

# EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

December 3, 2010 Vol. 37 No. 47 [www.larouche.com](http://www.larouche.com) \$10.00

*Dope, Inc., Britain's Opium War, Is Back*  
*LaRouche to Obama: Don't Turn Netanyahu Loose*  
*The Euro Is Rosemary's Baby: No Bank Bailout!*

## The Present Fall of The House Of Windsor





Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,

Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,

Edward Spannaus, Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey

Steinberg, William Wertz

Editor: Nancy Spannaus

Managing Editors: Bonnie James, Susan Welsh

Science Editor: Marjorie Mazel Hecht

Technology Editor: Marsha Freeman

Book Editor: Katherine Notley

Graphics Editor: Alan Yue

Photo Editor: Stuart Lewis

Circulation Manager: Stanley Ezrol

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele Steinberg

Economics: John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher

History: Anton Chaitkin

Ibero-America: Dennis Small

Law: Edward Spannaus

Russia and Eastern Europe: Rachel Douglas

United States: Debra Freeman

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: Javier Almario

Berlin: Rainer Apel

Copenhagen: Tom Gillesberg

Houston: Harley Schlanger

Lima: Sara Madueño

Melbourne: Robert Barwick

Mexico City: Gerardo Castilleja Chávez

New Delhi: Ramtanu Maitra

Paris: Christine Bierre

Stockholm: Hussein Askary

United Nations, N.Y.C.: Leni Rubinstein

Washington, D.C.: William Jones

Wiesbaden: Göran Haglund

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: John Sigerson

Assistant Webmaster: George Hollis

Editor, Arabic-language edition: Hussein Askary

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly (50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc., 709-A 8th St. SE, Washington, D.C. 20003. (703) 777-9451

**European Headquarters:** E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach 1611, D-65006 Wiesbaden, Germany; Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany Tel: 49-611-73650 Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com> e-mail: [eirna@eirna.com](mailto:eirna@eirna.com) Director: Georg Neudekker

**Montreal, Canada:** 514-855-1699

**Denmark:** EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11, basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark. Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail: [eirdk@hotmail.com](mailto:eirdk@hotmail.com).

**Mexico City:** EIR, Ave Morelos #60-A, Col Barrio de San Andres, Del. Azcapotzalco, CP 02240, Mexico, DF. Tel: 5318-2301, 1163-9734, 1163-9735.

Copyright: ©2010 EIR News Service. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement #40683579

**Postmaster:** Send all address changes to EIR, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

# EIR

## From the Managing Editor

“I took from man expectancy of death,” Prometheus explains to the Chorus, in Aeschylus’ *Prometheus Bound* (ca. 500 B.C.). “Moreover, I conferred the gift of fire.” Thus, Prometheus, scorning the commandments of the Olympian gods, gave man, not only science (fire), but the possibility of immortality. For this, Zeus condemns Prometheus to unending torture.

Fast-forward to the early 21st Century: If most of us have failed to heed the lesson that Aeschylus had given mankind, Lyndon LaRouche, over the past five decades, has developed a singular concept of man’s immortality, as an expression of the powers of human creativity, which he elaborates again in this week’s *Feature*, “The Present Fall of the House of Windsor”: “an immortality which is not to be found in sense-certainty ... but, which is an activity originally located within the expression of Classical modes of artistic creativity, and expressed as artistic creativity in the mode of physical-scientific creativity.” Aeschylus would agree.

As LaRouche develops in this piece, and in his “The Funeral March of Our Marionettes” (*Strategy*), the current incarnation of the bestial gods of Olympus, centered in the British monarchy and its Inter-Alpha Group of banks, is very near the end of its reign (see also, *Economics*). Thus, the issue before us is not whether *they* will survive the oncoming storms—they will not—but whether *we* will free ourselves from their collapsing system, by quickly shifting to LaRouche’s recovery policies, in time to escape the same fate.

The choice between hope for the future, and the tragedy that now threatens all of humanity, can be seen in the case of Haiti, both its history, and its present trials, as addressed by Helga Zepp-LaRouche in her keynote to a conference there that drew 2,500 people (!); and one in Mexico on great water projects, which took place as that nation is being ripped apart by the drug cartels. As Zepp-LaRouche noted, in every nation on the planet, “the moral fiber of mankind is being tested” (*International*).

A powerful weapon against the British Empire and its Opium Wars, is the newly released, updated edition of *Dope, Inc.*, which is reviewed by Jeffrey Steinberg.

You will also want to read a Thanksgiving message from longtime LaRouche associate Mark Calney, in *History*.



## Cover This Week

*The Windsors: a  
misbegotten  
bunch.*



### **7 The Present Fall of the House of Windsor: The Thanksgiving Song**

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. He writes: "It is fairly said, that all sins, such as those of the British system and its lackeys, are ultimately paid, as exactly that is what is happening throughout the world, as a great general-breakdown-crisis under way presently. It is, thus, a provable conclusion that the existence of the British empire and its accomplices has been an offense against both a competent practice by mankind, and also against the Creator Himself. This I explain in the course of these pages."

## Strategy

### **4 Britain Goes Bump in the Night!: The Funeral March of Our Marionettes**

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.  
The case of what is thought of as the present "Ireland crisis" of the British Inter-Alpha Group, makes one think that most leading politicians and economists today are, indeed, little more than marionettes. They actually believe in statistical economic forecasting!

## Economics

### **27 The Euro Is Rosemary's Baby! No Bailout for the Banks**

By Helga Zepp-LaRouche. The euro is nearing its final demise, and the fault lies not with Ireland, Greece, or other nations themselves, but with the fact that the banks are bankrupt.

### **30 It Is Tantamount to War!: Sink the Inter- Alpha Group**

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.  
Our intention must be, not to defeat the British empire by use of modern strategic weapons, but by the peaceful, if admittedly calamitous means of hanging the present financial system of the Inter-Alpha Group's pirates by their own monetarist mastheads.



## World News

### 32 LaRouche to Obama: Don't Turn Lunatic Netanyahu Loose

The so-called 90-day extension of the West Bank settlement freeze, negotiated in recent talks between the Obama

Administration and the Netanyahu government in Israel, is less about Israel-Palestine peace negotiations, and more about giving Israel the political support and military capacity to carry out air strikes against Iranian nuclear sites.

### 35 2,500 Haitians Hear About NAWAPA and 'This Guy LaRouche'

Students, businessmen, journalists, and politicians attended a conference organized by COREJENE (Regional Youth Coalition of North and Northeast Haiti), in the city of Cap Haïtien, to learn about Lyndon LaRouche's emergency solutions for saving Haiti, and the planet.

### 37 'Operation Frederick Douglass': Zepp-LaRouche Issues Call To Save Haiti

Helga Zepp-LaRouche's statement to the conference in Cap Haïtien.

### 38 Haiti's Liberty Will Shine on Forever

From an 1893 speech by Frederick Douglass, former Minister and Consul General to Haiti, on the 89th anniversary of its independence.

### 41 LaRouche Youth Sponsor Mexico City Forum on Great Water Projects

On the Nov. 10 forum in the Mexican Congress on "NAWAPA-PHLINO: A New Concept of Infrastructure."

### 41 'Our Moral Fiber Is Being Tested'

The keynote speech by Helga Zepp-LaRouche to the Mexico City conference.

### 50 The U.S. Army Faces a Moral and Constitutional Dilemma

The annual conference of the Association of the U.S. Army indicated that the Army appears to be willing to examine its faulty procedures and methods, but is skirting the screw-up factors that get injected from the outside, primarily from the civilian leadership which makes the decisions on whether or not to go to war, against whom, and on what basis.

## Books

### 54 The Book That Still Drives the British Royals Mad, Is Back

*Dope, Inc.: Britain's Opium War Against the World*, by the Editors of *EIR*.

### 58 Ferdinand Pecora Takes on Wall Street and Wins a Victory for the American System

*The Hellhound of Wall Street: How Ferdinand Pecora's Investigation of the Great Crash Forever Changed American Finance*, by Michael Perino.

## History

### 61 The Meaning of Thanksgiving: 'Britain Delenda Est'

This greeting was sent to a Thanksgiving gathering of LaRouche Youth Movement members, by Mark Calney, a veteran of the movement. "After the Fourth of July," he writes, "Thanksgiving is perhaps the most patriotic, and explicitly *anti-British Empire* holiday for Americans."

### 63 The Concept of Immortality

Remarks by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

## Editorial

### 64 A Showdown with the Empire

BRITAIN GOES BUMP IN THE NIGHT!

## The Funeral March Of Our Marionettes

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

People are not necessarily marionettes, but most among our leading politicians and so-called economists, most of the time, seem to be just that about now. The case of what is thought of as the present “Ireland crisis” of the British imperial Inter-Alpha Group, appears to be just that at the present moment.

While only silly people actually believe in statistical economic forecasting, and they are clearly in the majority currently, in reality, there is systemic difference between competent economic forecasting, of which most of the world’s economists are presently ignorant, and so-called “statistical forecasting.” Whereas, as I have demonstrated successfully, repeatedly, in my own case, that the causes, probable form of, and approximate timing of an oncoming threat of a crisis can be foreseen, the way in which a probable economic, or related crisis in a nation, or among nations will unfold, or might be averted, is understandable, but, “in principle,” is not “predictable” in a statistical-mechanical way. The very essence of the distinction of the human species from the beasts, is the voluntary factor uniquely specific to the Creator, or to mankind.

A general economic crisis within a group of nations, or of some region of the world, may be expressed in one or more of a variety of forms. What is certain, is the fact that potential for such a crisis has assumed a systemic form; however, even then, the “choice” of which among

several forms might be chosen, remains an open question within a narrowing range of options as a critical point in that process is being approached.

Take two cases of leading significance for the cases immediately at hand now: the Trans-Atlantic Crisis, and the global crisis.

Currently, the trans-Atlantic economy has been dominated, increasingly, in a manner as since the assassination of U.S. President John F. Kennedy. The foolish choice of the unnecessary U.S. engagement in a needless, decade-long war in Indo-China, which was the undoing of the United States, is a leading choice for an illustrative case in point. The effects of that war led into the British orchestration, under Prime Minister Harold Wilson, of the U.S. crisis of February-March of 1968, and the social explosion of the “68ers” leading into the election of the U.S. Nixon Administration and the British-directed virtual simultaneity of the mid-August 1971 wrecking. A wrecking, by that administration, of the stabilizing role of what had been the fixed-exchange-rate system, by the virtually simultaneous launching of the new world monetary system, the British empire-launched “Inter-Alpha Group.”

That change which occurred during 1968-71, combined with the effect of the Anglo-Dutch-Saudi petroleum hoax, led into the almost fatally ruinous U.S.



www.marionisti.cz

*“People are not necessarily marionettes, but most among our leading politicians and so-called economists, most of the time, seem to be just that about now.”*

Carter Administration, and, thence, to the catastrophic intersection of what I had forecast as the echo of the 1929 “Stock Market Crash,” This was combined with what was to prove itself to have been the wrecking of the U.S. economy in favor of the hyper-inflationary potential of the policies under Federal Reserve Chairman (and de facto British empire asset) Alan Greenspan. Greenspan’s long and ruinous reign overlapped, functionally, the 1989-1990 launching of the ruin of European economy through the effects of the launching of what was to become the presently awful crash of the Euro system through the joint actions of England’s Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, France’s President François Mitterrand, and U.S. President George H.W. Bush. That action, identified with “the Maastricht agreements,” has become the keystone of the presently onrushing disintegration of Europe, including the United Kingdom, in progress now.

For the purpose of achieving a competent under-

standing of the presently onrushing breakdown-crisis of the present world monetarist system, it must be emphasized, that there were crucial steps along the pathway from the death of U.S. President Franklin Roosevelt through the present time, occasions at which voluntary avoidance of each step of this crisis were within the power of discretion of the United States, and each combination of leading powers of the world at large. Essentially, such options are implicitly within the powers of the will of leading governments of the world, as now.

The voluntary quality of the distinction of man from all beasts, lies in the existence of options for changing the system which is the current carrier of what had seemed, retrospectively, to have been a predictably “inevitable” crisis. The principal, and most frequent cause of all major crises of civilization, has been the refusal of relevant powers to recognize, and to eliminate the existing system which had maintained the policy-structure under which the conditions for an existential quality of crisis had been engendered.

The British system, with its perennially imperial influence on the leading combination among the money-systems of the planet, has been, since the accession of William of Orange and, then, since the death of Queen Anne, the consistent, either direct, or contingent cause of all of the major economic crises of the planet since the onset of the 1492-1648 launching of a virtually permanent state of successive waves of mass-murderous warfare within, and reaching beyond the European system. The most notable of the launching-pads for the reign of warfare centered upon the British East India Company and its outgrowths, has been what is called the Liberal system, the system which bans actual truth in favor of the degraded system based on “pleasure and pain,” the Liberal system rooted in the designs of Venice’s Paolo Sarpi.

There lies the blame for the great, and accelerated rates of suffering throughout the world presently, especially, for the present moment, in the trans-Atlantic, near-Asia, and African sections of the planet. Admittedly, Asia contains some terrible poverty, but, except-

ing for the effects of the collapse of the trans-Atlantic region, the threat to nations such as China and India is located in the threat of the presently rather immediate, post-August 2007 crash of the trans-Atlantic world.

Thus, it is fair, and very useful to say, that the present world crisis's roots are to be located in the trans-Atlantic system. The specific cause for this pattern of accelerating ruin of the trans-Atlantic world lies within the related influence of the British imperial system currently associated, since August 1971, in the superseding, then, of the fixed-exchange-rate system by the British imperial Inter-Alpha System. The de facto bankruptcy of that latter system, defines the immediate cause for the presently onrushing and accelerating rate of collapse of the planet as a whole. The removal of that bankrupt system of great monetarist fraud, is the certain target which must be now removed from the world's systems of economy and international trade.

Even the survival of the British economy now depends upon a sudden and ruthless debriding of the world economy from the rotting remains of that monstrously bankrupt Inter-Alpha System and its Wall Street appendages. A return to an emergency mode of establishing a combination of a virtual "Glass-Steagall" law and an associated emergency approach to a fixed exchange-rate system of sovereign national currencies, is the absolute precondition for preventing, now, an accelerating plunge into a planetary new dark age which would be, most probably, of several generations.

There have been elements in British policy-shaping, which have relished the prospect of destroying the United States through inducing a mentally ill, Emperor Nero-like, U. S. President Obama, to block a reenactment of Glass-Steagall. Ironically, that very blocking would ensure the doom of the United Kingdom: British leading opinion is often not actual British interest.

### **What Will the British Do?**

There are options for the British economy, on condition that it severs itself from the Inter-Alpha System "as a system," while turning to a protectionist system which would nest the British economy within a protectionist form of global fixed-exchange-rate system. Time to get back to a certain starting-point!

At that point, the British economy's revival and continued survival will depend on some relatively

painful, but nonetheless salutary adjustments. The most basic change in design under a fixed-exchange-rate reform, would be the adoption of an "economic platform" of basic economic infrastructure of the type which I have illustrated by the general, continental case of the implementation of a NAWAPA system for North America as combined, in an integral fashion, with a vigorous program of both nuclear and thermo-nuclear power development, and both high-speed, heavy-duty rail and maglev systems, and other essential elements of manufacturing and agricultural development which would be implicitly required sources for support of the NAWAPA development. Magnetic levitation transport-systems, rather than push-me, pull-me rail systems, are most highly recommended, specifically, for heavy freight delivery into the mountainous ranges which are at the core of a NAWAPA installation.

The increased rainfall patterns which NAWAPA brings to the North American continent, and for the Russian, U.S.A., and Canadian leading role in developing the great scientific and other potential of the Arctic, ensure the revived agricultural productivity and relevant climate-change required for sustaining even the existing levels of population at acceptable standards of living.

To realize the types of objectives implied by these exemplary remarks bearing on a British reform for survival and recovery, Britain, among other nations, must rediscover the truly competent substitute for the popular, but incompetent use of the term "infrastructure." This means that the traditional, geopolitical notion of Britain as seated in a maritime power, must be recognized as a piece of archaic folly. It is the increase of combined increase of physical-capital-intensity and intensity of the Promethean excellence of rising energy-flux density into new goals for mankind's place in not only its Solar System, but the galaxy on whose fringe our Solar System is sustained by the medium of cosmic radiation, which must be targets of our intentions as a human species.

If such are not the intentions, not only of Britain, but the world at large, as we see the rise of such backward directions in intentions in certain large but also smaller but ingenious nations in Asia today, then this planet would be a damned poor planet on which to live for several generations just ahead. Unfortunately, it is the only planet currently available to us, and that for a considerable amount of time still to come.



## The Present Fall of The House of Windsor *The Thanksgiving Song*

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

November 20, 2010

### ***“The Crime Scene”***

*The failures of British and British-influenced notions of alleged principles of economy, can not be competently understood in any different way than is implied in the predators’ expression, “We stole it fair and square.” That is the view of British economists and related types who have some actual sense of what they are doing. It is the same principle underlying a professional predator’s notion of an adopted “righteous self-interest” in a thief’s profession, as by Queen Elizabeth II. “We decided, and that’s that” is the essential premise, among other things, “of what we do.”*

*Insofar as such “philosophies” go against nature, great empires, such as that commanded from the pillars of the British system now, are destroyed by their own implied philosophies of general practice. Such is key to the ultimate destiny of the lackeys of an imperial alliance when reality overtakes the predator’s follies of a once seemingly invincible empire.*

*Thus, it is fairly said, that all sins, such as those of the British system and its lackeys, are ultimately paid, as exactly that is what is happening throughout the world, as a great general-breakdown-crisis under way presently. It is, thus, a provable conclusion that the existence of the British empire and its accomplices has been an offense against both a competent practice by mankind, and also against the Creator Himself. This I explain in the course of these pages.*



White House Photo/Pete Souza

*If their “philosophies” go against nature, great empires are destroyed, writes LaRouche: “Such is key to the ultimate destiny of the lackeys of an imperial alliance when reality overtakes the predator’s follies of a once seemingly invincible empire.” Shown, the Obamas at Buckingham Palace, April 1, 2009.*

As I emphasized in the course of remarks delivered in the course of my report to the leadership groups at noon-time, today, the global issue confronting the world today, is not “which faction;” neither of the British empire, nor a product of any other currently practiced design, will prevail in the outcome of the present world-wide, economic breakdown-crisis.

