, it would change the balance of power globally, knocking these pompous jackasses off their lily-white thrones. No longer would the City of London and its satellites be able to dictate global policy.

Not only that, but with higher standards of living, including better nutrition and proper education, these so-called Second and Third World countries would produce populations more capable of scientific and technological breakthroughs of their own, including in the field of nuclear power. The technologies of the nuclear era would help smash the oligarchy's control over crucial raw materials such as petroleum and strategic minerals.

Faced with these prospects, the oligarchy launched a full-scale assault on the United States and other nation-states, under the euphemism "globalization."

Globalization

The aim of globalization is to ensure the domination over the planet of the Anglo-Dutch Liberal system. That is to be accomplished by the bankers and the Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse, using methods of which the evil Lord Bertrand Russell said, they might be unpleasant, but what of it. Or, as Dick Cheney might put it: So what?

Their goal is a dramatic reduction in global population, through a combination of famine, disease, war, and financial warfare. This effectively destroys a nation's ability to develop into a sovereign nation capable of resisting imperial designs.

Examples abound. Wars are very efficient ways of killing large numbers of people, as we have seen in several African countries, Cambodia under the Khmer Rouge, the former Yugoslavia, and in western Asia, to name just a few.

The British are masters at organizing such wars, provok-

ing both sides to get the war going, selling arms to both sides, and blocking attempts to stop the fighting. Disease is another big killer, as the devastation of Africa by AIDS, malaria, and other killer diseases attests.

Famine is a similar weapon, as we discuss in detail elsewhere in this issue. In areas like Africa, long a European colonial subject, the combination of wars, famine, and disease has virtually destroyed the continent, especially in Black Africa.

Financial warfare serves a similar purpose. The manipulation of raw materials prices by the banker-run "free markets" allows the commodity cartels to pay low prices to the producing nations, while charging high prices to the consumers, gouging both in one operation.

Even more insidious are the repeated assaults on national currencies launched by the Western financiers, of which the late-1990s "Asian crisis" and the long-running Ibero-American debt crises are indicative. Hook a nation on debt (payable in dollars), force it to devalue its currency through financial warfare, then bankrupt it by forcing it to devote an ever-increasing share of its GDP to repaying that debt, thereby making it increasingly impossible to fund the sorts of large-scale infrastructure projects needed to build a nation.

The cumulative effect of these policies over decades destroys the fabric of these nations. Local oligarchies develop which are loyal not to the nations, but to their colonial overseers. These local oligarchies actively sabotage attempts by the citizens to put their nations back on track, much in the same way that the Anglophiles in the U.S. Establishment fight the efforts of the LaRouche movement to return the United States to the principles of the Founding Fathers and Presidents Lincoln and FDR.

Look at what has been done to the United States. Once the greatest industrial power in the world, the United States has been reduced to importing most of our manufactured products. This was not imposed upon us by China or Japan; we did this to ourselves, as nominally American corporations moved their production overseas, either by outsourcing or building new plants where labor was cheap.

Thanks to our failure to move to a nuclear economy, we are more dependent upon the London-centered oil distribution cartel than ever before, and we routinely import food from countries whose own populations do not have enough to eat. Far from benefitting from globalization, we are its greatest victim, a shell of our former selves, increasingly dependent upon a London-centered cartel system for the necessities of life, while our own economy collapses.

This is deliberate genocide, and it must be stopped if the world is to avoid collapsing into a new Dark Age. We must reject the archaic repression of the British Empire and its genocidalists, and use the power of the modern nation-state to rebuild the world.

Business Briefs

Fiscal Deficits

Key Alabama County Faces Bankruptcy

Jefferson County, Alabama, the state's most populous, and home to the city of Birmingham, is edging toward bankruptcy filing on its \$4.6 billion debt. Central to the county's debt burden is how JP MorganChase and other Wall Street outfits engaged the county in some 18 derivative instruments, relative to financing its sewer system. Auction-rate bonds were used, which involved the resetting of interest rates, which the county now cannot pay. Interest costs are headed to \$250 million, nearly twice the \$138 million the system produces in revenue.

On April 7 and 8, Jefferson County financial advisors were in Washington, D.C., meeting with Administration and Congressional officials, in hopes of finding a solution without having to declare bankruptcy. There are also frantic initiatives in the legislature, including measures to shove aside the County Commissioners and impose huge sewer rate increases.

Lyndon LaRouche, on April 10, advised Alabama leaders to see to it that a hearing is held on the question: Did JP MorganChase commit fraud?

Mortgage Disaster

FHA Has Been Pushed to Brink of Insolvency

Collapsing mortgage loans from the Greenspan mortgage bubble "have pushed the FHA [Federal Housing Administration] to the brink of insolvency," the U.S. Senate was told by George W. Bush's resigning Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, Alphonso Jackson, on April 9. The FHA, which was established by President Franklin Roosevelt, will need a taxpayer subsidy of at least \$1.4 billion in the next fiscal year, to compensate for losses which may grow much greater, Jackson said.

The Jackson report was particularly bad news for those in Congress—such as

Rep. Barney "Bailout" Frank (D-Mass.)—who were claiming that the FHA could provide the liquidity to "save mortgages."

The only sane solution is LaRouche's Homeowners and Bank Protection Act, modelled on the Roosevelt legislation that pulled the United States out of the Great Depression.

Ethanol

Land-Grab at Chernobyl To Grow Biofuel Crops

The May issue of *Ethanol Producer* magazine features the multi-billion-dollar plan of Greenfield Project Management Ltd., based in Dublin, to grow crops for ethanol on the now-unused lands around the Chernobyl nuclear plant in Ukraine. Greenfield has already put \$12.6 million into the project, in partnership with the nation of Belarus, and plans to put in another \$120 million this year. The company is building two ethanol refineries in Belarus, with the aim of exporting the biofuels to Europe, so that European nations can meet their EU-imposed quota of having biofuels constitute 10% of their fuels by 2020. Now, some European nations import ethanol from Brazil.

"With the right kinds of crops, technology, safety systems and processing, pure fuel ethanol can be safely produced from the nuclear zone," Basil Miller, Greenfield's chief communications officer, told *Ethanol Producer*. The company is promoting its land-grab—the 70,000-square-mile territory involved is larger than the state of Iowa—as a "humanitarian venture." Greenfield says that the ethanol crops will "remediate" the "highly contaminated" land.

Actually, the radioactivity in much of the area is near that of natural radioactivity in average soil—400 kilobecquerels per square mile (1 becquerel = 1 event of radiation emission per second). In some regions of the world, the natural radioactivity in the soil is ten times higher than this average.

Greenfield aims to invest a total of \$345 billion in the project, according to *Ethanol Producer*.

Speculation

Food-Price Rise a 'Crime Against Humanity'

Dr. Sajjad Zohir of the Dhaka Economic Research Group in Bangladesh told the BBC April 10: "I would call the price changes, which followed the high price of oil, a crime against humanity.... A country like Bangladesh, which imports both food and oil, is on the losing side...."

Bangladesh is in a food crisis, and the Bangladesh Rifles, the military unit that normally guards the border, is now running the government program that sells subsidized rice to the population.

The BBC reported that those lining up to buy the rice are not only poor laborers, but also government workers, security guards, and teachers, who can no longer afford rice, at costs that have doubled in the last year, to about 60 cents per kilo (2.2 pounds). Most Bangladeshis now spend half their income on food, the BBC reported, and many have stopped eating any meat, fish, or eggs.

Speaking in Brasilia, José Graziano, the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) regional representative for Latin America and the Caribbean, blamed speculators for the skyrocketing prices. The food "crisis is a speculative attack and it will last," he said. "The lack of confidence in the dollar has led investment funds to look for higher returns in commodities... first metals and then foods."

Outsourcing

Globalization Leads to Unsafe Airplanes

The U.S. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) on April 8 ordered American Airlines to ground its 300 Boeing MD-80 aircraft, as a result of a follow-up safety inspection audit that was conducted to check on the work ordered by the FAA after a prior inspection had found several instances of unsatisfactory repairs. As a result, American Airlines had to cancel 2,500 flights.

Blame globalization for the safety gaps:

Briefly

The airline industry has outsourced its maintenance and repair activities, in a fool's attempt to cut costs. Foreign and domestic outsourced repair shops now handle two-thirds of all maintenance for American carriers, up from 30% in 1997, according to the U.S. Transportation Department.

Most of the outsourcing takes place in low-pay, low-skill areas of the globe, such as El Salvador. *Business Week* reported on a company called Aeroman, which has a shop in El Salvador where the mechanics are paid about \$4,500 a year. Aeroman is owned by Montreal's ACE Aviation Holdings, parent company of Air Canada, and by two U.S. private equity firms, KKR Private Equity Investors and Sageview, which was founded by two former KKR executives.

"Overseas outsourcing has gotten truly out of control, and we've been very, very lucky that we haven't lost any airplanes," said Steve MacFarlane, head of the union that represents mechanics at Alaska Airlines, Southwest, and U.S. Airways.

Lyndon LaRouche commented, "This is a total swindle. . . . They are doing shlock jobs and then attaching some brand name to it, so the airlines can act like they really did maintenance. But it remains a shlock job"

Free Trade Accord

Proposed Colombia Deal: All Dope and No Food

The dope in the White House, George Bush, sent a proposed Free Trade Accord with Colombia to the U.S. Congress on April 7, for "fast track" approval within 90 days, in the name of defending U.S. "national security."

Only a dope could call expanding the drug trade a defense of U.S. national security. Eliminating protective tariffs will wipe out Colombia's remaining food-crop farmers, turning them over to the drug mafia—which already calls the shots, by and large, in Colombia's economy.

Colombia is potentially an agricultural giant, but for 40 years, the land under cultivation has never surpassed 4 of its 20 million hectares of arable land, not counting land which irrigation projects could open up

for cultivation.

Under the 1990-94 drug-mob-controlled government of César Gaviria, a million hectares were taken out of agricultural production, and Gaviria's takedown of protective tariffs made growing coca and poppies the only profitable "farming" possible. Land used for food fell to 3 million hectares, from which it never recovered.

Now, even that remaining land is being converted into plantations (like those of the former U.S. South, slaves and all), to grow African palm and sugar cane to produce biofuels.

Banking

IMF Joins Chorus To Bail Out the Banks

Just ahead of the Spring meetings of the G-7 finance ministers, International Monetary Fund, and World Bank in Washington, D.C., the IMF has joined the imperial chorus calling for Western governments, and the United States in particular, to bail out their banks.

IMF Managing Director Dominique Strauss-Kahn has recently made public statements in favor of such a bailout, and the IMF's *Global Financial Stability Report* and its *World Economic Outlook*, issued April 8 and 9, respectively, support that point, by pushing the lie that the global financial crisis was caused by a combination of a U.S. housing crisis and "profound errors in risk management among its leading financial institutions." This U.S. problem, the IMF maintains, is dragging the rest of the world down—an argument which implicitly supports a bailout.

How to sell this bailout to the public will be one of the top items discussed at the meetings of bankers and finance ministers.

Trying to protect a dead financial system and its make-believe paper assets is not only foolish, it is suicidal. The U.S. government must put this financial system through bankruptcy proceedings, via Lyndon LaRouche's Homeowners and Bank Protection Act. The bankers have no solution: When the solution begins with a flea dip, you can't trust the fleas to design the plan.

THE CITY COUNCIL of East St. Louis, Ill., passed a resolution April 10 calling for the U.S. Congress to enact the LaRouche-proposed Homeowners and Bank Protection Act. This brings the number of cities that have passed similar resolutions to more than 85 nationally.

LAROCHE'S CITIZENS Electoral Council has been going after the Macquarie Bank in Australia, the dominant financial group for the privatized "infrastructure" investment schemes à la Mussolini. Now another bombshell has hit the bank: A RiskMetrics report has exposed the bank's high risk status.

GERMANY'S power industry, banned from future nuclear development in its own country, is now making a bid for the British nuclear market. E.on, Germany's largest power producer, is vying for a majority of British Nuclear Fuels; and RWE, Germany's second-largest power producer, plans to take over British Energy. In addition, France's Areva nuclear company is bidding on building Britain's planned new nuclear plants.

CHINA'S National Development and Reform Commission is interested in buying German magnetic levitation technology, reported *Deutsche Presse Agentur* on April 9. The technology would be expensive, a Chinese rail official said, but China wants to use it to produce maglev trains, and eventually export them. The city of Munich just rejected a proposal to build a maglev connection from the city to the airport.

THE PRIME MINISTERS of Mongolia and Russia met April 11 in Moscow, to discuss economic cooperation to develop nuclear energy, rail projects, and the development of Mongolia's mineral resources, including uranium. Russia may build a small or medium-sized nuclear power plant for Mongolia, Russia's top nuclear official said, and will be involved in training personnel for its operation.

How We Must Deal With The Worst Crisis in History

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

The following presentation was given to a group of diplomats in Washington, D.C., on April 10.

As most of you know aspects, at least, of the problem the world faces today, we're presently in the greatest crisis in modern history, globally. Some governments, of course, are more aware of aspects of this crisis than others, because they're involved at the wrong end of the stick, in some of these problems.

But there are two general characteristics of the situation. First of all, the entire world financial monetary system is in a hyperinflationary crisis, on a global scale, which is comparable in its dynamics, to what happened to Weimar Germany in 1923. There is no minor financial crisis in the United States. What there is, is a global crisis.

Now, to understand this more adequately, I'll make a few remarks which are longer than usual, but they're necessary in order to put this in focus, because this is an *unusual* situation. I think there is no comparison with what I've said before, with what I see here in the world today.

Genesis of the Crisis

What you're dealing with generally, is a crisis which started, essentially, in 1890, when Kaiser Wilhelm II fired his Chancellor, Otto von Bismarck. Now the significance of that was twofold. First of all, the victory of the United States over the British in the U.S. Civil War, had produced in Europe a recognition of the significance of the United States for the world system. The most significant thing which frightened the British, which threat-



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

Lyndon LaRouche, speaking on an earlier occasion. He told Washington diplomats on April 10 that the global financial/political crisis and British-led war drive are now such that "I think there is no comparison with what I've said before, with what I see here in the world today."

ened them the most, was the development of a continental railway system inside the United States, which was considered a geopolitical threat by the British Empire. Because, up until that time, in modern history and ancient history, the great power had lain not so much in land power, but in maritime power. And the British Empire, which was actually

started as an empire, as a private company, in 1763—February, with the Peace of Paris—was dominating the world through a financial empire, with military features added. It's essentially a diplomatic empire.

