


EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

August 27, 2010 Vol. 37 No. 33 www.larouche.com \$10.00

Behind the Moustache: Nietzsche, Sombart, Schumpeter
The Game Is Over: The 'Indian Sign' Is on Obama
LaRouche PAC Releases Interactive Animated NAWAPA Map

NAWAPA: The Next Evolutionary Step for the Human Species



Keep Up with 21st CENTURY SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

Featured in the Spring 2010 issue

THE COSMIC RAY PROJECT

▪ Kesha Rogers's Victory Signals Rebirth of A Mars Colonization Policy!

by Sky Shields

What will continuous 1-g acceleration of manned spacecraft to Mars tell us of the hidden link of life on Earth to the broader cosmos?

▪ Towards a New Periodic Table of Cosmic Radiation


by Peter Martinson

True knowledge comes from the human mind, not sense perceptions, and it is this creative process that will lead us to an understanding of cosmic radiation and life processes.

▪ ONWARD TO MARS: The Triumph of the Weak Forces

by Oyang Teng

Meeting the challenges of a manned Moon-Mars mission will open the entire electromagnetic spectrum for human use, redefining cognitive science for the next century.



Other Highlights

▪ IN MEMORIAM: Edwin E. Kintner (1920-2010)

A Champion of Fusion and Fission

by Stephen O. Dean

▪ The Overpopulation Fear Behind The Ban on DDT

by Donald Roberts and Richard Tren
Excerpts from their new book, *The Excellent Powder: DDT's Political and Scientific History.*



From Winter 2009-2010

• The True History of the U.S. Fusion Program—And Who Tried to Kill It

by Marsha Freeman

• Radioisotopes: The Medical Lifesavers That Congress Is Suppressing

by Christine Craig

Subscribe!

Electronic subscriptions are \$25 for 6 issues, \$48 for 12 issues

Single electronic copy is \$5.

Available at www.21stcenturysciencetech.com or send check/money order to

21st Century

P.O. Box 16285, Washington, D.C. 20041

▪ IN MEMORIAM: Lance Endersbee (1925-2009)

Humanity Loses a Champion

by Robert Barwick

• Fusion in Korea: Energy for the Next Generation

Interview with Dr. Gyung-Su Lee

• Vietnam Is Ready to Go Nuclear!

Interview with Tran Huu Phat

Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,*

Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,

Edward Spannaus, Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey

Steinberg, William Wertz

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editors: *Bonnie James, Susan Welsh*

Science Editor: *Marjorie Mazel Hecht*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Graphics Editor: *Alan Yue*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele Steinberg*

Economics: *John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Law: *Edward Spannaus*

Russia and Eastern Europe: *Rachel Douglas*

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almaro*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Rubén Cota Meza*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

Stockholm: *Hussein Askary*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly (50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc., 709-A 8th St. SE, Washington, D.C. 20003. (703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach 1611, D-65006 Wiesbaden, Germany; Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany Tel: 49-611-73650 Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com> e-mail: eirna@eirna.com Director: Georg Neudekker

Montreal, Canada: 514-855-1699

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11, basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark. Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail: eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico: EIR, Manual Ma. Contreras #100, Despacho 8, Col. San Rafael, CP 06470, Mexico, DF. Tel.: 2453-2852, 2453-2853.

Copyright: ©2010 EIR News Service. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement #40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Managing Editor

You may have noticed that this publication has had a singular focus for the past several weeks, on NAWAPA, the magnificent project that will ultimately transform the Earth's Biosphere, and revive a near-dead U.S. and world economy. Lyndon LaRouche has thrown out the challenge that earth-moving must begin within the coming six to eight weeks; but before this can happen, there are two non-negotiable pre-conditions: one, *Obama must go!*; and two, Glass-Steagall, exactly as it was enacted under President Franklin Roosevelt, must be reinstated.

Impossible, you say? Yes, indeed. But doing the impossible *used to be* the American way. Now, it must become so again. Recall the time, not so long ago, when President John F. Kennedy embarked this nation on a true space odyssey, by launching the Apollo program. In a speech at Rice University on Sept. 12, 1962, Kennedy explained why the United States had to explore the universe:

“Well, space is there, and we’re going to climb it, and the Moon and the planets are there, and new hopes for knowledge and peace are there. And, therefore, as we set sail, we ask God’s blessing on the most hazardous and dangerous and greatest adventure on which man has ever embarked.”

Today, NAWAPA is the gateway to a revived and vastly expanded space program, that will establish human colonies on the Moon, and then Mars—for starters.

The LaRouche “Basement Team” of scientific explorers opens up this universe to our readers, in this week’s *Feature*, with the announcement that it has succeeded, in record time, in posting an interactive, 3-D, animated map on its website (www.larouchepac.com) showing how NAWAPA will work; this is followed by a roundtable discussion on the project, among members of the Team.


LaRouche himself weighs in with an appearance on the *LPAC-TV Weekly Report* (p. 11), and “The Inevitable Loser Is Dumped! Telegraph Punches Obama” (p. 27). For a deeper appreciation of why Obama needs to be put out to pasture, be sure to read, “Nietzsche, Sombart, Schumpeter, and Fascism: Why Obama Wears the Moustache” (p. 24) and “Obamacare/HMOs Move To Nix Anti-Cancer Drugs” (p. 29).

And for a true appreciation of the perfidy of our historic enemy, the British Empire, which put its puppet Obama in the White House, don’t miss the book review of *Churchill’s Secret War* (p. 36.).



Cover This Week

Precipitation over the northern Pacific Ocean: water, for life!



NASA

4 LPAC Team Announces Release of Interactive Animated NAWAPA Map

The LaRouche PAC Basement team has completed the first-ever interactive, 3-D, animated map of the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA) system for continental water management, representing a new phase in LaRouche PAC's mobilization to commence the post-Obama era with a ground-breaking on the project, beginning as early as October.

5 LaRouche PAC Roundtable Discussion: NAWAPA: The Next Evolutionary Step for the Human Species

Alicia Cerretani of LPAC-TV interviewed LaRouche PAC "Basement Team" members Merv Fansler, Cody Jones, and Sky Shields, on their work on NAWAPA. This is no simple "jobs" program! Fansler said that Lyndon LaRouche "has made the point that one of the most effective things we can do, to usher in the post-Obama era, is to give people a sense of what it actually is. And that will force the question; that will drive him out. Because, the more that people can grasp exactly where we need to go, and have a vision of the future, and have a vision of participating in immortality in humanity, then they will be ready to act and they will create an environment in which he will go. And that vision will come into being."

LPAC-TV Weekly Report

11 The Game Is Over: He's Out; The 'Indian Sign' Is on Obama

Lyndon LaRouche was the guest on the LPAC-TV Weekly Report Aug. 18, hosted by John Hoefle, and joined by *EIR*

Counterintelligence Editor Jeffrey Steinberg. LaRouche summed up the colossal impact the NAWAPA project will have: "Within a very short period of time, once Obama is out, we will be employing, under this provision, millions of skilled people, either scientists, or related categories, or highly skilled trades. And we will probably be employing, in the NAWAPA project, very rapidly, about 3 or 4 million people. That is our first estimate. When you take the total territory, this is, in some sense comparable to what Franklin Roosevelt did quite successfully, in 1933, with the Tennessee Valley Authority. This is a much bigger operation, and it will have a great global effect, and it will have reverberations throughout the world."

Economics

24 Nietzsche, Sombart, Schumpeter, and Fascism: Why Obama Wears the Moustache

Joseph Schumpeter's fascist conception of "creative destruction" of the economy has shaped the doctrines of Anglo-American ideologues from Alan Greenspan and former House Majority Leader Dick Armey (R-Tex.), to the Obama Administration's Larry Summers.

National

27 The Inevitable Loser Is Dumped! Telegraph Punches Obama

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. London *Daily Telegraph* correspondent Nile Gardiner is not correct in his analysis of the reasons for President Obama's "summer of discontent," but Gardiner's error is interesting, and timely, nonetheless.

29 Beware Death Panel! Obamacare/HMOs Move To Nix Anti-Cancer Drugs

There are moves afoot by the Obama Administration to withdraw FDA approval for two life-extending cancer-fighting drugs, on the grounds that they are not "cost-effective." The model for this is Britain's NICE, whose denial of coverage for anti-cancer drugs has significantly raised the death rate among cancer patients.

33 Mervyn Dymally: Former Congressional Black Caucus Chair Scores Racist Prosecutions

Dymally was interviewed with LaRouche PAC West Coast spokesman Harley Schlanger, on the question of the racist prosecutions being carried out by the Obama Administration against Reps. Maxine Waters (D-Calif.) and Charles Rangel (D-N.Y.).

Books

36 How Sir Winston Churchill Starved 4 Million Indians

Churchill's Secret War: The British Empire and the Ravaging of India during World War II, by Madhusree Mukerjee.

Editorial

40 The NAWAPA Mission

LPAC Team Announces Release of Interactive Animated NAWAPA Map

Aug. 20—The LaRouche PAC Basement team has completed the first-ever interactive, 3-D, animated map of the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA) system for continental water management, representing a new phase in LaRouchePAC's nationwide mobilization to commence the post-Obama era with a ground-breaking on the project, beginning as early as this October. Using the original, 1960s Ralph M. Parsons Company specifications for NAWAPA as a guide, the team used the Google Earth platform to mark out the sites for dams, reservoirs, power stations, pump lifts, tunnels, and canals throughout the entire system, enabling viewers of the LaRouchePAC website (www.larouchepac.com) to explore in graphic detail how NAWAPA will completely transform the face of North America.

By thoroughly re-sculpting the physical terrain and hydrological cycles of the continent, NAWAPA will signal a profound shift in the popular conception of basic economic infrastructure, to its proper meaning as nothing less than the human species' management of the Biosphere. The adoption of NAWAPA also means the salvation of the collapsed U.S. physical economy, through the immediate employment of approximately 3 million people, including a very large portion of engineers, scientists, technicians, and machinists, whose vital skills represent the core capability for securing a recovery for the country.

Future additions to the interactive NAWAPA map will include estimates for labor and materials requirements for every element of the project, as well as complementary features of needed infrastructure, such as high-speed and magnetically-levitated rail lines, nuclear power plants, and new cities. Input from experts knowledgeable in these and other relevant fields of study will be incorporated into the ongoing refinement of the map. The picture thus assembled, will define the parameters for a functioning physical economy, operating under a credit system reformed by a Glass-Steagall standard for regulated banking.

This visual representation of the NAWAPA concept is only a preliminary reference point for grasping the deeper implications of such a science-driver project, which will serve as the keystone for parallel projects in other parts of the world, as well as a revival of large-scale manned space exploration of the Moon and Mars. Combined with Lyndon LaRouche's latest writings on a true science of physical economy, as well as supplementary video material on the LaRouchePAC website, the NAWAPA map is a challenge to the American population to imagine what kind of future is possible, if we can rise above the cultural pessimism of recent decades, in order to make NAWAPA a reality—beginning with the removal of President Obama from office.

basement@larouchepac.com

NAWAPA: The Next Evolutionary Step for the Human Species

LaRouche PAC's Alicia Cerretani interviewed three members of the "Basement Team," Merv Fansler, Cody Jones, and Sky Shields, on their work on NAWAPA, on Aug. 5, 2010. The video of the discussion is available at www.larouchepac.com/node/15408.

Alicia Cerretani: What we would like to do today, is elaborate on the nature of the NAWAPA project, to clarify exactly what we are launching, and what the future of the United States is going to be with this project—the future of the United States, the future of this planet, the future of the Solar System, and beyond.

Now, what LaRouche outlined yesterday in the LPACTV Weekly Report [<http://www.larouchepac.com/node/15399>], is that there are three things we have got to do immediately: First, Obama is fired. He's gone, he's got to go before November, as soon as tomorrow.

The second thing is, reinstate the Glass-Steagall precedent, exactly the way Franklin Roosevelt did. No funny stuff, clear up the banking system, clean it out.

And the third thing, is that, after having done those two things, we will be in a position to launch NAWAPA, the North American Water and Power Alliance.

And the point of NAWAPA, which if you have been on the website, you have seen it as a project, you see the area that it covers, but there is a much more profound point, that we are going to elaborate here, that needs to take hold in the population to push it through.

Because, the fact of the matter is, that since Frank-



Members of the Basement Team of scientific explorers (left to right), Cody Jones, Sky Shields, and Merv Fansler, joined LPAC-TV's Alicia Cerretani, for a roundtable discussion of the nature of the NAWAPA, and its potential to revive the global economy.

LPACTV

lin Roosevelt died in 1945, yes, we have built things; yes, we had a space program; yes, around the world there have been engineering feats that have been accomplished. But overall, we have suffered a net loss, we have been operating at a net loss. So, all of the infrastructure projects that have been carried out, all of the engineering, all of the scientific, all of the government and private spending on these infrastructure projects, are missing something. They are lacking something, and we are in a great crisis because of it.

So, we brought in our Basement Team to figure out exactly what is missing, and how to reverse a decades-long trend of collapse, not only because we have to, but because we can.

So, what I want to start with, is, over the last couple of months, you, in the Basement, and some satellite groups around the country, have made a significant breakthrough on a key part of LaRouche's economics,

and this is what was called the “Tensor Project.” And I’m going to let you elaborate what exactly the Tensor Project is, and then, how NAWAPA, as a program, is a complement to that, grows out of that breakthrough. So, if you could say just a couple things about how that works.

A Communicable Idea of Economic Science

Shields: Well, the core of it is, it really is getting to an idea, a communicable idea of economic science. What does it really take to revive an economy? Right now, you get all of this discussion of “job creation,” etc., as if that were the problem, as if by creating “jobs,” you solve anything. It’s like taking a person who damaged his nervous system and can no longer walk, and saying, “Okay, the solution is, get him to walk.” And they kind of roll him down stairs, and roll him up stairs, to see if you can create a capability to walk in him: That is not going to solve the problem. It may give the visual effect, the physical effect, of going up and down the stairs, but it’s not solving the problem you wanted to address in the long term, and it’s going to be pretty bad for the person.

Right now, we are in a similar situation, with the idea that you’re going to try to address something like “jobs,” per se, without looking at what an actual economy is, and trying to bring that to life. You are going to not only not solve the problem, but you’re going to do more damage in the long run to the economy’s ability to survive.

So, what we have done, is take this case study here, take what really does represent the next step, the next economic, the next evolutionary step for the human species, which is NAWAPA: which is a project to take a large swath of the Biosphere that has been defective, that has been reduced to a desert, and greening this. Taking everything that was functional about the Biosphere, ramping it up a notch, and bringing it to areas where it couldn’t reach previously, on its own, which is what Vernadsky described as being the real role, the real function of the Noösphere. Human activity, our role, is to take the Biosphere to places where it couldn’t go otherwise. And to begin with the deserts, and then, ultimately on the Moon, to Mars, interplanetary space, to bring life where life couldn’t exist before.

Cerretani: Yes, it’s important that this is not a jobs program. People will get jobs, there will be employ-

ment. And one of the things that we were talking about earlier today—and LaRouche brought this up in the Weekly Report—is that you have an incredible number of unemployed people, here in the United States, all around the country, in places like Washington State or California, where you had Roosevelt’s Four Corners projects, or some of his New Deal projects, and an outgrowth of that, were places like Boeing, or Luna Manufacturing. But after Roosevelt died, and the economy, globally, went toward a monetarist policy, these places went down with them. I mean, the factories might still exist, but we are at a point right now, where these people don’t have jobs. You have some skilled workers, you have engineers, you have scientists, who are all just sort of holding out. And they’re being told that there’s a “recovery,” by this Administration—no one believes it, but they’re still saying it.

And, what I would like to know—maybe, Cody, you could go through some of this—is, what is the working potential we have out there?

Jones: Well, the initial reports that we have gotten, are that, just in the last five or ten years, you have had literally hundreds of thousands of skilled engineers and people who are machinists, people who produce the machines that go into electrical equipment, manufacturing equipment, who have lost their jobs, have become unemployed—many of them on the West Coast, people who would be immediately brought into this project. So the idea is that, this layer represents sort of the highest layer, intellectual and productive, capable layer, in your population, that those are the people you want to address with this project. They are going to get what you mean; they are going to understand, to some extent, the scope of it; they are going to understand what is involved in it, and they will be able to grab onto the idea, and they will know where it’s going, they will recognize the intention in it.