Rather, the question on which the fate of the world presently hangs, is: what, and why, are we now presented with a pattern of breakdown-crisis of the physical economy of nations, a pattern which is expressed by the currently prevalent tendency, beyond a merely severe crisis, toward, in fact, an assured doom of nations of the Americas and Europe?

This is the doom which is expressed as the failure, thus far, which is in the process of destroying its authors in the course of the present, global breakdown-crisis: Thus, we have Lord Jacob Rothschild’s virtually Satanic design for the destruction of the “Westphalian system.” That means the presently onrushing consequence of the violation of that excellent Peace of Westphalia which had been established, in 1648, to end, then, a century and a half of religious warfare, a century and a half of warfare begun with the expulsion of Jews from Spain. Westphalia prompted an escape from a period of permanent such warfare which assumed its worst form as a result of the crimes of both England’s infamous sex-maniac, Henry VIII, and the later aggravation of that warfare through the founding of modern liberalism by the new Venetian Party of Paolo Sarpi.

Such is the crime of what came to be the British empire, and the consequent kind of threatened doom descending upon humanity now.

So, today, among some notable Asian nations, such as China, South Korea, Japan, India, and others, the local situation there is less unhelpful than in the trans-Atlantic region; but, even those Asian nations could not escape the globally chain-reaction effects of the pres-



*Britain’s King Edward VII is to blame for World War I, among other tragedies. He was the first British monarch of the House of Saxe-Coburg and Gotha, which was renamed the House of Windsor by his son, George V.*

ently onrushing trend, the trend toward a general breakdown of the economies of the trans-Atlantic sector. They are all victims as participants in the present, London-centered world system.

Thus, the present threat of doom is global; the collapse of the imperialist system which the present British empire’s Inter-Alpha Group represents, is now inevitable, in one way, or another. The guilt of nations generally, is their own complicity in that system of their presently onrushing doom.

That is the same British Empire which, with the

complicity of the Habsburgs' infamous Prince Metternich, orchestrated the imperial system of Napoleonic wars in Europe, conducted the process of slavery which had led to the great civil war inside the United States, and which had launched, since the 1890 ouster of Germany's Chancellor Bismarck, the sweep of world-wide, "geopolitical," "permanent warfare and permanent revolution" heralded, and partly steered by British weapons-trafficking agent Alexander Helphand ("Parvus"), up to the present moment of most recent report.

In this way, Britain's 1890s alliance with Japan, forged by Britain's Prince of Wales, became the cause for the subsequent extension of that alliance for warfare to the same alliance's 1905 war on Russia, the infamous Balkan wars set into motion by the Habsburg Kaiser and directed from London, leading into (1) World War I, and the subsequent rise of fascism including the British direction of the rise of Hitler, and what became (2) World War II; (3) Winston Churchill's and Bertrand Russell's launching of a 1946 scheme for "preventive nuclear" war against the Soviet Union; (4) the breakdown of the U.S. economy set into motion by aid of the assassinations of John F. and Robert Kennedy; (5) the catastrophic decline of the economy of the trans-Atlantic world in general, set into motion by the election of U.S. President Richard M. Nixon's puppet-like role in the collapsing of that Bretton Woods, fixed-exchange-rate system which had been created by President Franklin D. Roosevelt; and, (6) the subsequent roles of J.P. Morgan's agent Alan Greenspan in Wall Street's destroying the U.S. economy both from within and without. All these seemingly different things, and more, have been the fruit of the same British imperial origin.

All this was done, as if by the successive harvests of a single family tree, (1) since the launching of the Seven Years War concluded in February 1763; (2) by a newborn British empire brought into being, as it was to be continued through the continuation of what had been launched by the Peace of Paris of 1763, launched, once, (3) in the form of the management of the French Revolution and of (4) the Napoleonic wars conducted chiefly through the British Foreign Office created in 1782, and, then, (5) launched anew in the British partnership with the Habsburgs' Prince Metternich in managing their co-opted puppet, Napoleon Bonaparte, who had been judiciously married to a Habsburg princess, after his dumping the anti-Habsburg Josephine.

All of this, as in large earlier chunks of known world history, has been brought about by relative handfuls of

what came to be leading individuals who played a role provided for them by the great forces which used them, and, to a great degree, put them into power, and, then, frequently, destroyed them.

Some individuals do shape history in a more or less large degree, but that, with rare exceptions, occurs only when the mass of powerful ruling combinations of influence allow themselves to be used, if temporarily, by the individual decision-shapers chosen to lead them, temporarily. The British use of the U.S. puppet-President Barack Obama illustrates such cases. The animal kingdom is a realm of brutalities; but the crimes of man against humanity, are crimes perpetrated by the caprices of the human will.

Now, presently, either way, whether by doom, or, by a launching of a contrary trend of recovery led by the United States, the British imperialist system under Elizabeth II, is presently doomed to an early passing from the political scene, in one way, or another. In such a fashion, all empires are to be ultimately destroyed. The only important doubt respecting the outcome of this conflict, is, whether, or not, our American System of political-economy is to be, or, not to be: whether it will triumph, as it could and should, in replacing the Inter-Alpha System which were soon inevitably doomed in any case. Now, we have the prospective doom brought about by the Inter-Alpha Group; unless it were defeated, or, otherwise, that System had doomed both itself and the planet to what would probably become the worst dark age in the presently known history of this planet.

The British empire could not act differently; that Dodo has laid its last egg. It is now up to us to act, to change all this, while that change remains in our power to eliminate the evil which that British empire now represents.

In neither way, could the present characteristic of the British system itself avoid the doom presently inherent, as if axiomatically, in its character. Whether we Americans win, or, not, the British imperial system itself is now soon doomed to die, in one fashion or another, whether a bit sooner, or a bit later. The question is, essentially, how much of the damage being caused by the British empire presently will continue to be tolerated by the cowardice of powerful institutions such as the groveling U.S. Congress, presently?

### ***Britain's Only Safe Option***

However, do not make the mistake of imagining that this means that I am suggesting that the United King-





Library of Congress

*Sir Winston Churchill, with his son Randolph and grandson Winston. After President Franklin Roosevelt died, Churchill launched both the Cold War and a 1946 scheme for “preventive nuclear war” against the Soviet Union.*

dom itself, as distinct from the British empire, is necessarily doomed by what might become our nation’s victory over the currently onrushing, planet-wide “new dark age.” Provided the United States and western and central Europe survive their presently onrushing downfall, the possibility exists, but only the possibility, for the United Kingdom to survive, too; it is the British empire as such, not the United Kingdom, as the empire is expressed by the Inter-Alpha Group, which must go, in any case, in one way or another.

Under what we might hope would be the U.K.’s reform, into becoming a nation-state with good special relations to some other parts of the world, this might be accomplished, necessarily, by aid of the equivalent of the 1933 Glass-Steagall reform. The useful kinds of historically inherited cultural potentials presently imprisoned in those systems of rule of the present United Kingdom, could be, and probably would be, reactivated to play a useful part in civilization, if there were the

commitment to do so under intentionally post-imperial conditions.

Consider the experience of England’s Henry VII’s defeat of a brutish tyranny. Consider the genius which was later inherited from the design of strategy of Niccolo Machiavelli, the blessings inherited from such great thinkers as Shakespeare, and Percy Bysshe Shelley, as from efforts of Gottfried Leibniz’s on England’s behalf under Queen Anne: all are to be considered signs of such a possibility. So, the nation of Italy has survived the end of the Roman Empire (at least the northern parts), if despite even the terrible effects of the lack of the implementation of the intentions of the Casa per il Mezzogiorno.

That view of British optional prospects for enjoying the benefits of a sinner’s redemption, which I have just summarized, so, is not a prospect which can be measured in mere conjectures; it is a matter of certain, if still rarely considered principles of physical science, principles considered in light of the work of English beneficiaries of the work of the followers of the great Renaissance scientists Filippo Brunelleschi and Nicholas of Cusa, and, also, of Cusa’s followers Leonardo da Vinci, Johannes Kepler, and the efforts to this common purpose specifically intended by Gottfried Leibniz during his efforts to that effect during the reign of Queen Anne. I explain.

The proper view of the subject of Britain, lies in that question: Which system? The British imperial system? Or, a different British system which could be chosen as the way to avoid a plunge into a presently onrushing doom by the present policy of a British system. That would be an avoidance of doom which depends on the condition that our own U.S. constitutional system survives. “Survive” depends upon a decision to be made by the United States, soon, that at some early point during the course of the unfolding, currently ongoing and spreading, global breakdown-crisis. The argument to that effect may be summarized as follows.



## The Argument

The American constitutional system of political-economy, is, essentially, in its application, the principled design of a physical-economic system, as distinguished systematically from, and opposed to a monetarist system in the traditions of the reign of the Venetian system and its British imperial consequences. Since the founding of our American System in New England, the relevant design of the American System has been a continuing heritage of the specific developments in the Massachusetts Bay Colony for as long as it operated under its original charter, that until the British suppression of that charter. The British system as we know it and its history, is, on the contrary, essentially a predatory form of monetarist system, rather than the physical-economic system which we brought into being with the adoption of a Federal Constitution crafted under the influence of Alexander Hamilton's conception of national banking. Ours is a system of national banking without which the Federal republic could not have come into existence, nor could the national constitutional system have survived the post-1782 conditions without that Constitution, without the great ruling principle of its Preamble, and without its essential provisions for the establishment of national banking.

Such is the source of the essential difference of political-economic principle between the two contrasted English-speaking systems of the world since that time.

Hence, we have, thus, the American System of physical economy, versus the intrinsically monetarist (i.e. Sarpian "new-Venetian") form of a British system, a difference which is comparable to that separating human society, such as our American system so defined, from an essentially predatory system of monetarism, the British imperial system, still today. The principle of the systemic outlook of those victimized by the characteristics of the British system, is, so far, that of nothing more than that intrinsically bestial notion of a reigning, silly principle of pleasure and pain, as this is prescribed by Paolo Sarpi's de facto disciple Adam Smith, as within Smith's 1759 **Theory of Moral Sentiments**.

This systemic difference between the American System of political economy and the system of our con-



*The American System of political-economy and national banking, vs. the British System of monetarism: personified in Alexander Hamilton and Aaron Burr. British agent and traitor Burr, who founded the Bank of Manhattan, killed Hamilton in a duel, February 1804.*

tinuing, ancient principal adversary, the British empire, is clear, at least for those well-educated Americans who are neither ignorant of our history, nor, otherwise, badly confused in their knowledge of that subject. The illustrative case of the U.S.A.'s stunningly magnificent economic recovery under a great President, such as that of Abraham Lincoln earlier, and Franklin Delano Roosevelt later, had made the point of that distinction clear. However, to contrary effect, it was the installation of a Wall Street's captive scoundrel, Senator Harry S Truman, as President, after the death of President Roosevelt, which unleashed that infamous "right wing" terror against the U.S. population which was launched under President Truman and continued as a legacy of the Truman folly's effects up to the present day. It is that, which has continued to be the root of the moral and economic rot among us inside the United States today.

Despite what has been almost a banishing from our citizens' minds, of knowledge of the actual principles on which our republic was founded and developed, the true meaning of "American" lurks still, however it may appear to be almost hidden among the seemingly ghostly shadows of our true, patriotic traditions, and,

yet persists, virtually, within our legacy of our founding constitutional law inherent as if in our very bones.

Therefore, consider the implications of that difference between those two mutually opposing currents in our currently active tradition, the patriotic tradition which defined our Federal Republic's uniquely original banking system, as discovered by the genius of Alexander Hamilton, and the contrary, corrupting influence of the social disease which is the influence of the spread of British Liberalism. The latter, Liberalism, is an intrinsically immoral influence for evil, one which was brought into our republic through channels such as the infamous Judge Lowell and other agents of the British East India Company's British financier interests, interests rooted, then, as now, in both Boston banking and traitor Aaron Burr's Bank of Manhattan.

### ***The Difference Is Systemic: Riemann***

For the purpose of making clear the systemic characteristics of the difference between America's Tory traitors, on the one side, and patriots on the other, it must be recognized that our devotion to the echoes of our continuing tradition is expressed as if paraphrased from the concluding statement of principle in Bernhard Riemann's 1854 habilitation dissertation. To wit:

*We must, now, depart from the mathematical domain of money-systems as such, to focus attention on the difference between the American and British system, as being subsumed by the respectively contrasted expressions of physical-economic and merely monetarist systems.*

From a physical standpoint, the physical system of economy associated with the operations of the Massachusetts Bay Colony under its original charter, is to be classified as having been a physically anti-entropic system, as if on the same principles expressed, early on, in the work of such as Gottfried Leibniz and consequent, later discoveries implicit in Bernhard Riemann's 1854 habilitation dissertation: those being cases in which the increase of the productive powers of labor, were, as for Alexander Hamilton, the principal characteristic of the economic system befitting the founding principles of our Federal Constitution.

As I shall restate with a particular emphasis later in this report: under the British system of world imperialism operating under the guise of the Inter-Alpha Group still today, the mechanical, merely monetarist profit of trade, as measured in terms of perceived pleasure, or pain, rather than production of progress in the human

condition, is the primary factor. There are no objectively sane, physical forms of functional value among the values controlling the British imperialist system. The implicit design of the British system, has expressed itself as in the likeness of a vicious form of venereal disease, as a cultural trait which has been carried into American practice as a reflection of the corruption radiated among us from its sources within the British system.

By controlling the public opinion which decides whether a certain, currently ruling practice, such as a set of popularized fads, is deemed to be either painful or temporarily pleasant, the Delphic high priesthood of the British system, like the Babylonian priesthood of still earlier times, controls the desires and girth of the poor, confused subjects, those among the victims of what were more fairly identified as that empire's then currently brutish form of such controlling mechanisms of social control, in matters such as the current fashionable choice of the rise or fall of either nations, or of the hems of ladies' skirts.

That is the key to recognizing the root-cause of the onrushing, inevitable doom of the present British system. The question is, shall the present nations of the world be carried down to the same doom which now menaces the continued existence of the present-day British empire?

I explain as follows.

### ***The End-Game in the System***

The simplest explanation of the inevitability of the doom of the present British system, is to be located in the following considerations.

The only present possibility for preventing a global catastrophe of mankind, lies in the options for what we term the rise of the *generally applied relative energy-flux density*, as in an associated rise of economic physical-capital increase of the modes of existence of a nation's choice of the modality of the energy-flux density of its physical economy, that as defined per capita and per square kilometer of national territory. We have presently reached the level of such development, that, presently, only energy-flux densities no less intense than those of nuclear-fission and prospective thermonuclear-fusion technologies, as seen as a direction which the current policies of India and China indicate, could maintain the present population-levels of the present and future of the world into the relatively immediate future. We must abandon all policy-shaping in practice



White House Photo/Pete Souza

*The British empire's efforts to destroy the United States and Germany emphasize use of "the maddened, even viciously insane portions of the population, such as the so-called greenies, in banning the use of nuclear power." Left: President Obama visits an electric vehicle plant in Kansas City, promoting his "clean energy" agenda. (The question is, what provides the electricity for the cars, nuclear plants or windmills?) Bottom: A demonstration against nuclear power in Germany, Nov. 6, 2010.*



EIRNS/James Rea

which resists the implications of an urgent emphasis on nuclear fission, thermonuclear fusion, and still higher qualities, the implications on which any civilized form of human life throughout this planet now depends absolutely.

The principle which that present and prospective requirement represents, is already no less ancient than the time of Aeschylus' implied defense of what should be identified more precisely, today, as the principle of energy-flux density, as he did in his **Prometheus** Trilogy: the defense of the principle which distinguishes the society of the human being from the pleasure-pain-controlled customs among both the apes and our modern Liberals.

All cultures which would persist in reliance on more primitive energy-flux densities, such as those of windmills and/or solar collectors, are, presently, already self-doomed to an early ruin in the collapse of their potential relative population-density, even to an early end of even their own merely continued existence as cultures, and, thus, to the self-inflicted termination of the very existence of those nationalities which insist on the insanity of inherently foolish, "soft-headed" notions of so-called "soft" technologies.

Hence, it is presently the case, that the efforts of the British empire to destroy the United States, feature great emphasis on use of assistance from the maddened,

even viciously insane portions of the population, such as the so-called "greenies," in banning the use of nuclear power in such nations as the United States and the Federal Republic of Germany. That is what has been done at the time that nuclear power is the leading feature of development in nations such as China and India and other relevant locations in Asia. The most economical fashion in which to destroy the nation of any people, is to induce that people to willfully destroy itself, as the doomed barbarians of both the present and past have done, by imposing reliance on backward, lower-energy-flux density sources of power, as by a virtually religious-fanatical addiction among certain maddened sections of their own populations, as, most typically, addiction to the inherently failed, destructive, so-called "green technologies."

That is the case for the systemic sicknesses among modern nations. What, for example, was already that of ancient ones?



## *The Delphic Curse on Europe*

Without disregarding the influences exerted by still earlier ancient cultures, including those of near-Asian imperialisms and their like, the principal expression of what has become the globally extended hegemony of European cultural strains, is that which is to be traced from the ancient role of the monetarist maritime cultures of the Mediterranean regions, and their included associations with the essentially pro-Satanic cult of the organized political-economic maritime power centered, in its apparent origins, in the Delphi Apollo-Dionysus cult.