So, when the United States developed a continental unity around the victory over the British in the U.S. Civil War, and on the unity of the United States through the development of a trans-national railway system, which integrated the territory of the United States, this evoked—especially after 1876—imitations and imitations of the same type in Europe.

For example, in Russia, the famous development of the Trans-Siberian Railroad, and the whole development of Russia under the great leaders of that time, was a reflection of that. The development of railways, the reforms of the German system under Bismarck in 1877 to 1880—these were all reflections of the impact of the success of the United States in defeating the British, in the British orchestration of the Civil War in the United States.

So, what prevented war from starting earlier, was Bismarck. Bismarck, as a leader of Germany, had frustrated the British, who had control over much of the German government, by negotiating simultaneously with Nicholas II of Russia, and with his own government, to prevent Russia and Germany from coming to loggerheads because of the Balkan crisis. So that the moment that Bismarck was ousted, by the orders of the Crown Prince of Britain, Edward the Prince of Wales, then the lid was off, and you had a whole series of events, including the assassination of the President of France, the Dreyfus case, the opening of the first British war against China, which was launched through Japan as an ally of Britain in 1895, and so forth. So, the entire period, from 1890 through 1945, was essentially a period of strategic conflict over so-called geopolitical issues.

The Crisis Today

Today, we have a similar kind of situation, which can be understood only by looking back to that period, because what we're seeing, as we saw with the Cold War, so-called, was a continuation of this process of geopolitical conflict, being managed by the British. And as the influence of Franklin Roosevelt declined in the United States under Truman and later on, especially after the assassination of Kennedy, then the whole world was going again, toward a new crisis. Now, since the crash of the U.S. dollar, but especially since the events of the so-called oil price crisis of the 1970s, at that point, first of all, in 1971, the U.S. dollar system was destroyed, destroyed under Nixon, by shutting down the Bretton Woods system. And that destroyed the U.S. dollar.

In the same period, the British organized the famous oil hoax of the early 1970s, and out of this, the Amsterdam, the Anglo-Dutch liberal banking institutions, set up what was called the petrodollar, and the U.S. dollar was no longer the stable currency of the world, but rather, since the oil



Library of Congress

King Edward VII of Britain. As Prince of Wales, he orchestrated the firing of German Chancellor Otto von Bismarck in 1890, paving the way for the world wars of the 20th Century. Similarly today, the exploding geopolitical conflict is being managed from London.

price crisis, the control of the price of oil, and the control of the value of the U.S. dollar, lay in the hands of those who control the Amsterdam market.

So, since that time, the United States has been systematically destroyed, self-destroyed—destroyed by the policies of the Nixon Administration, in terms of foreign policy and economy, and destroyed internally, physically, under the Carter Administration, by the Trilateral Commission crowd. And we've been going down all the way through.

Then you had a period, beginning 1989, with the breakdown of the Polish crisis, and then the later breakup of the Soviet Union, which led into another period of great looting, and shift in character of politics worldwide.

We've now come to the point where the great inflationary process, which is a hyperinflationary process, is blowing out. *Nothing will save the present world financial monetary system.* Nothing can save it. It's doomed—it's gone. Only the creation of a new system represents a remedy.

The Edge of War

Also, we've had a new development, which is called the Lisbon Treaty organization, and the Lisbon Treaty organization now threatens the greatest threat of warfare since—probably greater than that of the 1930s—but the greatest certainly since the last century. In which, a breakdown of the world monetary and financial system, and the creation, around the Lisbon Treaty organization, of Europe up to the borders of Belarus and Russia, being under the control of a new dictatorship, if it goes through, called the Lisbon Treaty organization, under which no government of Europe, from the Atlan-

Only by alertness, and by not being fooled into looking at particular issues, as if these were the problems, but seeing the issue as a whole, the global issue, only from that standpoint, can you formulate policies, and can nations group together, around policies of common interest to resist the great threat which is coming out of London, and out of those interests today.

tic to the borders of Belarus and Russia, will actually be a government. They will all be puppets of the British Empire, under this new arrangement, the new European treaty organization. *If this is not stopped.*

As a result of the formation of this development, combined with the beginning of the hyperinflationary blowout, which started at the end of July of this past year, we're now in a situation of the greatest threat of wars and similar crises globally in modern history. Certainly in all of modern history. We have a state of virtual warfare being organized by the British government against China. We have an instability in Pakistan, which is being advantaged. We have the tendency of China, India, and Russia, and other countries, to join together to find some form of resistance, cooperation and resistance, against the British effort.

You have an American Presidency which is a puppet. . . . The U.S. Congress is useless at this point, especially since the last Congressional election. A fascist group like Felix Rohatyn and George Soros, control the Democratic Party, financially, control the Speaker of the House. The U.S. Congress is impotent, both the Senate and the House of Representatives. Things happen which you would think would be impossible, up to two years ago.

We're now in a point of conflict, typified by what the British did, using their own Nazi asset, the Dalai Lama, who used

to be an asset of the Nazi party, *this* Dalai Lama, and who remained a part of the Nazi organization even past that time. And what the British had created, with the development of the British Empire, in creating an area of conflict and management between India and China, through the Tibetan operation and the Uighur operation, is now fully on.

What is happening in Africa, where genocide—the attack on Zimbabwe is simply a part of a process of genocide, which is aimed also at South Africa, as well as other parts of the world.

We're in the worst crisis of humanity, in all modern history, *now*. And it's a crisis which is going toward the edge of war. And because of the conditions of warfare in modern terms today, you're talking about doomsday warfare, not orderly warfare. What we have going on in Southwest Asia, is simply typical of the whole process. The cockpit of the Iraq War, organized by the Tony Blair government, is now being used as a cockpit of war for the whole region.

So, we're in the worst crisis in history.

There Are Remedies

In my view, there are remedies. There are solutions. But you won't find much of anything presently in the U.S. government, to deal with this.

The U.S. election campaign is a crucial part of this. Probably the two focal points of the whole process are the U.S. Presidential election campaign, this year, and what is happening in terms of the Lisbon Treaty organization process. If the Lisbon Treaty organization is adopted, Europe, from the Atlantic to the borders of Belarus, will no longer be civilized. It will be simply a fascist regime, resembling in its organization, very much the Mussolini organization in Italy. That's the status of what we have.

There are forces in Europe which are resisting this. There are popular forces who are resisting it; they are significant. So far, they have not been successful. But if this treaty organization is adopted, there will not be a sovereign government between the Atlantic and Belarus, in all of Europe. None. Because there will be no parliament that has any power, no President that has any power. NATO will be integrated with this organization, and it will be a war organization, prepared to go to full-scale war, by bluff and by the kind of bluff that leads to war.

So we face today, the greatest crisis in all history of what's called modern civilization. A crisis which is comparable, in terms of its economic effects, to what happened to Europe in the middle of the Fourteenth Century, the so-called New Dark Age. And only by alertness, and by not being fooled into looking at particular issues, as if these were the problems, but seeing the issue as a whole, the global issue, only from that standpoint, can you formulate policies, and can nations group together, around policies of common interest to resist the great threat which is coming out of London, and out of those interests today.

Resistance Grows as Battle Over Lisbon Treaty Enters Decisive Phase

by Rainer Apel

In terms of parliamentary action, the first two weeks of April might be seen as a setback for the movement against the European Union's Lisbon Treaty because three more national parliaments ratified the document—Poland on April 2, Austria on April 9, and Slovakia on April 10. But Europewide, outside of parliaments, popular resistance to the Treaty is growing.

Although the line-up for the ratification vote in Austria was not much of a surprise, Poland and Slovakia both had a live option for defeat of the Treaty, although the opposition there was not based on very solid ground.

The Polish opposition party, PiS, is the same one that had formed the previous government and negotiated its country's "yes" to the Treaty when it was signed by the 27 EU member governments in Lisbon on Dec. 13, 2007, so its sudden threat not to ratify the same Treaty this year, came as a surprise to many. But fear of a mobilization of the Polish population with a referendum, if the parliamentary vote had failed, drove several PiS members into the pro-Treaty camp, when it came time to vote on April 2.

In Slovakia, the situation is more complicated. After the Treaty was signed in Lisbon by the EU governments, it was generally expected that the ratification would pass the parliament with a majority of 80 or 90%, because of the overwhelmingly pro-European mood in the Slovak population. But, all of a sudden, the opposition parties fell at odds with the government over a new law on media restructuring, and in retaliation, these parties threatened not to ratify the Lisbon Treaty. And indeed, when the



LaRouche organizers in Milan, Italy. The banner reads, "Attention Citizens: By the fraud that seems good, they want to make us into subjects with the Lisbon Treaty."



"The EU's Lisbon Treaty means an offensive military pact instead of economic union," "Against EU Dictatorship," and "Demand a referendum" are some of the slogans on the posters of these BüSo (Civil Rights Solidarity) party organizers in Heidelberg, Germany.

parliament voted on April 10, almost a third of deputies—most of the opposition—walked out in protest. The problem is that the protest was primarily against the media law, not against the Treaty.

However, a political side effect, even of the unprincipled opposition in Poland and Slovakia, has been that the population has now been alerted, and that the first indications of a mass protest movement against the Lisbon Treaty have surfaced.

The ‘Save Austria’ Opposition

A somewhat different situation exists in Austria, where for several weeks, there has been a broad mobilization of the population around two political initiatives. One of these, organized by the centrist “Save Austria” movement, has collected 103,313 signatures, which it presented on April 8, to the chief speaker of the national parliament, Barbara Prammer, and Assistant Foreign Minister Heidrun Silhavy.

As Karl Walter Nowak, spokesman for the anti-Treaty initiative, announced at a mass rally of his movement in Vienna that same day, the signature collecting will continue, even after the ratification of the Treaty by the parliament. This will serve as a symbolic nationwide referendum, which, although not binding on the parliament, will have a moral and political impact. This is underlined by the simple fact that whereas in 1994, two-thirds of the Austrians voted in favor of their nation’s EU membership, today two-thirds of the population is both for a referendum, and against the Lisbon Treaty.

At the same time, a flood of lawsuits against ratification were issued in the constitutional court of Austria. The impact of this legal mobilization could be seen in the days immediately before the parliamentary vote, as more and more members of government and of the parliamentary majority took to the media, calling on citizens to support the Lisbon Treaty. Chancellor Alfred Gusenbauer, Foreign Minister Ursula Plassnik, Vice Chancellor Wilhem Moltner, and Justice Minister Maria Berger even held a joint press conference at the same time that the 103,000 signatures against ratification were presented to the parliament by Save Austria.

The debate in the parliament showed that the opposition to the Treaty is not at all demoralized over its minority status, but that it is actually in a fighting mood. Before the Austrian national parliament finally ratified the Treaty (151 for, 27 against, and 5 abstentions), it was forced to engage in an intense debate, that lasted for more than eight hours. The debate also featured some sarcasm from the opposition, especially when Hans Christian Strache, chairman of the Free Democrats’ (FPÖ) parliamentary group, said that the government and its majority, plus the pro-Treaty Greens, were about to “send our national eagle [the state symbol—ed.] to Brussels, to get it back only as a plucked chicken.”

In his speech, Strache addressed the militarization of the EU and the loss of neutrality and sovereignty for Austria. He provoked some turbulence in the parliament when he addressed the fact that the Treaty has a clause that would introduce the death penalty into Europe. Strache also handed a muzzle to Chancellor Gusenbauer, in protest against the official attempt to ban anti-Treaty arguments.

In spite of the defeat, the anti-Treaty campaign plans to escalate its actions. For example, a number of professors of law, including the expert Walter Holländer, announced April 10 that they are now preparing legal cases against the ratification vote at the constitutional court, and they said that the same would occur in neighboring Germany. There was broad media coverage of the issue and the debate in Austria, during the days before the debate, the debate itself, and the days after, led by the appropriate headline, April 10, “Break of Constitution in Parliament,” in *Kronenzeitung*, the main mouthpiece of the Austrian anti-Treaty movement.

Parliament’s vote is just a first step. Now, the various states of Austria also have to vote to ratify, and if one of them does not, this will block the Treaty. The state of Carinthia (Kärnten) is the most likely to block it; its governor, Jörg Haider, is an opponent of the Treaty.

Anti-Treaty Protests Growing

In Denmark, a nationwide protest movement is headed by Jens-Peter Bonde, who gave up his seat at the European Parliament in order to have more energy to concentrate on the fight against the Lisbon Treaty. Bonde has collected signatures, and presented 48,000 to the government on April 8. The other nationwide protest movement, led by the Schiller Institute and the LaRouche movement in Denmark, presented a couple of thousand more signatures on April 9. The chief LaRouche representative in Denmark, Tom Gillesberg, has gained support among Danes in recent months with his campaign for a Eurasian Land-Bridge development alternative to the onrushing financial crash, especially with his call for Denmark to start building a national maglev grid that could be extended to neighboring countries like Germany.

In France, Germany, Italy, and Sweden, the LaRouche movement is at the core of the campaign against the Lisbon Treaty. Its role is that of a catalyst for a long-overdue debate on the systemic nature of the financial collapse that has been the main driver for the financial oligarchy, centered in London, to push fascist control measures like the Lisbon Treaty.

As the movements against the Treaty reach more widely into the population, the LaRouche Youth Movement activists, in their daily street organizing across Europe, have reported an upturn in people’s awareness of the economic and financial aspects of the Lisbon Treaty’s “Europe.” The de-ratification of the Lisbon Treaty already is visibly on its way.

The British Empire Is Frantic To Eliminate Zimbabwe's Sovereignty

by Douglas DeGroot

The so-called British House of Lords debate on Zimbabwe, held on April 3, was an open declaration of war on the sovereignty of Zimbabwe, because of its years-long refusal to succumb to the Anglo-Dutch financial oligarchy's drive to expand their worldwide empire, under the rubric of globalization. It is noteworthy, that what the Lords and Ladies of the House of Lords said on April 3 (see below), began happening on the ground the following week.