They, then, become the base in your population, which is capable of organizing the rest of the mass of millions of people, who are unemployed, or underemployed, who will look to them as a trustworthy leadership element in the population, to say, “Okay, this guy, he has skills, he knows what he’s talking about, he gets what’s going on. He’s my neighbor, he’s the guy I see in church” or what-have-you, “I’ll trust what he says. If he says this is good, okay, I’ll take direction from him.”

Cerretani: Right, he's not just putting me on, like the Obama Administration.

Jones: Right. Exactly. And that is the grouping that will become the organic leadership in this, as we have discussed, this mass-strike type of phenomenon, that we are experiencing.

A Continental Water System

Cerretani: Then, Merv, just so people know—because one interesting thing with NAWAPA is, you're talking about a continent. You are not just talking about the American people. We are talking about Canada and Mexico. And what's interesting, is an interesting thought-exercise, just to look at the continent as the continent, and not just three different countries, different cultural development, etc. That's worth it, but, what does it look like as a continent?

Fansler: Well, I think the NAWAPA itself, the fact that it did not get done, is almost paradigmatic—it expresses how we should have developed, but we didn't. And why we're facing the collapse we're in today. Because, the NAWAPA originally—it had come up, because there was no way to deal with local—say, regional, water problems, just within a specific river basin territory. And a lot of this was coming up, particularly in California, where they were taking water from one part of the same river basin, and then moving it down, moving it to an area that needed more water. But a lot of times, they would end up just draining out the source regions. And you would be getting rid of water in one place, to fulfill it in another place.

And what the Ralph M. Parsons Company did, which developed NAWAPA and engineered the concept, was, they realized there was no way to solve regional water shortages, especially when they started looking at the projections of what we were going to need, as we continued to develop industries, as we continued to increase our population. They said, well, there's no way to solve this in a localized region. The only way that we can think about it, is if we actually think about an entire continental water system, and a global water system, really.

And so, they found that there was a way to actually manage the entire continent's water system in a way that wasn't just stealing water or something, from one place to put it in another, but was actually organizing the flow of water, organizing the pathways through which the water cycles move. And, what they recog-

nized, was that there is this huge region in the northwest of the continent, which is Alaska, the Yukon Territory [Canada], down through British Columbia, that had vast amounts of rainfall, rainfall which represented large percentages of all rainfall on the continent. And there were also, that most of this rainfall is going off into the Pacific Ocean, or going up into the Arctic Ocean. All of it is just basically becoming run-off. It's

NAWAPA is a project to take a large swath of the Biosphere that has been defective, that has been reduced to a desert, and greening this. Taking everything that was functional about the Biosphere, ramping it up a notch, and bringing it to areas where it couldn't reach previously, on its own. . . .

not getting pulled into any of the local processes there; it's not becoming part of a plant, or anything like that; it's just going from rain to running off into the ocean.

So, they looked at the territory, and said, well, there are actually very natural contours, very natural peaks of elevation and boundary lines, which would allow us, if we put dams in very specific places, and diverted the water, to actually reorganize all of this water flow to spread out through the entire continent of the United States—or, of North America, including all of Canada, down into the United States, and then, into Mexico. It would follow the Rocky Mountains: In the Rocky Mountains, there are trenches that are separating; there are huge valleys in which you could divert the water flow down, and begin to bring it into the United States; and then, from there, it could be re-diverted into both solving the Western water problems—in the Far West, the coastal problems there—and also in the central part of the country, which is completely undeveloped. And which, as Sky said, are arid regions. These are places that are deserts, and there's nothing being done in this area. It is very inefficient, the Biosphere is very inefficient, at that point.

So, by bringing water into that and by redirecting the flows, you are actually going to transform how the continent is interacting, not just with its own water

flows, but how the continent is interacting with the Biosphere as a whole.

Cerretani: Right. So, not only do we have an unemployment problem with our own people, but we have unemployment in the physics of water, and we have unemployed solar radiation and cosmic radiation. It's much bigger! I knew the Obama Administration was lying about this! I knew it. They are totally wrong.

And that is a very compelling part of a statement you guys have just authored, and drafted [<http://www.larouchepac.com/infrastructure>]. But this is important, because this is where it becomes not just a jobs issue: You are talking about reclaiming the American idea of when you are thinking two to three generations into future. One, because the biological processes that you're talking about take that long. But two, you get to think about your grandchildren, and other people's grandchildren!

So, how would this work? What are the implications of bringing all of this water into the desert, and what actually is at play? Any of you can answer it.

The Biogenic Migration of Atoms

Shields: It's significant to take a look at it. The first thing that people want to get a real, living sense of is—it's an idea that Vladimir Vernadsky called the "biogenic migration of atoms." We have mentioned it in recent video productions on the website, and we will be repeating it over and over again. Because it is a really important concept for people to get, about the role the Biosphere plays and the role of an organism, a living organism, life, and then cognition.

If you take a look, you cannot treat a living organism as an object, as a thing. It's more appropriate to describe a living organism as a flow, where you have got a constant flow. At first approximation, you look at it, you've got a constant flow in, and a constant flow out. But, then, it makes a point, it's not like a car; it's not as though you're bringing gasoline in, and exhaust out. It would only be true, if, in the course of bringing gasoline into the car, the gasoline became car, and then parts of car became exhaust. Because that is what the organism does: What's being consumed, is that—"you are what you eat." It's becoming the organism. What's passing out, is what was the organism. The organism is a singularity in a constant flow—there is no object, there's no "thing" you can refer to as "the organism."


What has the substance, is this sort of process, personified.

But now, if you zoom out, and you look at what all these living processes are doing, in the course of pulling in material and pumping out material, transforming it, as it takes part in the organism, you are changing its state from non-living to living, and what comes out the other side are these, what we call "biotic fossils," these biospheric organic fossil materials.

But now, if you look at that flow that is being pumped through the organism, you realize that that pump is acting to pump a whole flow of material throughout the entire Biosphere. You are looking at the generation, the entire development of Earth's oceans, the development of Earth's atmosphere—all this is being produced by this flow through these living organisms. And as you look at how it operates, you realize that these things—this atmosphere, the oceans, the material on land—that this is a constantly flowing, evolving, changing system, because it's being fueled by life and living processes.

And you can follow certain key—they're often described as cycles, the "carbon cycle": If you look at how carbon dioxide is brought in by plants, it's fixed through photosynthesis. And there are these complex carbohydrates, more complex organic molecules, higher organisms are consuming these, making these part of their body; these, through a process of respiration, are again secreted as either methane, carbon dioxide, or waste products, and back into the atmosphere, to be cycled again. You start seeing, on a global scale, this incredible cycling of material and transformation of material, building, changing the surface of the planet, changing the geography of the planet.

This, you also see with water, and what they often call the "water cycle": Where, first, you can see a process of evaporation at the oceans, but also, wherever there is plant and animal life, you can see where you have a steady stream of water being released as water vapor. That makes its way up into the atmosphere, becomes clouds, becomes the atmospheric water, which then moves and rains down preferentially in certain places on the Earth, landing on soils, landing in rivers, pumping out, taking part again in living processes, to be either immediately transpired out of living processes, or to return to the ocean. And you have this constant flow of material that is moving through the whole Biosphere this whole way, in this case, water.



This animation of evaporation, provided by the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, was shown during the LPAC-TV roundtable discussion.

LPACTV

Now, the question that we are talking about, is: How do you harness that process? How do you harness that cycle, and then direct it so that it's more efficient? And it's one of the big frauds that comes up when talking about NAWAPA, but talking about water in general, people act as though there are these stores of water that we're running down, that you're trying to find somewhere and suck out the water. When we are behaving badly, we do that. When we stick, as Merv was saying, to a single river basin, you will loot the area, because you are not talking about engineering the cycle; you are just trying to grab what's there.

But if you take this entire process, and we say we are going to redirect it, as we're talking about with NAWAPA, you are going to take one section of the cycle, which is a relatively long, large cycle of just ocean to atmosphere, onto the ground in Alaska, and back into the ocean. Instead, you are going to engineer a whole set of other things into that cycle. You are going to take that cycle and run it down through the whole desert area, that the Biosphere wants it to be in, would like to get it in, but hasn't quite been able to do it on its own. You are going to run it through that area, and you are going to send it through, and you are going to increase its useful life, before it is cycled back through, up to Alaska again, to run back down and begin the process, again.

So that's the kind of transformation we are talking

about. This is what you mean, when you say you're deploying NAWAPA as a project.

One Dynamic Process

Jones: I think an important add-on to this, which is implied in everything you're saying—I think one of the real fundamental contributions that Vernadsky made to science, is to recognize that these three phase-spaces, that exist on Earth, abiotic, biotic, noëtic, are not, in fact, isolated to processes on the Earth, but have a very intimate and principled relationship to the Sun, and the cosmos, in the large: that it is one, dynamic process. That it really is an idea that carries over from the Leibnizian-Riemannian concept of dynamics, of *analysis situs*: That you are dealing with one continuous system, of interaction, and that the Biosphere necessarily requires the radiation coming from the Sun, and from other cosmic sources, like nebulae and things like that, that this is what drives the process of photosynthesis.

This is what the photosynthetic plants are drawing on, and then they transform that energy to higher energy-flux densities, as Lyn likes to discuss, to then drive this process of shaping and transforming the Biosphere and the atmosphere, and really, creating, and constantly reshaping life's relationship to the Sun and to the cosmos, through these kinds of—as you said—these by-products, these fossils of biotic activity.

Cerretani: Right. I'm wondering where Vernadsky

talks about solar panels. Is that any part of this, in these three phase-spaces?

Shields: That's in his "Stupid Shit" section.

Cerretani: Yes, those and windmills.

Shields: This is what you will do if you have not read my book, [Vernadsky would say]. If you do not understand how the Biosphere functions, you will build windmills and you will build solar cells.

Cerretani: And once you finish my book, you will go and destroy all the windmills and solar panels that exist.

Shields: You will smash them.

Cerretani: You will smash them—right!

Jones: "Solar cells," that's where you send Greenies, who break the law, the eco-terrorists.

Cerretani: Yes, exactly.

Well, that's the biogenic migration of atoms, and you said, we're going to say it a lot, because that is how the Biosphere works. We have a lot of biologists, we have a lot of hydrologists, we have a lot of engineers. But what is missing, to go back to the point that I made in the beginning, what is missing from the discussion on infrastructure, is that it has to be recognized, what the role of infrastructure is, with the Biosphere and the rest of the Solar System and beyond. We have to consider those things, because that is what you're dealing with.

And that's the role of the Federal government; that's the role of the American people, to understand, these are the processes we are dealing with. That is the standard. We are not operating on anything less than that. So, people can wake up their imaginations, because we're dealing with something on a grand, grand scale, and the future is wide open to us. The point has been made, that we haven't done something like this before. We were supposed to. As far as I understand, after the TVA, this was supposed to be the big project. We were supposed to move farther out and transform our part of the country to do this. And we have not done something like that.

Now, not only do we have things like that, sitting here on the books, in the United States, you have the same thing in Russia. We are talking about continents here—the whole Middle East, that we can take responsibility for. Yes, you have a real evil force on the planet that is trying to stop these things, but a lot of it is just not knowing some of the fundamental scientific processes

that are going on, and these questions are raised when we tackle and commit ourselves to something like NAWAPA.

So, I think that wraps up this particular discussion. Do you guys have anything else you want to include, in terms of implications, or anything else you think is necessary?

A Gift to Humanity

Shields: I mean, just the obvious, what you began with, is that, without the impeachment of this current President, without the implementation of a Glass-Steagall standard, without the kind of economic regulations that are going to allow human beings to behave the way they ought to behave, to end this crazy speculation, to end this sort of lunatic casino system, none of this is possible.

But if we do that, all things are possible. That the business we will be able to get down to, once those actions have been taken, is going to be incredible.

Jones: And, as Lyn said: NAWAPA, in its broader implications, really is the means through which large portions of our population could realize their immortality: That it's a lawful process, it's part of the natural, anti-entropic development of our universe, and by participating in that, you contribute something to the infinite development of the human species in the universe at large. So it's really a gift to humanity, and it would be criminal to rob people of the opportunity to have an immortal type of identity.

Cerretani: Right, exactly. And just so it is understood: Getting rid of Obama is part of the NAWAPA project.

Fansler: Yes. Lyn made the point that it's one of the most effective things we can do, to usher in the post-Obama era, is to give people a sense of what it actually is. And that will force the question; that will drive him out. Because, the more that people can grasp exactly where we need to go, and have a vision of the future, and have a vision of participating in immortality in humanity, then they will be ready to act and they will create an environment in which he will go. And that vision will come into being.

Cerretani: Well, I think the American people are ready, the Biosphere is ready, the Basement is ready. So, let's get rid of Obama, and get to work!

That's all. See you next time.

The Game Is Over: He's Out; The 'Indian Sign' Is on Obama

Lyndon LaRouche was the special guest on the LPAC-TV Weekly Report Aug. 18, hosted by John Hoefle, and joined by EIR Counterintelligence Editor Jeffrey Steinberg (<http://www.larouchepac.com/node/15536>).

John Hoefle: So, Lyn, what do you have on the agenda, today?

Lyndon LaRouche: We have a situation, in which the dumping of this President is clearly in process. The dumping is not secure, either in general fact, or in timing. But, the word has been out since the middle of this past week: "The Indian sign is up. He's out." When, and how, is still to be decided.

One of the factors in this, is that, as of the middle of last week, a phase-shift occurred, partly by virtue of the policy of the current Administration, or at least people like [Treasury Secretary Tim] Geithner and so forth, who have set into motion, a hyperinflationary program, comparable to what was done in Germany, before the Nazis, in the famous hyperinflation of 1923. Now, what was done in Germany is notable, and the comparison is extremely important for people to understand today. At that point, Germany was targetted for this operation, this rape operation, within its own borders. And a French occupation of the Rhineland aggravated the problem acutely. So this started a hyperinflationary explosion in Germany, from the Spring into the October-

November period, the most famous hyperinflation in modern history.

We face a similar situation now, and I say "similar," because the difference is, in the case of 1923 Germany, the hyperinflation was confined to one country. It was actually within the borders of that country, and it was by an occupying, military power, the Anglo-French power. Today, the hyperinflation is global.

And one other feature: It can not be compared with the hyperinflationary crisis in Japan [in the late 1980s]. Because, then, Japan was not an international economy. In other words, the point is, a hyperinflation of this type can only occur within the bounds of the political grounds of an economy, because money is a political entity; it is not a measure of value as such, it's a political entity. And whatever the territorial area is, of that political unit, is affected. All right, so, Japan is Japan: Japan is, politically, Japan. So that Japan hyperinflation arising from the carry trade, was not a global factor. It affected the planetary system, but it was not a global factor.

Today, we have a global factor of hyperinflation. We are in a hyperinflationary mode, comparable to what struck Germany in the Spring and Summer of 1923. However, this time, it's on a global scale, and it's not the tight effect that you would have in a Weimar Germany. But, it is comparable to the German hyperinfla-



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

A phase-shift has occurred in the global economy, in which, under the current Administration, hyperinflation, on a greater scale than that of 1923 Weimar Germany, is now in process. LaRouche is shown here addressing a private discussion in early August.

tion, otherwise. But it's on a global scale, because the global scale is defined, as you know.

Since 1971-72, the United States' dollar has been subject to the British Empire. That is, when we lifted the fixed-exchange-rate system, under conditions created by the Vietnam War on the U.S. economy—under these conditions, and with the launching, by Lord Jacob Rothschild, of the Inter-Alpha Group, what happened, is that the United States economy became implicitly a subject of this new group, which now represents over 70% of the world's monetary activity, including hyperinflationary stuff.

So therefore, the hyperinflation is occurring inside the Anglo-American area, with emphasis on *Anglo*, because of the Inter-Alpha Group, because more than 70%, of the world financial markets are controlled by this one area, and the hyperinflation is now occurring, especially in that area. It spills off into other parts of the world as a whole, but the primary locus of the hyperinflation is the Anglo-American sector, that is, Wall Street, which is, actually, a British colonial power occupying the United States, essentially.

So that has to be understood. So don't expect something exactly like Germany, before the Nazis, which led to the Nazis, of course; but expect this kind of adjustment.