For example:

The content of the unfortunately fragmentary remains of the **Prometheus** Trilog of Aeschylus known to us presently, when combined with the writings of that leading adversary of Delphi, Plato, afford us the best leading indicators of the type of presently continuing menace to humanity which Delphi represented through the time of that cult's high priest of imperial Roman times, Plutarch. Hence, we have the cases of the role of the influence of modern fascism under the followers of the cult of "creative destruction," as being the continuing cult of such as Friedrich Nietzsche, of the Nazi period's Werner Sombart, and of Joseph Schumpeter and his followers, These have been followers typified in a lurid fashion by the roles of such British Prime Ministers as Harold Wilson and Tony Blair, a set of cases which provides us convenient illustration of the nature of a policy of "creative destruction" modeled on such cases as Adolf Hitler's 1939 and ensuing, so-called "health-care" treatments for the alleged "useless eaters," and for the similar "health care reforms," mimicking Tony Blair, under U.S. President Barack Obama and the U.S. House of Representatives' Nancy Pelosi.

Such are the trends in policy-making, now as then, under Adolf Hitler, or, presently, under Barack Obama and the incoming apparent Republican majority in the U.S. Congress today. Such are the leading expressions of modern fascism, including the notable cases of the leading tendencies associated with President Theodore Roosevelt, Woodrow Wilson, Calvin Coolidge, Harry S Truman, Richard Nixon, two Bush Presidents, the sick mental case known as Barack Obama, and as, also, the cases of Adolf Hitler and his like.

That pattern of listed personalities is not to be treated as merely symbolic. Without understanding the way in which that Delphic tradition of modern European fascism and its like echo both the Roman empire, and,

more emphatically, modern trends toward the fascism traced from the Caesars, as I have just indicated some notable examples here, there could be no competent design of the type of new policy-change needed to rescue civilization, globally, from the oncoming, planetary, new dark age immediately menacing, in particular, all Europe and the United States today.

## *The Actual Human Mind*

I have just recently sent to print a document titled "The Destruction of 'The Destruction of the Destruction',"<sup>1</sup> whose essential purpose was to introduce a systemic form of definition of the needed sort of an historically informed approach to situating the essential distinction between the animal brain and those higher qualities of the potential of the individual human mind's absolute distinction from mere systems of sense-perception.

That published writing focused the essential subject of its intention on looking beyond Albert Einstein's wrestling with an extremely provocative, and, as far as it went, the most fruitfully stimulating proposition presented in the famous work of France's Louis de Broglie (1892-1987). The purpose of adopting that approach to treatment of the unsettled intersections of the relevant views of Einstein and de Broglie, is to create the setting in which to portray the distinction of the developmental processes of the actual human mind, from those customary delusions which mislocate ideas within the domain of the mere sense-perceptual processes as such, as being, therefore, "merely opinions."

On this account, the conjuncture of Einstein's outlook on the genius of Johannes Kepler, with the yet-to-be resolved, provocative suggestions implicit in the leading work of de Broglie, has a special place of importance in reviewing the presently lurking potential change in interpreting the notion of the Periodic Table of Chemistry. I refer to my associates' treatment of the subject of the needed clarification of the reductionist reading of the Periodic Table's referenced, experimental subject-matter with respect to that universality of cosmic radiation which requires the superseding of the notions of space and time by that of the space-time of a domain of cosmic radiation. The roles of Solar-systemic and our own galaxy's radiation, such as the anomalous features of our galaxy's Crab Nebula, on insight

1. <http://www.larouchepub.com/lar/2010/3746destruction.html> or <http://www.larouchepac.com/node/16527>



into matters bearing on such subjects as the ordering of living processes within the Earth environment, are typical of the pressures which demand fresh approaches to this area of subject-matter. The need to terminate the stubbornly persisting, axiomatic presumptions of an ontological separation of space from time, typifies the broad image of the relevant issues.

My own knowledge in things bearing on that subject of the human mind, is located, essentially, in my developed insights, as in my role as one of the world's established, in fact, most successful economists in the field of long-range forecasting. The search for the exact principle which underlies my persisting success, is also expressed in the essential function, as in economy, of the human mind, especially as this confronts us in treating the ontological issues posed by the efforts to define the subject-matter of physical economy with improved precision. In short, only by examining the subject of the human mind's influence in shaping the patterns of behavior of that human mind respecting the practice of economic policy-shaping on a global, or much broader scale, are we confronted, in the most fruitful way, with that aspect of mass behavior of, and among nations, which exposes the most deeply principled aspects of long-ranging human behavior, including the role of the principles of universal physical processes.

*What is the characteristic of the human mind which regulates processes in the relatively very small with respect to the effects on a long-range, global scale? What is the role of the potential for expression of strictly Classical artistic composition, in respect to its unique place as the underlying role of Classical-artistic modes of composition, and, therefore, human creativity generally, in the domain of physical science?*

Over the recent decades, since the last years of World War II, I became captivated by the study of the roots of the conflict between the worst reductionists, such as a pair of Bertrand Russell devotees, as two "factory rejects," Professor Norbert Wiener and John von Neumann. Both thrown out of Göttingen University for incompetence, at different times, that for a common cause, so expelled from David Hilbert's famous, if largely, successfully failed, mathematics project there.<sup>2</sup>

---

2. There is no doubt that Wiener's part in presenting the case for what has been named automation, had important significance at that time. Indeed, despite my systemic rejections of Wiener's physical dogma, the use of electronically refined control mechanisms in the domain of production, was, and remains economically significant in the most obvious

The following remarks on that subject are of considerable practical importance in this present setting. One may also refer to this as Plato's and Moses Mendelssohn's celebrated treatments of the subject of the immortal soul, as in Mendelssohn's **Phaedon**.

Since no later than Plato, it is to be recognized in an explicit fashion, that the human mind is not defined by the customary notions of the functions of sense-perception, and that the actual human mind's powers of willful creativity, lacking in the beasts, are not to be attributed to the functions of sense-perception as such. Thus, Moses Mendelssohn, in his **Phaedon**, echoed Plato explicitly, in recognizing the immortal significance of the actually creative, potential powers of the human mind, as being a unique expression of the human soul's potential immortality, an immortality which is not to be found in sense-certainty, and, yet, nonetheless exists in a product, known as the function of science, but, which is an activity originally located within the expression of Classical modes of artistic creativity, and expressed as artistic creativity in the mode of physical-scientific creativity. I have often cited Albert Einstein's special relationship with his violin, as a suitable illustration of the point.

Hence, a scientist who is not steeped in Classical artistic composition, is lacking some essential human quality required for the competent pursuit of his, or her profession. He or she is, therefore, lacking in relevant potential for scientific creativity respecting, most specifically, notions of universal principles. The adherence to the fraudulent myth of Isaac Newton's alleged discovery of gravitation, by many otherwise intelligent, even gifted scientific minds whom I have known personally and professionally, typifies the type of spreading corruption met among even some otherwise notable figures of science from the post-World War II as also earlier generations. Hence, the seeds, even within the ranks of science, for the decline of creativity in trans-Atlantic cultures since the death of U.S. President Franklin D. Roosevelt, as typified by the savage as-

---

ways. It was the same crudely mechanistic denial of the role of human creativity, as distinct from the merely clever uses of electronic systems of control, which was the issue of my moral and scientific objections to Wiener from the beginning. Hilbert's project had already successfully demonstrated that Wiener's principal, proposed thesis, was a systemic failure. That latter proof by Hilbert's failure, was, itself, a significant scientific achievement. See "The Escape from Hilbert's 'Zeta' X: Mapping the Cosmos!" [[http://www.larouche.com/eiw/public/2010/eirv37n11-20100319/eirv37n11-20100319\\_004-the\\_escape\\_from\\_hilberts\\_zeta\\_x-lar.pdf](http://www.larouche.com/eiw/public/2010/eirv37n11-20100319/eirv37n11-20100319_004-the_escape_from_hilberts_zeta_x-lar.pdf)]

saults against actual Classical-artistic creativity by the pro-existentialist, post-World War II Congress for Cultural Freedom (CCF) and the related scientific incompetence expressed in the functions of the Cambridge, Russellite offshoot known as the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA). These are typical of the expressions of that culturally and scientifically ruinous, mental pathology.

As I have addressed this conception in sundry published locations, the relationship of the actually human mind to knowledge of the universe we inhabit, is, admittedly, one mediated through sundry faculties of sense-perception, as extended beyond the customary catalog of senses, and into the singularities generated and experienced within certain ranges beyond conventionally identified sense-perception and transmissible effects, mediated through the domain of cosmic radiation.<sup>3</sup>

For the purposes of clarity in the use of those terms, consider the following essential facts of that matter.

### ***Perception & Conception: Perception***

Now, as the plunge of the world's economies, especially those of the trans-Atlantic region, has brought the world to the threshold of a fatal sort of general physical-economic breakdown-crisis, I am equipped to state certain things. These are subjects which I have long known, and have stated with the relatively highest degree of both factual and scientifically factual certainty.

The root of the error which has brought about this state of affairs to which I refer now, is to be recognized in examples such as the case of the silly doctrines by mathematicians, doctrines such as the notion of random numbers claimed by John von Neumann. I refer to the now exposed illusion which had depended upon the presumption that the universe is implicitly delimited to the bounds of the experience of sense-perception. Such fallacies as von Neumann's, are to be attributed to the peculiar mental life of the victim of belief in essentially linear systems: I mean systems such as that intentional, Aristotelean fraud against science known as Euclidean geometry, to the fraudulent presumption of form without matter, to a fraud which I had recognized as such at the ages of 14-15. It was a fraud which disgusted me not

only during my secondary education, but which was known to me as insufferably fraudulent mathematics-related views of a physical science imposed on university physical-science programs as well.

Why did students in secondary, and higher education believe in such stuff as that? My success as an economist is not to be attributed to the fact that I had been rated as more or less near to, but less than a "genius" among students at that time, never reaching to "genius" scores in the three testings to which I was submitted between 1934 and 1944. The relevant fact lies not in such tests, as such, but in my recognition of the fact, then, as now, that the sickness which is belief in Euclidean systems, could occur only, then, as now, by such means as the superstition that Newton had discovered gravitation. Such beliefs are born out of either stupidity, credulous submission to authority, or, even, in some cases, criminal impulses akin to those of that Aristotle who was the putative inspiration of the concoction attributed to Euclid.

The essential fact of the matter, as emphasized in the opening and concluding sections of Bernhard Riemann's 1854 habilitation dissertation, is what I discovered at the age of about 14-15, through reflections prompted by a series of visits to the Boston area's Charlestown Navy Yard.

A purely formal, essentially linear geometry, such as that of the Delphic Aristotle's follower Euclid, depends upon the presumption that the impressions known as sense-perceptions, are either direct representations of the functions of the powers of the human mind, or, as Adam Smith had copied Paolo Sarpi, in Smith's own **Theory of Moral Sentiments**. On this account, Riemann, the celebrated student of both Carl F. Gauss and Lejeune Dirichlet, provides the world a conclusive proof which is absolutely contrary to Aristotelean presumptions. People believe such stuff as Aristotle's, not, usually, because they are stupid, but because they are opportunists.

So, the crucial sort of deadly flaw in Euclidean geometry and kindred notions of number, is that it is immaterial in not only one, but several converging respects. This was a lesson, as I have often reported, which I had first learned by observing construction in progress at the Charlestown Navy Yard: only a physical economy, not a merely mathematical one, represents a competent attempt at representation of the lawful character of physical processes considered universally. Hence, the strength of supporting steel structures, for

---

3. Consider the communications between Max Planck and Wolfgang Köhler on related subjects, and also the closing paragraphs of Percy B. Shelley's **A Defence of Poetry**, in this context.



Wikimedia Commons/Sten Porse

*The architect of Florence's famed Santa Maria del Fiore, Filippo Brunelleschi (1377-1446), discovered that by using the physical curvature of the non-Euclidean catenary, he could construct a dome that was so vast, that no one else had figured out how to do it.*

example, varies in its *physical-geometric* organization, not abstract geometry.<sup>4</sup>

Consider my experience in this matter.

That notion of physical geometry underlies what I had adopted, nearly two decades later than that lesson from the Navy Yard, this time from Riemann's habilitation dissertation, as I had begun to understand it, in 1953. Yet, the adoption of Riemann's view can be considered as having been actually implicit for me in those few features of the world of Gottfried Leibniz to which I had been exposed, increasingly, as the basis for rejecting Euclid, since back during secondary school years. I came to recognize, over the span of the 1970s and early 1980s, that Riemann's discovery had brought the hallmarks of the genius in the field of construction shown by Filippo Brunelleschi's discovery that the physical curvature of the catenary, was the key to the possibility of crafting the cupola of Florence's *Santa Maria del Fiore*. This had had a crucial effect expressed for me then within Nicholas of Cusa's **De Docta Ignorantia**, and had been carried forward from there to Leonardo da Vinci's treatment of the common principle of the catenary and tractrix. This approach was expressed in the

4. E.g., nanotechnology.

work of a follower of both Cusa and Leonardo, Johannes Kepler, and carried still further by Gottfried Leibniz in collaboration with Jean Bernouilli. The forthright expression of the relevant views was provided, as a continuing task-orientation, as conveyed from the work of Niels Abel and Lejeune Dirichlet, into the content and outgrowths of Bernhard Riemann's 1854 habilitation dissertation.

Thus, for all competent notions of science, Aristotle's (and, thus, Euclid's) *a-priorist* dogma should have been recognized as implicitly dead at its birth. It was not until I accepted Riemann's habilitation dissertation, and the included implications of Abel's work (once the hoaxster Cauchy were dead and the evidence of Cauchy's plagiarism uncovered thus) as understood by Dirichlet and his Berlin pupil Riemann, that that view of Riemann's work became my own.<sup>5</sup>

5. Abandon any presumption that Gauss was ever actually opposed, in his own mind, to what was the direction implicit in Riemann's own work. Gauss presented the effects of his discoveries, but, often, judiciously withheld explicit account of the origin of his proofs in the discoveries, as this is demonstrated clearly in Gauss's reluctance to identify his own views on the exact form of systemic error in the so-called "non-Euclidean" geometries of Lobatchevsky and Jonas Bolyai. At the bottom, despite some contrary specialist opinion, Gauss was a benefi-



Therefore, back to Plato!

Since my initial, 1953 commitment to the standpoint for a science of economy represented by Riemann's 1854 habilitation dissertation, my continued contempt for the *a-priori* presumptions of Euclidean geometry, blossomed into the form of a distancing of myself, increasingly, not only from Euclid as I had done during my adolescence, but distanced me still further away from the naive presumptions of sense-certainty, to the standpoint of viewing the nature of man in terms which had been specified by Riemann's habilitation dissertation, in terms which are beyond the measures of sense-perception, that as the proper ground-basis for scientific thinking.

The most significant result of this view, had been rooted in the standpoint of study of the problems posed from the standpoint of my adopted profession in management consulting. This would be reflected, over the course of subsequent time, in my regrettably slow-paced, gradual adoption of the viewpoint implicit in the work of Academician V.I. Vernadsky whose own method I had come to recognize as being rooted in the principles of Riemann. The crucial feature throughout that process of my developing intellectual outlook, was represented for me since the closing years of the 1950s, as the notion of the central, top-down role of man in the universe, to which other aspects of nature in the large are subordinate as a matter of the identity of true universal principles. This viewpoint greatly improved not

---

ciary of the Gottfried Leibniz tradition of the great Eighteenth-century mathematician and scholar Abraham Kästner, under whom, together with Zimmerman, the youthful Gauss had studied during the years immediately preceding the 1800 death of Kästner. The relevant hoax appears as a commentary in the preface to a three-volume work on Kästner. These reductionist forms of apparent peculiarities of the ironies of scientific work at the beginning of the Nineteenth Century, were largely the effects of the spread of the direct and indirect cultural effects of the British Foreign Office's orchestration of the French Revolution and the British and Metternich's orchestration of Napoleonic Wars according to the model of Britain's orchestration of the Seven Years War, and by the continuing corruption orchestrated by the spy-system of Metternich's spy G.W.F. Hegel, until the fall of Metternich's power. With the death of Friedrich Schiller, the von Humboldt brothers served as the central point of reference for the progress of science and education in Europe. David Shavin has traced out the central role which Dirichlet, together with his wife, the granddaughter of the great Moses Mendelssohn, and which the Dirichlet tradition continued to play in both science and music over the course of the Nineteenth Century, into the time of the death of Johannes Brahms. See "The Musical Soul of Scientific Creativity: Rebecca Dirichlet's Development of the Complex Domain," by David Shavin, in **EIR** June 4, 2010 [[http://www.larouche.com/eiw/public/2010/eirv37n23-20100611/eirv37n23-20100611\\_030-the\\_musical\\_soul\\_of\\_scientific\\_c.pdf](http://www.larouche.com/eiw/public/2010/eirv37n23-20100611/eirv37n23-20100611_030-the_musical_soul_of_scientific_c.pdf)]

only my powers for defining the proper standpoint for economic forecasting, but my confidence to act on that knowledge. This had had the effect of fostering my development of an expanding basis for that form of successful economic forecasting which has become unique and increasingly successful, up to the present time.

So, by mid-1956, because of this specific influence of Riemann's work, I had been confident in my forecasting a major recession in the U.S. economy to come suddenly to the surface of the economy as a whole by approximately February-March 1957.

My choice of hierarchy for that organization of the conception of a science of physical economy, takes the form of a view of the implied universe in descending order, from the Creator, to the powers implicit in the human mind, thence to living processes generally, and then to the non-living. This, opposite to the notion of synthesis as being the bottom-up of a process of reductionism.

That shift of one's outlook on the process of development in the universe, as from "the bottom, up," to "the top down," is indispensable in defining the moral change in outlook, from the submissive posture of the underling, to a competent adoption of the moral responsibilities of leadership as a matter of universal principles, in seeking to realize the goals of mankind in society. The shift is one from the underling waiting for direction from above, to what may be fairly identified as the "Promethean" outlook associated with a well-defined knowledge of the notion of top-down organization of the universe within which one must find and recognize one's place, as experience of discovery changes the position from which one decides how, when, and why to act.

The practical effect of that outlook, as translated into such expressions as in the hierarchy of business-management practice, is the certainty that the faults of organized human economic behavior lie in the lack of a competently developed, top-down view of man's needed, top-down role in the willful crafting of needed improvements in those axiomatic presumptions underlying the characteristic traits of a currently adopted outlook, traits which must be understood among those who are shaping the premises selected for an implicitly top-down view of the characteristic principles expressed by assumptions respecting the applicable physical principles of economy.