Because their financial system has blown out, the British have no choice but to go all out, creating wars and destabilizations to destroy the sovereignty of nations, and turn them into passive looting grounds. Their situation has gotten so bad, that the International Monetary Fund is demanding that the British empire's banks, hopelessly bankrupt from unregulated speculation, be bailed out. The same IMF, using various pretexts of what it deemed unacceptable activities, has, since 2000, cut off Zimbabwe from any balance of payments assistance.

Lyndon LaRouche has pointed out that Zimbabwe is the tough nut the British have to crack, because of its will to fight globalization against what seem to be insurmountable odds. Once Zimbabwe is broken, they can move on to other countries in southern Africa, with South Africa being the next in line.

The IMF-imposed conditions of economic warfare against the country, stemming from an IMF deregulation and privatization program that was imposed in 1990, and the subsequent IMF-dictated credit cut-off, created enormous suffering for the population. It was under these conditions of demoralization and despair that the March 29 elections were held.

Zimbabwe won its independence in 1980, after a protracted fight, against the Southern Rhodesia government of Ian Smith. Southern Rhodesia had been a British colony. Then, in 1965, a Unilateral Declaration of Independence (UDI) provided the British with a pretext not to introduce majority rule in the colony.

After the independence struggle was finally won, the British colony became known as Zimbabwe. The new country became the envy of other Africa countries, who hoped to emulate it. After the new government invested in education and health care, it had the highest levels of skills and education in Africa.

The IMF Moves In

In 1990, Zimbabwe received an IMF Enhanced Structural Adjustment Facility (ESAF) loan. This loan had more con-

ditions attached than normal IMF loans. Zimbabwe was forced to implement every radical IMF policy in the Fund's bag of tricks. To sharply reduce government spending, tens of thousands of workers were fired, the pay of those who remained was gutted, and the government drastically reduced spending on social programs. Taxes were reduced, and the country was opened up to foreign competition, which hit the manufacturing sector particularly hard.

The effects were disastrous. Employment and real wages fell. During the 1991-96 period of the IMF plan, manufacturing employment fell 9%, and wages dropped 26%, according to reports from the IMF itself. Public sector employment fell 23% and wages dropped 40%, while food prices soared by 36%. Meanwhile, the IMF plan did not deliver to the government what the IMF said it would, and the country was caught in a debt trap.

The first decade of improvements in health care, was reversed by the IMF plan. As a result, Zimbabwe now has one of the worst AIDS problems in the world.

For moving to protect the economy by stopping all privatization schemes, the IMF cut off all balance of payments assistance from 2000 on. Three reasons were given: 1) the defensive military intervention into the Democratic Republic of the Congo (the IMF said it wanted to stop this adventurism); 2) it didn't like the gratuities paid to war veterans (part of the Zanu-PF political machine); and 3) the land reform program.

These were all pretexts to make loan cutoffs that would wreck the country. On the same day that the IMF turned Zimbabwe down, over the issue that it had sent troops to defend the Democratic Republic of the Congo (D.R.C.), because they said Zimbabwe was too poor, it approved loans to Rwanda and Uganda. Both countries were poorer than Zimbabwe at that point, and both had troops in the D.R.C., who were the aggressors that Zimbabwe and other nations stopped from taking over the whole country.

The Lords and Ladies made no secret of the fact that they must break Zimbabwe, and turn it from a sovereign nation to a satrap. As you will see below, they are talking about getting rid of the government, and bringing the country back into their Commonwealth. The discussion was led by Lord Mark Mallock-Brown, who grew up in Southern Rhodesia, where his father was a diplomat. He is British Prime Minister Gordon Brown's Minister of State in the Foreign and

Commonwealth Office, with responsibility for Africa, Asia, and the United Nations. He was made a life peer on his elevation to Brown's cabinet. He specializes in changing leadership of countries in the globalized world, done under the guise of bringing democracy. Sometimes termed "Mighty Mouth" in Britain, he has also been labelled as part of the axis of George Soros, with whom he has worked as a destroyer of national sovereignty. He is also the controller of former UN Secretary General Kofi Annan, who recently negotiated a power-sharing agreement in Kenya, which has broken down again into violence. The Lords and Ladies think they can accomplish their takeover of Zimbabwe with power-sharing there.

Documentation

British Lords Demand Zimbabwe's Demise

Britain's not-so-noble House of Lords held a "debate" April 3, on how to dispose of Zimbabwe. The quotes in the following article are taken from the House of Lords Hansard, the official record. The full debate can be found at www.publications.parliament.uk.

The Minister of State, Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO), **Lord Mark Malloch-Brown**, responded to comments and questions put to him by the Lords. He began by reading **Foreign Secretary David Miliband's** statement of the day before to the House of Commons, which ends, "Lord Malloch-Brown and I have been in touch with Presidents, Prime Ministers, and Foreign Ministers in southern Africa, and around the world. There is an international consensus that the will of the Zimbabwean people must be understood and respected."

Later in the debate, Malloch-Brown took it upon himself to define the "will of the Zimbabwean people": "With a leader who has ruled in the way that President [Robert] Mugabe has for the past 28 years, it is impossible to conceive of him winning unless there were a massive effort to steal the election result."

Lindsay Patricia Granshaw, Baroness Northover, of Cissbury in the County of West Sussex, emphasized that Zimbabwe is on Britain's regime-change agenda, saying, "We cannot let Zimbabwe slide into chaos. Could the Minister assure us that, even though we have pressing concerns in Iraq and Afghanistan, we will not let Zimbabwe slide down the agenda? How does he think we should balance the claims of those in the old regime?... On the other hand, does he think it better for them to be inside, rather than outside, wishing any new regime ill?"

She continued: "Last year, the FCO gave us a full and im-

pressive briefing on the plans of the international community for a post-Mugabe era. Could the noble Lord [Malloch-Brown] update us on those plans? The *Guardian* reports that 1 billion pounds [actually dollars] in aid is likely to go to Zimbabwe. Can he confirm this?..."

Malloch-Brown: "The estimate is that the absorptive capacity of Zimbabwe in these early stages will probably rise to \$1 billion next year... It is expected that Britain will play a major part in providing the finance for that..."

South Africa's Mbeki Gets His Orders

Frances Gertrude Claire D'Souza, Baroness D'Souza, Companion of the Order of St. Michael and St. George: "My Lords... does [the Minister] agree with the view expressed by Archbishop Desmond Tutu that now is not the time for quiet diplomacy, and that every possible and very strong representation should be made to [South African] President Thabo Mbeki at this time?"

Malloch-Brown: "The Prime Minister has spoken to Mr. Mbeki and will speak to him again, to re-emphasise the need for consistency in finishing what he began. He can take credit for having begun the change in Zimbabwe. We will press him to be a prominent leader, both in public and private, and ensuring that he finishes that work." [Prime Minister Gordon Brown's meeting with Mbeki occurred on the weekend after the debate.]



Lord Malloch-Brown, U.K. Minister for Africa, Asia, and the UN

Daphne Park, Baroness Park of Monmouth: "My Lords, does the Minister agree that we have left the decisions too long to SADC [Southern African Development Community]?... I hope that the Secretary of State, who said that he would be approaching the new head of the Commonwealth at the appropriate time, will regard this as the appropriate time. It is a time when the Commonwealth can do a great deal. Those African states are members of the Commonwealth. I do not think that it is right... that one part of the Commonwealth should make decisions for all of it. If the Commonwealth, as a whole, observed the next round of elections, or the next situation, that would be a considerable reassurance to the people of Zimbabwe, who have recognized that local considerations—and African ones—have worked against them in many ways. There can be nothing to stop us bringing in the whole Commonwealth—after all, there are Zimbabweans in Australia, New Zealand, and Canada."

'We' Must Have the 'Right People' Involved

Baroness Park: "[I]t is extremely important that we have the right people involved in the UN. Unless the present head

of the UNDP [UN Development Programme] is withdrawn, there will not be very much confidence in the UN's role in the future of Zimbabwe. Two successive UNDP leaders have been far too close to Mugabe, and indeed, in one case, have taken land from him. It will be extremely important to create confidence among the people of Zimbabwe ... but it will be the right people. I propose having Anna Tibaijuka [executive director of the UN Human Settlements Program] as the UN commissioner. ... We will move into the Commonwealth and we will bring the UN up to scratch.

Malloch-Brown: "My Lords, I take the point of the noble Baroness about Zimbabwe returning by its own choice to the Commonwealth.... I doubt whether that is likely to occur within the 21 days before a second round in a presidential election, and therefore whether it is practical to have Commonwealth observers in Zimbabwe for a second round cannot be resolved today."

Bill (William) Manuel Morris, Lord Morris of Handsworth: "As I listened to the [Foreign Secretary's] statement, I transported myself to a citizen living in Zimbabwe, who would like to put one or two very simple questions to the Minister. They would say, 'We have tried soft diplomacy but it has not delivered for us. Have you got a plan B?' ... If the answer is yes, what is it?"



Bill (William) Manuel Morris, Lord Morris of Handsworth

Malloch-Brown: "President Mugabe is on the edge; his days are over; the regime is finished. We are now debating the manner of its ending, not its continuation.... President Mugabe needs to understand that his choices have narrowed to two impossible options if he chooses to go forward: a second round in an election that he would surely lose, now that his political mortality and autocratic rule have been pierced by an inevitable second-place finish in the first round; or the option of trying to steal the election. The position of the SADC leaders, the position of the international community more generally and the position of the people of Zimbabwe ... rule that out. He faces departure from office. We must ensure that we say and do nothing that gives him any wriggle room."

British State 'Almost Hysterical'

Michael Goodall Watson, Lord Watson of Invergowrie: "What plans will the Government make as a contingency—so-called plan B—if the people are not given the outcome of the election that they have claimed?"

Malloch-Brown: "My Lords, we are determined to make sure that, if the election goes to a second round, President Mugabe will not with military or any other help be able to

steal it. Were he to do so, he would confront an international community more united and determined to end this farce than ever before...."

Early in the debate, **Malloch-Brown** said, "There is often a complaint that in the Lords we do not have an audience. Today, we do. I shall quote from this morning's Zimbabwean newspaper, the *Herald*: 'Almost the entire British state machinery—from the BBC to its House of Commons—was almost going hysterical over the delay in announcing the election results by the Zimbabwe Electoral Commission.' Apparently, the debate yesterday in the other place [the Lords' obligatory term for the House of Commons] was broadcast live in Zimbabwe and repeated throughout the evening. Therefore, as we discuss the issue today, we do so under the burden that [Mugabe's government] will fall on anything that we say to try to [create] ... a diversion about whether the British are 'up to it again.' Therefore, ... we need to pick our words carefully and avoid anything that can feed these last-minute tactics...."



Michael Goodall Watson, Lord Watson of Invergowrie

EIR SPECIAL REPORTS

Peace through Development in Africa's Great Lakes Region: Proceedings of A Seminar in Walluf, Germany

The 148-page report incorporates the proceedings of a seminar addressed by Lyndon LaRouche and sponsored by *EIR*, the Schiller Institute, and the Forum for Peace and Democracy, in Walluf, Germany on April 26-27, 1997. An extensive appendix details infrastructure projects which would spur the development of Africa.

\$100

148 pages Order# EIR 97-003

ORDER FROM...-

EIR News Service, Inc.

P.O. Box 17390 -Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Or toll-free phone 1-888-EIR-3258

Order online at <http://www.larouchepub.com>



The Conditions Needed For a Winning Strategy

by Christine Bierre,
Special Correspondent

As the world monetary system enters into its final throes, the international financial oligarchy has renewed its war drive against the countries of Southwest Asia. And while the participants in the March 29-30 Arab League Summit in Damascus, Syria were largely oblivious to the broader strategic implications for them, of the world financial crash, it is only in that larger context, that the causes of the crisis in this part of the world can be found.

Behind the renewed war drive in Southwest Asia is the need for the City of London to reaffirm its power over the world in the face of the ongoing financial crash, and to use the crisis to destroy the post-Westphalian order of nation-states, including the United States itself, and to impose its World Empire, once and for all.

In this context, the only winning strategy for those who want to defeat the British gameplan in the region, is one which addresses that crisis. While the financial oligarchy will react to the breakdown of the system by attempting to impose a global dictatorship, the crash is also threatening the very power and existence of the oligarchy itself. This is the moment, therefore, in which the more clear-sighted Arab League countries must turn to Russia, to China, to India, and to opposition forces in the U.S. and Europe, like those represented by Lyndon LaRouche and his collaborators, with proposals for rebuilding a new, just economic world order.

Cheney Tries To Organize a Boycott

No sooner had the Arab League Summit convened, than the British- and U.S.-dominated international media began putting out the line that the summit had been a total failure for Damascus, and that Syria had been successfully isolated from the rest of the Arab world.

What only the Arabic media and a few others were reporting however, was that U.S. Vice President Dick Cheney had deployed himself to Southwest Asia last month, prior to the summit, to, among other things, pressure U.S. allies to boycott the meeting entirely, or to send low-level diplomatic representatives. As the summit neared, the heads of state of Saudi Arabia and Egypt announced that they would not be participating themselves, and instead, they would send their ambassadors to the Arab League. Jordan, which had already sent its

foreign affairs minister, was forced to call him back and replace him with an ambassador; while the Lebanese government, whose problems were to be at the center of the summit, announced it was boycotting altogether. From London, where they were having talks on the upcoming April 2-3 NATO summit, British Prime Minister Gordon Brown and French President Nicolas Sarkozy ostensibly supported those countries which had decided to boycott.

By a mere chance of protocol, it fell on Syria, for the very first time in the history of the Arab League, to host the summit, an enviable position of power which will extend throughout the coming year. Needless to say, the Bush-Cheney Administration, under the influence of Great Britain, decided to use all means possible to pull off a boycott of the summit, as part of its continuing policy of provoking war in the region. Clearly, the effort prompted by the publication of the Baker-Hamilton Iraq Study Group report in December 2006, calling for a U.S. withdrawal from Iraq, and for dialogue with Syria and Iran to establish peace in the region, seems to have come to a halt with the resignation in early March of Adm. William Fallon as head of CENTCOM.

In Palestine, Washington is embarked on a policy of extermination of Hamas, as a first step towards a weakening of the Hezbollah in Lebanon and, by domino effect, of Syria and Iran, wrongly considered to be the controllers of the opposition in Lebanon.