But as of now, the United States is in a *hyperinflationary mode*, under the policies of Geithner, and Geithner's associates. So, what happened, in two days during this past week, you had the impact of the fact that the United States was going into a hyperinflationary commitment, under Geithner, under Geithner's direction, which means the present Administration's direction. So, at that point, you had mass speculation, in two days of last week, which is a tip-off of that change. Then, you get, on the weekend, as typified by what came out of the British press, on Monday [Aug. 15], is, you now get a reaction declaring, "The game is over." The hyperinflationary mode has struck; doom is inevitable, and get ready.

In this context, suddenly, the oars are out, for the great ship to go down the ocean of hyperinflation—unless we change it.

A Short-Term Interval

Therefore, we now have a very short-term interval available to us, I would say, in the coming months, the two months, three months from now. And then, the game would be over. So, what we have now, is, we have about a 30-day period, in which the President, at least as planned now, is going to be out of the game. He is going to be on Martha's Vineyard, and who knows where else? Raising grapes, or rapes, or whatever he does. And so, the sign is out: The game is over, hyperinflation is here. People are running scared on the money markets, knowing that the hyperinflation is here. And Obama is probably being trajectoryed out.

Now, how that's going to work is not certain. I have various stories as to how this might work out; different people have different views on the matter. But the fact is, if we don't get rid of Obama from the Presidency, *now*, within the 30 days, or 60 days at most,



White House/Pete Souza

We have about a 30-day period, in which President Obama is going to be out of the picture, starting with another family vacation on Martha's Vineyard. This provides a short-term interval to permanently remove Obama, whose Presidency is now on the rocks. Obama is pictured here on vacation at Acadia National Park in Maine, July 2010.

before us, that is, well before the November elections, if we don't get Obama out, we have lost the United States, and the world goes into a hyperinflationary explosion.

That is the choice. Obama will not change. Obama is a mental case, of a special kind, and he will not change. He's like the Emperor Nero, and he's like Adolf Hitler: They will go down to the end, until somebody removes them. And somebody has to remove this guy. Now, the question is: How are we going to get him removed? We can not allow to have him killed; he has to be protected. But, the game is over. And anyone who thinks that politics-as-usual is available to them—they are nuts.

There has to be a radical change. There has to be, essentially, a sudden, very sudden change. And the change is, again, to repeat, for those who don't know: The first thing to do, is—Obama out. You can not do anything, until you've got Obama out. You can set into place, things which we will do, when Obama is out. But you can't do a thing: This guy is what he is. He will cling to his position. He will not change his policy, willfully, unless somebody puts a drug into him and hypnotizes him, or something like that, and gets him to say things he would never say willingly, otherwise.

Jeff Steinberg: Hijack his teleprompter.

LaRouche: That wouldn't work any more. The teleprompter is also brainwashed.

So, that's the issue, right now. And there are several things that can be done, to do this:

The first thing, which has already occurred. First, you have the explicit actions, which were signaled from Britain, and other places, but essentially from Britain, that the game is over. And, at the same time, a signal from Wall Street, and from the European markets, especially the British markets: The hyperinflationary phase has been entered. And you had two days last week, where you got this wild speculation, which is a reflection of knowledge. Then you got the Monday breakout in the British press and elsewhere, indicating that the hyperinflationary breakdown is on.

So, that's where we stand. Anybody who thinks there is something different than that, is being very foolish. And we see also, the fact that Obama has suddenly been inspired to join the former governor of the state of Illinois [Rod Blagojevich], and to join him in, shall we say, a quasi-retirement, for a period of time, until a more decisive disposition has been adopted, that is, to get him out, one way or the other. So, that's where we are.

Obama Out, Glass-Steagall, NAWAPA In

Now, the sequence that has to be taken, is of course, of the utmost importance: The first thing that has to be accomplished, is, Obama out of the Presidency. At least, de facto, out of the Presidency, where he no longer controls policy in any degree. And Geithner, of course, his “little boy,” is going to have to find new toys to play with.

But then, the first thing we have to do, is re-install Glass-Steagall, exactly as Roosevelt defined it in 1933, an exact copy of 1933. That means that the entire banking system, the merchant banking system and similar things, go under Federal protection. Because, by cancelling the entire speculative market, which is what happens when you apply Glass-Steagall, the banks that remain, under Federal protection, are not solvent. They have to be given government protection, so they are not put into insolvency, until we can straighten the mess out. Because they don't have the assets to cover their deficits, even that portion. So therefore, they have to go into Federal protection.

And it would have been a lot easier, if the idiots had not interfered with my Homeowners and Bank Protection Act in 2007. We could have avoided all this nonsense. But they did it, and it was Barney Frank and company who led the charge on that. So, it's their fault. If it's a problem, go tell Barney Frank that his services are no longer wanted, and he will cry, or he will do something like that.

So therefore, we have to put them under protection, the whole banking system, the Federal system.

We then, knowing that the Federal government is now suddenly relieved, from the implications of the funny-money system—in other words, all this funny-money stuff, financial derivatives and so forth, is simply cancelled. The nation takes no responsibility, for paying any debts of this nature. They are gambling debts: “Ya lost, buddy! It's a gambling debt. We don't cover gambling debts! We cover legal, proper obligations, conforming to our Constitutional standard.”

Therefore, since the government would then be freed of these gambling debts, which are being enforced by Geithner and company, we then are able to issue Federal credit from the U.S. government. This credit would largely go into supplying credit for the use of national banking; that is, for the commercial banking system, and national banking institutions. This money, which is put in there, which will show a throughput of credit, which will put the banks, at least, formally, under

law, into stable operations. They will not be treated as bankrupt. They will be treated under reorganization, so they will have a mass of money, all of the decent, Federal types of banks, Federal and state, which have conformed to Glass-Steagall.

That money will then have to be focussed on a number of things, but one thing that has to be done, absolutely: We must put through—which we are now working on, and we will have in the coming two weeks—we will have more and more public information uttered by us on this, on the actual design, for an installation of a NAWAPA program.¹

Now, this will mean that we will be employing—within a very short period of time, once Obama is out—we will be employing, under this provision, millions of skilled people, either scientists, or related categories, or highly skilled trades. And we will probably be employing, in the NAWAPA project, very rapidly, about 3 or 4 million people. That is our first estimate. When you take the total territory, this is, in some sense comparable to what Franklin Roosevelt did quite successfully, in 1933, with the Tennessee Valley Authority. This is a much bigger operation, and it will have a great global effect, and it will have reverberations throughout the world.

This means, that we will be taking this area, and we will be changing the rainfall patterns, inside the United States, because this project, once installed—and it will be a process of installation and development—in the meantime, will probably employ about 3 million people, directly, as productive elements of this process.

And we have the people there, ready to be hired. All we have to do, is get some laws through to make sure this thing works; but once we have done that little technical job, we have 3 million highly skilled people, not people doing imitation work jobs, paper clip jobs, but people who are really skilled, highly productive, and we will have about 3 million of them employed; employed on the basis of the utterance of Federal credit, under a Federal law, which generates the basis for that credit.

Now, the banking system, the merchant banking system, will now go into functioning, and the biggest stimulus will be this. And you are talking about a 30- to

1. North American Water and Power Alliance; see “NAWAPA, from the Standpoint of Biospheric Development,” by Sky Shields, Oyang Teng, Michelle Lerner, Cody Jones, and Ben Deniston; *EIR*, Aug. 13, 2010 (http://www.larouche.org/public/2010/eirv37n31-20100813/eirv37n31-20100813_004-nawapa_from_the_standpoint_of_bi.pdf).

50-year-term investment.

Now, you could take the rate of employment which has occurred, the actual unemployment—what they do now, is they shoot people who run out of their 99th week of [unemployment benefits].

Steinberg: “99 and out.”

LaRouche: Yeah, 99, out, and dead. 99 and dead.

Reversing the Depression

Suddenly, we stop that nonsense: We count the labor force as the labor force, not those whom the Federal government, by some cheating scheme, chooses to recognize as the labor force. People who actually would like to have jobs, would like to have decent employment! They are the labor force! If they are willing and able to work, they are the labor force.

Now, you take the couple million jobs which have been lost, largely due to Bush and Obama, and you are going to put a 3-million-job employment of the highest skills, and the rates of pay that these people command, just for the normal work that they do, *you have suddenly reversed the depression, within a matter of weeks.* And that is what it takes. We not only need a reform of our system, we need some action that corresponds to the implementation of that reform.

Now, what would happen then? Once we do that, we have a situation, with Russia and some other countries, which have similar projects in mind.

And the other aspect of this, is the water problem: Since Teddy Roosevelt was President, we have destroyed the development of the United States territory. In other words, the territory from the 20-inch-rainfall line in the Western states (**Figure 1**), to the mountain ranges on the coast of the Pacific—that whole area has never been developed in any honest way. It is still a desert land. We have been draining the water from the aquifers, underneath the prairie land, and we are now *sinking the land level*, by taking the water out! And we are exhausting the water resources, to maintain our agricultural system!




USDA/Jeff Vanuga

With the NAWAPA revolution, we will increase the amount of evaporation, caused by the throughput of water, through trees and leafy plants. From an ecological standpoint, we want leafy vegetables; wheat is very inefficient in vapor transmission. And we need vapor transmission, now. Shown: an irrigated field of lettuce, Yuma, Arizona.

Now, not only by putting this system in, will we stop that, we will stop draining—which is a crucial problem—our underground water resources. We will then be generating, as the program works—and we are talking about decades—we will be increasing the amount of evaporation, caused by the throughput of water, through trees and leafy shrubbery, and so forth. You are now suddenly changing the character of this whole area, which is a desert area. Rapidly, this desert area is going to become a richly developed area. And you have a factor of 2.7 times—the moisture that you run through the NAWAPA project, will give you 2.7 times the moisture falling on the territory of the United States as a whole, and Canada; they both benefit—and to a lesser degree, a part of Mexico.

So you are creating—for every drop of water that you conserve through NAWAPA, you are getting the equivalent of 2.7 drops of water, in the rainfall patterns going from west to east, across the United States territory. Whereas now, you are changing the character, by going to green! And that means you have to rip up and destroy the solar collectors, which are a waste of money, and they are ecologically stupid. Because every territory you tear up to put in solar collectors out there, you

FIGURE 1
The 20-Inch Rainfall Line



The territory from the 20-inch-rainfall line in the Western states, to the mountain ranges on the coast of the Pacific—has never been developed in any real way. It is still a desert land.

are destroying the fertility of the land-area. So, the first thing you have to do, for conservation purposes, you have to rip up the solar collectors, which are the greatest threat to agriculture ever invented. It's insane!...

So, in this case, we are going to, essentially, we are going to a *real* green policy! And the green policy, is taking the desert and making it bloom. That means, on the mountains where conservation is developing forest areas, in areas which are maintaining the water system; wheat is a mistake in a sense. We need wheat grain, but wheat is a mistake, because from an ecological standpoint, we want leafy vegetables. Wheat is very inefficient in vapor transmission, and we need vapor transmission, now. That means we want, essentially, trees, shrubbery—we want grasses, of course; we want wheat of course, but the emphasis, from an ecological standpoint, has to be on trees and leafy vegetables.

Which means a highly diversified agriculture. What we have done with agriculture in the Western Plains is idiotic. The whole thing of just cutting down the hedgerows, and growing wheat all over the place, according to the “Monsanto law,” which has now taken over and subordinated the supreme law of the nation. We have to go back to hedgerows, to a traditional agricultural system, in the sense of—large-scale, yes; high-technology, yes, but we want green: We want leafy vegetables, we want trees and hedgerows, we want all the things that go with a good system of taking the moisture, which we are saving from just flooding directly into the Pacific and into the Arctic Ocean, we are taking that moisture, we are putting it in this system, and we are organizing it, so you don't have dry spells. You've got a buffer: You are taking the average amount of water you are going to get through the entire system, you are conserving it; you are putting it through an area where you are growing trees, you are growing other things. You are developing the green, and developing the green in

this area, which is one of the largest areas in the world for development: And that water that is going through there, 2.7 times the amount will come down as rainfall on the whole territory of the United States.

So that's our perspective.

And we also, of course, are going into space. Now, people may not understand what space is, and this President certainly does not understand space. He has got a lot of space in his head someplace, but I don't think there's anything there, otherwise, just empty space, not for rent. But that's where we stand.

That's what our perspective is, right now.

So therefore, number one: Obama out. You want to save the United States? Obama out! If you do not get Obama out, you don't give a damn about the United States. It has to happen. Because the man is a madman. He will not change, he will not adjust. He really is a Nazi, in terms of his policies, his health-care policies,

these other things—just like Hitlerian policies. So, that has to end.

Large-Scale Infrastructure To Develop the World

Now, we have to have Glass-Steagall: We have to now integrate other countries, which will be our partners in this deal, and that includes Europe, it includes a program for Africa, and without large-scale infrastructure in Africa, you are not going to do anything good for Africa. And that is an obvious problem. The whole continent's a mess, because of this problem, mismanagement—largely British. The British actually run Africa. If you find something bad in Africa, blame the British, they are to blame, the British Empire.

We have large-scale projects in Russia, available, in the Vernadsky Institute. But, what is required is, the whole of Siberia, the northern area, contains very large mineral deposits. These mineral deposits are indispensable, for countries to the south of that, including China, India, and so forth, which have poorer mineral deposits in their land area. Remember, that India has 1.1 billion people, China 1.5 billion people, in a congestion, which is about three, four times our land area. They have very limited resources there. They are developing an infrastructure, but it's not developed yet, it's not reaching enough of the whole population. So therefore, we have to have a development period, in which international credit is focussed, to ensure that countries like India, and especially China, are able to move up.

And we are going to have to develop water systems, we're going to have to develop mineral systems, and a lot of this is going to require the development of this large territory which was once part of the Soviet Union, of the Asian part of the Soviet Union. And that territory is going to have to be developed, for mineral resources and similar kinds of things, which will then flow down, to sustain the southern part of the Asian area

This will mean we are setting up an international system. Because, with a Bering Strait project, and with the development from Europe into Africa, we can



USAID

With Obama out of the way, we will join with other nations to build large-scale infrastructure, especially in Africa, which has been raped and looted by the British Empire. "If you find something bad in Africa, blame the British Empire." Shown: Primitive agriculture in Senegal; Africa's economy will be transformed by great infrastructure projects.

launch an infrastructure development program with these areas, which will solve the problem there. It's a long-term project. But, without infrastructure, of the type that nobody allows in Africa today—and any country in Africa that tries to develop and infrastructure project now, such as Sudan, is going to be crushed, by the British!

The British do not allow development; the British are the greatest genocidalists in the world. They do not allow any development of any significance in Africa. Any country in Africa, that is actually developing independently, which is not raping its own people, that they can get by with—they will destroy the country! They will overthrow the government—as the British are planning to overthrow the government of Sudan! It's a typical case of genocide, done by the British. And that comes to an end.

So, we can have a plan for an immediate development of the world economy over the coming century, based on using the NAWAPA program, as a fulcrum to

coordinate with developments in Asia, to coordinate to develop the Americas. We have this Darien Strait problem, but with that, getting the transportation system through there into South America, means that South America, which is an impossible problem in many respects now, can be developed.

So we can develop the Americas, we can develop Eurasia, we can develop Africa, which is the greatest part of the land-mass area of the world. And this can be a program, which is a key cornerstone for us, over the coming remainder of this century. It means three generations of our people are going to go through an upgrade in transformation.

In the meantime, we start, as I proposed, and include not only a Glass-Steagall standard of credit, but also a fixed-exchange-rate system: Then we have a system, a global system of sovereign nation-states, in cooperation, using large-scale projects in infrastructure, to further this. And we can say, the remainder of this century can be secure, as a century of progress for mankind. Whereas, under Obama, if we were to continue Obama as President, exactly the opposite effect is inevitable: a dark age.

The Real History of Mankind

Hoefle: Well, what the Obama Administration is doing, with Geithner and Bernanke, they are basically printing money like mad, to try to save the Inter-Alpha system.

LaRouche: It appears that way; but that is not the motive. People do not know history. They may know history in a sense of a schoolbook sense, or in a sense of a political history, but they don't know the real history of mankind. It's not discussed. There is too much of this green philosophy of the other type available.