Economics so conceived as I have come to know it, more and more, and better and better, is to be ap-



proached, as science must order the management of economic affairs, toward becoming the highest expression of scientific thinking, a fact demonstrated by the role of human creativity as the authority in the universe which is proven to have been superior, as in Academician V.I. Vernadsky's work, to the domains of both the Biosphere and Lithosphere.

The failure of the economists generally so far, has been, most notably, not merely the result of a poor application of mathematics, but, rather, a blind, almost religious faith in what is, in fact, the underdog mentality implicitly inherent in what are termed statistical methods. The relevant fault of the notions of mathematical economics which must be considered on this account, is the incompetence of mere mathematics by itself for such uses. The worst of these fatal faults, is the belief that forecasting can be premised on the statistical trending employed by the rather typical financial accountant's pathetic, often implicitly economically fatal notions of the monetarist's notion of an economic function of a "passively statistical market," rather than of an economy undergoing progressive changes in principle, as from outside, and, hopefully, also above, the bounds of present experience, to a creative power of discovery of progressively higher principles, as applied from above. The most stubborn of all follies on this account, is the presumption that monetary statistical valuations are real within the physical-functional domain. The behavior predicated on that presumption can be, often, more or less fatal to the industries, or even the nations so affected.

It is what influential circles of persons generally still believe, especially what are regarded as well-educated, practicing authorities, which has been the customary source of all of the systemic economic calamities which nations, or humanity generally, have now brought upon themselves. The same principle of pathetic credulousness which prompts people to accept the a-priorist presumptions of an Aristotelean (e.g., reductionist) set of notions of sense-certainty, is the essential error underlying the more or less catastrophic failures of nations' economies. In such a situation, being a contrarian may be frequently desirable, but, also, when used by careless hands, may turn out to have been a disastrous choice, even throughout most of the planet presently. The essential fault is inherent in *a-priorist* forms of presumptions respecting the functions of human sense-perception. The remedy begins as: "Question nearly everything generally believed about this domain."

The most essential first step in addressing, more effectively, the nature of the errors embedded in generally accepted mis-beliefs, is to be located within V.I. Vernadsky's discovery of the functional difference between human behavior and that of the lower forms of life, as in his distinction of the Noösphere from the Biosphere. The beasts respond to a principle of universal anti-entropy, without knowledge of what their species is doing as a species on this account; man, through the creativity expressed as a correctable notion of science, apprehends the universal principle, where the beast reacts unwittingly, as the devotees of Adam Smith do, to the sensed pleasures and pains of effects. The cause, and indispensable foundation for the existence and progress of the human species is intentional, rather than the blindly reactive presumptions controlling the behavior of the bare statistician.

### ***Perception & Conception: Conception***

That much said on the immediately foregoing set of topics, now focus on the specifically human function of conception. Here we confront the currently prevalent opinion which must be understood as erroneous. I return your attention, thus, to what I had written on the subject of "The Destruction of the 'Destruction of the Destruction'."

In elementary forms of higher mathematics, as applied to physical science, we are responding to real or imagined notions of sense-perceptions. Up to the present day, what we know of civilized life generally, operates customarily on dependency on popularized assumptions pertaining to the notions of sense-perceptions as such, as in customary ordinary and higher mathematics alike.

However, as I had emphasized in that just-published location, Bernhard Riemann, in the third section of his 1854 habilitation dissertation, emphasizes the intrinsic incompetence of mathematics for the higher functions of physical science. He had returned to the theme of the pair of opening paragraphs of that dissertation, on the subject of the failures inherent in modern mathematics taught up to that time. This time, in the concluding section of that dissertation, he attacked the source of that class of failures, by reference to the domains of evidence which lie beyond the competence of such mathematics, in the domains of the very large and very small of experimental physical science.

Now, once that were said by Riemann, we know, that in dealing with his work we must see a great, sys-

temic defect in mathematics as such, in the respect that it does not account for the universe, since it fails to reach into what Riemann pointed out as the relevant very large and very small. So, even the editor of the **Riemann Werke**, Heinrich Weber, could be deceived into adopting the blunder of Rudolf Clausius respecting Riemann's "Ein Betrag zur Electrodynamik."<sup>6</sup> That is to emphasize two crucial points of leading relevance here. First, that whatever encompasses the larger domain referenced by Riemann in the concluding section of his habilitation dissertation, must be treated as included evidence in defining any true physical principle. Second, as the Aristotelean fallacy of Euclid's geometry illustrates the point ontologically, there can be no true principle underlying a Euclidean approach.

This has been the source of the error in the presumed non-Euclidean geometries of Nikolai Lobatchevsky and Jonas Bolyai, on which Gauss refrained from explicit treatment, but rather merely warned his correspondents off the subject.<sup>7</sup> Formally, the access to discovering the solution has been attributed as lying within the work of Lejeune Dirichlet and Riemann referencing Abelian functions. Otherwise, for the layman, the open-

---

6. **Riemann Werke**, pp. 288-289. Riemann had been a participant in the crucial experimental work of Gauss and Wilhelm Weber. It was this which was under attack by Heinrich Weber (no relative) in consort with the mathematicians Clausius and Hermann Grassmann. The experiment which discredits Clausius and Grassmann completely on this matter is well known and involves a known principle which is readily demonstrated since the experiment was produced by Wilhelm Weber's role as the leader in that discovery. The fictitious "Second law of thermodynamics" has been an outgrowth of the argument put forward by the mathematicians Clausius and Grassmann. [**Bernhard Riemanns gesammelte Mathematische Werke** (Heinrich Weber, ed.) 1902.]

7. Gauss's actual knowledge of the principle of non-Euclidean geometry was a reflection of the influence of the first of his professors, the great Abraham Kästner who had been the leading mathematician (and a notable polymath) of the Eighteenth Century circles of such as those of Gotthold Lessing and Moses Mendelssohn. Notably, Kästner had been a leading German advocate of Benjamin Franklin's role in the founding of the United States, for which Kästner was not much liked among the post-French Revolution period of the 1790s. Hence, Gauss who had come to understand this conception, by Kästner, of "non-Euclidean geometry" while a student under Kästner, had excellent considerations in the realm of personal security to avoid bringing that matter up explicitly at the time of the discussion of Jonas Bolyai's proposal. It was not until the role of Lejeune Dirichlet and Bernhard Riemann, that what Gauss had actually discovered was echoed by Dirichlet and Riemann, et al., in the context of the subject of Abelian functions. It should be noted that the relevant three-volume work of Abraham Kästner features a contemporary publisher-assigned preface reciting an intellectual midget's presently standard frauds against Kästner.

ing paragraphs of Riemann's habilitation dissertation identify the problem being addressed.

My own personal authority in these and related matters lies within the domain of the science of physical economy. The authority to be found in that approach can be fairly identified as a reflection of the effect of those willfully creative powers of the human mind which permeate the role of human discovery of universal physical principles within the domain of physical-economic practice, and, therefore, also any competent approach to defining the principles of physical economy.

The issue which the remarks in these preceding paragraphs pose, should impel us to turn our attention to the practical implications of Aeschylus' **Prometheus Trilogy**. Since there can be no doubt that the successive, qualitative advances in mankind's knowledge and use of fire, are the foundation of sustainable human progress in economy and otherwise, that we who are informed in these matters today, have a relatively unique competence for exposing the fraud of the contemporary, implicitly mass-murderous delusions of so-called "environmentalism."

As I have already summarized the relevant point in the concluding portion of my "The Destruction of 'The Destruction of the Destruction'," the key to a competent approach to modern science, is to be located in a notion of "the human mind" which is not congruent with sense-perceptions as such. Notably, taught mathematics as such, has no provision for the actual function of human creativity, although the physical effects of such creativity are the most significant phenomena of physical science! Statistics belong to the domain of the economic pathologist, not the domain of human creative progress.

This should not be regarded as a matter of mysticism. Creativity in physical science pertains, essentially, to the bringing forth of that which will be physically efficient, but, customarily, did not yet exist by any prior means available. Therefore, it were no accident, that the essential root of efficient creativity in physical science is rooted, like the use of Albert Einstein's violin, in the powers of the human mind's capacity for Classical-artistic modes of composition in the domain of the specifically human imagination.

Thus, for example, the relatively most significant cause for the recent generations' intellectual debasement of the minds of even the so-called "best educated" strata of physical science, has been the effect of mali-

cious influences such as existentialism, in particular, and, specifically, programs such as the post-World War II, European Congress for Cultural Freedom. The rabidly positivist literature of recent and contemporary “science affliction” novelties, typifies the brain-damage manifest in such popular entertainments, and the like, such as those from the post-World War II period to date. No actual principles are permitted in such environments as that. “Science affliction” products which are those which are, at the same time, propaganda designed for kiddies based on “environmentalist” goals, are the clearest in their expression of contemporary trends in a positivist’s depravity.

All that is to be known as Classical artistic composition, is expressed as the products of the same emphasis on discoverable truth of principle which has a corresponding effect on minds to the creative scientific discovery and proof of a universal principle, as Albert’s Einstein’s violin properly implies this to be the case.

Naturally enough, this domain of creativity shared by valid discovery of physical-scientific and Classical artistic creativity, lies outside the bounds of the powers of human sense-perception as such. Thus, such conceptions as the reductionist’s mathematics as such, do not really exist in the domain of the underlying creative powers of the human mind.

The consequently problematic features of reductionist mathematics as such, such as that specific form of the incompetence of the devotees of Aristotle and Euclid, or all of the modern empiricists and positivists, including such as the essentially fascist ideologies of the existentialists of the school of “creative destruction” of society, of Nietzsche, Sombart, and Schumpeter, are expressed most clearly in their hatred of Classical artistic composition and hatred of the basing of human cultures on the creative principle of contempt for forms of reductionism such as empiricism.

Rather, those powers of creativity typical of successful Classical artistic creativity, are the source of inspiration upon which competent physical science and its mathematics depends.

### ***The Human Mind: A Summary***

During the recent several years there has been a terrible, escalating rate of destruction of the American and European economies, especially so since the corrupt opposition, as by Barney Frank, et al., in his ruinous sabotage of a program of mine which could have pre-

vented the present U.S. economic crisis: my 2007 Homeowners and Bank Protection Act.<sup>8</sup> That act, although prevented, was, nonetheless, efficiently designed to have prompted a series of pieces by me and my collaborators, which set forth the needed, lawful mechanisms for rescuing not only our United States, but the world at large, from the presently onrushing, rapidly accelerating break-down crisis of the economy of the world at large reaching a breakdown-point now.

Under the circumstances thus presented to me in my current function as the opponent of the world’s presently most ominous mispractice of economics, I was determined to present a series of treatments of the subject which must come to be understood as the possibility of preventing the world’s immediately threatened slide into the deepest of the dark ages, a plunge now being experienced by trans-Atlantic civilizations so far, a plunge which, if continued, would carry the entire world down with it. This remedy requires a step-wise process of successive approximations, a choice which has required certain steps toward a relevant preparation of the mind of leading and other circles in sundry parts of the world.

The principal need was, to build up an understanding, among some likely circles among nations, of a higher set of those principles which actually underlie mankind’s accumulated power for insight into the true physical principles of economic progress by mankind. The needed principles to be presented for this purpose, pertain to those actually creative powers of the human mind which lie outside and above those doctrines rooted in the delimited realm of sense-perception. That meant the need to define more clearly the distinction between sense-perception and those creative powers of the mind which are most comfortably seated within the domain of the Classical artistic mode unique to mankind among living creatures—thus, excluding, one might say, persons of the Liberal species.

Such a venture as that requires showing that the creative powers which distinguish the human species from the beasts, are not defined by the processes of sense-perception as such, but, rather represent a viewpoint which regards sense-perception as being the useful, but intrinsically misleading mechanisms, mechanisms which must be subjected to a willful, conscious employment of those actually creative powers which must exert efficient command over the otherwise misleading

---

8. <http://www.larouhepac.com/hbpa>

conceptions associated with mere sense-perception as such. These are powers of the imagination which are most intimately expressed in the guise of Classical-artistic composition.

### *The Actual Human Mind*

It is implicit in careless popular opinion today, such as that of a simple mathematics, that there is a much-neglected correspondence between universal principles and that which one might assume to be the results caused, but not foreseen by mathematics. The error in such fallacious, but nonetheless still popular presumptions as that, depends upon the belief in a seemingly instinctive, relatively simple, but wrongly presumed to be lawful correspondence between sense-perception regarded as “sense-certainty,” and the lawfully ordered reality of the universe we inhabit.

The corrected reading of experience were that what we regard as sense-perceptions are not reality in and of themselves, but are merely apparent shadows cast by an unseen, but knowable reality. The reality of human knowledge does not lie with those shadows, but, rather, in an actually sane, human mind, which, by its nature, reads sense-perceptions as merely shadows cast by an unseen reality.

To illustrate that point, consider Johannes Kepler’s actual discovery of a principle of universal gravitation, as the relevant experimental proofs are presented within his **The Harmonies of the Worlds**. Reduced to bare essentials, Kepler’s proof locates a principle of universal gravitation, not in a sense-perception, but the paradoxical contrast of coincident, but mutually contradictory qualities of sense-perception, as in the contrasted notion of quasi-visual and harmonic domains. Thus, the reality does not lie within sense-perception, but in the human mind’s experimental insight into the contrasting coincidence of two or more, mutually exclusive, but juxtaposed notions of sense-perception.

Thus, the incompetence of a popular modern misreading of a notion of a principle of gravitation, such as

that espoused by the devotees of the Isaac Newton cult.

To restate that point, we should consider sense-perceptions as like scientific instruments employed in arrays read by the actual human mind. Who, and where, is the entity which reads those respectively inconsistent instruments, and by what method? Imagine the function of the senses to be like the instruments associated with a space-capsule. The pilot of that capsule has no direct perception of the physical space-time through which his craft is moving; he must regard the readings of the craft’s navigational and related instruments, as shadows cast by that of which that pilot lacks any direct perception.

Such is the relationship of every competent scientist, and the like person, to the universe which he, or she inhabits. The truth of experience lies not in the sense-perceptions as such, but in the possibility of resolving the irreconcilable contradictions among them. That is exactly as Kepler originally, and

uniquely, defined the discovery of a principle of universal gravitation.

Similarly, in principle, Albert Einstein examined Kepler’s own discovery of gravitation, to the effect of adducing from Kepler’s own discovery the additional qualifications known as the thesis that the universe within which we exist, is finite, but not bounded by any fixed limits. Implicitly, that is the same notion of completeness of the stellar array as being implicitly finite, on which the great navigators of trans-oceanic pathways depended. We must map the universe, in all respects, not as a matter of sense-perception, but by means of the creative powers of the human mind.

The difficulty which such facts present to the person who depends upon an arrangement so defined from the standpoint of the reductionist, is the inescapable reality of ontological conflict between the definition of the domain implied by sense-perception as such, as contrasted to the ontological reality of the human mind which reads those perceptions. It is as if we inhabited



*Creativity in physical science is rooted, like Albert Einstein’s use of his violin, in the human mind’s capacity for “Classical-artistic modes of composition in the domain of the specifically human imagination.” Einstein is shown here in January 1931.*



two different universes at the same time. Which, then, is real? The proper response is: We are real.

This reality, the reality expressed by the creative powers of the individual human mind, is made known to us through the agency of what we may properly define as the specifically creative powers of the human mind. Said otherwise: we know the universe to the degree that we can demonstrate an act of willful discovery of a newly recognized principle of action efficiently.

The most immediate problem, which such notions as this present to the uncultured human mind, is that the universe attributed to sense-perceptual experience contains no regard for the principle of creativity in and of itself. Thus, for the creative powers of the human mind, as for Heraclitus, *nothing is constant but change*, as this is echoed by Plato's **Parmenides** paradox. For the sane states of the human mind, the creativity expressed as the physical-scientific, or comparable discovery of principle by the human mind, is the mind's mode of access to a well-defined reality of the creative universe which we actually inhabit. This state of the actual human mind is expressed primarily by the experience of Classical modes of actual creativity in the domain of the forms of Classical-artistic composition, as the concluding paragraphs of Percy Bysshe Shelley's **A Defence of Poetry**, or John Keats' **Ode on a Grecian Urn**, imply. So, Aeschylus, Shakespeare, Lessing, and Friedrich Schiller capture the image of creative immortal souls, those for Heaven, or for Hell. *All else is madness!*

The implication is, that if you are not creative, in the sense of the ironies of which Classical artistic composition is composed, you are not yet real to yourself, an affliction common among most persons I have encountered up to the present moment. Hence, the widespread, existential despair among the afflicted! Hence, to be sane, is to be immortal in a certain, very real way, in one's existence in the creation of progress into the future. The body dies, but the true fruits of the creative human mind, such as the discovery of a true universal physical principle, or of a Classical artistic composition, are immortal influences expressed as the future which the soul of the truly creative intellect inhabits.

The relevant failure of reductionist mathematics comes to the fore, thus. The origin of that existential crisis lies in attaching the notion of reality to the mere shadows to be recognized as sense perceptions, an af-

fliction of the human mind and its sense of identity, an affliction which is typical of the victim's apprehension of ontological (e.g., "existential") despair.

### ***The Function of Classical Composition***

If you are a lover of well-performed Classical musical composition, you have recalled, with pain, even, perhaps, a bit of rage, what passes for a standard presentation of Johann Sebastian Bach's two pedagogical sets of preludes and fugues. Classical artistic minds live in, and for the future, not as neatly arranged dead things.

Take the illustrative case in point, Filippo Brunelleschi's use of the non-Euclidean catenary as an indispensable method of physical principle underlying the successful design and construction of the cupola of Florence's *Santa Maria del Fiore*. Look at the conjuncture of this and related aspects of the discoveries by Brunelleschi and the signal work of the great founder of a competent basis for modern physical science (and more) expressed as Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa's **De Docta Ignorantia**.