Well-informed regional sources have noted that while Cheney played the role of the bully in the attempt to orchestrate a boycott of the summit, the policy had been crafted by Condoleezza Rice's State Department. The strategic project was to replace the UN order, where each nation has one vote, and where the "Big Five" (U.S.A., Russia, China, France, and Great Britain) are represented at the UN Security Council, by an order of regional organizations run by directorates controlled by the forces of the new trans-Atlantic U.K./U.S. empire, along with its new French poodle, Nicolas Sarkozy. Just as they would like the European "region" to be run by a Franco-British directorate, they would like Southwest Asia to be run by a Saudi Arabian-Jordanian-Egyptian directorate. To win its agreement, Saudi Arabia apparently was promised greater power for the Sunnis in the Iraqi government; Jordan is expected to play a bigger role in counterinsurgency operations in Lebanon and elsewhere; while Egypt expects U.S. economic aid.

'The U.S. Failed To Sabotage the Summit'

However, as Faysal Mekdad, the Syrian vice minister of foreign affairs, told this correspondent in a short interview during the summit, "The U.S. tried to sabotage it but they failed," as they have failed everything in the recent period. "They failed in Iraq, they failed in Lebanon, they failed in Palestine, and they failed in Afghanistan. This administration has failed everywhere, and has failed again, now." Asked whether the sabotage had been carried out by Cheney, Mekdad said,



UN photo/Paulo Filgueiras

Faysal Mekdad, the Syrian vice minister of foreign affairs, confirmed, in an interview during the Arab League Summit, that Dick Cheney, on his Mideast trip, who had attempted to sabotage the conference. "Absolutely, he did his best," Mekdad replied. "But ... he failed."

"Absolutely, he did his best. But again he failed." The minister said that in spite of all that, "the meetings between all Arabs this time were very frank, very open. Real discussion took place, which is the basis for facing all the forthcoming challenges, including the biased position of the U.S. against the Palestinians, against the real Lebanese, and against the real struggle of people for liberation."

Indeed, delegations of the 22 member-states attended the conference, including Libya's Muammar Qaddafi, who had boycotted recent summits, and the Gulf States—Kuwait, the United Arab Emirates, and Qatar—usually highly vulnerable to U.S. pressures. In total, 11 heads of state were present, about the same number that attended other Arab League summits in previous years.

The reality is that the entire region fears that the Bush-Cheney Administration will use the final months of its Presidency to launch new wars in the region. Sources familiar with the Gulf countries reported extreme tension in those quarters over the possibility of a war against Iran which would destroy them. The Cheney visit to the region, followed by Condoleezza Rice, occurred as tension is already at a high point throughout the area: in Palestine where a new Israeli offensive against Hamas is expected; in Lebanon where the U.S. Sixth Fleet has been deployed off its coast to support the anti-Syrian March 14th coalition of "friends" of the administration, and where U.S. airplanes were used at the end of March to disrupt a national address being broadcast by Gen. Michel Aoun (ret.) on his own television network. The murder of Hezbollah military commander Imad Moughnieh, which Hezbollah blames on Israel and has promised to avenge, is also a major source of tension for the entire region.

Under these conditions, tension at the summit led to draconian security conditions: The Damascus international airport was closed to all non-summit-related traffic throughout the three days; entire sections of Damascus were blocked to traffic, while a 6 km security strip surrounded the location of the conference. Sources close to the conference reported on a

terrorist incident the day that proceeded the opening session, without disclosing further details; and as soon as the conference ended, hackers destroyed all Syrian websites related to the summit, among other well-informed networks and those engaged in Middle East affairs, such as the French website Réseau Voltaire.

The Russia Factor

The Bush-Cheney bullying seems to have backfired, with Syria emerging reinforced, in a context where the entire region is clearly divided between Washington and its allies, Saudi Arabia, Jordan, and Egypt, on the one hand, and a strengthened Iran-Syria-Hezbollah-Hamas resistance alliance on the other. While not much credit is generally attributed to the final communiqués of the Arab League as meaningful policy statements, there is a kind of cocky note included in the final communiqué, directed at Israel, stating that the Arab countries will not eternally promote their peace initiative if nothing is forthcoming from Israel.

Beyond the influence radiated by Iran throughout the area, another factor contributing to the reinforcement of the resistance group is undoubtedly a renewed Russian presence in the region. Following Cheney's tour, Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov and Vice Foreign Minister Alexander Sultanov intervened to encourage Arab countries to attend the summit, while President Vladimir Putin addressed a message to the meeting calling for a new peace conference in Moscow, as a follow-up to the Annapolis conference held at the end of last year. And even though summit leaders declared that that conference had been postponed indefinitely, Russian moves in that direction are strongly appreciated. In particular, over the last several years, Syria has become the main commercial and military partner of Russia in the region, going as far as offering port facilities to Russia's large Mediterranean fleet. Russia has also been talking to Hamas about rebuilding Palestinian unity. On April 8, former prime minister Yevgeni Primakov called for relaunching the Annapolis process, with a special focus on Syrian-Israeli negotiations for a return to Syria of the Golan Heights.

This new coherence is key to resisting renewed attempts from the trans-Atlantic imperial faction to blow up the entire region. While the British-run policies of Washington over the last decades have provoked understandably intense rage around the world, leading many to wish for the downfall of the American Republic, the legacy of Franklin Roosevelt's New Deal, and of the Roosevelt-influenced de Gaulle/Adenauer European post-war reconstruction policies, represents the only economic design that can bring the world out of a threatened New Dark Age. It is not by chance that it is American economist and statesman Lyndon LaRouche who has been both the main opposition to the Bush-Cheney regime and its war policies, and the author of a proposal for a New Bretton Woods which is the only coherent strategy on the table to pull the world out of this deadly crisis.

International Intelligence

Barghouti Calls for Historic Reconciliation

Palestinian Fatah leader Marwan Barghouti, who is imprisoned in Israel, called for a peace agreement between Israel and the Palestinians, based on a two-state solution.

Writing in a letter to be read April 8 before the ceremony marking the 30th anniversary of the founding of the Israeli peace movement, Peace Now, Barghouti declared: "The vast majority of the Palestinian people, myself included, are ready for a historic reconciliation based on international resolutions that will result in the establishment of two states, a Palestinian and an Israeli one, that will exist side by side in peace and security. We are ready for reconciliation that will grant our and your own children a life devoid of the threats of war and bloodshed; to this end, we must reach a comprehensive ceasefire as soon as possible."

Barghouti is one of the most popular leaders in the West Bank, a strong nationalist who has the respect of all the Palestinian factions, including Hamas. In recent days two leaders of the Israeli Labor party, Infrastructure Minister Benjamin Ben Eliezer and former Labor Party chairman Amir Peretz, have called for his release. The latter will be launching a political campaign for that purpose. Lyndon LaRouche and former U.S. Secretary of State James Baker III have called for his release as well.

EIR Rep Addresses Italian Businessmen

Claudio Celani of *EIR* was one of two featured speakers at a conference in Bergamo, Italy, April 10, organized by the Lombardy Confapi (Confederation of Small Business Associations), entitled "New Economic Scenarios for Enterprises: A Rooseveltian approach."

Celani explained the origins of the current financial breakdown crisis, and then presented the solutions laid out by Lyndon LaRouche to reorganize the system and rebuild

the economy: the Homeowners and Bank Protection Act, a New Bretton Woods financial-monetary system, and the Eurasian Land-Bridge. He noted that the debate which has exploded in Italy around former finance minister Giulio Tremonti's recent book and attacks on financial globalization (see *EIR*, March 28, April 4), is a result of the public discussion which the LaRouche movement launched on Roosevelt-style measures to deal with the crisis, with Tremonti himself and other political leaders. Celani also called on the participants to join the fight to defeat the Lisbon Treaty, which would deprive Italy and other European countries of their sovereignty, thus eliminating any possibility to intervene effectively to change economic policy. After the presentations, the participants were eager to discuss the need for modernizing the country's infrastructure and introducing reality into the discussion of economics.

The event was attended by over 60 entrepreneurs, most belonging to the youth (meaning under-40) section of the National Confederation of Small Business Associations; the companies in this group are generally small, highly productive industries, which represent the backbone of Italy's physical economy. The event was organized as a result of Confapi events in Ascoli Piceno and Rome in 2007.

Court: Halting BAE Investigation Was Unlawful

A British high court ruled April 10 that the London government's Serious Fraud Office (SFO) acted "unlawfully" when it stopped the investigation into the massive corruption of the notorious "Al-Yamanah" arms deal between BAE Systems and Saudi Arabia. In December 2006, SFO director Robert Wardle stopped the ongoing investigation of the bribery and corruption involved in the £43 billion Al-Yamanah deal. After the deal was originally signed in 1985, for BAE to sell military equipment to Saudi Arabia, allegations emerged that hundreds of millions of pounds in bribes had been paid, including to Saudi "national security advisor" Prince Bandar.

In 2006, Tony Blair, then Prime Minis-

ter, and then-Attorney General Lord Goldsmith brought a lot of weight to bear to stop the investigation, claiming it would have caused "serious damage" to U.K.-Saudi relations and threaten national security, because the Saudis were allegedly refusing to continue counter-terrorism cooperation.

The two judges, Lord Justice Moses and Justice Sullivan, ruled that "No one, whether in this country or outside, is entitled to interfere with the course of our justice. It is the failure of government and the defendant [Wardle] to bear that essential principle in mind that justifies the intervention of this court."

As yet, there is no order to resume the investigation, and there will be a further hearing on what is next to be done, the *Guardian* reported. What's at stake is a lot more than a bribery case. As *EIR* has reported, the Al-Yamanah deal is just the tip of the iceberg of a Saudi deal with the Anglo-Dutch financial oligarchy, which produced a slush fund of undisclosed size, available for clandestine wars and covert operations, most likely including 9/11. Thus it is no surprise that already there are signs that both Prime Minister Gordon Brown, and the Conservative opposition, are trying to bury the case once again.

FSB Chief Patrushev Says NGOs Sponsor Terror

Addressing a session of Russia's National Anti-Terrorism Committee (NAC) on April 9, director of the Federal Security Service (FSB) Nikolai Patrushev charged that foreign NGOs were assisting "international terrorists" in recruiting Russian citizens for their operations. Patrushev said that this assertion is based on evidence gathered in Russia's Southern Federal District, which includes Chechnya and the rest of the North Caucasus.

Patrushev's statements were the latest in a series of indications that Russian government authorities are increasingly looking, in their counter-terror efforts, in directions that lead to London. In a commentary earlier this year, analyst Boris Mezhuhev wrote that last

Briefly

year's heightening of tension between Moscow and London was connected with Russian security agencies' discovery of a "British trail" in the destabilization of the North Caucasus. At the end of March, in an address to another meeting, Patrushev warned about likely Islamist attacks on targets in Russia's Ural industrial region, citing the activity of Hizb ut-Tahrir al-Islami, which happens to be an organization harbored in London.

Also speaking at the NAC session was Alexander Torshin, deputy chairman of the Federation Council. He told Novosti information agency that there are 59 NGOs that support Chechen and other anti-Russian insurgencies. "Foreign NGOs often turn into platforms for recruiting terrorists and extremists. What is particularly alarming is that in most cases they recruit young people," Torshin said in an interview after the NAC meeting. He cited anti-Russian events in Poland, Denmark, the Netherlands, Turkey, and some of the Baltic and Scandinavian countries.

Bering Strait Tunnel Is Popularized in Russia

It's not known with certainty, whether Russian President Vladimir Putin brought up the project for a multimodal transport connection across the Bering Strait, when he met with President George Bush at Sochi on April 6. Scores of Russian press articles had anticipated that he would, citing a *Sunday Times* of London report that put together a Kremlin official's mention of "a real bridge" between the U.S.A. and Russia, with Chukotka Region Governor Roman Abramovich's purchase of a giant tunnel-boring drill. The Bering Strait was not mentioned at the post-summit press conference, nor in any releases, but the Russian publicity has not abated.

The transportation website www.kolesa.ru ("kolesa" means "wheels"), on April 7, noted that "it wasn't on the official agenda," but "it very well may be that there was discussion at Sochi of the somewhat extravagant, but nonetheless truly impressive,

project of joining the two continents through an underground link." *Argumenty i fakty*, Russia's most widely circulated print publication, had an article the same day, titled "Chukotka—Alaska: a Map of the Crossing." This article stated in tones of certainty, that the project "should be started in the near future." Besides the bore acquisition by Abramovich's Infrastruktura company, *AiF* reprised the history of the Bering Strait project since the turn of the 19th-to-20th centuries, including the creation of the Interhemispheric Bering Strait Tunnel and Railroad Group. The *AiF* article was picked up by Pravda.ru, among others.

Separatists Threaten Bolivia's Integrity

Global hyperinflation in food prices is feeding the British drive to break apart Bolivia, as only the first domino to fall in South America.

Separatists in the gas- and agricultural-export-rich department of Santa Cruz have scheduled a referendum on "autonomy" from the federal government for May 4, which the separatists promise to hold, no matter who declares it illegal.

Santa Cruz's extensive soy cultivation provides much of the cooking oils used nationally. With the price having risen 35% in the recent period, President Evo Morales ordered exports of cooking oil suspended temporarily on March 26, until supplies for the domestic market were assured. The separatist Civic Committee went to town (its head, Branko Marinkovic, is one of the biggest cooking oil producers), mobilizing a protest of 300,000 people on April 2 against the export ban, and for autonomy. The governor of the department, Ruben Costas, ranted there that the export ban doesn't matter, because after the May 4 referendum, the department will be able to make its own laws. "We're making the real revolution."

Brazil and Argentina's foreign ministers, plus the vice foreign minister of Colombia, went to Bolivia, in an attempt to mediate between the government and the separatists, to head off the vote.

PPP VULTURES are currently targeting Europe's 30 most important transportation projects, claiming that they will cost far more than originally thought, and therefore should be targets for looting by public-private partnerships.

JIMMY CARTER says that he will meet in Syria with Hamas leader Khaled Meshal, during his trip to the Middle East, which begins April 13. Carter's itinerary includes Israel, the West Bank, Syria, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and Jordan.

PHILIP BOWRING, a top British intelligence analyst, took the occasion of an article in the April 9 website of *Asia Sentinel*, to muse that the (British-provoked) Tibet crisis may lead to another world war. He compared the "Tibet effect" to the events in Bosnia in 1914, as the former "can tip a delicate balance and stir what seemed dormant passions into gut-wrenching nationalistic rages."