The objective of empire—and we are talking about maritime empire, which started actually in the Mediterranean, in the aftermath of the fall of the Persian Empire, in which you had a maritime power develop imperial power. First, it was in the Mediterranean, and then there was a shift, which is what the British system means, a shift to the Atlantic. So, from 1492 on, especially from the beginning of the 17th Century, there was a shift in power, of imperial power, from the Mediterranean-centered imperial power, into the Atlantic-centered imperial power, and the absorption of the Pacific area into the Atlantic area. This was the shift, which moved the capital of international imperial finance from Venice, as such, into a Venetian colony, called London.

London, the British system of imperialism, is not something specific to the United Kingdom. The people of the United Kingdom and Ireland, are a completely different thing than this empire. The empire is essentially located in a Venetian-style financial power, centered on London.

But London—it's really a Venetian system, in which Venice, from 1000 A.D. on, was the center of power, of the Mediterranean imperial system. With the development of the maritime traffic in the Atlantic Ocean, and the exposure into the Indian Ocean and the Pacific, maritime power was now shifted to the Atlantic region, and therefore, there was the movement from the continental political capital for imperialism, to London. And London is simply the residence, across the English Channel, which is the dividing line, which controls the world. That is, about 70% of the world's banking is controlled by the Rothschild and similar groups. But you have to recognize that Wall Street and U.S. banking, is *also* controlled by the British, as you can see, in the way this thing functions now.

So, that is where the problem lies. The imperialism is, if you want to have an empire, in which you have a ruling class, which rules the world, perpetually, you have to keep people stupid. Because only stupid people would put up with that! So, therefore, what you need to do, is, you plan to reduce the level of technology—that's what the green movement is! Keep people stupid! And then they are not a threat to the empire. This is the thesis of Aeschylus' trilogy, the Prometheus trilogy: That they want to keep people stupid. Don't allow them to know anything; don't allow them to know science and technology. Keep them stupid, and then you can manage them for the empire.

And the objective now, as you see in the case of England, in terms of the policies of Prince Philip: the policy of eliminating technology, eliminating progress, and making people stupid. But if you make people stupid, they are less productive. So therefore, Prince Philip and his friend, the former Nazi SS man Prince Bernhard, came up with this program, of the World Wildlife Fund. And the purpose of the World Wildlife Fund, which is the same thing as Bertrand Russell's policy, is: People must be kept *stupid*.

And if you are going to keep them stupid, you can not maintain a population of 7 billion people. You have to reduce it to less than 2 billion. And what you have with Obama, with Obama's health-care policy,



EIRNS/James Rea

The objective of the British imperialists is to keep people stupid, and to radically reduce their numbers. To accomplish this, Britain's Prince Philip (top right), and his now-deceased fellow Nazi, Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands (top left), came up with the World Wildlife Fund, which promotes green fascist ideology, throughout the globe. Here, German Greenies hold a rally for legalization of hemp (marijuana), July 2010, in Berlin.

which is a copy of Hitler's health-care policy, a copy of Tony Blair's health-care policy, and then, imported into the United States, is, Nazism! Is, keep the people stupid. And keep the population size down to the level where people are stupid, work for dirt wages, and then the empire can persist.

Intelligent people, who are capable of understanding how a world system works, understand why we need nation-states, based on language groups. They must be eliminated, is what the intent is. So, all these other things, are not the intent of greed, money greed. Money greed is there, but money greed is not the motive for this thing, and people who try to explain this in terms of money greed, do not understand it.

Since the collapse of the Persian Empire, and since the Peloponnesian War, you had the rise of maritime imperialism, which was then centered in the Mediterranean, until 1492, when the break into the Atlantic region occurred. And you had in this process, a movement, over the period of religious warfare, from 1492 to 1648, you had a movement of the center of power, away from the Mediterranean Sea, into the maritime

area of the Atlantic, and from the Atlantic, into the Pacific and Indian Oceans.

So, imperialism is that. Imperialism is not one nation trying to absorb other nations. Imperialism is an *international* system, on which a *monetary* system, runs the world. And manages the world in a way which keeps the population stupid enough, that you can not maintain today's level of population; you have got to go back to less than 2 billion people. So, it's a policy of *genocide*. The green movement, so-called, the windmills, the solar panels, is a policy of *genocide*. It's a continuation of Hitler's movement.

Population Control and Brainwashing

Steinberg: Of course, back in the '40s, people like Bertrand Russell and Julian Huxley, were very explicit that they launched the "environmentalist conservation" movement, because the real name for it, which was "eugenics" and "genocide," had been made unpopular, for the time being, by Hitler. They themselves are absolutely explicit, that this is nothing but a movement for massive population reduction.

LaRouche: Take the case of the Harriman family. The Harriman family was one of the authors of these Nazi-like policies inside the United States. Population control, and brainwashing control. So, Harriman was a chief ally of the Bank of England, through Brown Brothers, Harriman. And Brown Brothers was the same thing as the Bank of England crowd: They are the people that brought Hitler into power! The only reason the British ever had a quarrel with Hitler, was that, as they have said clearly—at the point that a French fascist government made a deal with a Wehrmacht, so that a superior French force caved in to a *Blitzkrieg* by an inferior German force at the time! At that point, Churchill screamed! “We’ve been—!” You know what.

So, he screamed, to the man he hated the most on the planet, Franklin Roosevelt, and said, “Save us!” So that the British suddenly abandoned their alliance with Hitler—the French fascists, also, were part of the allies of Hitler at that point, already—they abandoned that, and screamed to the United States, to *save the British power!* And thought that by getting us to save the British power, the British could then “handle” us, as they had a number of times in the past. And that’s the way this thing works. That’s the operation.

Steinberg: And unfortunately, with the death of Roosevelt, that orientation towards handling the United States became more and more of the dominant fact of life, in terms of American politics for the last 60 years.

LaRouche: Right. It was already clear, because, once we had broken through, in the invasion of Normandy, once these Allied forces—really, chiefly the United States—had conquered this, the German military command knew, “Well, we are” you-know-what, “and we have to negotiate peace now.” Hitler got wind of it, and got a list of the generals, from—

Steinberg: From the British.

LaRouche: The British: The British exposed the plan of the generals to negotiate a peace. The British did not want a peace: So they killed the generals!

Steinberg: And extended the war by another year.

LaRouche: Exactly, virtually another year, at that point. And that was their intention!

Now, at that point, if you look at the United States, during the time, up until the Normandy success, the

British had kept their skunks, and Wall Street skunks, and similar kinds of fascist bastards, they kept them under control, for the sake of winning the war—but not too soon, was their intention. Once they knew that victory over Germany, that Hitler was finished, that it had been secured, then, the policies inside the United States changed! And Roosevelt, who was triumphant up to that point, suddenly found himself [up against] the fascists of Wall Street, the same guys that had put Hitler into power, like Averell Harriman and company: *Averell Harriman put Hitler into power, through George W. Bush’s grandfather!*

So, at that point, they came back into their fascist mode. And Truman was forced upon Roosevelt by this crowd, by the Wall Street crowd. . . . The minute Roosevelt died, the United States changed; but it had already changed, with Truman’s nomination, at the Democratic Party Convention, as Vice President, which was forced on Roosevelt. So, at that point, the unfortunate fact of Roosevelt’s death—Churchill moved in, and the entire Roosevelt post-war program *was scrapped, immediately!* And the British program, the British re-imperializing of the planet, was put into place. And since that time, Wall Street has always been an agent of the British monarchy. It is not an agency of the United States. And therefore, if those scum go bankrupt as a result of purging the system, I will weep nothing over their grave; I have no tears at all.

And so, the problem is, that we have to recognize that, and therefore, *we have to clean this nest out of our society.*

And then, by a fixed-exchange-rate system among sovereign nations, we can actually, successfully maneuver through the rest of this century. That is possible. And I know now, from what I know now from contacts and so forth that I’m dealing with, from some of the discussions we’ve had, I know that we have the combination of political power available, which, brought together in the right way—say, with getting rid of Obama, and putting something else in his place; just any good President will do, you do not have to have the greatest genius—if you have the kind of agreement that we need, among Russia, China, India, and the United States, and other countries, we can put together a system, very quickly, which will save the world from what otherwise has no solution.

And once we’ve got that into motion, we’ve got to be smart enough, as Benjamin Franklin said: You have

a republic, or a system of republics: Now you've got to keep it.

Steinberg: Right. And, as you have emphasized in the last few days, the first step has to come from here. We have to win this Obama fight, as the first, necessary precondition, for everything else happening. Because, Russia, China, India, Germany, simply left to their own devices, without the United States as the driver for this kind of policy change, can't do it on their own.

LaRouche: Well, you've got to look at the land-area of Eurasia, and look at the population of Eurasia. You've got the votes there! And the reception I'm getting, indicates that this is not pie in the sky. This is something that, simply

by the removal of the President from office, as a mental case—and he is a mental case—he is removed from office as a mental case, and put under protection, because we don't want anything to happen to him. We don't want any distractions, by his being assassinated or something. We want him safely tucked away someplace, where he can do no harm, and suffer no harm. It's a condition: And our doing that, and maintaining that principle, will be a great assistance, *essential* to our success, in reorganizing a reform.

The Lessons of the Trojan War

Hoefle: If you look at the Inter-Alpha Group, really, the whole project is to destroy nation-states, so that the empire can regain control of the world. But it's not really just the financial side of it, but, it's a trap. It's a giant trap, to create globalization, and these giant cartels, and all these other things, which actually replace nation-states.

LaRouche: I think that the danger here, is, people think that *monetary* interest is the thing that motivates this. That is a great mistake. Monetarism is an expres-



FDR Library

Until the French capitulated to the Nazis, Churchill had no quarrel with Hitler; at that point, Perfidious Albion itself was in danger. Then, Churchill, hat in hand, crawled to President Franklin Roosevelt, and cried, "Save us!" Shown: FDR and Churchill in Casablanca, 1943.

sion of this problem, but it is not the source of the problem. The source of the problem is exactly what Aeschylus warned about, particularly the Prometheus trilogy; and the lessons of the Trojan War, which he also addressed. This sort of thing. *These lessons: It is imperialism as such.*

What you have, is, you have a caste of people, who believe in a social system, in which the few dominate and exploit the many, and keep them stupid. And that is the issue. The monetary motive is exaggerated, because, the monetary motive, as it appears, is merely a reflection, a by-product of something much nastier: people, who are *less than human*, in their convictions.

And you have people, like the Wall Street gang—what do you think Geithner is? Take the case of Geithner, just as an example, people like him. What do you think he is? He's not really *human* in his motives! I mean, the guy is *evil!* He *does* evil!

What is Obama? Obama is motivated to do *evil!* His motivation is *evil!* His motivation is not to make money. His motivation is to do evil.

And you have to have a cultural force in society, the

dominant ones, ensure that those who represent a commitment to *evil*, are not allowed to have access to power. Or, if they have access to power, they are kept under control, so they can't use it, for this kind of thing. The idea that money, greed for money—no, that's the delusion. That's what traps people.

You have got people who are behind this, like the head of the Federal Reserve System [Ben Bernanke], like Geithner, *who are not morally human!* They are doing things consciously, that are contrary to what human beings are! And the vehicle they use, to exert this power, is to use money, as an ideological force, to manage and control the people.

Once we destroy that illusion, and get nations which have had *enough* of this stuff, to agree to work together, to eliminate that crap from our planet, we are going to move ahead.

Steinberg: One thing that happened, just this past week, ten days—it's really just stunning how openly and overtly the Obama crowd is pushing this genocidal agenda: You had Bernanke at the FOMC [Federal Open Market Committee], a little over ten days ago, turning on the printing presses for a Weimar hyperinflation. You had Geithner, yesterday, announcing exactly the opposite of what you said about Glass-Steagall: that the way to deal with the housing finance crisis, is for taxpayers to guarantee mortgage-backed securities. It's an invitation for another, massive financial bubble, to kill people.

And then, you had the FDA, the Food and Drug Administration, and [Donald] Berwick, who is the interim appointee of Obama, who could never have gotten confirmed through the Senate, heading up the Medicare Commission, announcing that they are cutting off access, to life-saving cancer drugs. Why? Because of cost-effectiveness reasons. [See article p. 29.]

So, as you warned, back in April of 2009, and when we put the moustache on Obama, for pursuing Hitler-like policies—this past week, they have come out, and made that explicit! They are actually in the implementation phase of those kinds of genocidal policies. You, yourself, said the other day, that if they let this FDA ruling go through, it's the first step toward death camps, in the United States.

LaRouche: Yes. Exactly. But you have to understand: It is not a monetary interest. It's a social thing: They intend to destroy the U.S. population, and make it a bunch of slaves, *for imperial reasons*. Now, impe-

rial reasons, is not financial imperialism. Financial imperialism is an instrument of imperialism! But the motive is actually social. You could say it's the same thing as racist: I mean, the instinct, what you get from Wall Street, in dealing with, hitting the Congressmen—

Steinberg: Right, “Frühmenschen.”

LaRouche:—and saying, “You have to enforce this, you have to enforce this, you have to enforce this.” What are they doing? They are saying, “Well, you have to make a class of people, who are now underdogs, and you manage the population. If you think they are too numerous, you starve them to death, you kill them in other ways.” So, it's inhuman! And what we have, in terms of what is controlling the Congress, right now, controlling the government as a whole, what we are having is something which is *inhuman*. It is intrinsically inhuman. It is not inhuman because it sometimes commits crimes: Its *existence* is a crime!

Steinberg: You know, it's funny, because people are very confused about the public image of Bertrand Russell versus your characterization of him as the most evil man of the 20th Century. And all you've got to do is look at his writing, and you get an idea of this oligarchical outlook, when he said, in 1953, that wars aren't good enough for wiping out enough people, and that what we really need to develop, is the technology for having a Black Death, once every generation, so the right kind of people can procreate freely, and the wrong kind of people can be eliminated efficiently.

LaRouche: Exactly! It's not a monetary thing. It is exactly what Aeschylus described in his dramas. This thing that Aeschylus emphasized was the basis for the Peloponnesian War. Here you had the Greek states, especially three, Athens, Corinth, and Syracuse, that had effectively dominated the destruction of the Persian Empire. Absolutely victorious! But in the process of going to victory, they also had a Peloponnesian War, and the Peloponnesian War destroyed the movement!

The Oligarchical Principle

And for example, the trial and execution of Socrates *typifies* what this was: And it was a *social* idea, against which Aeschylus had warned in his dramas. This social idea had taken over: It was called the “*oligar-*



The trial and execution of Socrates (399 B.C.), exemplifies what Aeschylus warned against in his great dramas: the oligarchical principle. Shown: a detail from Raphael's "School of Athens" (1510-11), in which Socrates (standing, second from right) is portrayed teaching.

chical principle," technically, in the language of the time: the oligarchical principle. And the objective was, to have a unified empire, between the so-called "Greek" people (they weren't calling themselves Greeks, then), and the Persian Empire. And the idea was to divide the world between a land-based empire, which would be the Persian Empire, the Achaemenid Empire of that time, and which would be, essentially the river basin, and the eastern part of Turkey, and that area; and, on the maritime side, you would have the maritime power—the Cult of Apollo, essentially would run it. And that was the agreement. Which was screwed up, by Alexander the Great, who made a mess of that. . . .

So what you had, is, actually, a social crisis, in which the ideas of Aeschylus and others, the ideas of the Pythagoreans and so forth, these were the great ideas of Classical Greek culture, which we rightly look back to, in European culture today—.

But there was another force there, an evil force, and

it is well known. An evil force is expressed by the ability of these guys, of the Cult of Apollo, the Delphi Cult, to get a war between Athens, Corinth, and Syracuse, which was called the Peloponnesian War. And the Peloponnesian War's effect created the conditions, which, despite Alexander the Great's attempts to reverse that process, despite that, this evil persisted, and became the Mediterranean imperial system, which had various forms, such as the Roman Empire, the Byzantine Empire, and so forth.

And the Crusades were part of the same thing. The Crusades were the new form of the Peloponnesian War, the same kind of effect.

Then out of this, we have the religious wars of 1492-1648 all through Europe—again, another great thing.