Such was the birth of what has been, not non-Euclidean geometry, but of the only competent alternative, the anti-Euclidean principle which had been expressed for physical science by Archytas' construction of the true duplication of the cube,<sup>9</sup> and his friend's, Plato's great dialogues bearing on the universal principles of science as such. The same notion must be applied to Bach's compositions, and his scientific insight into the principles of the human singing voice, with reference to a system of well-tempering premised on an approximately precise  $C=256$  expression of an anti-Aristotelean, and anti-reductionist, principle.<sup>10</sup>

9. Cf. Eratosthenes on Archytas' duplication of the cube.

10. During the 1980s and early 1990s, the majority among the leading Classical singing voices of trans-Atlantic world, and also numerous instrumentalists, understood that Bach well-tempering hinged on  $C=256$ , was the only decent standard for the training and use of the human singing voice. The same was true, in fact, for musical instruments worth considering. Today's widespread destruction of what had become recognized as European Classical musical culture occurred as an existentialist rape of the human mind and artistic beauty centered on the launching of the rabidly existentialist noise-making engendered by the spirit of the 1950 founding of the post-World War II Congress for Cultural Freedom (CCF). While the Dulles faction's elements associated with the U.S.A.'s CIA attempted to cover its dirty rear-end in this matter by pretending that the replacement of music and beauty by cacophony was "innocently anti-Communist," the existentialist noise and ugly splatter promoted by the CCF has turned out to have been the systemic destruction of both beauty and sanity of trans-Atlantic cultures today. The



*The principle of irony, as centered on metaphor, is the essence of the shadows cast by the creative powers of the mind. Here, in Rembrandt's painting of "Aristotle Contemplating the Bust of the Blind Homer" (1653), where are Aristotle's actual eyes? Who actually sees?*

William Empson's **Seven Types of Ambiguity**, although, essentially a modern work composed in the context of a modern setting, implies for many of its advocates, including my unwavering admiration, from first reading of a copy which I purchased from a shop down the street from my frequent haunt, the Boston Public Library: the principle which he supports, which was not only unique in its time, but must be properly appreciated for its greater relevance as expressing a principle of irony which is familiar to Classical English-language usages in the trans-Atlantic region. The principle of irony, as centered on metaphor, is the essence of the shadows cast by the creative powers of the

---

dogma of "elevated pitch," was only one of the massive aggregation of obscenity mustered under the sometime leadership of my notable, avowed adversary of the time, as in a famous debate in December 1971, Professor Sidney Hook.

human mind, an excellence of expression rather distant from the precincts of the style-book of the *New York Times*, and dead grammarians more widely. However, do not forget the indispensable role of that comma, as a pregnant moment of a breath taken, as being indispensably unspoken in that passing instant, all for the sake of the expression of an actual idea.

Then, where are the actual eyes in Rembrandt's Aristotle contemplating the bust of Homer? Homer appears, quite clearly, nonetheless, contemplating, with vacant eyes, that with apparent contempt, or, perhaps, a disgust in which I fully participate, his target, as the empty mind of a properly fatuous likeness of the spirit of the Emperor Nero, Aristotle. Of what concoction might the infamous poisoner, Aristotle, have been thinking?

Like the preludes and fugues of Bach, the unfinished moments in between the notes are realized only in the anticipation of the moment of future development. There is a tension so presented which will not let the ironies pass. With what was the mind of the artist, and audience,

seized, as if in between the notes? Like the anticipation expressed in Rembrandt's presentation of Homer and Aristotle? Classical artistic composition, and the future find their peculiar congruence in steering the passionate moment of intention for things yet to become. Such is the essential, one might say, the ontological actuality, of a perceived intimation of the future. Such the part played, by means of the violin in Albert Einstein's violin.

### ***The Creative Function in Economy***

The most essential consideration in the development of a science of physical economy, is the successful effort at combining time and space as one, as in the dimension of cosmic radiation which replaces the superstitious mind's obsession with that fantasy known as space-by-itself.

Among the relatively simpler illustrations of that

thesis, is to be recognized in the indispensable need for increasing both the relative physical-capital intensity and energy-flux density as indispensable for preventing an attritional process in any continuation of a steady-state level of energy-flux density of action, per capita and per square kilometer, in any economy.

The quixotic preference for windmills, and, also, as for the vastly wasteful folly of reliance on solar panels, is plainly both insane in terms of physical economy, and implicitly in its assured effects on a targeted mankind, as being as mass-murderous as any would-be revival of an Adolf Hitler might desire.

Return attention to Aeschylus' **Prometheus Bound**.

The roots of the imperialist systems centered in the ancient and medieval Mediterranean, as, in the cult of Delphi, Rome, and the Habsburg tyranny, and later, the shift to the British empire's assumption of what had been the ancient evil of the cult of Delphi, or the Venetian monetarist system, and the Roman Empire and Byzantine empire, are to be recognized in a careful reflection on such sources as the Homeric epics and the dramas of Aeschylus. In the beginning of a Mediterranean maritime culture and the rise of the later British oceanic Empire, up to the present day.

The key is to be read in Homer and Aeschylus, in the curious distinction made there between "gods" and mere "mortals." The essential, functional intention embedded in those distinctions is the mark of the practice of imperialism, as expressed by the extension of the title of "gods" to the descent of the sea-rovers traced to the sons of the concubine Olympia, and the poor subjects over which the tyranny of such as the legendary Poseidon reigned.

As Aeschylus made clear enough for those who were enabled to pause to think, the central feature of that oligarchical system of alleged "gods" reigning over "despised mortals," lies in the essential distinction of man from beasts, such as the imperial bats of Queen Elizabeth II's virtual belfry, and also the vegetables: the human use of "fire," or, as we write in more modern terms, an indispensable increase in the energy-flux-density mustered and applied for the purpose not only of the progress of the human condition, but even the prevention of a fall into depravity. Thus, we have the central principle of Aeschylus' **Prometheus** Trilogy.

During relevant recent times, since the 1648 Peace of Westphalia, that theme of the progress in the human

condition, which had been associated with echoes of such names from the Renaissance as the figures of science Filippo Brunelleschi and Nicholas of Cusa, had been an awesome challenge to the momentarily weakened force of evil known otherwise as the maritime, monetarist usury of Venice. The Florentine-led renaissance, had been the expression of that faction which gave birth, since the horrible dark ages of Europe's late Fourteenth Century, to a general renaissance in the conditions of life and potential relative population-density of European civilized culture. It was the principle of a general renaissance, which had arisen under the leading role of Florence in its Renaissance, and which was revived again with the 1648 Peace of Westphalia.

Throughout the entire sweep of the interval of European-centered developments in civilization since the beginning of the Fourteenth-century resurgence into modern society and its periods of great advances in the general human condition, Europe had, in the main, defeated the insolence of the Habsburg tyrannies. However, the U.S. defeat of British imperialism's puppet, under the leadership of President Abraham Lincoln, not only weakened the power of Anglo-Dutch and related practices of African slavery (which had been greatly aided by the British puppet known as the Nineteenth-century Spanish monarchy), but, through the consequence of both the defeat of the British puppet known as the Confederacy and the completion of the essential link of the transcontinental railway system, had created what the British empire considered a mortal threat to the very continued existence of that British empire.

Hence, since that time (1876), the British Empire had considered the so-called "geopolitical" threat represented by the spread of transcontinental railway systems, systems which were in the process which generated a conclusive threat to the continued existence of the British maritime imperialism as the murderous tyrant of the planet. We, among others, have been continuously the victim, in one fashion or another, of the bestialities which the British empire has prompted, since the ouster of Germany's Bismarck, in 1890: through the recurring, and actually persisting launching and other provocations of a permanent state of warfare and revolutions, since 1890 to the present date.

The attempts of the British and such of their agents as the U.S. Wall Street types, to suppress the U.S. de-





NASA

*By ending the long rule of those who declare themselves “gods,” we can achieve mastery over “a fiery ascent of mankind to reaching our coming destiny in the stars, where we shall continue in service to the Creator of the stars.” Here, the Space Shuttle Atlantis lifts off, Nov. 16, 2009.*

velopment of nuclear power sources, is a crucial key for understanding the steps which must be taken to maintain even the continued existence of our United States, and the defense of other regions in the Americas and Asia, from the extinction of that modern state, revived by the 1648 Treaty of Westphalia, which now holds the civilization of Eurasia and the Americas by a slender

tattered thread above the abyss which is already the legacy of Anglo-Dutch-Spanish, and Wall Street-related practices against Africa.

Known British agent Alexander Parvus’s slogan of “permanent warfare, permanent revolution” is the essence of the evil which threatens the civilization of the entirety of this planet, now.

If this trend is not changed, Bach will not be heard, even where the score itself is read, even by those who are reading the score. It might as well be the role assigned to chimpanzees!

Since the imperialisms of today are essentially trans-Atlantic institutions, and since the means of warfare and kindred evils are the instruments which have been employed to bring the general ruin of mankind about, it is essential to remind all among us, that it were the very essence of evil to continue to promote, or, encourage in any fashion the predatory practices of that set of would be “gods” who are fiercely determined to exterminate anything fit to be called “civilization,” which is intended to reduce the human population of the world to the pre-civilized conditions of the 14<sup>th</sup>-century European period of the “Black Death.”

At this moment, the removal of an increasingly crazed British imperial asset, President Barack Obama stands, if only momentarily, in the doorway of world history, blocking the way of escape from a now onrushing force of what, unless stopped, as if in the streets of London, itself, means the doom of civilization throughout the world. The remedy begins with sending the poor sick idiot, Obama, to the kindly mental-

patient’s care he sorely needs, and let us push forcefully ahead, in service of that cause which is true humanity, which means ending the long rule of those who would declare themselves “gods,” for the mastery over a fiery ascent of mankind to reaching our coming destiny in the stars, where we shall continue in service to the Creator of the stars.

# The Euro Is Rosemary's Baby! No Bailout for the Banks

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

*This statement, issued by the Civil Rights Solidarity Movement (BüSo,) is translated from German.*

Nov. 26—Last Spring it was Greece which needed a bailout parachute, but now, hardly a day goes by without another country begging for one. No sooner had the troika of the International Monetary Fund, the European Central Bank, and the European Commission forced a bailout package on Ireland, than pressure came down on Portugal; and now there's talk of Spain, Italy, Belgium, and perhaps even France! And so, what was already clear at the outset, is now obvious to all: The freak baby called the euro is nearing its final demise. And the fault lies not with these nations themselves, but with the fact that the banks are bankrupt.

If we are to prevent German taxpayers from paying for the debt that the banks are holding over these nations—which would end up driving Germany itself into bankruptcy—then there is only one possible solution. First, a two-tiered banking system must be immediately implemented, by which the state will put commercial banks under its protection, supplying them with new credits, while the investment banks are left on their own to put their books into order—without taxpayer assistance. And if they can't handle that, they will just have to declare bankruptcy. Second, Germany must immediately quit the EU and the Eurozone, and must regain national sovereignty over its own currency, a new D-mark.

The idea proposed by various people, of stockpiling a bailout parachute with EU750 billion, EU1.25 trillion, or even EU1.5 trillion, would only result in an exceedingly brief postponement of the collapse, and would be yet another enormous step toward hyperinflation. Because such a stockpile would not be the last one: The austerity policy which the EU has imposed upon Greece, Ireland, Portugal, etc., is shrinking these countries' economy and workforce, and along with that, is undermining their ability to ever repay the debt. Meanwhile, because investors are fleeing from government bonds, the interest that these countries must pay continues to climb, and soon they will be simply unable to remain inside the Eurozone—or else they will need yet another bailout, requiring the stockpiling of yet another bailout parachute, etc., etc. And in no time, we'll have hyperinflation.

## Where the Debts Came From

But let's take a look at who the beneficiaries of this financial windfall are—since it's certainly not the Greeks, the Irish, the Portuguese, etc., who have to accept enormous budget cuts. So, where did these enormous debts come from, anyway?

There are essentially two causes. The first has to do with the flawed basis on which the euro was constructed. Germany's early entry into a currency union was the price which Margaret Thatcher, François Mitterrand, and George Bush, Sr. demanded for their ac-

ceding to Germany's reunification. Behind this, from the very outset, lurked their intention to weaken Germany's economy and its political role, and to force it into the Maastricht Treaty corset. It was completely clear at the time, that one could not force strong industrial nations, such as Germany, into a currency union with primarily agriculturally oriented countries, unless there were a unified European political power to enforce it.

With the introduction of the euro, the so-called catch-up countries initially enjoyed an apparent boom, because, on the one hand, they enjoyed the benefits of credit which would not have been available to them otherwise, and on the other, they became targets for relocation of production, because they could produce more "cheaply"—for the time being, at least. Meanwhile, Germany allowed its domestic market to languish, held wages down, reduced social-welfare outlays, and, as "world export champion," supplied cross-financing both for the EU bureaucracy and for the catch-up nations.

But now, the catch-up countries' "boom" has been exposed as a mere bubble, and they have enormous debts piled up with the so-called core nations' banks. Germany's social system is collapsing from hidden unemployment, depressed wages, and the prospect of ever-diminishing technological innovation; yet despite this, Germany's taxpayers are now supposed to cough up even more for the banks.

The euro was Rosemary's Baby, the issue of the above-mentioned *ménage à trois*, Thatcher, Mitterrand, and Bush!

The second cause of this mountain of debt, is the deregulation of the financial markets. In 1999, in the United States, then-Treasury Secretary Larry Summers abolished the two-tier banking system, the so-called Glass-Steagall standard. In 2004, Germany's "red-green" [Social Democratic-Green party] government followed suit, giving free rein to the financial locusts' ravages. Whereas previously, regulated banks could expect a 4-5% return on investment, now the *Acker-männer*<sup>1</sup> are promising profit rates of 25% and more. Dubious, high-risk banking deals were moved abroad into so-called special purpose companies, to bypass all banking oversight. Such locations included Dublin, whence investment flowed into the unsound secondary

---

1. Untranslatable pun. Josef Ackermann is CEO of Deutsche Bank, and "ackermann" is an old German word for "peasant."

mortgage market in the United States. For a while, banks and speculators reaped huge profits. The securitization market and derivatives contracts seemed to be a casino where everyone could come out a winner.

When this bubble finally burst in 2007, they could have just written off all the unsaleable paper in one fell swoop, as Lyndon LaRouche proposed at the time with his Homeowners and Bank Protection Act. But instead, Wall Street and the City of London rallied all their might to prevent that law from being adopted. Instead, one "bailout package" has followed another, in order to keep on refinancing this toxic financial waste. Since then, governments have plowed an estimated \$25-30 trillion into these bailout packages.

And what about the speculators and the banks? No, they can keep smiling! Because they can always be certain that if anything goes awry, the taxpayers will pay for it, by reducing their standard of living. And so, they can proceed with confidence, making even higher-risk deals, since, after all, the governments of the G20 nations have shown themselves to be reliable, and in the course of five summits since November 2008, have done nothing to re-regulate the banks. And now they're blithely speculating in raw materials and agricultural raw products, even though this has already murdered millions of people in the so-called Third World.

### **The German Role**

That is, with one exception: Germany's government has taken initial, tentative small steps toward throttling the game: its unilateral ban on naked short sales; its justifiable balking at the Greek crisis in view of the hyperinflation experience of 1923; Finance Minister Wolfgang Schäuble's criticism of the U.S. Federal Reserve's money-printing policy; and Germany's proposed "ordered restructuring" of debt—the so-called "haircut," whereby private creditors share the losses. All these steps, though they still fall far short of what is required, are at least moving in the right direction. Professor Kerber<sup>2</sup> is nevertheless correct when he speaks about the embezzlement of tax revenues. Indeed, it's quite likely that the Constitutional Court in Karlsruhe is going to declare further bailout packages unconstitutional, because they violate the Lisbon Treaty, which would more or less be

---


2 Prof. Dr. Markus C. Kerber, Technische Universität Berlin-Europolis.



FIGURE 4

## Weimar Hyperinflation in 1923: Wholesale Prices (1913 = 1)

(logarithmic scale)



the end of the story.

These steps have provoked outbursts of rage from the City of London, which without the German taxpayer would go bankrupt, as well as from U.S. banks that have been insuring the European banks against eventual losses, and from the EU bureaucracy. For example, Jean-Claude Juncker, president of the Eurogroup, complains that Germany is slowly losing sight of Europe's general welfare.

How's that again? The German government takes an initial, entirely inadequate, mini-mini-step toward protecting the German population's general welfare from the brutal redistribution of wealth from poor to rich, and this Juncker speaks to us about the general welfare? Let's recall, once again, that it was this Juncker (whose name confirms the dictum "*Nomen est omen*"<sup>3</sup>) who, in 1999, revealed his twisted relationship to democracy, when he told a *Der Spiegel* interviewer, "We decide to do something, put it out there, and then wait a while to see what happens. Then if there's no great

3. Latin for "The name fits the person." *Junker* are members of the German landed gentry; in the 1930s, this social layer played an important part in Hitler's rise to power.

outcry or revolt, because most people simply don't grasp what's been decided, then we keep on going, step by step, until there's no turning back." This Herr Juncker has about as much affinity for the general welfare, as the Devil has for holy water.

Truth is, the entire global financial system is finished. The euro will burst apart, sooner than most people might imagine. Hyperinflation can explode more quickly than it did, from the beginning of 1923, until November of that year. Namely, from 1919, into early 1923, there was no hyperinflation, even though the Reichsbank was massively printing money. It was only after the French had occupied the Rhineland, that production faltered, and then everything went up like wildfire.

Inflation today is already visible in the rising prices of raw materials, and especially of raw agricultural products. But it is also visible in Germans' declining purchasing power since the introduction of the euro. The euro = the *teuro*?<sup>4</sup> Already forgot that? What about the prices at supermarkets, at restaurants, energy prices? More bailout packages can bring on hyperinflation much more quickly than in 1923, because this time around, the problem isn't confined to a single country, but rather—thanks to globalization—it's worldwide.