CHINESE SCHOLAR Prof. Hu Yan, of the Party School of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China, correctly identified the drive for "Tibetan independence" as a British creation, in an interview with Xinhua April 6. Tibet is "a region coveted by western nations since the Opium War in the 1840s," he said. "The so-called issue of 'Tibet Independence,' is originally an outcome of aggression by imperialist nations." The British tried to separate Tibet from China and make it a "buffer zone" between China and India. "These two wars shall never be forgotten," Hu said.

THE FRENCH government narrowly won a vote of no confidence in Parliament on April 8, which was called to oppose France's return to NATO's military organization, and commitment to send additional troops to Afghanistan. Out of 574 members of Parliament, 227 voted in favor of the censure motion. To bring down the government, 288 votes are required.

The U.S.A. Cannot Survive Al Gore's Genocidal Treachery

by Scott Thompson and Jeffrey Steinberg

On March 31, one of the British oligarchy's flagship publications, the London *Sunday Telegraph*, flagrantly promoted Al Gore to be the Democratic Party's Presidential candidate against John McCain, in one of London's latest and most outrageous bids to sink the candidacy of Hillary Clinton.

"Plans for Al Gore to take the Democratic presidential nomination as the savior of a bitterly divided party are being actively discussed by senior figures and aides to the former vice president," the *Telegraph's* Tim Shipman wrote from Washington. "Two former Gore campaign officials have told the *Sunday Telegraph* that a scenario first mapped out by members of Mr Gore's inner circle last May now has a sporting chance of coming true. . . . If neither Mr Obama nor Mrs Clinton has the 2,025 delegates needed to win the nomination, and if both appear unable to beat Mr McCain, under one scenario a group of about 100 party elders—the 'super-delegates'—could sit out the first ballot in Denver, preventing either candidate winning outright, and then offer Mr Gore the nomination for the good of the party."

Read the *Sunday Telegraph* promotion of the widely hated former Vice President and notorious British agent as a further public declaration that the British are out to destroy the United States, by stopping Senator Clinton, the only candidate among the leading three contenders, who is qualified to be President of the United States, under the crisis circumstances facing the next Chief Executive.

The British are fully aware that Sen. Barack Obama cannot win the Presidency, as they, themselves, are sitting on the dossier of Obama's ties to London-centered international organized crime, that can wreck his candidacy on a moment's notice. The only reason that the British have not fully uncorked against Obama, up until now, is that they cling to the hope that the American people are so demoralized and desper-

ate, that they will give the vacuous populist Obama a victory in one of the upcoming primary elections, at which point they will unleash their agents inside the Democratic Party leadership, to force Clinton to drop out of the race.

Out To Crush the 'FDR Impulse'

Those outright fascist agents of London—Synarchist speculators Felix Rohatyn and George Soros, and their bought-and-paid-for party stooges, led by Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi and Democratic National Committee chairman Howard Dean—are re-enacting the efforts of J.P. Morgan agent John Jakob Raskob, and a similar pack of British-manipulated fools, who tried to prevent Franklin Delano Roosevelt from obtaining the Democratic Presidential nomination in 1932 (see *EIR*, April 4). When they failed to block his nomination at the Chicago convention, the same Morgan-London interests first tried to assassinate the President-elect, and then plotted a military coup d'état, that was defeated through the courageous actions of the patriot, Gen. Smedley Butler (UMSC ret).

As Lyndon LaRouche has repeatedly emphasized in recent writings and speeches, the City of London financial oligarchy is absolutely committed to preventing any "FDR impulse" from steering the U.S. Presidency in this already onrushing worst financial and civilizational crisis in modern history. They will stop at nothing to prevent a return to Franklin Roosevelt's policies, and they recognize that Clinton is the only candidate directly addressing the vital needs of the lower 80% of family income brackets—what FDR called "the forgotten men and women," and what Clinton has referred to as "the invisible Americans."

They also recognize that LaRouche, while not running for the Democratic nomination, is the leading spokesman inside

the party, and inside the nation as a whole, for a top-down war against the British Empire, and for a revival of FDR's policies. LaRouche's recent "Three Steps for Survival," written in the wake of the Bear Stearns collapse, has been widely circulating within the Democratic Party, and offers the concise emergency measures that must be taken, now, to avert the full force of the onrushing global financial crash. It is the combination of a Hillary Clinton Presidency, staffed by a bipartisan cabinet team of qualified patriots, joined with LaRouche's in-depth approach to the systemic collapse, that is London's worst nightmare.

Lessons of 2004-06

It is no secret, including in London, that the LaRouche intervention into the August 2004 Democratic Party convention in Boston, led by over 100 members of the LaRouche Youth Movement, saved the Democratic Party from imminent self-destruction. LaRouche's draft platform, and the active engagement of the young men and women of the LYM, shaped the environment in Boston, and almost succeeded, in the November general elections, in defeating Karl Rove's vote fraud and voter intimidation apparatus—despite Sen. John Kerry's at times lackluster performance as the Party's nominee against George W. Bush.

It was the factor of LaRouche's political mobilization of the lower 80%, despite the November defeat, that carried the Democratic Party into the 2005 battle to defeat the White House drive to destroy Social Security, one of the last vestiges of the FDR New Deal promotion of the general welfare. At the point that the Democratic Party had won a significant political victory, in stopping Bush's Social Security privatization thievery, London agents at the top of the Party stepped in to kill the LaRouche initiatives.

In February 2005, LaRouche had issued the first of a series of warnings, that the U.S. automobile manufacturing sector, the backbone of the nation's vital machine-tool capacity, was in deep trouble. He proposed a retooling of that dormant capacity, to launch a massive capital budget program of infrastructure-building, to be led by maglev and high-speed rail, nuclear power plant construction, and a long-delayed overhaul of the nation's water management system. The LaRouche plan would have created millions of new productive jobs, saved the machine-tool capacity of the auto sector, and generated an expanded tax-revenue base. Had the Democratic Party gotten behind LaRouche's plan, the present collapse of the dollar-based global financial system would have been far more manageable.

Instead, Felix Rohatyn personally stepped in, and sabotaged the effort—at a sizeable personal profit. The net effect was a London-steered, top-down fascist takeover of the Democratic Party. This takeover was publicly flaunted in early 2006, when Democrats in the U.S. Senate confirmed an outright Nazi, Justice Samuel Alito, to the U.S. Supreme Court. Alito was shown, during his own confirmation hearings, to be

an adherent to the legal doctrines of the Nazi crown jurist, Carl Schmitt.

The Gorey Truth

Despite the shameless capitulation of the Democratic Congressional delegation, under pressure from Wall Street and London, the LaRouche Youth Movement did not cave in. And in the November 2006 midterm elections, a dramatic turnout of younger voters swept the Democrats into the majority in both the U.S. Senate and the House of Representatives.

At that moment of renewed optimism, the London fascist vise-grip over the Democratic Party was reasserted. The new Speaker of the House, Nancy Pelosi, repeatedly and publicly spit on the voters' mandate, rejecting impeachment of Vice President Dick Cheney, refusing to cut funding for the Iraq War, and doing nothing about the economic collapse. Within months, popular support for the new 110th Congress fell from 70% to under 20%, rivaling Americans' hatred for Cheney and Bush.

Instead of LaRouche's FDR solutions, Democratic constituents were served a fat dose of British treason, in the person of Al Gore.

Gore, a notorious racist and radical Malthusian, whose global warming swindle would kill billions of people, if fully implemented, is a British agent, through and through. Never mind that his London-based hedge fund, Generation Fund Management, is at the center of the effort to create a "carbon swap" market, described by one U.S. intelligence source as a "\$10 trillion ponzi scheme."

Gore's London ties go to the very heart of the British monarchy, to his longstanding partnership with the Queen's royal consort, Prince Philip, and with Charles, the Prince of Wales. Father and son are among the most rabid advocates of mass genocide, starting in Africa, but extending to every continent. Philip has openly demanded an 80% reduction in population, over the next one or two generations, a level of genocide that would make Hitler blush.

As Vice President in 1999, Gore threatened to cut off all U.S. economic aid to South Africa, if the government went forward with plans to produce cheap AIDS drugs, and distribute them throughout the continent. At the time, there were 6 million South Africans, among millions more worldwide, infected with the HIV virus.

It is this racist British agent Gore that Obama has recently embraced, as one of his top policy advisors, if elected President. As LaRouche has said, repeatedly, Obama will never be President, but his wholesale endorsement of Gore and his genocidal global warming swindle, adds one more count to the indictment against the Illinois Senator. And, given London's drive to turn the 2008 U.S. Presidential elections into a chaotic, demoralizing mess, through which some British stooge is installed in the White House next January, Obama would do well to keep his eyes wide open whenever he is in the vicinity of Fat Albert.

Gore and the Ehrlichs: A Policy of Genocide

by Scott Thompson

This article appeared in the July 2, 1999 issue of EIR, under the headline, “The Ehrlichs: Two Genocidal Maniacs Whom Al Gore Loves.”

In an article in the June 18 *Washington Post* entitled “AIDS Activists Dog Gore a 2nd Day,” the *Post*’s authors reported that AIDS protesters from a group called AIDS Drugs for Africa showed up at Vice President Al Gore, Jr.’s campaign events on Wall Street, to demonstrate against him. According to the group, in recent talks with South Africa’s new President, Thabo Mbeki, Gore threatened to cut off all U.S. economic aid unless South Africa abandoned plans to both manufacture and import cheaper generic drugs to fight AIDS. While the activists charge that Gore “is doing drug companies’ dirty work,” by insisting that the drug companies’ patent rights take precedence over saving African lives, the real story, as *EIR* uniquely has reported it, is far uglier.

Al Gore is a leading peddler of Henry Kissinger’s genocidal National Security Study Memorandum 200 (NSSM 200, see box), which targets the darker-skinned populations of this planet for early extinction. Gore wrote the introduction to Paul and Anne Ehrlich’s book *The Population Explosion: From Global Warming to Rain Forest Destruction, Famine and Air and Water Pollution—Why Overpopulation Is Our #1 Environmental Problem* (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1990), Gore thoroughly endorsed their demand for radical population reduction measures in the world’s poorest countries. The Ehrlichs argue that AIDS is merely the latest of numerous pandemic diseases that have resulted from overpopulation, in places such as Africa, where they believe that AIDS originated.

The *Post* reported: “At the heart of the dispute is a South African law designed to give AIDS patients access to cheaper drugs. U.S. pharmaceutical companies see the law—which allows South Africa’s health minister to bring in less expensive imported AIDS drugs or locally produced generics—as an infringement on their patent protection. They have pushed aggressively for help in Congress and at the White House, even proposing that foreign aid to South Africa be cut off.”

A Gore aide told the *Post*, “Obviously the Vice President’s got to stick up for the commercial interests of U.S.

companies.”

Last August, when Vice President Gore met with Mbeki, who was then Deputy President, Gore’s office confirmed that the patent dispute was “a central focus” of the discussions. There are at least 6 million South Africans infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and the new South African law permitting the use of generic drugs would dramatically reduce the cost of the most effective, life-prolonging drug combinations, which now cost more than \$800 per month, an expense that few South Africans can afford.

Gore’s mind-set is that of a genocidalist. According to the Ehrlich book, Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, is one of the leading figures worldwide who “have taken courageous stands on the population issue and its connection to the environmental problems.” As *EIR* has reported, Gore has conducted extensive meetings and correspondence with both Prince Philip and Prince Charles, the leading eco-fascist genocidalists of this century. Prince Philip, for example, has stated: “In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation.”


Given these sentiments of his co-thinker, Prince Philip, Gore’s genocidal threat to cut South Africa off from affordable AIDS treatment is a decision based first and foremost on a belief that those South Africans who are HIV-infected are “useless eaters.” In *The Population Explosion*, the Ehrlichs sound, like Prince Philip, almost disappointed that AIDS has not done enough to reduce human population: “Computer projections suggest that, even in Africa, mortality from the disease alone (as opposed to social breakdown or economic effects) is unlikely to bring an end to population growth. While AIDS *could* turn out to be the global epidemic that brutally controls the population explosion by raising death rates, the strains of the virus that have so far been observed seem not to have that capacity. In truth, it is impossible at the moment to predict what will happen.”

The Ehrlichs deride as “fringe groups” those who call for the quarantine of AIDS victims, to assure both that the contagion’s spread is contained and that AIDS victims receive the most advanced treatment available.

Only in Hitler’s Wildest Dreams

Paul and Anne Ehrlich are genocidalists on a scale only dreamt of by Adolf Hitler. Had they their way, they would reduce the world’s population by several billion people, based on the scientifically illiterate hoax that the present world population of more than 6 billion people is beyond the “population carrying capacity” of the Earth.

Their pseudo-scientific hoaxes blame environmental (often fraudulent) problems on “overpopulation,” rather than on the real causes: the financial oligarchy’s systematic attack on scientific and technological progress, which has escalated since the British-orchestrated 1963 assassination of President



Al Gore's 1992 book, written while in consultation with Britain's Prince Philip and Prince Charles, was indistinguishable from the anti-industrial ravings of the "Unabomber." Gore formed a mutual admiration society with Paul Ehrlich (inset) and his wife, Anne, promoting one another's anti-scientific drivel.

John F. Kennedy, who dreamt of man's colonizing the Solar System and thence the universe.

Yet, the Ehrlichs have a mutual admiration society with one man—Gore. Gore's 1992 book *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*, as an article in the *New Federalist* (the weekly newspaper of the LaRouche movement) demonstrated, could not be differentiated from the Unabomber's "Manifesto" when passages from each were laid next to one another. Gore's book, otherwise known as *Mein Planet*, was written while he was in intensive meetings and correspondence with Prince Philip and Prince Charles.

On the cover jacket of *The Population Explosion*, the Ehrlichs report that their book won great praise from then-Senator Gore:

"Senator Albert Gore, Jr. says, 'Paul and Anne Ehrlich point out that humankind has entered into a brand-new relationship with Planet Earth. For the first time, our numbers threaten the ecological system that supports life as we know it....

"The time for action is due, and past due. The Ehrlichs

have written the prescriptions.... If every candidate for public office were to read and understand this book, we would all live in a more peaceful, sane, and secure world.'"