And the point was, in that period, especially by the settlement in Massachusetts, first the Plymouth settlement, and then, the Massachusetts Bay Colony, under the Charter, the royal Charter, which was a change, was the force, to take the *best* of European culture, which meant looking back to what had been the best in the Classical Greek culture, before the Peloponnesian War, back to Socrates, back to Plato, and brought that into what became the United States. And say, this became, from the Massachusetts Bay Colony, became the generation of our nation. We are a European culture, largely.

Now we have cultures from various other parts of the world, China, and so forth. But our culture was essentially founded as a Europe culture. But it was taking European culture, and its accomplishments, and moving a sample of that across the ocean, into North America.

Steinberg: Free from the power of the oligarchy.

LaRouche: That's right, at a relatively safe distance, hopefully. And therefore, we in the United States have institutions which are unique to us, which are a reflection of the fact that Europe never freed itself, really, of this oligarchical legacy, that we were the leading force to take what had been European civilization, to save the best of European civilization, try to draw the European nations into an alliance with us, and approach the whole world from that standpoint.

And if we look at it in that way, it's understandable. It is when we look at it from the standpoint of the usual newspaper headlines, or the usual books and things, that you get completely confused. Because people have explanations of things that are not true, and they fool themselves.

NIETZSCHE, SOMBART, SCHUMPETER, AND FASCISM

Why Obama Wears The Moustache

by Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele Steinberg, and Nina Ogden

“We live in the Age of Creative Destruction, the term coined by the pre-war economist Joseph Schumpeter.”

—Franklin R. Raines, then-CEO of Fannie Mae

Aug. 23—Every morning when he is in Washington, President Barack Obama meets with his economic security council, immediately after he receives the Presidential Daily Briefing from the Director of National Intelligence. The meeting is always chaired by economic advisor Larry Summers, and the other dominant voice at the table is that of Treasury Secretary Tim Geithner.

To understand how it is possible that the President constantly mouths the psychotic lie that the United States is in an economic recovery, and that people just need to be patient as the Summers-Geithner “recovery” magic trickles its way down, it is necessary to first understand that Summers, along with others in the Obama inner circle, is a dyed-in-the-wool fascist—a slavish devotee of Joseph Alois Schumpeter (1883-1950), the economist who promoted the genocidal doctrine of “creative destruction.” Former Fed Chairman Alan “Ayn Rand” Greenspan is also a follower of the Nazi-influenced Schumpeter.

On Sept. 21, 2009, the very day that the City of London’s flagship publication, *The Economist*, launched a new weekly column in honor of Schumpeter, Larry Summers posted a commentary on the White House Office of Social Innovation and Civic Participation

website, which stated, in part:

“An important aspect of any economic expansion is the role innovation plays as an engine of economic growth. In this regard, the most important economist of the twenty-first century might actually turn out to be not Smith or Keynes, but Joseph Schumpeter. One of Schumpeter’s most important contributions was the emphasis he placed on the tremendous power of innovation and entrepreneurial initiative to drive growth through a process he famously characterized as ‘creative destruction.’ His work captured not only an economic truth, but also the particular source of America’s strength and dynamism.”

This was a moment when the U.S. economy was shedding jobs at an unprecedented rate, and when Americans were taking to the streets to protest the Obama Administration’s Nazi-modeled health-care rationing schemes, at Congressional town hall meetings in every part of the country.

Greenspan, Ledeen, and Armeiy

In testimony delivered on July 21, 2005, before the Senate Banking Committee, then-Chairman of the Federal Reserve, Alan Greenspan, promoted Schumpeter’s “creative destruction” as the driving force behind the success (!) of globalization. Greenspan told Congress that the United States was the greatest beneficiary of globalization, but, he acknowledged that “the problem

with creative destruction is that it is destructive... As we gain the benefits of globalization," he cautioned, "it is important that we address the problems of those on the destructive side, who are in serious trouble on occasion."

Greenspan, of course, neglected to elaborate on what might be done to aid those millions of Americans and billions of people worldwide, relegated to the scrap heap, as the result of globalization.

Neoconservative author Michael Ledeen, the professed "universal fascist," and leading advocate of permanent war against an ever-growing list of regimes, argued in his 2002 book, *The War Against the Terror Masters*, that the very essence of the United States is Schumpeter's design: "Creative destruction is our middle name, both within our own society and abroad. We tear down the old order every day, from business to science, literature, art, architecture, and cinema to politics and the law."

Current GOP Tea Party handler and former House Majority Leader Dick Arme (R-Tex.), another Schumpeter fanatic, defended his worship of Adam Smith, claiming, "It's not difficult to be for Adam Smith and Joseph Schumpeter at the same time. The market must clean itself out by taking resources away from the losers, so it creatively destroys the losing companies and reallocates resources to the winning companies. That's what's really going on."

Schumpeter's Nazi Predecessors

While Schumpeter left Germany in 1927 and lived the rest of his life in the United States, teaching at Harvard, the man who first promoted the concept of "creative destruction" was a Marxist-turned-Nazi named Werner Sombart (1863-1941). Sombart was, at one time, touted by Karl Marx's British handler, Frederick Engels, as the greatest living interpreter of Marx. Sombart correctly credited Friedrich Nietzsche with the origin of the term and concept of creative destruction,

The 'Gale' of Creative Destruction



Friedrich Nietzsche (1844-1900), the conceptual father of "creative destruction."



Werner Sombart (1863-1941) coined the term that Schumpeter later adopted.



Joseph Schumpeter (1883-1950), author of *The Process of Creative Destruction*, 1942.

Joseph Schumpeter described his Nietzschean notion of "creative destruction" thusly: "The opening up of new markets and the organizational development from the craft shop and factory to such concerns as US Steel illustrate the process of industrial mutation that incessantly revolutionizes the economic structure from within, incessantly destroying the old one, incessantly creating a new one.... [The process] must be seen in its role in the perennial gale of creative destruction...."

and passed it along to Schumpeter.

Sombart, who was denounced by Rosa Luxemburg as a one-time Marxist who became an apologist for German imperialism, was a close friend of both Hitler's "Crown Jurist" Carl Schmitt and the Nazi Party's semi-official philosopher, Martin Heidegger.

In 1911, a dozen years before Hitler's failed Beerhall Putsch, Sombart wrote a book called *The Jews and Modern Capitalism*, in which he wrote that the chief task of Germany was to destroy the "Jewish spirit." For Sombart, the "Jewish spirit" was the opposite of the "German spirit," an idea which he adopted from his associate Max Weber.

"Let me avow it right away," Sombart wrote, "I think the Jewish religion has the same leading ideas as capitalism. I see the same spirit in one as in the other... Just so does capitalism appear on the scene, like the Jewish religion, an alien element in the midst of the natural created world, like it too, something schemed and planned in the midst of teeming life. The sheaf of salient features is bound in the word Rationalism. Rationalism is the characteristic trait of Judaism as of capitalism. Rationalism or Intellectualism, both are foes alike to irrepressible mysticism and to that creative power that draws its artis-

tic inspiration from the passionate world of the senses.... The Jewish religion knows no mysticism, and is perhaps the only religion on the face of the globe that does not know them. It knows not the ecstatic condition wherein the worshipper feels himself at one with the Godhead, the condition which all other religions extol as the highest and the holiest.”

It is this embrace of Nietzsche’s irrationalism that is the root of “creative destruction.”

Efforts to rehabilitate Sombart after World War II, like similar efforts to cleanse Schmitt and Heidegger of their Nazi ties, proved difficult. Yet Elena Kagan, President Obama’s recent appointee to the U.S. Supreme Court, was a proponent of Sombart during her Harvard days.

As in the case of Carl Schmitt, there is also a left-wing fascist apparatus that promotes the work of Schumpeter. Cambridge University’s Joan Robinson was a supporter of Schumpeter, and Trotskyite *Monthly Review* founder Paul Sweezy was one of Schumpeter’s graduate assistants at Harvard and an avid follower.

Another of Schumpeter’s Harvard protégés and students, Paul Samuelson, was Larry Summers’ uncle, and a powerful influence on him. And the self-confessed follower of Hitler’s Economics Minister, Hjalmar Schacht, Keynesian economist Abba Lerner, was a close confidant of Schumpeter.

In a recently published monograph, *Creative Destruction in Economics: Nietzsche, Sombart and Schumpeter*, authors Hugo Reinert and Erik Reinert thoroughly document the roots of Schumpeter’s work in the overtly fascist writings of Nietzsche, including his *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*.

“Behind the contemporary highly fashionable Schumpeterian and evolutionary economics towers Nietzsche,” they write, “his *Übermensch* entrepreneur and his creative destruction. Nietzsche the economist here comes to us filtered through Joseph Alois Schumpeter via Werner Sombart. As opposed to Sombart, who care-



White House/Pete Souza

President Obama with his “Schumpeterian” economic advisor Larry Summers. Summers lies that “creative destruction” is the source of “America’s Dynamism.” Nothing could be further from the truth: The American Revolution was creative, and it certainly destroyed British rule—but it replaced that with the Hamiltonian economic system, which aimed to uplift the population as a whole. This is the opposite of the fascist doctrines of Schumpeter and his followers.

fully documented the influence Nietzsche had on him, Schumpeter as usual has held the cards that would have revealed the origins of his own ideas very close to his chest. However, a closer look at the intellectual climate, the general *Zeitgeist*, and the work of the most influential continental European economist during Schumpeter’s golden period, his own 20s, shows the overwhelming influence of Nietzsche on all three counts.”

The authors also identify Oswald Spengler, fascist ideologue of “the decline of the West,” as a close collaborator of Sombart.

Is there any confusion about the Nazi-like philosophical character of the policies coming out of the Obama White House and out of the mouth of the President? Is there any question that it is Obama himself, with the Nazi-modeled death panels and the slavish bailouts of Wall Street and London, who has affixed the

Hitler moustache to his own upper lip?

Controlled Disintegration of America

The Obama economics team at the White House and the Treasury Department is not only pursuing policies that have accelerated the devastation of the U.S. economy, and the disintegration of the conditions of life for the vast majority of citizens, while assuring obscene profits for too-big-to-jail bankers and speculators. The team is pursuing these policies *because* they are philosophically committed to fascism. Large segments of the population, in their view, are inevitably to be written off as the unavoidable victims of creative destruction.

The *New York Times* promoted this fascist dogma in a May 12, 2010 story, justifying the growing legions of permanently unemployed. “This ‘creative destruction’ in the job market can benefit the economy,” the *Times*’s Catherine Rampell lied, describing the massive job losses since the 2007 financial blowout, as a healthy “pruning” of the labor force.

THE INEVITABLE LOSER IS DUMPED!

Telegraph Punches Obama

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

August 16, 2010

Last Thursday's London *Daily Telegraph* piece from correspondent Nile Gardiner, is not correct, but Gardiner's error is interesting, and timely, nonetheless.¹

He is right on the spot, in suggesting "The last few weeks have been a nightmare for President Obama, in a summer of discontent in the United States which has deeply unsettled the ruling liberal elites..." but, he is way off the mark in asserting that "the anti-establishment Tea Party movement," a Republican Party front group, "is now a rising and powerful political force to be reckoned with."

For the edification of the *Telegraph's* staff and readers, the Tea Party factor was a significant participant in the Rosa Luxemburg-style "mass strike" ferment against both the White House and Congress back in August 2009, but has suffered greatly from the citizens' disgust with the Tea Party's showing itself to be a tool of a U.S. Republican Party's current fit of "Republican politics-as-usual" amid the current rash of that Party's preoccupation with inner-party primary-election campaigns. There is nothing in the Republican Party's or Tea Party's posturing which fits the impassioned concerns of the eighty-percentile of the citizenry which is increasingly enraged against the Democratic Party's Congress, and only somewhat less enraged against the Republican Party.

Crucial political realities, not costumed political

stunts, is the dominant political force rampant within the body of the citizenry. That should surprise no one who cares to face the lurid facts of the situation. As of the latter part of the past week, the global Anglo-American financier interest, as represented by the global pair of Wall Street and the networks of the Inter-Alpha Group, has edged into a new phase of the already ongoing, general, global financial-monetarist meltdown-process. As of the present moment, that same Anglo-American interest once led by the combination of the Bank of England's Montagu Norman and its Hitler-leaning partner at Brown Brothers Harriman, is piloting the leading global financier interests of the planet, but, it is precisely the present expression of that pairing of monetarist interests whose system is headed, for the moment, for the sort of global troubles which struck an isolated Weimar Mark in Spring through Autumn of 1923.

The immediate situation now, during the remainder of August and into September, is the issue of whether or not the present lurch into Weimar-1923-style hyper-inflationary spiralling will be continued, or a remedy in the form of a more or less global revival of a "Glass-Steagall," fixed-exchange-rate, FDR-style recovery will be chosen, in time, instead. Meanwhile, the rulers of Wall Street and Inter-Alpha monetarist interests are currently lurching toward an early arrival of a state of affairs comparable to the Venetian monetarists' plunging Fourteenth-century Europe and the Lombard bankers into the notorious, medieval "New Dark Age." No doubt some population-controllers, such as those of the

1. Nile Gardiner, "The stunning decline of Barack Obama: 10 key reasons why the Obama presidency is in meltdown."

World Wildlife Fund, or the kindred likenesses of the late Bertrand Russell, such as the Cambridge Apostles' offshoot, the "Russellite" International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), will drool at the prospect of reducing the world's population from its currently estimated level, to less than two billions, but their lustful pleasures will be short-lived, as the reality of such a process strikes, and that presently soon, unless a sharp change in direction from current Anglo-American monetarist and related policies are turned around, suddenly, and that soon.

Either way, no triumphant "Tea Party," Alice's or any other, is to be seen on the political horizon for the near future at this time. An entirely different kind of politics, is currently on the agenda of real events.

The Alternative

Although the contested decisions presented to the sundry regions and nations of the planet at this time, can and must be considered in terms of a specifically contemporary history of Europe and the Americas since the U.S. victory in the setting defined by a world dominated by a specifically geo-political quality of controversies centered within those trans-Atlantic controversies over the 1860-2010 span to date, these controversies must be understood as varieties of conflict rooted in long-ranging maritime controversies centered in the specific strain of monetarist systems of maritime tyrannies centered, historically, in the Mediterranean, and, later, the Atlantic region, since the decline and fall of the Achaemenid empire.

The modern quality of that process of development has been the expression of the trans-Atlantic maritime system which developed since approximately 1620-1630 in the conflict between the Massachusetts Bay Colony under its sovereign charter, and what emerged since the accession of James II and the House of Hannover to

The screenshot shows the Telegraph.co.uk website interface. At the top is the logo and a search bar. Below are navigation tabs for Home, News, Sport, Finance, Lifestyle, Comment, Travel, Culture, and Technology. A secondary row of tabs includes UK, World, Politics, Celebrities, Obituaries, Weird, Earth, Science, Health News, and Education. The main content area features the author's name, Nile Gardiner, and a small portrait. The article title is "The stunning decline of Barack Obama: 10 key reasons why the Obama presidency is in meltdown". Below the title is a photo of Barack Obama signing a document. To the right of the article is a "Recent Posts" sidebar with several headlines and comment counts.

become the imperial power of the British East India Company established by the 1763 Peace of Paris. In other words, the contest between a credit system, as expressed by the Massachusetts colony under its Royal charter, and the continuation of a British monetarist system of usury derived from the tradition of Paolo Sarpi.

With Harry S Truman's accession to the U.S. Presidency, the tradition of monetarist Wall Street and London grabbed what proved to be increasing control over the U.S.A.'s economy and general trend in political outlook. The wrecking of the U.S.A. political-economic system which was accomplished through nearly a decade of

ruinously long warfare in Indo-China, led to the elimination of the safeguards of the U.S. economic system in the course of the new, pro-inflationary monetarist policies established during the decade of the 1970s.

The shift of the hegemony in the Atlantic region, to that London-Wall Street pack over the legacy of President Franklin Roosevelt's reforms, has resulted, over the course of the 1968-2010 interval to date, into the degeneration of the trans-Atlantic economies into a present state which is probably to be estimated as worse than that associated with the effects of the Fourteenth-century catastrophe of the Lombard bankers.

If the situation emerging from the immediate weeks ahead now continues in a direction somewhat comparable to what Nile Gardiner implies in last Thursday's publication, then the world is soon on the road to a quality of Hell worse than of the Fourteenth-century "New Dark Age."