There's only one way out: Join the BüSo's mobilization for:

- Immediate implementation of a two-tier banking system. That's how Franklin Roosevelt led America out of the Depression in the 1930s.
- Commercial banks must be put under government protection!
  - The government must guarantee wages, pensions, savings, and credit for small and medium-sized industry, agriculture, and commerce.
  - No lousy, worn-out euros for the gambling banks!
  - Germany must quit the EU Treaty and the Eurozone!
  - Forward to the new D-mark! The campaign of some news media asserting that it's not possible, is pure propaganda. Printing plates for the new D-mark notes have already been engraved!
  - Productive credit creation for the real economy only, and for reconstructing the world economy by building the World Land-Bridge!

4 Pun on "euro" and "*teuer*" ("expensive").

# Sink the Inter-Alpha Group

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Nov. 19—With the direct British strategic attack by the organized forces which have been rallied against Ireland under the flags of the banner of that British world empire’s Inter-Alpha Group which was launched in mid-1971, to replace the world’s fixed-exchange-rate system at that time, the fate of the world now hangs on the urgent need to rally the opposition to British imperialism now to be found among the patriots of leading nations of the world, that at a time while even the mere semblance of sovereignty still exists among the leading nations of the world at this juncture.

This does not mean that we intend to eliminate banking institutions. It means that we are committed to returning to a more or less global set of leading and other nations which are prepared to apply the Glass-Steagall law of 1933-1999, that for the included purpose of immediately rescuing the indispensable kernel of commercial banking out from under the grip of the present lunacy of an intrinsically hyper-inflationary form of monetarist system which had been established to create an intended, world-wide “post-Westphalian system,” a system created under the banner of the British monarchy’s launching of the so-called World Wildlife Fund with the stated intention, as by Britain’s Prince Philip, the Duke of Edinburgh, and the late Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, of rapidly reducing the world’s population from about 6.9 billions, to about 2 billions.

The currently operational scheme among those supporters of genocide represented by, and stated on behalf of those interests now associated with the world empire known as the actually bankrupt Inter-Alpha Group, is to

eliminate the sovereignty of all of the nations of the world by aid of dumping the financial debt of that British imperial financial group on the present nation-states of the world, for the purpose of creating a return to the ancient systems of world empires typified by the Roman Empire.

Our intention must be, not to defeat the British empire’s evil scheme by use of modern strategic weapons, but by the peaceful, if admittedly calamitous means of hanging the present financial system of the Inter-Alpha Group’s pirates by their own monetarist mastheads.

If the United States were to fail to act immediately to resume a Glass-Steagall law of exactly the same features, and by the same name, as that launched in 1933 under President Franklin Roosevelt, the immediate situation of all nations of the planet becomes almost instantly hopeless. No other nation could successfully use the launching of its own copy of President Franklin’s Roosevelt’s design on behalf of its own, or other nations. In the case that measure were not introduced soon, a virtually inevitable, prolonged, planet-wide “new dark age” were now the only possible outcome for the relatively immediate prospect.

If that is done, then the immediate ensuing steps of economic reform must be launched as urgently needed action of reforms.

**First** among the consequential measures of reform, is the following:

The Glass-Steagall law must be internationalized among a concurring set of leading and other nations, including Russia, China, and India prominently, and a group of some crucial other leading nations such as



Germany, and/or France, and Italy, especially those representing developed general traditions of high-technology, capital-intensive manufacturing as in these cases. We would hope that a United Kingdom liberated from its present association with the Inter-Alpha Group, would participate in the useful role of a modern, capital-intensive, science-intensive role in promoting the welfare of both their own nations and those of other regions of the world.

**Second:** Emergency measures of reconstruction of modes of agro-industrial, power-intensive progress must be adopted as the common concern of these cooperating sovereign nation-states.

**Third:** To accomplish that goal, a fixed-exchange-rate standard consistent with the range of goals of sovereign nations during a time preceding the insane U.S. Indo-China war launched in 1964-65.

This third measure set forth here, requires the following elements of a broader discussion of physical-economic principles, as distinguished from a monetary system. Briefly, the concept of national banking, as presented by Alexander Hamilton, which led to the crafting of the original U.S. Federal Constitution, is the only competent approach to building an equitable set of physical-economic relations among nations. This principle was the inspiration of the design of the Glass-Steagall system under the Presidency of Franklin D. Roosevelt.

This means that the credit-system which is established as a partnership among sovereign nations will lead to long-term cooperation in physical-capital relationships among participating nations at low, fixed costs of uttering credit within nations, and among nations. Such a rate must be lower than the mean margin of per capita growth of wealth, a goal which requires a vigorous rate of increasing capital intensity and in creating productivity as measured per capita and per square kilometer of territory. This means, inclusively, an increasing reliance on sources of power rooted in relatively high energy-flux densities of applied primary sources of power, and an increasingly rich net growth of water-throughput per capita and per square kilometer. To such ends, a constant increase in the energy-flux-density per capita and per square kilometer is urgently needed now.

It is to be emphasized, that low-performance rates of sources of applied power, such as extremely inefficient, even negative-ratable windmills and solar collectors, must be abandoned, that in favor of increasingly

higher levels of applicable energy-flux density of sources of power, or, otherwise, the so-called “carrying capacity” of both the planet, and the respective nations of the planet, will be reduced to promote programs of genocide, as we witness such a trend as inherent in the general policies of practice of nations demanding reliance on the extremely high rates of tendency for genocide (population reduction) in nations adopting the practice of emphasis on sources of power of low energy-flux-density.

**Fourth:** Primary systems of increase of water throughput of nations’ territories, as measured per capita and per square kilometer, and also of international networks of both friction-rail and maglev systems, must be greatly increased, per capita and per square kilometer, throughout the planet. This includes the essential increase of development of essential “raw materials” from what had been considered earlier as marginal concentrations of such resources.

**Fifth:** There must be clarity respecting the specific qualities of importance attributed to what are presently termed “space” exploration and development.

This must come to be understood in terms of the interdependency, as expressed in the language of all-pervasive, cosmic radiation, of our galaxy with the function of life within our Solar System and each planet and its nations.

To similar and related effect, the urgency of emphasis on those features of basic economic infrastructure is best typified by relative increase of the development of the availability of water being developed as a functionally efficient rate of turnover (thus increasing the net rate of availability per capita and per square kilometer), this at rates relatively higher than the general growth of productivity otherwise. NAWAPA typifies the kind of design to be applied on this account.

**Sixth:** The general intent of the reform to be installed, to eliminate and replace the recent decades’ role of the inherently parasitical Inter-Alpha Group, is to increase the power to exist and to produce of the typical human individual. It is to be underlined in this connection, that the human species, otherwise known as the domain of the Noösphere defined by Academician V.I. Vernadsky, is the only known species which is naturally capable of the potential for willful qualities of qualitative increases of intellectual power through which mankind may and must increase the energy-flux density of the power conveyed to use per capita and per square kilometer of territory occupied.



# LaRouche to Obama: Don't Turn Lunatic Netanyahu Loose

by Michele Steinberg and Jeff Steinberg

Nov. 26 (EIRNS)—President Barack Obama now personally favors a near-term Israeli attack on Iran, if Iran does not strike a binding deal to halt its alleged nuclear weaponization program in the immediate weeks ahead, when the UN's Permanent 5+1 talks resume, reported senior U.S. intelligence sources to EIRNS this week. According to one official, speaking off the record, the so-called 90-day extension of the West Bank settlement freeze, negotiated in recent talks between the Obama Administration and the Benjamin Netanyahu government in Israel, is less about Israel-Palestine peace negotiations, and more about giving Israel the political support and military capacity to carry out air strikes against Iranian nuclear sites, including the enrichment facility at Natanz.

“The key to the whole deal is the delivery of F-35 long-range fighter jets to Israel. This gives Israel the attack capability against Iran,” the source warned. Whatever opposition to attacks on Iran remains within the Obama Administration, is time-constrained. Even recent statements by Defense Secretary Robert Gates, at a *Wall Street Journal*-sponsored forum in New York, arguing against military strikes—because they would consolidate power in the hands of the President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad/Revolutionary Guard apparatus, and harden its resolve to obtain nuclear weapons—were aimed at increasing pressure on Iran to make a deal, before war preparations begin.

A second source confirmed the assessment, including President Obama's belief that an Israeli strike would be preferable to direct U.S. action—at least at the start of military operations. According to this source, there are several possible consequences of an Israeli attack being evaluated by U.S. intelligence agencies, including the delusion that a limited strike on Iran would convince the Iranian government to make concessions in order to avoid further attacks.

Lyndon LaRouche, however, denounced the mere idea of arming Netanyahu with F-35 stealth fighters, and of giving even an implicit go-ahead for Israeli military action against Iran.

“You cannot take a piecemeal approach to the current strategic situation,” LaRouche warned. “No piecemeal assessments are competent. You have the disintegration of the entire Inter-Alpha monetarist system—right now. Those British-centered circles are absolutely desperate. Under these circumstances, you don't turn a lunatic like Netanyahu loose. He is perfectly capable of starting the fire that detonates chaos on a planetary-wide scale. This is madness, but this is, unfortunately, precisely the kind of madness that we see from President Obama, time after time.”

LaRouche is blunt: The British empire has a long history of manipulations of land wars in Asia; if allowed to proceed, a full-scale global war will result from an Israeli attack on Iran.

The threat of an Israeli strike against Iran has been the cause of alarm many times since 2003, after the toppling of Iraq's Saddam Hussein, and many fools today believe that concern about an Israeli strike on Iran is just an over-reaction to propaganda. But LaRouche emphasizes that the danger is more serious today, stemming from the unprecedented desperation of the British Empire's financial oligarchs, and the fact that under the mentally unfit Obama, the United States will not oppose the British.



White House/Pete Souza

*Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu at the White House, May 18, 2009. LaRouche said that Netanyahu "is perfectly capable of starting the fire that detonates chaos on a planetary-wide scale."*

refusing to listen to advice against it, even from longtime, trusted people such as Benny Begin, the son of former Prime Minister Menachem Begin.

For Israeli war planners, the biggest fear is not whether the operation itself will succeed or fail, but what will happen the day after. It is already factored into the equation that there will be very strong reactions to an Israeli attack. Stock markets will crash, and other chaos could result. Israel will be blamed, and even further ostracized.

Israeli war planners are also well aware of Iran's retal-

### View from Israel

Reports from Israel underline the danger. According to a well-informed, New York-based former Israeli intelligence officer, Netanyahu is cocksure, following his recent visit to the United States, that the Nov. 2 midterm elections so weakened Obama, that Israel can expect little opposition to an air strike against Iranian nuclear sites. Furthermore, after a series of meetings with Netanyahu, French President Nicolas Sarkozy has quietly pledged French support for such an Israeli military adventure, in a sharp break from the policies of other European countries, including Germany, which is intent on working out a diplomatic solution with Iran.

While a significant military grouping inside Israel is generally opposed to the Iran war adventure, and the incoming Israeli Defense Forces chief of staff, Gen. Yoav Galant, is a very independent-minded soldier, with strong views on the folly of an Iran attack, the decision will not be driven by the military, reports this source. Rather, political groupings in Israel, including the Netanyahu crowd, the fundamentalist Jews of the settlers movement and the religious parties, and the outright racist/fascist elements around Foreign Minister and Deputy Prime Minister Avigdor Lieberman and his Yisrael Beteinu party, are calling the shots.

At the same time, after two messy military adventures—the unsuccessful attempts to wipe out Hezbollah in Lebanon in 2006, and to obliterate Hamas in Gaza in 2008-09, Israeli military unity is becoming deeply fractured. Add to this, that Netanyahu has become completely irrational on the question of the Iran war, and is

iatory capabilities through asymmetrical warfare by Hezbollah and Hamas. They know that Iranian support for both groups has tripled in recent months, but they have also factored in how to deal with this. The strike plan developed by outgoing Israel Defense Forces Chief of Staff Gabi Ashkenazi involves attacks on southern Lebanon and Gaza, as the planes take off for targets inside Iran.

Rational arguments will not work with Netanyahu. With religious fervor, he is embracing the mission of his 100-year-old father, Ben Zion Netanyahu, a former aide to the fascist Vladimir Jabotinsky. They believe that the only borders that can be accepted are those of Biblical Israel and beyond: Eretz Israel. Ben Zion Netanyahu has always advocated a complete transfer—i.e., ethnic cleansing—of all Palestinians from the Jewish State of Israel.

The views of Ben Zion are slickster Benjamin Netanyahu's real beliefs, not openly stated, but increasingly seen in his remarks. Take for example, his Nov. 8 speech to the General Assembly of the Jewish Federation of North America, where Netanyahu virtually denounced Zionist sacred cow Theodore Herzl for thinking the establishment of the State of Israel would put an end to anti-Semitism; Netanyahu redefined anti-Semitism as "demonizing" Israel. He was especially livid over his Jewish critics, singling out the Jewish students who booed him at the Jewish Federation speech, and jurist Richard Goldstone, who headed the UN investigation that found evidence of Israeli

war crimes in the Gaza War of 2009.

Calling for action against Iran, Netanyahu railed: “Herzl was too optimistic in believing that the rebirth of the Jewish state would gradually put an end to anti-Semitism.

“The old hatred against the Jewish people is now focused against the Jewish state. If in the past Jews were demonized, singled out, or denied the rights that were automatically granted to others, today . . . it is Israel that is demonized, singled out, and denied the rights that are automatically granted to other nations, first and foremost the right of self-defense. . . . The greatest success of our detractors is when Jews start believing that themselves—we’ve seen that today.”

And how did President Obama respond to these lunatic words? By rewarding Israel with \$3 billion in added military aid, including the F-35s, and promises of a permanent UN Security Council veto of any resolution opposed by Israel—all in return for a meaningless 90-day extension of a settlement expansion freeze that never was.

### **The End of Peace Talks**

The phony deal for a 90-day freeze immediately stopped plans for resuming peace talks dead in their tracks. An outpouring of criticism against Obama and Netanyahu began.

On Nov. 15, *Foreign Policy* online magazine published a hard-hitting attack by Mark Perry, a military historian and Middle East peace advocate, on President Obama’s appeasement of Israel. Under the headline “Not One Cent for Tribute: Obama’s Embarrassing Gift to Israel,” Perry attacked the Administration for the announcement that the U.S. would give \$3 billion in stealth fighters to Israel, supplementing 20 F-35 fighter jets that Israel will “purchase” from the United States, with \$2.75 billion in military aid provided by U.S. taxpayers.

“The administration’s decision would be shocking were it not so predictable,” Perry wrote, citing an Oct. 20 statement by State Department spokesman Andrew Shapiro, who announced a \$60 billion U.S. arms sale to Saudi Arabia by explaining, “Israel does not object.” Perry reviewed a string of Administration actions, including by Gen. David Petraeus, commander of the U.S. and NATO forces in Afghanistan, all demonstrating a slavish capitulation to Israel and the Israeli lobby.

Perry wrote, “The Obama Administration’s newest promise to Israel is abject, embarrassing and gutless.

Our country—our president—is rewarding a foreign leader who openly boasts that America ‘is something that can easily be moved,’ who urges a waiting game with the U.S. because he knows that Israel’s friends in the Congress will defy a president who opposes him.” After accusing Obama of caving in to extortion, Perry warned that the F-35 sales give Israel the capacity to strike Tehran. “The message, intended or not, will be heard by Iran: we’re not interested in allowing Israel to defend itself, we’re interested in having it attack others. . . . We have lost our way. It is not Israel’s legitimacy that needs defending, but ours.”

On Nov. 21, former U.S. Ambassador Daniel Kurtzer, who served both in Israel and Egypt, slammed the Obama Administration for selling out U.S. national security to Netanyahu, in a *Washington Post* op-ed. Kurtzer warned that it is mad to try to buy off “bad behavior”:

“Previously, U.S. opposition to settlements resulted in penalties, not rewards, for continued construction. Washington deducted from its loan guarantees to Israel an amount equivalent, dollar for dollar, to the money that Israel spent in the occupied territories. . . .

“This is a very bad idea. . . . Washington will almost certainly come to regret bribing Israel. . . . If it goes forward, it will be the first direct benefit that the United States has provided Israel for settlement activities that we have opposed for more than 40 years.

“Will the United States similarly reward Palestinians for stopping their own bad behavior?” Kurtzer asks.

Writing for antiwar.com, former CIA officer Philip Giraldi warned that the appeasement can lead to global war.

“Those who think that the White House still is managing the situation are completely naïve. There is no indication that the Obama administration has warned Israel against bombing Iran, because the U.S. has no cards to play, having ruled out exerting any sort of economic or military pressure on Netanyahu.

“And there should be no doubt that an attack by Israel on an Iranian nuclear facility would trigger Iranian retaliation and immediate calls in Congress and the media to support Tel Aviv, leaving the president no option but to enter the conflict. A third war in the region would mean goodbye to any American ability to disengage from the other conflicts that are bleeding the U.S. white and would possibly lead to even more dire consequences, if neighbors like nuclear armed Pakistan and India somehow enter the fray.”



# 2,500 Haitians Hear About NAWAPA and ‘This Guy LaRouche’

by Cynthia R. Rush

Nov. 27—On the eve of Presidential elections, and in the midst of the chaos and panic spawned by an uncontrolled cholera epidemic, an extraordinary 2,500 Haitian citizens—students, businessmen, journalists, and politicians—whom U.S. President Barack Obama has left for dead, attended a conference Nov. 26, organized by COREJENE (Regional Youth Coalition of North and Northeast Haiti), in the northern city of Cap Haïtien, to learn about economist Lyndon LaRouche’s proposed emergency solutions for saving Haiti, and the planet.

In an environment that COREJENE leader Charles Luckson described as “incredible,” LaRouche Youth Movement leader Jean-Philippe LeBleu of Montreal presented LaRouche’s analysis of the global financial breakdown, the emergency intervention necessary to save Haiti from the current disaster, and, in the longer term, how Haiti and the world would benefit from the construction of the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA) and related global infrastructure projects.