The Ehrlichs give political kudos to Gore: "Taking on bad politicians is not the only effective action you can take. You can encourage good ones.... For example ... write to them and let them know how grateful you are for their efforts. Do ... [this] for Albert Gore (Democratic Senator, Tennessee) ... and others who have made the effort to become well informed on population/environment problems."

Given that Gore is now a candidate for the year 2000 Democratic Presidential nomination, this mutual admiration society with the Ehrlichs, who repeatedly hammer their neo-feudalist argument that the standard of living in the United States should be reduced more than 100-fold, to the level of a Bangladeshi, eeking out a precarious existence on a flood plain, ought to scare the wits out of every American citizen. How can Gore take an oath of office, pledging to support the Constitution with its "General Welfare" clause, while sponsoring people who advocate cutting in half the population of the nation he would lead?

In a discussion with this author, Anne Ehrlich stated that she and her husband first got to know Gore when the three were collaborating to study the effects of "nuclear winter." In *The Population Explosion*, there is a section entitled "The Bang, the Whimper, and the Alternative," where the Ehrlichs discuss, along the lines of NSSM200, some of the "national security" aspects of "overpopulation." Projecting that "climatic changes" caused by "overpopulation" might lead to famine in the former U.S.S.R. and the United States, the Ehrlichs state that desperate world leaders might resort to ther-

monuclear war, resulting in global cooling from the debris thrown into the atmosphere: nuclear winter.

“The population explosion contributes to international tensions and therefore makes a nuclear holocaust more likely. Most people in our society can visualize the horrors of a large-scale nuclear war followed by nuclear winter. We call that possible end to our civilization ‘the Bang.’ Hundreds of millions of people would be killed outright, and billions more would follow the disruption of the agricultural systems and other indirect effects largely caused by the disruption of ecosystem services. It would be the ultimate ‘death-rate solution’ to the population problem.”

Although Anne Ehrlich stated that this is how she and her husband had come to know Gore, she refused to release any correspondence among them on this subject or any other on the environmental impact of overpopulation.

Everything But the Kitchen Sink

In *The Population Explosion*, the Ehrlichs blame every human catastrophe, whether real or imagined, on overpopulation. Under the heading “Making the Population Connection,” they state: “Global warming, acid rain, depletion of the ozone layer, vulnerability to epidemics, and exhaustion of soils and

groundwater are all, as we shall see, related to population size. They are also clear and present dangers to the persistence of civilization. Crop failures due to global warming alone might result in the premature deaths of a billion or more people in the next few decades, and the AIDS epidemic could slaughter hundreds of millions. Together these would constitute a harsh ‘population control’ program provided by nature *in the face of humanity’s refusal to put into place a gentler program of its own*” (emphasis added).

The Ehrlichs blame AIDS on overpopulation, rather than recognizing that the greatest periods of scientific and technological progress have resulted in a net increase in the energy-flux density of the biosphere, accompanied by a greater population “carrying capacity” per capita and per square kilometer. But, in the way that the Ehrlichs and their pal Gore rig the rules of the game, science is viewed as a danger that upsets the balance of ecosystems, rather than being the solution, the way to improve the “General Welfare” of mankind.

Pagans

If you scratch the surface of the Ehrlichs, and Gore, you find that all three are pagans who worship at the altar of

Kissinger’s NSSM 200

The first person who linked “overpopulation” to U.S. national security interests was Henry Kissinger, who, as National Security Advisor, oversaw the drafting of National Security Study Memorandum 200, entitled “Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security and Overseas Interests,” which was a highly classified document when it was completed on Dec. 10, 1974.

On Nov. 26, 1975, with Kissinger now Secretary of State, his successor as National Security Advisor, Gen. Brent Scowcroft, issued National Security Decision Memorandum 314, which adopted NSSM 200 as official (covert) U.S. policy on population matters. The following are excerpts from NSSM 200:

The World Population Plan of Action is not self-enforcing and will require vigorous efforts by interested countries, UN agencies and other international bodies to make it effective. U.S. leadership is essential. . . .

Assistance for population moderation should give primary emphasis to the largest and fastest-growing developing countries where there is special U.S. political and strategic interest. Those countries are: India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Nigeria, Mexico, Indonesia, Brazil, the Philip-

pinas, Thailand, Egypt, Turkey, Ethiopia, and Colombia. Together, they account for 47% of the world’s current population growth. . . .

Moreover, *short of draconian measures there is no possibility that any LDC [Less Developed Country] can stabilize its population at less than double its present size. For many, stabilization will not be short of three times their present size. . . .*

Population growth *per se* is not likely to impose serious constraints on the global physical availability of fuel and non-fuel minerals to the end of the century and beyond. . . .

The important potential linkage between rapid population growth and mineral availability is indirect rather than direct. It flows from the negative effects of excessive population growth on economic development and social progress, and therefore on internal stability, in overcrowded underdeveloped countries. . . .

The real problems of mineral supplies lie, not in basic physical sufficiency, but in the politico-economic issues of access, terms for exploration and exploitation, and division of the benefits among producers, consumers, and host country governments. In the extreme cases where population pressures lead to endemic famine, food riots, and breakdown of social order, those conditions are scarcely conducive to systematic exploration for mineral deposits or the long-term investments required for their exploitation.

Gaia, the Earth Mother goddess. All three reject the Book of Genesis, with its assertion that man is created in the living image of God. Man's cognitive reason enables him to discover validatable universal physical principles, whose mastery is realized in the increase in relative population growth potential.

Thus, in *The Population Explosion*, the Ehrlichs savage Pope Paul VI for his 1968 encyclical, *Humanae Vitae*, which confirms the church's opposition to artificial birth control: "The bishops ... defended the encyclical by announcing that 'the world's food resources theoretically could feed 40 billion people.'" Even if possible, the Ehrlichs scoff, "Is any purpose served in turning Earth, in essence, into a gigantic human feedlot?"

Treating humans like animals to be slaughtered, the Ehrlichs never once question whether, properly managed, the scientific and technological breakthroughs to support 40 billion people at a high level of culture, might not translate into benefits for Earth, perhaps a re-creation of a veritable "Garden of Eden."

Instead, they respond to this vision of the bishops with childish sarcasm: "In one sense they were right. It's 'theoretically possible' to feed 40 billion people—in the sense that it's theoretically possible ... for you to play Russian roulette ten thousand times in a row with five out of six chambers loaded without blowing your brains out."

Virtual Environmentalism

The key to the Ehrlichs' pseudo-scientific hoaxes is that they do not understand the fundamental scientific processes of the biosphere, but instead rely upon rigged computer models that give them a "virtual environmentalist" view of real world processes. For example, throughout *The Population Explosion*, they refer to computer models of the environment that "prove" that "overpopulation" is responsible for "global warming," even though they admit that they cannot be sure that there is actually a process of global warming under way. As to the computer model of the environment developed by the Ehrlichs, in their capacity as members of the Biological Sciences Department of Stanford University, a spokesman from their office stated that on questions of demographic overpopulation in general, they rely on the computer models of the United Nations and a non-governmental organization known as the Population Reference Board (PRB).

Anne Ehrlich refused to identify for this author the axiomatic assumptions that were built into the computer model that she and her husband claim proves that overpopulation causes global warming. (A spokesman for the PRB distanced his group from the Ehrlichs, stating: "They have their axe to grind, which is that overpopulation is the cause of every human catastrophe.")

The fundamental problem with the "virtual environmentalism" of a computer model is the old problem of "garbage

in, garbage out." Whatever axiomatic assumptions are made, the computer model deduces the conclusion from changes in the computer's "virtual reality," which may have absolutely no bearing upon the scientific principles that determine events in the real world. Even Anne Ehrlich admitted to this author that the computer models that they had employed in *The Population Explosion* could not account for the anti-entropic discovery by the human mind of the results of a breakthrough in fusion power, or even mass construction of safe, dependable nuclear fission power plants. Instead, she pooh-poohed the prospects for both.

In reality, environmentalists have used the courtroom to stop the development of these non-polluting energy sources that do not give off carbon dioxide, can be used efficiently to desalinate water for irrigation, and, with the use of a fusion torch, could transmute garbage into any basic element of a so-called "non-renewable" natural resource that is needed.

However, in *The Population Explosion*, the Ehrlichs do give a formula that seems to explain how they rig their computer models: "The impact of any human group on the environment can be usefully viewed as the product of three different factors. The first is the number of people. The second is some measure of the average person's consumption of resources (which is also an index of affluence). Finally, the product of those two factors—the population and its per-capita consumption—is multiplied by an index of the environmental disruptiveness of the technologies that provide the goods consumed. The last factor can also be viewed as the environmental impact per quantity of consumption. In short, $\text{Impact} = \text{Population} \times \text{Affluence} \times \text{Technology}$, or $I = \text{PAT} \dots$

"To illustrate how this interaction works, suppose that, by dint of great effort, humanity managed to reduce the average per-capita consumption of resources on the planet (A in the $I = \text{PAT}$) by 5% and improved its technologies (T) so that they did 5% less damage, on the average. This would reduce the total impact (I) of humanity by roughly 10%. Unless population growth (P) were restrained, however, its growth would bring the total impact back to the previous levels in less than six years."

It is no wonder that the Ehrlichs praise Parson Malthus as the first reasonable economist. It was Malthus, an oligarchs' lackey, who argued that there will always be periodic famine and plague, because population grows geometrically while agricultural productivity grows arithmetically. Like him, the Ehrlichs have placed an artificial limit on the rate at which scientific and technological invention can occur—repudiating the "science driver" levels of investment to develop fission and fusion power.

It is through these vicious, oligarchy-inspired axiomatic assumptions, that the Ehrlichs rig their computer models to demonstrate that human discovery can never keep up with human procreation.

'100 Times More Toxic'

The Ehrlichs use their "oligarchs' arithmetic" in $I=PAT$ to argue that every child born in America—which they have proclaimed "overpopulated," despite its vast uninhabited reaches of land—is more than 100 times more toxic to the environment than a child born in the Third World. In a section of *The Population Explosion* entitled "Comparative Impacts," the Ehrlichs develop their argument as follows:

"Overpopulation in rich nations obviously represents a much greater threat to the health of the Earth's ecosystems than does population growth in poor nations. The rich contribute disproportionately to the problem of global warming, being responsible today for 80% of the injection of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere from burning fossil fuels, and sharing responsibility for tropical deforestation, which also adds to the CO_2 load....

"Unfortunately, nations do not even attempt to keep statistics on average per-capita environmental impact of their citizens—which, of course, is simply the combined A and T factors of the $I=PAT$ equation. So, in order to make reasonable comparisons, we must use a surrogate statistic for $A \times T$ (per-capita use of commercial energy). Much environmental damage is done in the mobilization of energy, and even more by its use....

"According to that index of AT, a baby born in the United States represents twice the destructive impact on Earth's ecosystems and services they provide as one born in Sweden, 3 times one born in Italy, 13 times one born in Brazil, 35 times one in India, 140 times one in Bangladesh or Kenya, and 280 times one born in Chad, Rwanda, Haiti, or Nepal."

It is most important for the American electorate to know that would-be President Gore wrote in the most glowing terms about this analysis. Just as Gore refuses to permit South Africa to develop the medicines to treat those suffering from the deadly HIV/AIDS epidemic—effectively, medical apartheid—so he believes that the United States is dangerously overpopulated, and that for pseudo-scientific reasons of "virtual environmentalism," the population of the United States must be reduced. That means that *you* may be compelled to forgo access to human progress and family life, all to fit the false axiomatic assumptions of oligarchic lackeys like the Ehrlichs. Rather than pursuing the "General Welfare," a President Al Gore, Jr. will march America backward to a "New Dark Age."

It is a fundamental error to think that Gore is just "boring." The truth, as his close relations with the Ehrlichs and Prince Philip demonstrate, is that he is a genocidal maniac. Yet, this hypocrite, who by his endorsement of the Ehrlichs' pseudo-science would halve the U.S. population, referred to "families" and "family values" 27 times in his speech announcing his candidacy for the Presidency in his hometown of Carthage, Tennessee. There can be no clearer evidence than this, that "Carthage" is evil and must be destroyed.

Bloomberg's Brit Tax Dead on Arrival

by Anita Gallagher

The myth of the unstoppable fascist juggernaut of New York Mayor Michael Bloomberg, died with a whimper on April 7, when the New York State Assembly refused even to vote on Bloomberg's "made in Britain" scheme for a hefty "congestion tax" on cars and trucks entering the public space of Manhattan on weekdays.

The defeat of Bloomberg's signature initiative is a serious setback to the British interests trying to launch Bloomberg on a U.S. Presidential ticket, as well as boost the fascist private infrastructure policies he peddles to elected officials across the United States. The Bloomberg Presidential campaign, begun in February with speculation that Bloomberg would run as a self-financed Independent, is now stalling out, despite Bloomberg's boast that he is worth \$40 billion, not \$13 billion!

American statesman Lyndon LaRouche has led the efforts to stop Bloomberg, Britain's candidate for U.S. President. First LaRouche issued a short piece, "Mitt Romney Walks Out," which stated that Bloomberg is the financiers' dictator waiting in the wings as other candidates play their brief parts. This was mass-leafleted, and followed by another LaRouche mass leaflet, "Bloomberg Isn't Running ... Only Dripping Copiously," which exposed Bloomberg's Richard III-like claim that he isn't seeking the Presidency.

Then, the LaRouche Youth Movement, and a certain "Mouse-olini," a street-theater Mussolini look-alike with rodent ears and tail, visited Wall Street several times in the last month, to warn New Yorkers that "Il Duce" is back.

It worked.

N.Y. Legislature to Bloomberg: Drop Dead!

Bloomberg attempted to carefully orchestrate the steps to passage of his tax, together with privateer-U.S. Transportation Secretary Mary Peters, who promised New York State a \$354 million Federal grant if the state would impose the first-ever tax on American citizens to enter public space! Peters had originally set a March 31 "drop-dead" date to pass the tax, or forfeit the money. That deadline was then extended to April 7, to give Bloomberg time to round up the votes in the New York Legislature.