Otherwise, an entirely different set of policy-options, and quite different set of leading political forces, will take over. That leaves Mr. Gardiner with the consolation of being assured that his estimate of the ruined state of President Obama's term in office, is fully as ruinous as Mr. Gardiner has indicated.

Beware Death Panel! Obamacare/HMOs Move To Nix Cancer-Fighting Drugs

by Marcia Merry Baker

Aug. 20—Federal actions have been underway since July, in the case of two Federal Drug Administration (FDA)-approved, life-prolonging, anti-cancer drugs—Provenge (for prostate cancer) and Avastin (for breast cancer)—to potentially disapprove them for Medicare, and for general use, because they extend life at, what is asserted to be, too great a cost. Both drugs are specialized for sub-groups of patients—many of them seniors, with likely terminal cancer, who can live longer if they get the new therapies. If not, they die earlier.

Denying these citizens the right to treatment, is exactly the Hitler principle of “lives not worthy of the expense,” which is embodied in the Obama Affordable Health Care for America Act, and its London model, the National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), set up in 1999 under Tony Blair.

Over the last 11 years, the denial of many anti-cancer drugs by NICE, has significantly raised the death rate for whole groups of the population in the U.K. But President Obama on July 7, installed an outspoken advocate for NICE, Donald Berwick, as the new Administrator of the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). He was put in, by a Presidential recess-appointment over the July 4th holiday.

The intent of Obamacare from the beginning, has been to protect money flows to the international financial interests behind the HMO insurance cartel, by cutting treatment and shortening lives. These purposes will be served if pending actions described below, by the CMS and FDA are allowed to proceed. The only remedy is to get Obama out of office.

• **Provenge:** On July 1, the CMS announced an unprecedented step of beginning a year-long evaluation of whether to approve for Medicare payment coverage, an anti-cancer drug already approved by the FDA. By statute, CMS should approve Provenge, because the FDA

in April decided it to be “safe and effective.” Provenge has been shown in clinical trials to extend lives by over four months for the relevant sub-group of prostate cancer patients.

• **Avastin:** On July 20, one of the FDA’s 49 advisory panels, the Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee, voted 12 to 1, to recommend that the FDA decide to revoke a prior official “indication” that advanced breast cancer victims will benefit from the drug. Avastin was approved by the FDA in 2008, and is in successful use under Medicare and otherwise; it was found to prolong patients’ lives by 1 to 11 months. On Sept. 17, the FDA is to announce its decision on whether it will revoke its prior approval.

Lyndon LaRouche said on Aug. 17, “This is exactly the genocide I warned about, dating back to my April 11, 2009 international webcast. This is why Obama gets the moustache. He is the reincarnation of Adolf Hitler. If the FDA decision is ratified, this is the first step towards death camps.”

The Avastin, Provenge Therapies

In brief, the particulars of the two drugs in jeopardy are the following.

The drug bevacizumab, patented as Avastin by Genentech (owned by Roche, based in Switzerland), acts to deter angiogenesis, which is the body’s formation of blood vessels to serve cancerous masses. The idea is to kill off the cancer tumor’s blood-supply system. It is used against cancer in the colon, lung, kidney, and brain. In 2008, on a fast-track decision, the FDA gave Avastin its recommendation for breast cancer treatment as well. Patients receiving Avastin have lived longer, from a month to 11 months, than if not treated with the drug.

The drug sipuleucel-T, often called a vaccine, is patented as Provenge, made by Dendreon Inc., and is

the first in a new therapeutic class, known as autologous cellular immunotherapies. The vaccine is produced by using the patient's own cells and an immune stimulant. The therapy consists of three infusions in a month, and trials have shown an improved median overall survival of 125 days with the treatment.

For HMOs: Early Death=Cost Effective

For both Avastin and Provenge, the argument against them is a straight Hitlerian/NICE “cost effectiveness” case. In its July 20 advisory to the FDA to revoke its approval of Avastin, the Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee asserted, according to medpagetoday.com, “when added to standard chemotherapy, [Avastin] does not extend progression-free survival long enough to be clinically meaningful in HER-2 negative, metastatic breast cancer. . . .”

What they mean by not “long enough,” is that patients treated with this drug regimen—according to the studies to date—will live from one to 11 months longer than if they didn't get the treatment. So, 30 to 330 days of life is considered not clinically meaningful.

For the HMOs, this is a dollars-and-cents question. If the FDA goes ahead and revokes its approval for Avastin, and Medicare accordingly withdraws coverage of it, then Obamacare and the HMOs stand to save \$8,000 per month per woman with breast cancer. Having her die earlier than if she had been treated, there will be \$8,000 to \$88,000 more in HMO/CMS “savings” from each woman's death. Of course, physicians can still legally prescribe Avastin for breast cancer, “off-label,” which is the term for use of a prescription drug for diseases other than those officially “indicated” by the FDA. That means that just the rich can afford it, and live longer.

If Provenge is not given to a patient who would benefit from it, then Obamacare and the HMOs will save \$23,000 per month, or about \$93,000 per man who dies off early.

“I shudder at the thought of a government panel assigning a value to a day of a person's life,” commented Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), about FDA nixing Avastin, as reported in the London *Daily Telegraph* Aug. 16. “It is sickening to think that care would be withheld from a patient simply because their life is not deemed valuable enough. I fear this is the beginning of a slip-



Two anti-cancer drugs, Avastin for breast cancer, and Provenge for prostate cancer, have been shown by the FDA to extend lives; but the Obamacare death panel wants to rule them out for general use, as not “cost-effective.”



pery slope leading to more and more rationing under the government takeover of health care that is being forced on the American people.”

Vitter is right on his alarm over rationing and slippery slopes, but he has it dead wrong over who is doing the “taking” over, and who is being “taken” over.

What is required is *more* sovereign government, committed, as President Franklin Roosevelt was, to defending the general welfare from the fascist cartels. Remove Obama from office, and roll back the HMO system.

The U.S. health-care system—from local and state hospital networks, to venerable Federal institutions, such as the FDA (founded 1906), and Medicare and Medicaid (established in 1965)—has been progressively subverted, and is now being taken over, through Obamacare, by the financial interests behind the HMOs—UnitedHealth, Aetna, WellPoint, and others. This was kicked off by the 1973 Health Maintenance Organization Act (signed by President Nixon, cham-

pioned by Sen. Ted Kennedy), and worsened over the decades.

Now, under economic breakdown conditions, the HMO financial interests are demanding new agencies to enforce sweeping powers to kill people, under euphemisms about cost-effectiveness.

Berwick was put in at CMS as their man for the job. He worked in Britain, and describes NICE as having “developed very good and very disciplined . . . models for the evaluation of medical treatment from which we ought to learn.” In 2005, he was knighted by Queen Elizabeth for his dedication to practices of cutting health care. In Washington, D.C., in February 2009, he was the keynote speaker at a health-care summit, co-sponsored by Prince Charles’ loony, “Prince Foundation for Integrative Health.”

NICE Subverts NHS, Kills People

The NICE issue in Britain is not the same as the question of the British National Health Service (NHS) itself, or some fairy-tale notion of socialism. It is Nazi medicine. The NHS was founded in 1948, as a sovereign choice of that nation, similar to government care systems in other post-World War II nations; and whatever its merits or demerits, the NHS functioned for 50 years. However, there is no disputing that in 1999, a new Hitler-style instrument was installed to subvert the NHS: the NICE.

It was set up under Prime Minister Tony Blair (1997-2004), along with his policy advisor Simon Stevens (1999-2001), who then worked for the insurance giant UnitedHealth in the U.K., and today, is a top vice president of UnitedHealth in the United States, specializing in cutting care for seniors.

NICE acted, right from the start, to deny or limit drugs, surgeries, devices, and treatment practices. It fostered privatization of NHS assets and services, to financial outfits such as UnitedHealth. Better named, “Nazi-Inspired Commoner Extermination,” the 11-year-old NICE has been under attack year after year, by NHS patients, physicians, and hospitals alike. In just a decade, its policies of selective denial of cancer drugs, kidney dialysis, and other treatments, have increased the death rate for entire age-groups and classes of Britons—which is a Nazi-medicine policy. This was its purpose.

NICE cut care far beyond “rationing,” while the physical infrastructure for medical-care delivery was

being cut back, in terms of staff ratios, diagnostic equipment, numbers of hospital beds, and so on. NICE has claimed that it is using “clinical effectiveness” among its criteria, but the truth is otherwise. Look at the functioning of the NICE Centre for Health Technology Evaluation, which, in its issuance of formal guidance on what medications will, or will not be allowed, has repeatedly and knowingly caused suffering and death. There are many examples, but consider just those relevant to cancer.

In the case of breast cancer, NICE tried to stop patients from having access to the drug Herceptin. After a big protest movement, limited NHS use was permitted in 2006. This July, NICE disallowed Avastin from payment coverage in the NHS.

In the case of kidney cancer, the drug Sutent has been disallowed for years. Following protests by physicians as well as patients, in January 2009, NICE acquiesced to permitting limited use. Then, in Summer 2009, NICE officially banned the use of Avastin for kidney tumors, saying that Sutent was sufficient.

Physicians, especially oncologists, have fought NICE all along. A March 2009 *European Journal of Cancer* editorial attacked NICE, saying that the agency, in its rulings on which treatments are to be accessible, and under what conditions, has become more restrictive, year by year, and has increasingly based its rulings not on *clinical effectiveness*, but on *cost effectiveness*. In 2008, to take only one example, NICE rejected four drugs for advanced kidney or lung cancer, while acknowledging, as reported in the *Independent* of London, that “the drugs do extend life by up to six months, but the money would be better spent on other patients.”

One British voice was especially loud in 2009, warning against Obama following the NICE model, that of London oncologist Dr. Karol Sikora, a professor of cancer medicine at the Imperial College School of Medicine. In a May 12, 2009 *Manchester (N.H.) Union Leader* article, “This Health Care ‘Reform’ Will Kill You,” Dr. Sikora, who is a supporter of the NHS—minus NICE—said, “As a practicing oncologist, I am forced to give patients older, cheaper medicines. The real cost of this penny-pinching is premature death for thousands of patients—and higher overall health costs than if they had been treated properly. . . .” He added, “If NICE concludes that a new drug gives insufficient bang for the buck, it will



caretolive.com

Physicians, medical practitioners, and cancer patients are protesting the Obama Administration's plans to deny coverage for anti-cancer drugs. Shown: a rally calling for approval of Provenge.

not be available through our public National Health Service, which provides care for the majority of Britons. . . .

“Partly as a result of these restrictions on new medicines, British patients die earlier. In Sweden, 60.3 percent of men and 61.7 percent of women survive a cancer diagnosis. In Britain the figure ranges between 40.2 to 48.1 percent for men and 48 to 54.1 percent for women.”

This past March, figures were released for the U.K., showing that 20,000 people died needlessly early, over the past year, because NICE turned down outright, four anti-cancer drugs which could have benefited 16,000 people, and provisionally rejected another six drugs that could have saved the lives of 4,000 persons.

Medics Protest Obamacare on Cancer

The largest U.S. organization of cancer physicians and medical practitioners, the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), with 28,000 members, is protesting the conduct of the Obama Administration, over its calling into question Medicare payment coverage for Provenge. On July 30, the CEO of ASCO, Dr. Allen Lichter sent a letter to the CMS, expressing its concern about the CMS backstepping on Provenge. Excerpts of the letter follow.

“On behalf of our 28,000 members who treat people

with cancer, the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) is writing to express concern about a recent action taken by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).

“On June 30, 2010, CMS opened an internally-generated national coverage analysis (NCA) of sipuleucel-T (Provenge). . . . In particular, we are concerned that CMS may have plans to examine the issue of whether to cover this therapy for its FDA-approved indications. If that is the case, this would be both counter-productive and ill-advised. We believe that CMS is required by the Social Security Act to cover drugs and biologics for FDA-approved indica-

tions used in anticancer chemotherapeutic regimens [statutes are cited]. . . .

“The statute thus clearly envisions that Medicare coverage for cancer drugs will flow from approval by FDA, and CMS has historically followed this practice. . . .”

An earlier warning to the CMS came from another group of oncologists. On July 22, the Ovarian Cancer National Alliance stated, “Provenge, a vaccine to treat the recurrence of prostate cancer, has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). . . . Medicare usually covers the cost of FDA-approved anti-cancer therapies. However, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) is still reviewing whether it will cover Provenge, and at what rate. The CMS statute states that Medicare must cover therapies that are reasonable and necessary, while the FDA is instructed to approve drugs that are safe and effective. Because of the conflicting Federal coverage and approval requirements, there are some non-FDA approved drugs (called off-label drugs) that are paid for by CMS. However, with respect to Provenge, it appears that CMS is arguing that while the treatment is safe and effective, it may not be reasonable and necessary [i.e., cost effective]. For the first time, an FDA approved anti-cancer therapy may not be covered by Medicare.”

marciabaker@larouchepub.com

Former Congressional Black Caucus Chair Scores Racist Prosecutions

Aug. 20—On Aug. 13, former Chairman of the Congressional Black Caucus Mervyn Dymally granted an interview to LaRouchePAC West Coast spokesman Harley Schlanger, on the question of the racist prosecutions being carried out by the Obama Administration against Reps. Maxine Waters (D-Calif.) and Charles Rangel (D-N.Y.). Dymally, who served in both houses of the California legislature, as Lieutenant Governor of California, and in the House of Representatives, took the lead in exposing the FBI's racial targeting of black elected officials, known as "Operation Frühmenschen" ("Primitive Man") in 1988, providing sworn testimony by an FBI agent on the program. Dymally himself was one of the targets of this program.

Excerpts of the interview, which appeared on the Aug. 15 edition of LPAC-TV's "Down with the Traitors" campaign video, follow.

Schlanger: And now you look at the recent cases of the so-called Office of Congressional Ethics, charging Charlie Rangel and Maxine Waters, and it looks as though, given that eight of the targets are African-American Congressmen, by this committee, that we are seeing the same thing.

Dymally: Well, I am distressed to learn that [Porter] Goss, former Congressman Goss, is a member of the outside committee. Don't forget. He was so bad that Bush fired him! That's how bad he was.

Schlanger: When he was CIA Director.

Dymally: He was CIA Director. And Maxine had taken on the CIA, when there was evidence of crack cocaine trafficking. And that's reported in a book by Robert Parry—you must read one of his two books,



LPAC-TV

Mervyn Dymally is battling a revival of the FBI's racist "Operation Frühmenschen"—a witchhunt against African-American elected officials, now being carried out by Nancy Pelosi's unconstitutional Office of Congressional Ethics.

Robert Parry [*Lost History: Contras, Cocaine, the Press & "Project Truth"* and *Secrecy & Privilege: Rise of the Bush Dynasty from Watergate to Iraq*—ed.]. There was Contra trafficking of drugs, with the CIA knowledge, and acquiescence. So I am even more distressed now that you've told me that Goss is on there. I can see what's happening now. It's a set up.

Now, in the case of Congresswoman Maxine Waters: Let's examine the facts in this. She, like other members of Congress, set up an appointment. She did not go to the meeting. She did not ask for any money. This was a negotiation that was conducted between the Treasury, and the bank. Yes, it is true her husband had some stock

there. But she did not benefit; neither did her husband benefit.

A classical case of conflict of interest means that you or your family benefitted, financially, from the transaction, and that was not the case.

Schlanger: Well, then you have the case where this is OneUnited Bank that services mostly minorities. It got \$12 million. When you look at Goldman Sachs, Citigroup—you look at AIG, \$180 billion for AIG, and Congressmen like Barney Frank [D-Mass.], who arranged the bailout, get millions of dollars of campaign contributions from these bankers. Why are they not brought up on ethics charges?

Dymally: Too big to fail! And some of these big banks, like Citibank, still owe the government. They, AIG, have not paid their debts yet.

I am very troubled that eight members [of the Congressional Black Caucus, all Democrats—ed.] have been scrutinized, and two charges have been brought against Charlie Rangel of New York, and Maxine Waters of Los Angeles. Well, Maxine is receiving a lot of local support, and in today's *Los Angeles Sentinel*, a black weekly, there was a story about the support that she is receiving. But blacks as a whole, believe that there are some racial implications here. Probably, due to a paranoia, based on our experience with racism. We are probably wrong, but that is the feeling—that there was something taking place that's not very American.