According to Luckson, who was interviewed on LPAC-TV Nov. 15, and represents a voice of sanity in an otherwise nightmarish situation, everyone was eager to ask questions and learn more about “this guy LaRouche” and NAWAPA. They were also heartened by the message issued Nov. 18 by German political leader Helga Zepp-LaRouche, published below, with which LeBleu opened the conference. Circulating internationally, Zepp-LaRouche’s initiative called for launching “Operation Frederick Douglass,” an urgently needed “international alliance for development” to rebuild and save Haiti from the current double catastrophe of the devastation wrought by last January’s horrific earthquake, followed by the eminently *foreseeable* cholera epidemic which erupted in mid-October, and is now tearing through the country at breakneck speed.

Zepp-LaRouche’s call is appropriately named for former slave and abolitionist leader Frederick Douglass, who served as Consul General to Haiti from 1889 to 1891, and subsequently, became an eloquent voice in defense of that nation’s interests (see below).

## Obama Has To Go

“The unfolding catastrophe which is happening in Haiti right now is a mirror of what will happen to the rest of the planet if the attitude towards this beautiful cuntry is not changed dramatically,” Zepp-LaRouche warned. If Barack Obama “can’t develop the empathy with the suffering and immediately contact the government of Haiti and offer a treaty arrangement and deploy the [U.S. Army] Corps of Engineers,” Zepp-LaRouche admonished, then he should be removed from office.

LeBleu made the same point about Obama, and discussed “Operation Support Hope,” the emergency military relief program launched by the Clinton Administration in 1994, as a model for what could be done in Haiti. At that time, the White House deployed the Army, Navy, and Air Force to quickly set up water purification facilities in Goma, Zaire, which saved the lives of over 500,000 Rwandans who would have otherwise died of cholera. Why not do it in Haiti?

As the conference attendance attests, the Haitian people are desperate for solutions to the hellish conditions they face on a daily basis. Nothing that has occurred in Haiti over the past ten months was inevitable. Rather, it was President Barack Obama’s criminal negligence—his refusal to adopt LaRouche’s February 2010 proposal for a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers-led emergency military mobilization to Haiti—that created the conditions of a Dark Age breakdown which, unless reversed, will annihilate the Haitian people.

As a result of “Nero” Obama’s insanity, *there is*



UN/MINUSTAH/Logan Abassi

*The people of Haiti have suffered immeasurably, but needlessly, thanks to the cruel neglect of the Obama Administration, since the earthquake last January; they now face a deadly cholera epidemic. These children are waiting to be relocated from a displaced persons camp, Nov. 4, 2010.*

nothing in place in Haiti—other than thousands of squabbling, money-grubbing Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs)—that is capable of dealing with, either the post-earthquake reconstruction or, more immediately, the raging cholera epidemic.

### ‘We Need More of Everything’

The picture that official cholera statistics paint is a stark one; and there are indications that the incidence of disease is far greater than the official numbers suggest. United Nations officials now estimate that at least 425,000 cholera cases will have developed in Haiti by the end of the six-month period that began in October when cholera first struck. This figure is *up from the 270,000 that the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) had originally estimated would occur over the next “several years.”* PAHO’s deputy director, Dr. Jon Kim Andrus, now predicts a total of 200,000 cases will develop by the end of this year, “due to the explosive nature of this epidemic.”

The situation is out of control. “We need more of everything,” Dr. Andrus said in a Nov. 23 press briefing. Medical facilities are overwhelmed by the accelerating rate at which people are becoming ill, while doctors, nurses, medicine, treatment centers, and money

are in very short supply. The United Nations has only been able to collect \$19 million of the pathetic \$164 million it requested for its anti-cholera efforts, while only a tiny portion of the \$10 billion pledged by international donors for earthquake relief has trickled in.

Currently there are only 36 Cholera Treatment Centers (CTC) in the country, with a pathetic 2,830-bed capacity. Growing panic and chaos are seen in the violent protests that occurred in Cap Haitien on Nov. 14-16 where protesters attacked UN peacekeepers, blaming the UN’s Nepalese contingent for causing the cholera outbreak. Similar protests, as well as violence related to

the Nov. 28 Presidential elections, broke out in other cities, including the capital of Port-au-Prince.

While officially, there are close to 2,000 fatalities and 66,593 total cases, it is universally acknowledged that the real numbers are far higher. According to the Haiti Epidemic Advisory System (HEAS), run by the Praecipio International NGO dedicated to the promotion of operational biosurveillance worldwide, the UN’s Office of the Coordinator of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) has tended to focus on the Artibonite region and points north, and routinely excludes other areas that have reported cholera.

Based on the discrepancies between HEAS partner information—HEAS has 750-member network on the ground in Haiti—and the official statistics, HEAS’s own *conservative* estimate is of 95,000 cholera cases in Haiti to date, the majority of which are subclinical (asymptomatic). In a late-November report, HEAS states that in some areas of the country, “we have confirmation that in-patient statistics are under-reported by as much as 400%. In many areas of Haiti, we are documenting outbreaks that are not being accounted for in the official statistics. We therefore estimate the upper bound of estimated total (subclinical and clinically apparent) case counts to be nearly 375,000.”

## Zepp-LaRouche Issues Call To Save Haiti

*Helga Zepp-LaRouche, chairwoman of the Civil Rights Solidarity party (BüSo) prepared the following statement for delivery to a conference to be held in Haiti Nov. 27. She authorized its early release on Nov. 18, because of the urgency of the situation now facing Haiti—and the world.*

I am sending greetings to your extremely important conference.

Let us start to make an international mobilization to save the Haitian people, and organize for a full reconstruction of Haiti, so that everybody in this country can have a decent life. And let's call it "Operation Frederick Douglass."

The unfolding catastrophe which is happening in Haiti right now is a mirror of what will happen to the rest of the planet if the attitude towards this beautiful country is not changed dramatically. Since the earthquake happened ten months ago, no significant aid has been sent, and instead you have cholera and a shootout between demonstrators and soldiers.

In February, Lyndon LaRouche made an urgent call to send the U.S. Army Corps of engineers and work with the Haitian government to relocate the 1.3 million homeless people, and he stressed very emphatically that this had to occur before the rainy season, or else there would be the danger of floods, mudslides, and the deadly sewage for 1.5 million people. Haiti did not have one single sewage treatment plant at that time, and LaRouche said that if there were not immediate action, that soon, there would be the danger of dengue fever, cholera, typhoid, and other plagues.

And LaRouche called on the U.S. President to act. In response to a webcast question on Jan. 30, he said that the United States has to take the approach to Haiti of Presidents like Abraham Lincoln and Franklin D. Roosevelt. That not many countries should come in, but that it was important to respect the sovereignty of Haiti, and that, therefore, the United States must make a treaty arrangement with Haiti to re-establish full sovereignty after the



BüSo TV

*Haiti's desperate condition presents a mirror of what the rest of the world faces, warns Helga Zepp-LaRouche, unless we act immediately to rescue that beleaguered nation.*

aid and reconstruction of the country had been fulfilled.

LaRouche's call was supported at that time by many medical and military professionals on the ground. It was turned down explicitly by President Barack Obama, who pulled out the military, and so, therefore, one can say very clearly that he has the moral responsibility of what is now the situation in Haiti.

### An Urgent Appeal

On the 24th of March, Bill Clinton, who was, at that time, the U.S. Special Envoy to Haiti, made an urgent appeal to move the people sitting in the camps to higher ground, or else there would be the danger that many tens of thousands would die. Again, there was no response from the U.S. President.

On the 25th of October, after cholera had broken out, LaRouche issued an urgent statement saying: It is still not too late to save hundreds of thousands of lives. That the Obama Administration should immediately return the U.S. military to Haiti and coordinate emergency provisions of clean water supplies, a mass relocation out of the disease-infected hellholes for 1.5 million people, and end the ten-month period of complete negligence.

As of October, 1.3 million people were still in temporary camps, 40% had no access to clean water, 30% have no toilet at all, and the average number of people sharing one toilet in Port-au-Prince is 273. Only 10% of



families have tents, and not one cent of the \$1.15 billion pledged by the U.S. government has been sent.

Now you have cholera and chronic infections, malnutrition, hunger, and many, many people who are suffering from an untreated psychological trauma. The present horrifying conditions of the victims in Haiti are a total indictment of the U.S. Administration and the international community, a catastrophe which was visible for so long and predictable that it would happen, like the present cholera catastrophe.

Not acting when you can, amounts to genocide.

The actual aid given is, maybe, at most, \$1 billion of the \$10 billion pledged; compare that to the \$30 trillion in bail-out packages for high-risk speculation in investment banks and hedge funds. If you compare this, it is very clear that Haiti will be the fate of the rest of the world if this attitude is not changed.

The situation in Haiti is no natural disaster. In October 1989, San Francisco had a similar earthquake, of a similar magnitude, namely 7 on the Richter scale, which left 63 people dead, 3,000 wounded, and 12,000 homeless. In Haiti, it was 300,000 deaths, and 1.5 million people homeless.

### **Build NAWAPA and PLHINO**

Now this is all due to a lack of infrastructure and of a solid foundation of urban development. Therefore, the reconstruction of Haiti has to build up infrastructure, cities, industries, and agriculture. And this has to occur in the context of building the NAWAPA and PLHINO water-management projects. It has to be in the context of building the World Land-Bridge as the reconstruction after the collapse of the present system. And we have to think in terms of 25 years of development, of one generation of development for Haiti. And we have to have a solemn commitment to do that. We should call it "Operation Frederick Douglass," because there is no better name to give Haiti a better future.

Haiti declared independence on the 1st of January, 1804. This was the first successful rebellion of slaves in the Americas, which occurred after the American Revolution, and defeated the military of the colonial powers of Spain, England, and Napoleonic France. And since that time, the fate and history of Haiti has been extremely closely connected to that of the United States. Haiti supported the American Revolution and was allied with Alexander Hamilton. Haiti was punished for this through blockades and quarantines. This was changed again when Lincoln became President in the 1860s, and then the U.S.

finally offered diplomatic recognition to Haiti. At that time, Douglass became the U.S. minister to Haiti.

With President Woodrow Wilson, this changed again for the worse, and Haiti was invaded in 1915, and subject to a brutal occupation, until 1934. Franklin D. Roosevelt ended that occupation and started the Good Neighbor era. With the death of Roosevelt, the situation worsened again. And you can see that the situation in Haiti, for more than 200 years, has been the direct reflection of whether the U.S. identity was that of the American Revolution and Constitution, and Declaration of Independence, or if the American identity was that of a de facto British colony, guided by the so-called special relationship between U.S. and Great Britain acting as an empire. . . .

*See below for an excerpts from a speech that Frederick Douglass gave about Haiti, on Jan. 2, 1893, in Chicago.*

---

## **Frederick Douglass**

---

# **Haiti's Liberty Will Shine on Forever**

*On Jan. 2, 1893, the 89th anniversary of Haiti's 1804 independence, Frederick Douglass, former Minister and Consul General to that nation, addressed an audience of 1,500 people in Chicago. Here are excerpts of that speech. Emphasis is in the original.*

No man should presume to come before an intelligent American audience without a commanding object and an earnest purpose. In whatever else I may be deficient, I hope I am qualified, both in object and purpose, to speak to you this evening. My subject is Haiti, the Black Republic; the only self-made Black Republic in the world. I am to speak to you of her character, her history, her importance and her struggle from slavery to freedom and to statehood. I am to speak to you of her progress in the line of civilization; of her relation with the United States; of her past and present; of her probable destiny; and of the bearing of her example as a free and independent Republic, upon what may be the destiny of the African race in our own country and elsewhere.

...There are many reasons why a good understanding should exist between Haiti and the United States. Her proximity; her similar government and her large and increasing commerce with us, should alone make us deeply interested in her welfare, her history, her progress and her possible destiny.

Haiti is a rich country. She has many things which we need and we have many things which she needs. Intercourse between us is easy. Measuring distance by time and improved steam navigation, Haiti will one day be only three days from New York and thirty-six hours from Florida; in fact our next door neighbor. On this account, as well as others equally important, friendly and helpful relations should subsist between the two countries. . . .

Now, notwithstanding this plain possibility, it is a remarkable and lamentable fact, that while Haiti is so near us and so capable of being so serviceable to us . . . she is the one country to which we turn the cold shoulder.<sup>1</sup>

...A deeper reason for coolness between the countries is this: Haiti is black, and we have not yet forgiven Haiti for being black or forgiven the Almighty for making her black. In this enlightened act of repentance and forgiveness, our boasted civilization is far behind all other nations. In every other country on the globe a citizen of Haiti is sure of civil treatment. [Applause] In every other



National Park Service

*The great abolitionist and ex-slave Frederick Douglass was the Ambassador to Haiti, and its close friend. Portrait by Sarah J. Eddy (1883).*

nation his manhood is recognized and respected. Wherever any man can go, he can go. He is not repulsed, excluded or insulted because of his color. . . . Vastly different is the case with him when he ventures within the border of the United States. Besides, after Haiti had shaken off the fetters of bondage, and long after her freedom and independence had been recognized by all other civilized nations, we continued to refuse to acknowledge the fact and treated her as outside the sisterhood of nations.

No people would be likely soon to forget such treatment and fail to resent it in one form or another. Not to do so would justly invite contempt. In the nature of the country itself there is much to inspire its people with manliness, courage, and self-respect. In its topography it is wonderfully beautiful, grand,

and impressive. Clothed in its blue and balmy atmosphere it rises from the surrounding sea in surpassing splendor. In describing the grandeur and sublimity of this country, the Haitian may well enough adopt the poetic description of our own proud country:

A land of forests and of rock,  
Of deep blue sea and mighty river,  
Of mountains reared aloft to mock,  
The thunder shock, the lightning's quiver;  
My own green land forever.

It is a land strikingly beautiful, diversified by mountains, valleys, lakes, rivers and plains, and contains in itself all the elements of great and enduring wealth. Its limestone formation and foundation are a guarantee of perpetual fertility. Its tropical heat and insular moisture keep its vegetation fresh, green, and vigorous all the year round. . . .

Fortunate in its climate and soil, it is equally fortunate in its adaptation to commerce. Its shoreline is marked with numerous indentations of inlets, rivers, bays, and harbors, where every grade of vessel may

1. However, prior to its independence, Haiti enjoyed a close relationship with the U.S.A. Citizens of all races and classes fought alongside American forces throughout the War of Independence, most prominently, at the 1779 battle of Savannah, Ga., and through the decisive 1781 battle at Yorktown.

The first Treasury Secretary of the U.S., Alexander Hamilton, who was born in the West Indies, was particularly close to Haiti, first devising a tax program that Haitian leader Toussaint L'Ouverture applied to increase production and raise the living standards of the work force of emancipated slaves. Hamilton also drafted a "Constitutional plan" for Haiti, dated Feb. 21, 1799, which, by 1801, became the core of Haiti's new Constitution.

In 1863, President Abraham Lincoln officially recognized Haiti as independent, and signed a treaty "of amity and commerce" in 1865.

anchor in safety. Bulwarked on either side by lofty mountains rich with tropical verdure from base to summit, its blue waters dotted here and there with the white wings of commerce from every land and sea, the Bay of Port au Prince almost rivals the far-famed Bay of Naples, the most beautiful in the world.

*No other land has brighter skies.* No other land has purer water, richer soil, or a more happily diversified climate. She has all the natural conditions essential to a noble, prosperous and happy country. Yet, there she is, torn and rent by revolutions, by clamorous factions and anarchies. . . . Port au Prince, a city of 60,000 souls, and capable of being made one of the healthiest, happiest, and one of the most beautiful cities of the West Indies, has been destroyed by fire once in each 25 years of its history. . . . The fault is not with the ignorant many, but with the educated and ambitious few. Too proud to work, and not disposed to go into commerce, they make politics a business of their country. . . .

### **A Friend to Haiti**

While representing the United States in Haiti, I was repeatedly charged in certain quarters, with being a friend to Haiti. I am not ashamed of that charge. I own at once, that the charge is true, and I would be ashamed to have it otherwise than true. . . .

From the beginning of our century until now, Haiti and its inhabitants under one aspect or another, have, for various reasons, been very much in the thoughts of the American people. While slavery existed amongst us, her example was a sharp thorn in our side and a source of alarm and terror. . . .

In thinking of Haiti, a painful, perplexing and contradictory fact meets us at the outset. It is: that negro slavery was brought to the New World by the same people from whom Haiti received her religion and her civilization. No people have ever shown greater religious zeal or have given more attention to the ordinances of the Christian church than have the Spaniards; yet no people were ever guilty of more injustice and blood-chilling cruelty to their fellow men than these same religious Spaniards. . . .

These Spanish Christians found in Haiti a million of harmless men and women, and in less than 60 years they had murdered nearly all of them. With religion on their lips, the tiger in their hearts and the slave whip in their hands, they lashed these innocent natives to toil, death, and extinction. When these pious souls had destroyed the natives, they opened the slave trade with

Africa as a merciful device. . . .

I have, since my return to the United States, been pressed on all sides to foretell what will be the future of Haiti—whether she will ever master and subdue the turbulent elements within her borders and become an orderly Republic. Whether she will maintain her liberty and independence, or, at last, part with both and become a subject of some one or another of the powerful nations of the world by which she seems to be coveted. The question still further is, whether she will fall away into anarchy, chaos, and barbarism, or rise to the dignity and happiness of a highly civilized nation and be a credit to the colored race? I am free to say that I believe she will fulfill the latter condition and destiny. . . .

I can speak of her, not only words of admiration, but words of gratitude as well. She has grandly served the cause of universal human liberty. We should not forget that the freedom you and I enjoy today; that the freedom that 800,000 colored people enjoy in the British West Indies; the freedom that has come to the colored race the world over, is largely due to the brave stand taken by the black sons of Haiti 90 years ago. When they struck for freedom, they builded better than they knew. Their swords were not drawn and could not be drawn simply for themselves alone. They were linked and interlinked with their race, and striking for their freedom, they struck for the freedom of every black man in the world. . . .