Meanwhile, the LaRouche Youth Movement was in discussion with members of the New York Legislature to support LaRouche's Homeowners and Bank Protection Act. This Franklin Roosevelt-style measure, which has 45 sponsors in the N.Y. Assembly, calls for a freeze on foreclosures, keeping state and Federally chartered banks open, and no "Bear Stearns" bailouts for speculators, to create a "firewall" be-



EIRNS/Bob Wesser

Benito Mussolini pays a visit to the “Bare Stearns” New York headquarters with lots of Federal Reserve hyperinflationary toilet paper, and paeans for Mayor Bloomberg.

tween the worthless bubble assets and the real economy.

Earlier, Bloomberg had rammed the \$8 trip per car, \$21 trip per truck tax through the 51-member New York City Council, which voted up the “congestion tax” 30-20, after a combination of threats and bribes. These included projects, future campaign contributions, or campaign contributions to opponents, according to the media.

City Council Speaker Christine Quinn, an ally of Bloomberg, had told Council members that she would not call for a vote unless she had indications that it would pass the State Legislature. The City Council then capitulated, moving the tax proposal forward to the New York Legislature.

But statewide, opposition to the Bloomberg tax plan was stronger. Some reports had opposition in the Assembly, the lower chamber, running 4-1 against the tax. There was “broad opposition in the Democratic Caucus” based on a wide variety of concerns, Dan Weiller, Assembly Speaker Sheldon Silver’s press secretary told *EIR* on April 10.

First, Weiller said, the congestion tax revenues “would only cover a very small portion of the Metropolitan Transit Authority’s \$9 billion hole in its capital plan.” Furthermore, the City of New York had just imposed an MTA fare increase, supposedly to pay for capital expansion. But, the promised capital expansion was abruptly cancelled immediately after the fare increase, because of Wall Street’s losses, and its diminished taxes to the City. “There was no way to know what projects would be done,” and the Assembly had no confidence that the tax would deliver the revenue promised, Weiller said.

Billionaire Bloomberg Nixes ‘Millionaire Tax’

Speaker Silver in the meantime had originated an opposing plan to generate transit revenue: *a tax increase of 0.85*

percent on everyone who earns more than \$1 million a year in New York. This percentage increase in the state tax, for a period of five years, would apply to only 26,000 people who live in New York, and another 40,000 who work in the state. But it would generate \$7.5 billion in revenue over its duration, with \$5 billion earmarked for transportation improvements.

The \$40-billion Mayor Bloomberg “strongly rejected” that tax, said Weiller. And, according to other sources, Bloomberg used his political muscle to stop the “millionaire tax” from being introduced into the New York budget debate.

A final irony: The “congestion tax,” which Bloomberg, and U.S. Transportation Secretary Peters insisted was a pollution-reduction measure, would have gone into effect with no environmental review at all, according to Weiller.

New Jersey’s Democratic Gov. Jon Corzine had also threatened to sue New York City for imposing the congestion tax, on top of the \$8 tolls New Jersey drivers currently pay to enter New York City, calling it “an outrageous action.” Corzine, who encounters demonstrations wherever he goes, against his own plan to turn the state’s highways over to private companies, would do well to learn the lessons of Bloomberg’s defeat—and Franklin Roosevelt’s success.

U.S. Transportation Secretary Peters issued a bitter statement over Bloomberg’s tax defeat on April 7, declaring that the congestion tax is an “inevitable solution” for New York, even if not in the next few months, or with the assistance of Federal Urban Partnership dollars.”

What does Secretary Peters mean, that a congestion tax is “inevitable” for New York City? *EIR* asked Peters’ press spokeswoman. She answered: Just that “there is no other viable option to improve New York’s traffic and environmental” problems—a strong endorsement of something that has never been tried, and, in Peters’ view, must be tried. “Starting tomorrow,” the press spokeswoman said, “we will engage with many of the largest cities in the United States that have put forward ambitious traffic fighting plans, to discuss how they could use this money to cut traffic, improve transit, and reduce pollution.” The U.S. Department of Transportation’s next targets for London’s plan are San Francisco, Minneapolis, Miami, and Seattle.

Apparently, the Department of Transportation has never heard of Franklin Roosevelt’s capital budget based on government credit to build wealth-producing infrastructure.

Only three cities in the world have been stupid enough to adopt congestion taxes: London, Singapore, and Stockholm. In London, the tax has produced so little revenue that it has been increased. And on April 2, the Porsche company announced that it had filed a lawsuit against London Mayor Ken Livingston for imposing a \$49 per trip fee.

The Lurid Case of Britain's Poison Penn

by Anton Chaitkin

Hillary Clinton has finally fired London agent Mark Penn as her chief campaign advisor. This April 7 action removed a saboteur who has long been positioned inside the Clinton camp, applying tactical pressure and policy leverage on behalf of the London-New York axis of imperial looters and war crazies.

The public explanation for his ouster was the flap over Penn being caught as a paid lobbyist for a free-trade treaty with Colombia which Mrs. Clinton opposes. Media outlets such as Rupert Murdoch's *Wall Street Journal*, which demand acceptance of free trade, have since offered a variety of explanations for Penn's firing, none of them involving significant matters of political principle.

We report here as an *EIR* exclusive, the Penn story of treachery, from the 1990s, up through his official employment by British empire leaders consumed with hatred for the United States and for the Democratic Party's Franklin Roosevelt legacy.

Sabotage in the 1990s

Mark Penn was hired into President Bill Clinton's re-election campaign in early 1995 by Dick Morris, the sleaze-ball pollster. Morris represented the cabal of anti-FDR Harrimanites and neo-conservatives within the Democratic Party who were angry and alarmed that the President was trying to act independently, despite their support for his 1992 election campaign.

Morris and Penn pressured Clinton to react to the 1994 Newt Gingrich-led Republican congressional victory by attacking welfare recipients and wage-earners to gain conservative support, in line with the London-directed "Third Way" program.

Bill Clinton fired Morris in 1996, ostensibly for letting Morris's prostitute listen in on Presidential conversations. His subordinate, Mark Penn, replaced Morris as Clinton's chief pollster.

Morris and Penn both had remarkable fascist credentials. Dick Morris is a cousin of the mafia's fixer, Roy Cohn. Morris had been hired in 1982 to help Cohn's protégé Roger Stone manage the 1982 Senate campaign of Prescott Bush, Jr. Meanwhile, Stone and Cohn directed the "dark side" dirty tricks for the hard-core Nazi element within the Republican Party.

Mark Penn had worked for the Israeli extreme right. While he and his consulting partner, Doug Schoen, were managing Prime Minister Menachem Begin's 1981 re-election campaign, Begin bombed Iraq's nuclear reactor, an act applauded by Penn and Schoen and condemned by Begin's Israeli opponents as a campaign stunt, three weeks before the Israeli election.

Morris went on to work for British empire media mogul Rupert Murdoch, as his attack dog against the Clintons. In the Bush-Cheney era, Penn went much higher up in the British apparatus.

The British Meaning of 'Public Relations'

The Penn and Schoen firm was bought in 2001 by WPP Group, the London-based advertising and political consulting monopoly. The buyout pushed Penn's personal wealth towards the stratosphere.

Penn's new bosses were at the heart of the British imperial oligarchy. WPP's 1986 founder, Martin Sorrell, had been a leader of the Saatchi & Saatchi agency that had run Margaret Thatcher's initial 1979 campaign, and had then shared the spoils by representing the big firms she privatized.

Martin Sorrell brought in David Ogilvy as WPP chairman (1989-92), to position the new firm to manage transatlantic political affairs, in line with Ogilvy's standing as a leading British intelligence strategist for psychological warfare. His firm, Ogilvy and Mather, acquired by WPP, has stated: "During World War II, Ogilvy worked with the Intelligence Service at the British Embassy in Washington ... making recommendations on matters of diplomacy and security. He extrapolated his knowledge of human behavior ... in a report which suggested 'applying the Gallup technique to fields of secret intelligence.'"

Ogilvy was the leading heir of the tradition of Edward Bernays, the nephew of Sigmund Freud and the founder of modern public relations. Bernays and race-supremacy advocate Gustave le Bon had



Mark Penn: Good riddance.



Toe-sucker: Dick Morris

perfected the manipulation of mass psychology, or “group dynamics,” in affiliation with the British Royal Family’s Tavistock Institute. Adolf Hitler, in *Mein Kampf*, among others, drew upon the Bernays and le Bon work for much of the fascist theory of crowd control.

It was David Ogilvy’s WPP, through its subsidiary Hill & Knowlton, that in 1991 ran the “Iraqis kill Kuwaiti babies” campaign of deceit, to soften opposition to the invasion of Iraq that Thatcher had urged on President George H.W. Bush.

In 2003, it was WPP, through its subsidiary BKSH—Roger Stone’s old firm—that managed the Iraqi National Congress/Ahmed Chalabi campaign of lies behind British Prime Minister Tony Blair’s push for a new Iraq war.

By this time, Mark Penn was chief executive of WPP’s worldwide subsidiary Burson-Marsteller, of which the old Roger Stone firm was now a subordinate unit.

Penn and Schoen managed Tony Blair’s 2005 re-election campaign. It was a family affair: The previous year, Penn’s wife, Nancy Jacobson, had founded the “Third Way” political action committee within the U.S. Senate, as an arm of London’s anti-FDR movement by that name. Penn served as pollster for the Democratic Leadership Council and its Third Way propaganda, and had advised the 2004 Presidential campaign of neo-conservative Sen. Joe Lieberman.

The Beginning of the End

During the current U.S. Presidential race, the campaign of Republican John McCain has been advised by Charles Black, chairman of BKSH, which is subordinate to Mark Penn’s Burson-Marsteller in the London chain of command.

Meanwhile Black’s longtime partner, Roger Stone, has created an official anti-Hillary 527 entity disgustingly named “C.U.N.T.” (allegedly, Citizens United Not Timid), to circulate demeaning images among enraged haters of Mrs. Clinton.

In February, two months before Penn was dumped, his longtime partner Schoen put out a new book, *Declaring Independence: The Beginning of the End of the Two-Party System*. There Schoen outlines strategies for undermining the Democratic and Republican parties, and installing a corporatist “national unity” regime, to cut working-class living standards and bury Franklin Roosevelt politics forever. The book touts the independent Presidential candidacy of Michael Bloomberg, the billionaire New York Mayor. Bloomberg had paid Penn and Schoen’s firm \$16 million to advise his 2005 election campaign as a Republican against the Democratic mayoral nominee, Fernando Ferrer.

In his book, Schoen boasts of his and Penn’s dirty operations inside the Bill Clinton Presidency. Praising Newt Gingrich as a “practical politician,” Schoen depicts Gingrich attacking Clinton from the front, while in the rear, Penn and Schoen demand that Clinton “get rid of the perception that he was associated with the cultural and political left of the Democratic Party.” Penn and Schoen defined the “Democratic left” as those who oppose “school vouchers and free trade.” They

told Clinton that he must become a “fiscal conservative,” and move against the “entitlements” such as Social Security, or he would not be re-elected.

On April 4, 2008, Penn apologized for “an error in judgment,” after being publicly exposed for working, as CEO of WPP’s Burson-Marsteller, on contract with the Colombian government to promote George Bush’s Colombia-U.S.A. free trade treaty. Hillary Clinton was then in the closing weeks of the crucial Pennsylvania primary race, in which her stand against free trade had become the central issue.

Two days later, on April 6, 2008, the London *Daily Mail* disclosed that British Prime Minister Gordon Brown “is secretly planning to headhunt the world’s most expensive polling expert in an attempt to revive his political fortunes.” The *Daily Mail* commented that “Mr. Brown can only hire multi-millionaire Mr. Penn if Mrs. Clinton is forced to pull out of the White House race in favour of Mr. Obama, as many experts predict.” Brown had previously hired former executives of WPP Group as the two top strategists in the Prime Minister’s office.

The day after the *Daily Mail* story broke, Penn was forced to resign as Mrs. Clinton’s lead advisor.

The Fascist Theory Behind Mark Penn

In Britain, Edward Bernays is considered, reverently, the “father of public relations.” When Mark Penn’s British company, WPP Group, runs the false propaganda to incite a war, or to lobby for free trade, it is following the counsel of Edward Bernays.

In his 1928 book, *Propaganda*, Bernays wrote:

“The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country....

“We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of. This is a logical result of the way in which our democratic society is organized. Vast numbers of human beings must cooperate in this manner if they are to live together as a smoothly functioning society....

“In almost every act of our daily lives, whether in the sphere of politics or business, in our social conduct or our ethical thinking, we are dominated by the relatively small number of persons ... who understand the mental processes and social patterns of the masses. It is they who pull the wires which control the public mind.”

The Firing of MacArthur, Then and Now

On April 11, 1951, fifty-seven years ago, President Harry S Truman announced the firing of U.S. Supreme Commander Allied Powers in the Pacific, Gen. Douglas MacArthur. With this action, Truman did a huge favor for his British imperial mentor Winston Churchill—and dealt a crippling blow to the U.S. military from which it has never recovered.

“Truman was getting rid of patriots he couldn’t deal with otherwise,” Lyndon LaRouche commented. “Churchill hated MacArthur and Truman did Churchill a favor.”

What that favor was can only be understood by looking at the American patriotic tradition which MacArthur represented throughout his career. Descended from a family with distinguished military service in the Union Army, and educated both in engineering and Platonic Christian thought, MacArthur understood war in the Classical humanist sense—as a the ultimate recourse, to be avoided if at all possible, for achieving peace and dignity for all people.

MacArthur’s passion lay in the same commitment which President Franklin D. Roosevelt expressed in his frequent wars of words with Churchill. He was determined to continue the tradition of the American Revolution, by acting to rid the world of colonialism, giving all nations the same access to national sovereignty and economic development, which the United States had won in its War of Independence. It was from this standpoint, that MacArthur clashed repeatedly with both the British commanders, and Anglophile members of the Roosevelt Administration, especially the State Department, in his conduct of the war in the Pacific. Because he truly saw war as only the last resort in liberation against tyranny, he eschewed as many bloody battles as he could against the Japanese, seeking to force the war to an end with the least possible casualties.

President Roosevelt clearly trusted MacArthur to carry out these noble aims in the post-war period, making him Supreme Commander of ground forces in the Pacific in the days before his own death. FDR knew that MacArthur would act toward the conquered Japanese, as he had toward the former U.S. colony in the Philippines—providing the maximum opportunity for reconstruction and reconciliation, in pursuit of building a true community of nations.