Schlanger: Even though we have an African-American Attorney General, an African-American President, that the same networks in the FBI, and in the permanent bureaucracy of the Justice Department, that you identified in 1988 as running *Frühmenschen*, that they are still running these kinds of investigations.

Dymally: There is no change. In fact, it's very troubling. I see evidence of things getting worse with a black President. The country is polarized, racially. Politics has taken a racial dimension in this country, and that is very unfortunate.

What Was 'Operation Frühmenschen?'

Dymally began his investigation into FBI operations against black elected officials in 1975, when he was the Democratic Lieutenant Governor of California. At that time, he attended a conference of Human Rights Workers of America, brought together by Mary Warner

Sawyer, a young academician. After having a conversation with the only other black elected Lieutenant Governor in the country, George Brown of Colorado, and discovering that they had both been subjected to what appeared to be racist harassment by law enforcement officials and the news media, Dymally and Brown approached Sawyer, who agreed to do a study of whether this treatment was unique, or part of a pattern around the country.

In 1977, Sawyer produced a report entitled "The Dilemma of Black Politics: A Report on Harassment of Black Elected Officials." Following its release in Washington, D.C., not a single civil rights group was willing to take up the charge.

Dymally was elected to the U.S. Congress in 1980, and continued to encounter stories of similar harassment. Thus, in 1986, he helped convene another conference, and commissioned Sawyer, now with a doctorate from Duke University, to do a second report. Published under the title "Harassment of Black Elected Officials, Ten Years Later," the report concluded that "nothing had changed." While Dymally caused the Congressional Black Caucus to hold a seminar on the subject, the Caucus never took a position on the matter.

The next year, 1987, Dymally was elected chairman of the Black Caucus. It was in this capacity that he rose to address the Congress on Jan. 27, 1988. In the short time allotted to him (he was given one minute to speak!), he dropped a bombshell:

"I come to the well of the House today to place before this Nation a document which challenges the very basic tenets of constitutional rights and abrogation of duty. As chairman of the Congressional Black Caucus and on behalf of my colleagues—I have transmitted this morning to the chairmen of the House and Senate Judiciary Committees and the House Subcommittee on Civil and Constitutional Rights, an affidavit sworn by an FBI agent which alleges an established official policy of that body to initiate investigations of black elected officials without probable cause.

"Such a policy represents the ultimate abuse of power, and places at risk more than 6,700 elected officials mandated to serve the people of this Nation. The Congressional Black Caucus and the National Caucus of Black State Legislators call for an immediate investigation into these facts.

"Mr. Speaker, the actions alleged in this affidavit are deplorable and we offer them for the record in order



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

The Schiller Institute held hearings in the Summer of 1995, to investigate misconduct, including under “Operation Frühmenschen,” by the U.S. Justice Department. Lyndon LaRouche is shown here giving testimony to the Commission.

that people of conscience everywhere will know the impact of unbridled authority. The Congress of the United States must not allow those empowered to enforce the law to make mockery of the instruments of justice and due process.”

The affidavit he released contained a stunning revelation: Attorney Hirsch Friedman, who had worked with the FBI in Atlanta, Georgia, stated that there had been a coordinated operation against minority elected officials, run by the FBI, with the name “Operation Frühmenschen.”

In his affidavit, Friedman said, “The purpose of this policy was the routine investigation without probable cause of prominent elected and appointed black officials in major metropolitan areas throughout the United States. I learned from my conversations with special agents of the FBI that the basis for this policy was the assumption by the FBI that Black officials were intellectually and socially incapable of governing major governmental organizations and institutions.”

Despite Dymally’s courageous presentation and the Friedman affidavit, which offered investigators a smoking gun, no action was taken by the Congress. From Dymally’s January 1988 revelation, until hearings held by the Schiller Institute (whose international chairwoman is Helga Zepp-LaRouche) on Aug. 30-Sept. 1,

1995, the issue was buried and ignored, except for those officials targeted by the FBI/DOJ hit teams.

Still No Change

Fifteen years later, the pattern that Dymally laid out before Congress remains basically unchanged, and the power of the FBI against Members of Congress is stronger than ever. Note the unprecedented 2006 FBI raid on the Congressional offices of African-American Rep. William Jefferson, to find evidence against him—a blatant violation of the separation of powers. Note also, that the staff director and

chief counsel of House Speaker Nancy Pelosi’s Office of Congressional Ethics, the unconstitutional body, which has brought charges overwhelmingly against Black Caucus members, including Waters and Rangel, is a former Justice Department prosecutor Leo Wise, who comes from the same DOJ bureaucracy that carried out Operation Frühmenschen.

Add to this, the fact that President Obama has openly endorsed the witchhunt against Rangel,¹ and prefers to carry out all his “priorities” through pressure and threats, or “independent commissions,” and it is clear that the threat to justice today is even greater than it was when Dymally first exposed the FBI’s racism.

As Lyndon LaRouche said, in an Aug. 2 statement: “All patriots who remember the cause of civil rights, will rally behind Congressman Charles Rangel and Congresswoman Maxine Waters. The actions against them, in large part instigated by the unconstitutional “independent panel” called the OCE [Office of Congressional Ethics], are an insult to all who fought the civil rights fight. The fight against this assault is the fight against the legacy of slavery.”

1. President Obama stated, on July 31, in an interview with CBS TV: “... [T]hese allegations are very troubling. He is somebody who is at the end of his career, 80 years old. I’m sure that what he wants is to be able to end his career with dignity, and my hope is that happens.”

How Sir Winston Churchill Starved 4 Million Indians

by Ramtanu Maitra

Churchill's Secret War: The British Empire and the Ravaging of India during World War II

by Madhusree Mukerjee

New York: Basic Books, 2010

332 pages, hardbound, \$28.95

Madhusree Mukerjee's book is not a denunciation of the British rule of India, but a meticulous chronicling of the role of the British Raj in furthering a famine in Bengal,¹ and suppressing the fact that this deliberate holocaust took 4 million lives. British historians, including Sir Winston Churchill in his five-volume memoir, glossed over this rather "irrelevant incident." Historians around the world have made little effort either to find out how many lost their lives in the 1943 famine, or what role the British colonialists played to cause this man-made famine.

However, in 1999, Dr. Gideon Polya, a professor at La Trobe University in Victoria, Australia, made the following observation in an interview: "The wartime Bengal Famine has become a 'forgotten holocaust' and has been effectively deleted from our history books, from school and university curricula and from general

public perception. To the best of my knowledge, Churchill only wrote of it once, in a secret letter to Roosevelt dated April 29th 1944 in which he made the following remarkable plea for help in shipping Australian grain to India: 'I am no longer justified in not asking for your help.' Churchill's six-volume *History of the Second World War* fails to mention the cataclysm that was responsible for about 90% of total British Empire casualties in that conflict, but makes the extraordinary obverse claim: 'No great portion of the world population was so effectively protected from the horrors and perils of the World War as were the people of Hindustan. They were carried through the struggle on the shoulders of our small island.'"

Mukerjee, a Bengali herself, has conducted extensive research to document what she writes, and also interacted with those who survived the holocaust and lost their parents, children, and other relatives. In Bengal, millions were dying because of food shortages caused by British looting to feed the troops engaged in World War II, and partly due to nature's fury in the midst of a well-developed independence movement, which led to the end of the British rule in 1947. She documents the British War Cabinet's role, Churchill's, in particular, in exacerbating the food shortages, stonewalling attempts to send food from other countries to alleviate the crisis, and, in fact, *justifying* the necessity to cull those who are not only "inferior," but who breed like rabbits.

The author points out that Churchill, explaining

1. A province before India's partition in 1947; it was divided to form West Bengal, a state within the Republic of India, and East Pakistan, which, in 1971, became the independent nation of Bangladesh.



Winston Churchill's likeness to Hitler is documented in Mukerjee's book, in which she details the role of Churchill's War Cabinet, in exacerbating the food shortages, stonewalling attempts by other nations to send aid during the 1943 Bengali famine, in which 4 million died, and, in fact, justifying the necessity to cull those who are not only "inferior," but who "breed like rabbits."

why he defended the stockpiling of food within Britain, while millions died of starvation in Bengal, told his private secretary that "the Hindus were a foul race, protected by their mere pullulation from the doom that is their due." Pullulation, Mukerjee notes, means rapid breeding.

Mukerjee cites the notes from the British War Cabinet meetings that were released in 2006, which show that Churchill's decision not to send food to starving Bengal was anchored on the analysis that, after the war, Europe would need a lot of food, and food prices would be high, and for Britain to import food at that time would prove costly. Moreover, surplus stocks built up in Britain by the denial of food to Indians would be worth a lot more on the world market after the war. On the basis of this analysis, Churchill resolved in 1942 to build up a stock of 27 million tons through civilian imports.

The British East India Company

The author reaches back in history to document the looting of Bengal by the rapacious British East India Company, which began in the mid-1750s to form the backbone of what became the mighty British Empire,

and which made Bengal, once a much more prosperous province than the entire British Isles, so vulnerable later. The book does not deal, however, with the devastation of the region's farmlands, Bengal and Bihar, in particular. These farmlands had been wrecked by opium cultivation and indigo plantation by the British. To learn about that barbaric role of the British, one must read Amitav Ghosh's *Sea of Poppy*, and Dinabandhu Mitra's *Nil Darpan* (Mirror to Indigo Cultivation), written in Bengal in the mid-19th Century.

However, Mukerjee does provide some figures of the looting by the East India Company that filled the coffers in London. Bengal fell under East India Company control in 1757, and "within five years," the author reports, "Bengal became

India's poorest province." The Company directors were looting freely and paying His Majesty's Government £400,000 annually. For centuries, gold and silver had poured into Bengal, but, by 1769, all that was gone. Between 1766 and 1768, the author notes, Bengal imported £624,375 worth of goods and exported £6,311,250: The amount going out was ten times that which was coming in.

Churchill, or Hitler: Take Your Pick

One of the interesting aspects in this book is the author's observation that, when it came to India, there was hardly any difference between Hitler and Churchill. Both were avowed racists and killers; both loved wars and had a particular hatred for the Indian people; and both were eager to see Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi, known as the Mahatma Gandhi, or Gandhiji, killed. The author notes that Hitler was a great admirer of Britain and the British Raj. Indeed, the British bankers did a yeoman's job to get Hitler to seize power and build his Third Reich.

Hitler's and Churchill's common view on how to deal with the growing demand of the Indian leaders,

Gandhi in particular, is most revealing. In November 1937, Hitler, during his meeting with the Viscount of Halifax (formerly Lord Irwin, Viceroy of India), advised him to “shoot Gandhi, and if this doesn’t suffice to reduce them to submission, shoot a dozen leading members of Congress; and if that doesn’t suffice, shoot 200 and so on until order is established. . . . You will see how quickly they will collapse as soon you make it clear that you mean business.”

Gandhi was eventually assassinated in January 1948, a few years after Hitler had shot himself, and little more than a year after the British finally left India.

While Hitler was advising fellow representatives of a “superior race” to shoot Gandhi and other Congress Party leaders, Churchill was spitting venom against Gandhi. In 1931, after Gandhi was released from one of many times that he was imprisoned, Churchill charged Viceroy Irwin with “craven capitulation.” He said: “It is alarming and also nauseating to see Mr. Gandhi, a seditious Middle Temple lawyer, now posing as a fakir [selfless person] of a type well known in the East, striding half-naked up the steps of the Viceregal palace, while he is still organizing and conducting a defiant campaign of civil disobedience to parley in equal terms with the representative of the King-Emperor.”

In 1944, British historian Penderel Moon recorded Churchill saying: “He [Gandhi] is a thoroughly evil force, hostile to us in every fiber, largely in the hands of native vested interests.” On another occasion, Churchill sent a note to then-Viceroy Archibald Wavell, asking why Gandhi was not dead yet.

What is also revealing is that Churchill’s major-domo in his aggressive service of the British Empire was Frederick Alexander Lindemann (1886-1957), a German-born and English-bred racist, anti-semitic, and physicist. His German origins were somewhat covered up when he was made Baron Cherwell (1941), while serving in the Statistics Division or S branch. Lindemann attended War Cabinet meetings as Churchill’s science advisor, and saw Churchill almost daily during the war.

Mukerjee writes: “On most matters, Lindemann’s and Churchill’s opinions converged, and when they did not, the scientist worked ceaselessly to change his friend’s mind.” Naturally, his objective was to provide rationales for whichever course the prime minister—as interpreted by the professor—wished to follow. As a

result, when the famine was raging in Bengal and starved bodies littered the rural areas, with people lacking strength of their own to bury them, Lindemann would pronounce judgment on the best use of shipping space, the inadequacy of British supplies, the optimal size of mustard gas stockpiles, and the necessity for carpet bombing of German cities. And, when the time came, Professor Lindemann would expound the pointlessness of sending famine relief to Bengal.

Churchill’s Dr. Mengele

Mukerjee pointed out, in an article published elsewhere,² “that Lord Cherwell considered the rescuing of imperial subjects to be an inefficient use of resources may be deduced from the drafts of a lecture he had delivered during the 1930s. . . . In the lecture, he outlined a science-based solution to the challenging problem of perpetuating imperial control over subject peoples. The professor envisioned that technologies such as surgery, mind control, and drug and hormone manipulations would one day allow humans to be fine-tuned for specific tasks. Furthermore, he postulated, at the low end of the race and class spectrum one could remove from ‘helots’ (Greek for slaves) the ability to suffer or to feel ambition—thereby creating a lobotomized subclass that would do all the unpleasant work without once thinking of revolution or of voting rights. The result would be a perfectly peaceable and stable society, ‘led by supermen and served by helots.’

“Lord Cherwell evidently considered the existence of certain peoples to be justified only to the extent that they served their racial and class superiors—which may explain his reluctance to expend resources on imperial subjects who were unimportant to the war effort. Churchill himself may have subscribed to such a view. After attending one of the War Cabinet debates on sending famine relief, for instance, Field Marshal Wavell noted in his diary that Churchill wanted to feed ‘only those [Indians] actually fighting or making munitions or working some particular railways.’”

Lord Cherwell was so allergic to people with dark skin color, that after his retirement he wanted to settle in a warm country such as Jamaica, but the thought of spending his days with black people was too much, so he stayed shivering at Oxford.

2. Madhusree Mukerjee, “Was Churchill responsible for the Bengal famine?” George Mason University’s History News Network website, Aug. 9, 2010, <http://hnn.us/articles/129891.html>

Famine That Killed 4 Million

The 1943 famine was not only man-made, but the outcome of the British Empire's looting of India, using free trade as one of its vicious weapons. The white-washing of Indian famines extends to two centuries of famine in British India. How many Indians died in these famines has not been fully established, but one figure indicates that at least 28 million died in the 19th-Century famines. A 1933 survey revealed that 41% of India's inhabitants were "poorly nourished," and another 20% "very badly nourished," with the statistics for Bengal being worst of all: 47% and 31%, respectively. By the time World War II hit, India was importing between 1 and 2 million tons of rice a year from Burma and Thailand.

In her book, Mukerjee writes that a more meaningful measure would be life expectancy, which can be calculated after 1871, when the first nationwide census took place. Life expectancy for a newborn Indian hovered around 24 until 1920, and then rose slowly. In contrast, life expectancy in Great Britain improved significantly throughout the Victorian era, to reach 47 by its end. This was mostly due to better nutrition provided by the crops that were raised in British India, and exported to the imperial headquarters. While the Indian nationalists demanded that cereals not be allowed to be exported in times of famine, the British authorities pressed home the "virtues of free trade," and local administrators who curbed exports or otherwise interfered with market forces were severely chastised. Mukerjee points out that even during devastating famines, the government rigorously collected agricultural taxes; thereby feeding whatever harvest there was into the free market.

The crux of the matter was that India's agricultural exports had become crucial to the British Empire's economy. In 1905, arguing in favor of free trade, Churchill observed: "The harvests of the world are at our disposal, and, by a system which averages climatic risks, we secure not merely a low but a fairly stable price. With that marvelous operation by which the crowded population of this island is fed, we cannot take the responsibility of interfering."

The famine that killed 4 million Indians in Bengal was orchestrated from outside. "Since 1939," Mukerjee wrote, "the United Kingdom had been drawing grain and manufactures from India for the war effort, and the colonial government had been printing money to pay for these purchases. The resulting inflation had com-

bined with other factors to precipitate famine in early 1943. The following summer, the Government of India asked the War Cabinet for half a million tons of wheat by year-end. The cereal would feed India's two-million-strong army and workers in war-related industries; if any happened to be left over, it would relieve starvation. The mere news of the arrival of substantial imports would cause prices to fall, because speculators would anticipate a drop in prices and release any hoarded grain to the market.

Churchill's close friend and technical advisor, Lord Cherwell, demurred, however: he erroneously argued that India's food problem could not be solved by imports. In any case, expending valuable shipping on Indians 'scarcely seems justified unless the Ministry of War Transport cannot find any other use for it,' he added in a draft memo. (In the final version, this sentence was changed to a straightforward recommendation against sending grain.)"³

Near the end of her book, Mukerjee provides the following anecdote: "In June 1953, after witnessing the coronation of Queen Elizabeth, Churchill found himself standing next to Nehru's daughter, Indira Gandhi, while they both waited for their cars to drive up. 'You must have hated the British for the treatment meted out to your father,' Churchill said. 'It is remarkable how he and you have overcome that bitterness and hatred.'

"'We never hated you,' she answered.

"'I did, but I don't now,' he replied."

Indira Gandhi might have been generous, or she might have been muttering under her breath: "We loathe you."

The next time Prime Minister David Cameron and his ilk come prancing to India seeking a bailout of Britain's dwindled, technologically obsolete industrial sector, Premier Manmohan Singh, or the future powers-that-be, and the Indian people, must demand, not only the return of the crown jewels looted from India, but an admission and apology for the 4 million Indians they starved to death in 1943-45. No compensation would suffice.

This book should be read by those in the West, as well as elsewhere, who have been brought up with the anglophile's version of the British Empire. The book documents that those who served the British Empire were not just perfidious; they were killers, just as the Nazis were.

3. Ibid.

The NAWAPA Mission

Anyone willing to face the truth, knows that the United States has entered the process of terminal decline. The financial system is dead; the physical economy and labor force are disintegrating, far beyond their state of collapse in the 1930s; all talk of “recovery,” jobless or otherwise, is a sick joke. The only thing maintaining the appearance of an economy, is the printing press at the National Mint, which is working overtime, and approaching Weimar hyperinflation rates.

Worse yet, the American population vacillates between white-hot rage, and demoralized despair. While people correctly focus much of this emotion at their fascist President, and cowardly, corrupt Congress, their actions are largely impotent.

Under such conditions, there is only one solution. The U.S. population must once again be mobilized around a national mission, a future for themselves, their nation, and their posterity. With a workable vision before them, Americans can once more bring to bear that “can-do” spirit of optimism, which is required to accomplish what appear to be miracles, but are actually just the requisite for bringing about a new Renaissance.

It is from this standpoint, that Lyndon LaRouche, the American patriot who *should* be President, has issued his clarion call for the immediate launch of the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA), within the immediate few weeks ahead. There is no higher priority, LaRouche has emphasized. We have to present the American people with the alternative to the disaster they are currently experiencing, or we are not going to get them to move. Let Americans see how we can immediately employ several million skilled workers, and many million more less-skilled, in transforming the entire environment of the Western U.S., Canada, and Mexico for the benefit of life, and they

will be inspired to join the fight to defeat the forces that have created the current disaster—namely, the British financial empire and its puppets, starting with President Barack Obama.

“By having a winning alternative to Hell, is the only way you’re going to save this nation, and the world,” LaRouche explained Aug. 21. “What will happen in Russia, will depend upon their reaction to this. What will happen in Germany, will be the reaction to this. . . . If we win over enough people in Germany, and if we convince the Russians that we’re serious, and they act accordingly; if we get the Four-Power agreement functioning—all within weeks, all within weeks—we can win this war.”

NAWAPA puts the Bering Strait tunnel at the top of the agenda, and means an activation of Russian-American cooperation, to bring development to Siberia. This will immediately spill over into Mongolia, China, and India. Via NAWAPA, we have a framework for building Africa as well.

In other words, adopting NAWAPA will give us a chance to win the war against the British imperial system.

To win that war, we will have to accomplish certain other crucial tasks. The first is to remove President Obama from the Presidency. The second is to immediately re-adopt the strict Franklin D. Roosevelt Glass-Steagall principle, which will clean out the rot in the banking system which is preventing it from functioning as a source of credit for the physical economy.

So, NAWAPA is *the* mission. It is the alternative to a descent into Hell. It is the alternative conception of man and his relationship to the universe. NAWAPA thus provides a moral imperative for getting Obama out of office, because, without doing so, NAWAPA, and what it portends for humanity, would not be possible.

See LaRouche on Cable TV

INTERNET

- BCAT.TV/BCAT Click BCAT-2 4th Fri: 10 am (Eastern Time)
- LAROCHEPUB.COM Click *LaRouche's Writings*. (Avail. 24/7)
- LA36.ORG Click on The LaRouche Connection. Select desired show.
- MNN.ORG Click *Watch Ch.57* Fri: 2:30 a.m. (Eastern Time)
- QUOTE-UNQUOTE.COM Click on Ch.27. Tue. 6 pm (Mtn.)
- SCAN-TV.ORG Click *Scan on the Web (Pacific Time)*. Ch.23: Wed. 7 am Ch.77: Mon. 11 am
- WUWF.ORG Click *Watch WUWF-TV*. Last Mon 4:30-5 pm (Eastern)

INTERNATIONAL

THE PHILIPPINES

- MANILA Ch.3: Tue 9:30 pm

ALABAMA

- UNIONTOWN GY Ch.2: Wed, Thu, Fri: 6 am

ALASKA

- ANCHORAGE GCI Ch.12: Thu 10 pm

CALIFORNIA

- CONTRA COSTA CC Ch.26: 2nd Tue 7 pm
- COSTA MESA TW Ch.35: Thu 5:30 pm
- LANCASTER/PALMDALE TW Ch.36: Sun 1 pm
- ORANGE COUNTY TW Ch.95/97/98: Mon 3 pm
- SAN FRANCISCO CC Ch.29: 2nd & 4th Sat 9 pm

COLORADO

- DENVER CC Ch.56 Sun 10 am

CONNECTICUT

- GROTON CC Ch.12: Mon 5 pm
- NEW HAVEN CC Ch.27: Mon & Wed: 6 am; Sat: 6 pm
- NEWTOWN CH Ch.21: Mon 12:30 pm; Tue: 6 pm
- NORWICH CC Ch.14: Tue 8 pm
- SEYMOUR CC Ch.10: Tue 10 pm

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

- WASHINGTON CC Ch.95 & RCN Ch.10: Irregular

FLORIDA

- ESCAMBIA COUNTY CX Ch.4: Last Sat 4:30 pm

ILLINOIS

- CHICAGO CC./RCN/WOW Ch.21: Irregular
- PEORIA COUNTY IN Ch.22: Sun 7:30 pm
- QUAD CITIES MC Ch.19: Thu 11 pm
- ROCKFORD CC Ch.17 Wed 9 pm

IOWA

- QUAD CITIES MC Ch.19: Thu 11 pm

KENTUCKY

- BOONE/KENTON COUNTIES IN Ch.21: Sun & Tue: Midnight
- JEFFERSON COUNTY IN Ch.98: Fri 2-2:30 pm

LOUISIANA

- ORLEANS PARISH CX Ch.78: Sun 11 pm; Mon 5 pm; Tue 4 pm; Thu 12:30 pm; Fri 12:30 am

MAINE

- PORTLAND TW Ch.2: Tue 10 pm; Thu 1 am; Sat Noon

MARYLAND

- ANNE ARUNDEL CC Ch.99; FIOS Ch.42: Tue & Thu: 10 am; Fri & Sat: midnight
- P.G. COUNTY CC Ch.76 & FIOS Ch.42: Mon 10:30 pm, Thu 11:30 am

MASSACHUSETTS

- CAMBRIDGE CC Ch.10: Tue 2:30 pm; Fri 10:30 am
- FRANKLIN COUNTY (NE) CC Ch.17: Sun 8 pm; Wed 9 pm; Sat 4 pm
- GREAT FALLS CC Ch.17: Irregular
- QUINCY CC Ch.8: Pop-ins.
- WALPOLE CC Ch.8: Tue 1 pm

MICHIGAN

- BYRON CENTER CC Ch.25: Mon 1 & 6 pm
- KENT COUNTY CC Ch.25: Mon 6:30 am
- KENT COUNTY (South) CC Ch.25: Wed 9:30 am
- LAKE ORION CC Ch.10: Irregular
- LANSING CC Ch.16: Fri Noon
- LIVONIA BH Ch.12: Thu 3 pm
- MT. PLEASANT CH Ch.3: Tue 7 am
- SHELBY TWP CC Ch.20, WOW Ch.18, UV Ch.99: Mon 11 pm
- WAYNE COUNTY CC Ch.16/18: Mon 6-8 pm

MINNESOTA

- ALBANY AMTC Ch.13: Tue & Thu: 7:30 pm
- CAMBRIDGE US Ch.10: Wed 6 pm
- COLD SPRING US Ch. 10: Wed 6 pm
- COLUMBIA HEIGHTS CC Ch.15: Tue 9 pm
- DULUTH CH Ch.16: Irregular. Ch.29: Wed Midnight; Fri 1 pm
- MARSHALL Knology Ch.67: & CH Ch.35/8: Sat. 8:30 am
- MINNEAPOLIS CC Ch.16: Tue 11 pm
- MINNEAPOLIS (N. Burbs) CC Ch.15: Thu 11 am & 6 pm
- NEW ULM CC Ch.14 & NUT Ch.3: Sun 6 am, Tue 9 pm
- PROCTOR MC Ch.7: Tue after 5 pm.
- ST. CLOUD CH Ch.12: Mon 5 pm
- ST. CROIX VALLEY CC Ch.14: Thu 1 & 7 pm; Fri 9 am
- ST. PAUL CC Ch.15: Wed 9:30 pm
- ST. PUAL (N.Burbs) CC Ch.21: Mon 7 pm, Tue 3 am & 11 am.

- ST. PAUL (S&W Burbs) CC Ch.15: Mon, Wed, Fri 9 am
- SAULK CENTRE SCTV Ch.19: Sat 5 pm
- WASHINGTON COUNTY (South) CC Ch.14: Thu 8 pm

NEVADA

- BOULDER CITY CH Ch.2: 2x/day: am & pm
- WASHOE COUNTY CH Ch.16: Thu 9 pm

NEW HAMPSHIRE

- CHESTERFIELD CC Ch.8: Wed 8 pm
- MANCHESTER CC Ch.23: Thu 4:30 pm

NEW JERSEY

- BERGEN CTY TW Ch.572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- MERCER COUNTY CC Trenton Ch.26: Irregular
- WINDSOR Ch.27: Irregular
- MONTVALE/MAHWAH CV Ch.76: Mon 5 pm
- PISCATAWAY FIOS TV Ch.40, CV Ch.15: Thu 11:30 pm
- UNION CC Ch.26: Irregular

NEW MEXICO

- BERNALILLO COUNTY CC Ch.27: Tue 2 pm
- LOS ALAMOS CC Ch.8: Wed 10 pm
- SANTA FE CC Ch.16: Thu 9 pm; Sat 6:30 pm
- SILVER CITY CC Ch.17: Daily 8-10 pm
- TAOS CC Ch.2: Sat: 10 pm

NEW YORK

- ALBANY TW Ch.18: Wed 5 pm.
- BETHLEHEM TW Ch.18: Tue 6 am
- BRONX CV Ch.70: Wed 7:30 am
- BROOKLYN 4th Friday: CV Ch.67: 10-10:30 am TW Ch.34: 10-10:30 am RCN Ch.82:10-10:30 am FIOS Ch.42:10-10:30 am
- BUFFALO TW Ch.20: Wed & Fri 10:30-11pm
- CHEMUNG/STEBEN TW Ch.1/99: Tue 7:30 pm
- ERIE COUNTY TW Ch.20: Thu 10:35 pm
- IRONDEQUOIT TW Ch.15: Sun 10 am
- JEFFERSON/LEWIS COUNTIES TW Ch.99: Irregular
- MANHATTAN TW, RCN Ch.57/85, Verizon FIOS-TV Ch.35: Fri 2:30 am
- ONEIDA COUNTY TW Ch.99: Thu 8 or 9 pm
- PENFIELD TW Ch.15: Sun & Tue
- QUEENS: 4th Sat monthly 2 pm TW Ch.56, RCN Ch.85, Verizon FIOS-TV Ch.36
- QUEENSBURY TW Ch.18: Mon 7 pm
- ROCHESTER TW Ch.15: Irregular
- ROCKLAND CV Ch.76: Mon 6 pm

- SCHENECTADY TW Ch.16: Fri 1 pm; Sat 1:30 am
- STATEN ISLAND TW Ch.35: Tue 8:30 am & Midnight
- TRI-LAKES TW Ch.2: Sun 7 am, 1 pm, 8 pm
- WEBSTER TW Ch.12: Wed 9 pm
- WEST SENECA TW Ch.20: Thu 10:30 pm

NORTH CAROLINA

- HICKORY CH Ch.6: Tue 10 pm
- MECKLENBURG COUNTY TW Ch.22: Fri 12:30 am

OHIO

- AMHERST TW Ch.95: Daily Noon & 2 pm
- OBERLIN Cable Co-Op Ch.9: Thu 8 pm

PENNSYLVANIA

- PITTSBURGH CC Ch.21: Irregular

RHODE ISLAND

- BRISTOL, BARRINGTON, WARREN Full Channel Ch.49: Tue: 10 am
- EAST PROVIDENCE CX Ch.18; FIOS Ch.24: Tue: 6 pm
- STATEWIDE RI INTERCONNECT CX Ch.13; FIOS Ch.32 Tue 10 am

TEXAS

- HOUSTON CC Ch.17 & TV Max Ch.95: Wed 5:30 pm; Sat 9 am
- KINGWOOD CB Ch.98: Wed 5:30 pm; Sat 9 am

VERMONT

- BRATTLEBORO CC & SVC Ch.8: Mon 6 pm, Tue 4:30 pm, Wed 8 pm
- GREATER FALLS CC Ch.10: Mon/Wed/Fri 1 pm

VIRGINIA

- ALBEMARLE COUNTY CC Ch.13: Sun 4 am; Fri 3 pm
- ARLINGTON CC Ch.69 & FIOS Ch.38: Tue 9 am
- CHESTERFIELD COUNTY CC Ch.17; FIOS Ch.27: Mon 1 pm
- FAIRFAX CX & FIOS Ch.10: 1st & 2nd Wed 1 pm; Fri 10 am; Sun 4 am. FIOS Ch.41: Wed 6 pm
- LOUDOUN COUNTY CC Ch.98 & FIOS Ch.41: Wed 6 pm
- ROANOKE COUNTY CX Ch.78: Tue 7 pm; Thu 2 pm

WASHINGTON

- KING COUNTY CC Ch.77: Mon Noon BS Ch.23: Mon Noon
- TRI CITIES CH Ch.13/99: Mon 7 pm; Thu 9 pm

WISCONSIN

- MARATHON COUNTY CH Ch.98: Thu 9:30 pm; Fri Noon
- MUSKEGO TW Ch.14: Sun 7 am, Mon & Thu: 5:30 pm
- SUPERIOR CH & MC Ch.7: Tue after 5 pm.

WYOMING


- GILLETTE BR Ch.31: Tue 7

MSO Codes: AS=Astound; BD=Beld; BR=Bresnan; BH=BrightHouse; BS = Broadstripe; CV=Cablevision; CB=Cebridge; CH=Charter; CC=Comcast; CX=Cox; GY=Galaxy; IN=Insight; MC=MediaCom; NUT=New Ulm Telecom; SVC=Southern Vermont Cable; TW=TimeWarner; US=US Cable; UV=AT&T U-Verse; FIOS=Verizon FIOS-TV.

Get The LaRouche Connection on your local cable TV system! Call Charles Notley 703-777-9451, Ext. 322. Visit our Website: www.larouche.com/tv.
[updated Jan. 26, 2010]

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Youth Movement, we are changing politics in Washington, day by day.

EIR Online

Issued every Tuesday, EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- \$360** for one year
 \$180 for six months
 \$120 for four months
 \$90 for three months
 \$60 for two months
- Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail address _____

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my MasterCard Visa

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____