The obverse of MacArthur’s benevolent policy toward Japan—which Churchill vehemently opposed—was his aversion to the United States starting new wars, especially against colonial nations. For this reason, he opposed the Truman Administration’s fatal error of launching a no-win war in Korea, the first of a series of no-win wars that have had devastating effects on the U.S. military. He is reported to have been brought in by President John F. Kennedy for consultations on the situation in Indo-China, and gave the same advice: Never fight a land war in Asia.

One can only imagine what he would have said to those lily-livered military commanders today, who went along with the disastrous invasion of Iraq.

MacArthur was particularly impassioned about providing the opportunity for the former colonial peoples of Asia to advance, and he took to the stump repeatedly to demand that they be permitted to do so. In his speech to the Joint Meeting of the Two Houses of the U.S. Congress just eight days after his firing, his so-called Farewell Address, MacArthur argued that Asia, with half the world’s population and 60% of its natural resources, was becoming a new force.

“In this situation it becomes vital that our own country orient its policies in consonance with this basic evolutionary condition rather than pursue a course blind to the reality that the colonial era is now past, and the Asian people covet the right to shape their own free destiny. What they seek now is friendly guidance, understanding, and support, not imperious direction; the dignity of equality, not the shame of subjugation.” He went on to say that U.S. policy must be based on this, and respect for the Asians’ striving for better living standards.

MacArthur’s policy, especially toward Japan, could be seen as the epitome of the Treaty of Westphalia’s commitment to the interest of the other.

This is precisely what Truman’s action, and the subsequent British colonial-style wars of the United States, have done their best to destroy. On this disgraceful anniversary, we could do no better than to honor MacArthur by bringing back his patriotic tradition into our military, and our nation as a whole—and defeating the British Empire politically, once and for all.

See LaRouche on Cable TV

INTERNET

- LAROCHEPUB.COM Click *LaRouche's Writings*. (Avail. 24/7)
- MNN.ORG Click *Watch Ch.57* Fri: 2:30 a.m.
- RAVITELEVISION.COM Click *Live Stream*. Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- SCAN-TV.ORG Click *Scan on the Web*. Sat 2 pm Pac
- WUWF.ORG Click *Watch WUWF-TV*. Last Mon 4:30-5 pm (Eastern)

ALABAMA

- BIRMINGHAM BH Ch.4: Wed 11 pm
- UNIONTOWN GY Ch.2: Mon-Fri every 4 hours; Sun Afternoons

ALASKA

- ANCHORAGE GCI Ch.9: Thu 10 pm

CALIFORNIA

- BEVERLY HILLS TW Ch.43: Wed 4 pm
- CLAYTON/CONCORD CO Ch.26: 2nd Tue 7 pm; AS Ch.31: Tue 7:30 pm
- CONTRA COSTA CC Ch.26: 2nd Tue 7 pm
- COSTA MESA TW Ch.35: Thu 5:30 pm
- HOLLYWOOD TW Ch.24: Tue 4:30-5 pm
- LANCASTER/PALMDALE TW Ch.36: Sun 1 pm
- LONG BEACH CH Analog Ch.65/69 & Digital Ch.95: 4th Tue 1-1:30 pm
- LOS ANGELES TW Ch.98: Wed 3-3:30 pm
- LOS ANGELES (East) TW Ch.98: Mon 2 pm
- MARINA DEL REY TW Ch.98: Wed 3 pm; Thu/Fri 4 pm
- MIDWILSHIRE TW Ch.24: Tue 4:30-5 pm
- ORANGE COUNTY (N) TW Ch.95/97/98: Fri 4 pm
- SAN FDO. VALLEY (East) TW Ch.25: Sun 5:30 pm
- SAN FDO. VALLEY (NE) CC Ch.20: Wed 4 pm
- SAN FDO. VALLEY (West) TW Ch.34: Wed 5:30 pm
- SANTA MONICA TW Ch.77: Wed 3-3:30 pm
- WALNUT CREEK CO Ch.6: 2nd Tue 7 pm; AS Ch.31: Tue 7:30 pm
- VAN NUYS TW Ch.25: Sun 5:30 pm

COLORADO

- DENVER CC Ch.56 Sun 10 am

CONNECTICUT

- GROTON CC Ch.12: Mon 5 pm
- NEW HAVEN CC Ch. 23: Sat 6 pm

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

- WASHINGTON CC Ch.95 & RCN Ch.10: Irregular Days/Times

FLORIDA

- ESCAMBIA COUNTY CX Ch.4: Last Sat 4:30 pm

ILLINOIS

- CHICAGO CC./RCN/WOW Ch.21: Irregular
- PEORIA COUNTY IN Ch.22: Sun 7:30 pm

- QUAD CITIES MC Ch.19: Thu 11 pm
- ROCKFORD CC Ch.17 Wed 9 pm

IOWA

- QUAD CITIES MC Ch.19: Thu 11 pm

KENTUCKY

- BOONE/KENTON COUNTIES IN Ch.21: Sun 1 am; Fri Midnight
- JEFFERSON COUNTY IN Ch.98: Fri 2-2:30 pm

LOUISIANA

- ORLEANS PARISH CX Ch.78: Tue 4 am & 4 pm

MAINE

- PORTLAND TW Ch.2: Mon 1 & 11 am; 5 pm

MARYLAND

- ANN ARUNDEL Annapolis Ch.76 & Milleneum Ch.99: Sat/Sun 12:30 am; Tue 6:30 pm
- P.G. COUNTY CC Ch.76 & FIOS Ch.38: Tue/Thu 11:30 am
- MONTGOMERY COUNTY CC Ch.21: Tue 2 pm & Fri 11 pm

MASSACHUSETTS

- BRAINTREE CC Ch.31 & BD Ch.16: Tue 8 pm
- BROOKLINE CV & RCN Ch.3: Mon 3:30 pm; Tue 3:30 am; Wed 9 am & 9 pm;
- CAMBRIDGE CC Ch.10: Tue 2:30 pm; Fri 10:30 am
- FRANKLIN COUNTY (NE) CC Ch.17: Sun 8 pm; Wed 9 pm; Sat 4 pm
- QUINCY CC Ch.8: Pop-ins.
- WALPOLE CC Ch.8: Tue 1 pm

MICHIGAN

- BYRON CENTER CC Ch.25: Mon 2 & 7 pm
- DETROIT CC Ch.68: Irregular
- GRAND RAPIDS CC Ch.25: Irreg.
- KALAMAZOO CH Ch.20: Tue 11 pm; Sat 10 am
- KENT COUNTY (North) CH Ch.22: Wed 3:30 & 11 pm
- KENT COUNTY (South) CC Ch.25: Wed 9:30 am
- LAKE ORION CC Ch.10: Mon/Tue 2 & 9 pm
- LANSING CC Ch.16: Fri Noon.
- LIVONIA BH Ch.12: Thu 3 pm
- MT. PLEASANT CH Ch.3: Tue 5:30 pm; Wed 7 am
- PORTAGE CH Ch.20 Tue/Wed 8:30 am; Thu 1:30 pm
- SHELBY TOWNSHIP CC Ch.20 & WOW Ch.18: Mon/Wed 6:30 pm
- WAYNE COUNTY CC Ch.16/18: Mon 6-8 pm

MINNESOTA

- CAMBRIDGE US Ch.10: Wed 6 pm
- COLD SPRING US Ch. 10: Wed 6 pm
- COLUMBIA HEIGHTS CC Ch.15: Wed 8 pm
- DULUTH CH Ch.20: Mon 9 pm; Wed 12 pm, Fri 1 pm
- MARSHALL Prairie Wave & CH Ch.35/8: Sat. 9 am
- MINNEAPOLIS TW Ch.16: Tue 11 pm
- MINNEAPOLIS (N. Burbs) CC Ch.15: Thu 3 & 9 pm

- NEW ULM TW Ch. 14: Fri 5 pm
- PROCTOR MC Ch. 12: Tue 5 pm to 1 am
- ST. CLOUD AREA CH Ch.12: Mon 9:30 pm
- ST. CROIX VALLEY CC Ch.14: Thu 1 & 7 pm; Fri 9 am
- ST. LOUIS PARK CC Ch.15: Sat/Sun/M/T Midnite, 8 am, 4 pm
- ST. PAUL CC Ch.15: Mon 10 pm
- ST. PAUL (S&W Burbs) CC Ch.15: Wed 10:30 am; Fri 7:30 pm
- SAULK CENTRE SCTV Ch.19: Sat 5 pm
- WASHINGTON COUNTY (South) CC Ch.14: Thu 8 pm

NEVADA

- WASHOE COUNTY CH Ch.16: Thu 2 pm

NEW HAMPSHIRE

- MANCHESTER CC Ch.23: Thu 4:30 pm

NEW JERSEY

- BERGEN CTY TW Ch.572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- HADDON TWP CC Ch.9: Sun 10 am
- MERCER COUNTY CC Trenton Ch.26: 3rd & 4th Fri 6 pm Windsors Ch.27: Mon 5:30 pm
- MONTVALE/MAHWAH CV Ch.76: Mon 5 pm
- PISCATAWAY CV Ch.22: Thu 11:30 pm
- UNION CC Ch.26: Irregular

NEW MEXICO

- ALBUQUERQUE CC Ch.27: Thu 4 pm
- LOS ALAMOS CC Ch.8: Wed 10 pm
- SANTA FE CC Ch.8: Thu 9 pm; Sat 6:30 pm
- SILVER CITY CC Ch.17: Daily 8-10 pm

NEW YORK

- ALBANY TW Ch.18: Wed 5 pm. TW Ch.572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- BETHLEHEM TW Ch.18: Thu 9:30 pm
- BRONX CV Ch.70: Wed 7:30 am
- BROOKLYN CV Ch.68: Mon 10 am TW Ch.35: Mon 10 am TW Ch.572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- CHEMUNG TW Ch.1/99: Tue 7:30 pm
- ERIE COUNTY TW Ch.20: Thu 10:35 pm
- IRONDEQUOIT TW Ch.15: Mon/Thu 7 pm
- JEFFERSON/LEWIS COUNTIES TW Ch.99: Irregular
- MANHATTAN TW & RCN Ch.57/85 Fri 2:30 am
- ONEIDA COUNTY TW Ch.99: Thu 8 or 9 pm
- PENFIELD TW Ch.15: Irregular
- QUEENS TW Ch.35: Tue 10:30 am; TW Ch.572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- QUEENSBURY TW Ch.71: Mon 7 pm
- ROCHESTER TW Ch.15: Sun 9 pm; Thu 8 pm
- ROCKLAND CV Ch.76: Mon 5 pm

- SCHENECTADY TW Ch.16: Fri 1 pm; Sat 1:30 am
- STATEN ISLAND TW Ch.35: Thu Midnite. Ch.34: Sat 8 am. Ch 572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- TOMPKINS COUNTY TW Ch.13: Sun 12:30 pm; Sat 6 pm
- TRI-LAKES TW Ch.2: Sun 7 am, 1 pm, 8 pm
- WEBSTER TW Ch.12: Wed 9 pm

NORTH CAROLINA

- HICKORY CH Ch.3: Tue 10 pm
- MECKLENBURG COUNTY TW Ch.22: Sat/Sun 11 pm

OHIO

- AMHERST TW Ch.95: Daily 12 Noon & 10 pm
- CUYAHOGA COUNTY TW Ch.21: Wed 3:30 pm
- OBERLIN Cable Co-Op Ch.9: Thu 8 pm

OKLAHOMA

- NORMAN CX Ch.20: Wed 9 pm

OREGON

- LINN/BENTON COUNTIES CC Ch.29: Tue 1 pm; Thu 9 pm
- PORTLAND CC Ch.22: Tue 6 pm. Ch.23: Thu 3 pm

RHODE ISLAND

- E. PROVIDENCE CX Ch.18: Tue 6:30 pm
- STATEWIDE RI I CX Ch.13 Tue 10 pm

TEXAS

- HOUSTON CC Ch.17 & TV Max Ch.95: Wed 5:30 pm; Sat 9 am
- KINGWOOD CB Ch.98: Wed 5:30 pm; Sat 9 am

VERMONT

- GREATER FALLS CC Ch.10: Mon/Wed/Fri 1 pm
- MONTPELIER CC Ch.15: Tue 9 pm; Wed 3 pm

VIRGINIA

- ALBEMARLE COUNTY CC Ch.13: Sun 4 am; Fri 3 pm
- ARLINGTON CC Ch.33 & FIOS Ch.38: Mon 1 pm; Tue 9 am
- CHESTERFIELD COUNTY CC Ch.6: Tue 5 pm
- FAIRFAX CX Ch.10 & FIOS Ch.10: 1st & 2nd Wed 1 pm; Sun 4 am. FIOS Ch.41: Wed 6 pm
- LOUDOUN COUNTY CC Ch.98 & FIOS Ch.41: Wed 6 pm
- ROANOKE COUNTY CX Ch.78: Tue 7 pm; Thu 2 pm

WASHINGTON

- KING COUNTY CC Ch.29/77: Tue 10 am
- TRI CITIES CH Ch. 13/99: Mon 7 pm; Thu 9 pm
- WENATCHEE CH Ch.98: Thu 1 pm

WISCONSIN

- MARATHON CH Ch.10: Thu 9:30 pm; Fri 12 Noon
- MUSKEGO TW Ch.14: Sat 4 pm; Sun 7 am

WYOMING


- GILLETTE BR Ch.31: Tue 7

M50 Codes: AS=Astound; BD=Beld; BR=Bresnan; BH=BrightHouse; CV=Cablevision; CB=Cebridge; CH=Charter; CC=Comcast; CX=Cox; GY=Galaxy; IN=Insight; MC=MediaCom; TW=TimeWarner; US=US Cable. FIOS=Verizon FIOS-TV.

To get The LaRouche Connection on your local cable TV system, call Charles Notley at 703-777-9451, Ext. 322. For more information, visit our Website at <http://www.larouchepub.com/tv>.

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review **EIR Online**



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Youth Movement, we are changing politics in Washington, day by day.

EIR Online

Issued every Tuesday, EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- \$360** for one year
 - \$180** for six months
 - \$120** for four months
 - \$90** for three months
 - \$60** for two months
- Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail address _____

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my MasterCard Visa

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____