In forecasting the future of this people, then, I insist that some importance shall be given to this and to another grand initial fact: that the freedom of Haiti was not given as a boon, but conquered as a right! Her people fought for it. They suffered for it, and thousands of them endured the most horrible tortures, and perished for it. It is well said that a people to whom freedom is given can never wear it as grandly as can they who have fought and suffered to gain it. Here, as elsewhere, what comes easily, is liable to go easily. But what man will fight to gain, that, man will fight to maintain. To this test Haiti was early subjected, and she stood this test like pure gold. . . .

In the face of such facts; in the face of the fact that Haiti still lives, after being boycotted by all the Christian world; in the face of the fact of her known progress within the last 20 years in the face of the fact that she has attached herself to the car of the world's civilization, I will not, I cannot believe that her star is to go out in darkness, but I will rather believe that whatever may happen of peace or war Haiti will remain in the firmament of nations, and, like the star of the north, will shine on and shine on forever.



# LaRouche Youth Sponsor Mexico City Forum on Great Water Projects

On Nov. 10, the Mexico City LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM) hosted a forum in the Mexican Congress entitled “NAWAPA-PLHINO: A New Concept of Infrastructure. The PLHINO (Northwest Hydraulic Plan) would bring water from the western Mexican state of Nayarit, up to the northwestern state of Sonora, to intersect with the southern limit of the proposed North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA).

The conference was attended by over 180 guests, including students, professors, engineers, congressional aides, and other professionals. The keynote presentation was delivered via telephone from Germany by Helga-Zepp-LaRouche (see below). Panelists included Mexican Sen. Alfonso Elías Serrano and Congressman Rogelio Díaz Brown, both of whom strongly restated their commitment to the PLHINO project, as part of an overall economic policy of returning Mexico to the path of development and sovereignty.

Other speakers included water engineer Manuel Frías Alcaraz, the country’s foremost expert on the PLHINO, who prepared the detailed studies of the PLHINO 21st-Century design; Rafael Basurto, a researcher at the National Institute of Nuclear Research of Mexico and a leader of the Nuclear Workers Union; as well as Mexico LYM members Manuel Romero, Lourdes Montes, and Erik De León. LaRouchePAC “Basement Team” researchers Meghan Rouillard and Sky Shields spoke via teleconference from Virginia.

Lourdes Montes began the conference by making a call for leadership to the youth in attendance. She discussed the disintegrating cultural condition of the youth generation, and said that in this time of crisis, we need not just patriots coming out of the universities, but citizens of the world, who understand the true role of human beings on this planet. The only way to create a true revolution is by building on those principles which humanity has been able to develop throughout the course of history. This is what the NAWAPA-PLHINO and similar projects represent.

---

Helga Zepp-LaRouche

---

## ‘Our Moral Fiber Is Being Tested’

*Helga Zepp-LaRouche, the founder of the Schiller Institute, gave this keynote address to the conference on Nov. 10.*

I am very happy to be able to speak to you, not only because Mexico has been for many years one of my absolutely favorite nations on this planet, but because we have right now an unprecedented moment in history. We are facing a breakdown crisis of the entire civilization. There is not one country, not one continent, which is not disintegrating at breathtaking speed, so that we are really faced with a situation where the moral fiber of mankind is being tested.

Will we be able to change our ways? Will we be able to break with a political order which no longer guarantees the survival of billions of people on this planet?

First of all, this is not a financial crisis, a crisis which has a cyclical development, and then, eventually, an upswing, a boom, and ends. But we are instead looking at a breakdown of the entire planet. And just from discussions over the recent days in several European countries, there is no question that more and more people recognize that, and they recognize it because they are either in agriculture, or they are mayors, or they are strategists, or they are looking at this process of collapse from their angle, and they contribute more and more details. If you put the whole picture together, there is no question that the whole planet is right now disintegrating. The culture is just collapsing.

## Think Strategically!

There is no simple remedy for this. If you look around, there is not one government at this point which is prepared to address the dimension of the crisis, nor to put forward a solution which would be a remedy for the entire globe. This may sound like a lot for you, but I think you have to start facing that reality, because otherwise you're not able to take adequate steps.

What we are looking at is, for the first time in history, a real tragedy of civilization as a whole. In the past, you had cultures going under, like the Mayans, the Aztecs, the Persians, the Roman Empire, the Byzantine Empire; but you had cultural development in other parts of the globe, and people didn't even know that parts of civilization were disintegrating. This time, there is not one corner of the planet, not in Europe, not in the United States, not in Latin America or Asia or Africa, where there is not this terrible process of disintegration going on.

Now, this coming Friday [Nov. 12], you will have the first G-20 meeting since the outbreak of the financial crisis at the end of July 2007, and this will not be like the previous G-20 meetings, which basically tried to paper over the situation by trying to pretend that everything was under control. This time, because of the decision of the U.S. government, taken one day after the election, to open the floodgates of money-printing, there will be complete turmoil. Because the Federal Reserve of the United States not only decided to create an additional \$600 billion to buy up basically toxic bonds, but we have, from very well-informed sources, that the Federal Reserve decided to open a window for all the banks, to take unlimited credit at zero percent interest rate, because of the complete chaos in the U.S. mortgage market, because millions of forced home foreclosures are now under litigation, because the banks took these houses, but didn't take into account that all of these mortgages were securitized many times over, and therefore, the question of who possesses these mortgages, and who has the rights to these properties, is completely up in the air.

So, therefore, the Federal Reserve, in order to prevent an uncontrolled collapse of the banking system, has basically made credit available for anybody who wants it. Now obviously, this means that you have



EIRNS/James Rea

*Helga Zepp-LaRouche at a conference in Dresden, Germany, Nov. 19, 2010.*

money-printing—even if it's only electronically this time—but otherwise it's exactly what happened in Weimar Germany, 1923; but this time, it is not limited to one country, because of the role of the dollar, which is still a global currency, and because all the other central banks are doing likewise—the Bank of Japan, the Bank of England, and the European Central Bank. And the problem is, that this money, which is now created, is not staying inside the United States. If it would go into investment in physical production, that would not be so bad. But this money is going into international speculation in raw materials, in agricultural raw materials, and that is the most devastating part of the whole thing, because you can imagine that if agricultural raw materials—maize, wheat, and cereals—if they go up 40, 50% in price, for people who have only one meal a day, we are not talking about profit of some speculations, but we are talking about deaths of millions of people.

So, this is really going in a direction which cannot be tolerated. The other areas where this money is going, is to the stock markets of the emerging countries, and with

the debasement of the dollar, this drives the currencies and the markets of the emerging countries up, so that the whole world situation right now, if you look at the stock markets, it's as if they were all on dope. It's like the high point of a fever. It's a very short-lived development.

Now, all these emerging countries are afraid that, one day, the speculative funds will pull out, and they look at the memory of what happened during the Asia crisis in 1997, when all this liquidity was pumped into these countries, and then, almost overnight, all these monies were pulled out, their currencies collapsed by 80%, and you had the huge Asia crisis which again cost millions of peoples' lives.

Because of that, you have, right now, a worldwide backlash, and, as of now, two days before the opening of the G-20 meeting, the United States seems to be pretty isolated. For example, a Chinese rating agency just downgraded the U.S. debt. This is a showdown, and is completely unprecedented because, for China, this is becoming an existential question, because if the U.S. consumer market collapses, and therefore, the exports of China to the United States collapse, and at the same time, the value of the currency reserves which are, in large part, in dollars, collapses, this threatens the economic stability of China in a very serious way.

### **Hyperinflation and Fascism**

You have a similar unprecedented development with the Finance Minister of Germany, Wolfgang Schäuble commenting on Ben Bernanke's decision to print money, calling it "hopeless" and "clueless," saying pumping money will not solve anything, and that he will bring it up at the G-20. Now, the reason Schäuble is saying that—and normally, this present government would be very, very pro-American and pro-Atlanticist—but in Germany, we do have the experience of 1923. Every child has many stories from their family, from the grandparents, from the parents, of what it does if you have hyperinflation, because hyperinflation is the most brutal assault on the income and the savings of the average person. In Germany, you know, people thought they had maybe 10,000 Reichsmarks for their pensions, and then, all of a sudden, when inflation exploded, in half a year, from Spring 1923 to November, all of a sudden one piece of bread cost 10,000 Reichsmarks, and all this money was out the window.



*Germany's 1923 hyperinflation hit only one country, whereas today the globalized system is threatened.*

Therefore, because the experience in Germany is in the bones of everybody there, I can foresee that this is going to cause turmoil in Germany in the near term. But also the emerging countries—Brazil, Indonesia, Thailand, Turkey—they are all protesting, and I think this G-20 meeting will be a very interesting one.

Now, together with this hyperinflationary explosion, we also face the danger of global fascism, because, up to now, all of the governments of the United States, of the European Union, and many other countries around the world, are reacting to this situation with massive austerity. That was exactly what caused fascism in the 1930s, in the first Great Depression. This was what triggered Hitler, Mussolini, Franco, and the Pétain government.

Now, for us, this does not come as a surprise, because on Aug. 15, 1971, my husband, Lyndon LaRouche, commented on the fact that when President Nixon lifted the old Bretton Woods System and the





Lyndon LaRouche in 1971 realized, as no one else did, the full import of President Nixon's Aug. 15 decision to collapse the Bretton Woods system.

fixed-exchange-rate system, and replaced it with floating exchange rates, and created the Eurodollar market and the uncontrolled money creation in offshore markets, he said, at that point, that, if you continue on this road, this will lead either to a new depression and fascism, or to a new world economic order.

Now, here is the *raison d'être* of the LaRouche movement and the Schiller Institute, because our entire international movement was built on that perspective, and that analysis of LaRouche, that the system of monetarism would lead to a new depression and the danger of a new fascism.

Now, on July 25, 2007, LaRouche made a webcast where he forecast that the world financial system was finished, and all would see the different aspects coming to the surface; and three days later, the mortgage crisis erupted in the United States. Now, since that time, 40 months have passed—that's a long time—and all that has happened in this period is that the private debt of speculators, who mis-specified, was transformed into public debt by one bailout package after another, paid for by the taxpayers of the different countries.

As a result, you have dozens of countries right now, which are insolvent, which are completely bankrupt. The problem is what we call "globalization," which is actually a euphemism for the British Empire—if you understand by the British Empire, not Great Britain, but the system of the conglomerate of so-called independent central banks, investment banks, hedge funds, private equity funds, cartels, insurance and reinsurance companies. And this system

has actually created, in these 40 months of crisis, more billionaires and more millionaires who are, on top of everything, richer than at the beginning of the crisis. You have, on the other side, billions of people who are threatened with starvation and death and inhuman poverty.

### A Satanic Policy

I find only one word for this, and that is *satanic*, and you don't have to take that as a religious definition, but if you understand satanic as the deliberate destruction of every institution and every basis of human existence, well, then what is going on is satanic. And then ask yourself: For what? For the privilege of

a small caste of bankers, of top financial families who are using the swindle of ecology and man-made global warming to set up a world dictatorship to basically subject the world to a regime of CO<sub>2</sub> emission trade, which is a complete swindle. It doesn't exist. And basically trying to reduce the world population with this policy from the present 7 billion to 2 billion people or less.

And that is an immediate perspective, because if this hyperinflation explodes any more, there will be billions of people who will be without food. Because, contrary to the crisis in 2008—when you already had a similar agricultural crisis as we have today, and hunger riots in 40 countries—then, there still existed food reserves, which now no longer exist, for a variety of reasons, such as the big fires in Russia, missed harvests, but mostly also, speculation, and also, the equally satanic production of biofuel, which is consciously destroying agricultural goods. But also, the offensive of such organizations as the World Wildlife Fund, which takes more and more territories and prevents them from being cultivated for human existence, just to save some dried-out old worm or insect.

Now, if you look at the culture which goes along with globalization, if you can call it culture, you're looking at the satanic pop music—and I don't need to go into the whole list, from Gothic to various punk varieties. In my view, they're all essentially an assault on the creative faculties of the mind. Add to that, the violent video games, the perversion of pornography—you see, for example, in Germany, eight-year-old children

in school who are sending each other hard-core porn through their mobile telephones. Now, a child of that age has absolutely no resistance, and its mind will be hurt, and may be destroyed, for the rest of his or her life when they are confronted with that.

I can tell you the difference of my childhood, where I still had plenty of Classical culture, plenty of creative play—it was a completely different world. And I think that we absolutely have to declare war on that culture, because if you don't do that, mankind will plunge into a bestial condition. It's already very far down the road.

### **We Have a Plan**

We do have the program to solve this! My husband, Mr. LaRouche, has defined it and described it many times. It would be very easy. If you have a reasonable government in the United States—and that means for sure that with Obama, this cannot happen—but there are tumultuous developments in the United States which can change that very, very quickly. Then you implement a Glass-Steagall separation of investment banking and commercial banking—exactly what Franklin D. Roosevelt did in the 1930s to bring the United States out of the Depression: Glass-Steagall with the New Deal. And then, in the tradition of the Tennessee Valley Authority infrastructure program, which was, at that time, implemented by Roosevelt, we will build NAWAPA and the PLHINO projects.

If the United States makes a signal that that reconstruction is on the table, I know for a fact that immediately, the Russian government will make an alliance with the United States to build the 100-km tunnel between Alaska and Siberia—it could very well mean that Prime Minister Putin would put the Bering Strait project on the agenda of the G-20 meeting, because they have said that before, that they would do that. And if the Bering Tunnel is built, you could immediately open up the Far East of Russia, where you find all the raw materials and all the elements of the Mendeleev Periodic Table.

However, naturally, they are under Arctic permafrost conditions, and therefore, it creates new technological challenges to develop these raw materials. You have to have cities, covered cities with roofs. You have to have conditions for people who are working there to live in a decent way. But this project would guarantee the raw material security not only for Russia, but for most of the planet for the next 100 years. So it is one of

the missions of mankind that we absolutely have to undertake.

That would be immediately integrated with the building of the Eurasian Land-Bridge. That is the idea which we have been pursuing for more than 20 years, to connect the industrial and population centers of Europe with those of Asia, which includes wonderful water-management projects, such as refilling the Aral Sea, through rivers from Siberia, which would be similar to the NAWAPA/PLHINO projects, to be redirected south into the Aral Sea. It would immediately be connected to extending the Eurasian Land-Bridge into Africa, via Egypt, via a bridge from Sicily to Tunisia, and through the Strait of Gibraltar. It would put immediately on the table the Transaqua Project, which is the idea to take the excess waters of the Congo River area and bring them through a system of rivers and canals into Lake Chad, which has shrunk to 10% of its previous size; this would enable a complete development of Sahel zone, the greening of the Sahel.

So, we have the plan. All these projects are ready; they're in the drawers of the engineers.

### **Make It Happen!**

And so what do we do? If the governments don't go for it, who should then do it? Well, we do not give up the fight. I say that, under those circumstances, what is needed is a resistance movement, an international alliance for development. An international alliance for a new world economic order. Now that can only happen if we change the present culture, which is condemning mankind to a tragedy. And what we have to do is, we have to build movements in each country which reconnect to the best tradition and high phases in the cultural development of their country.

Now, in Mexico, the last leader to stage a courageous fight for development was, in 1982, President José López Portillo. And if you look at the attitude of large parts of the political strata in Mexico toward López Portillo today, that tells you exactly what and who the problem is in Mexico. Because López Portillo was a fantastic leader with a great vision, who, together with my husband Lyndon LaRouche, developed this idea of Operation Juárez, which was the plan for the economic integration of all of Latin America. And if that had been implemented—which López Portillo started to do at the end of his Presidency, but because Argentina and Brazil at that time did not choose solidarity, basically the project did not go through. But

now, I think, people in Brazil and Argentina recognize that Mexico and they are sitting in the same boat. So we have to reconnect to Operation Juárez, to López Portillo in Mexico.


In the United States, we have to reconnect to the tradition of Abraham Lincoln, the American Revolution, and Franklin D. Roosevelt. And if America can do that, it can win back its soul.

In France, it is very clear that the resistance has to be in the tradition of President Charles de Gaulle and the Resistance against the National Socialists of the Nazi period, and against the British Empire. The French have to uphold the slogan “Perfidious Albion,” which was very, very well known and encouraging at that time. And it is exactly the opposite of its effort to rebuild the Entente Cordiale between France and Great Britain, which is the present policy of French President Nicolas Sarkozy and the British government.

Now Germany is also being destroyed right now, by an insane escalation against nuclear energy, against building of rail stations like Stuttgart 21. You have a complete madhouse in Germany right now!

But I’m confident that we can revive the tradition of the great thinker and creator of modern natural science of the 15th Century, Nicolaus of Cusa, who developed this very beautiful idea that there is a coherence between the laws of the macrocosm, namely the order of Creation and the physical universe, and the microcosm, meaning the laws of the creative mind. Because if that cohesion did not exist, then people could not understand the universe, ideas could not develop the universe. And Nicolaus also had the beautiful idea that harmony, concordance, in the macrocosm is only possible if you have the development of all microcosms, which means that you can only have peace and concordance on Earth, if all nations develop and regard the development of each other as their basic self-interest.

Nicolaus of Cusa also said that we have to bring the



*Mexican President José López Portillo hoists the flag at a Sept. 3, 1982 rally in Mexico City, on his nationalization of the banks. He developed his policy in coordination with Lyndon LaRouche, who wrote **Operation Juárez** for the purpose.*

political and economic order into cohesion with the order of Creation, and I think that that is exactly what we have to do. The political and economic order has to be brought in cohesion with the actual laws of the universe. And what also motivated Nicolaus was a passionate love for mankind, a passionate love for the community of countries. That was carried on by the tradition of Leibniz, who, at the end of the 17th Century, said that he thought that if the moment would come, that, one day, the entire world would be governed by utilitarianism, it would come to a world revolution.

Now, I think we have reached that point. All institutions internationally are governed by utilitarianism, and this cannot function, because that utilitarian policy is in direct confrontation with the interests of human civilization, and therefore, this order is going to detonate. And the question is: Will it be like the American Revolution, or will it be a Jacobin revolution, like the French Revolution, leading into chaos? But Leibniz



also was convinced that we are in the best of all worlds, and that is one of my deepest convictions. That, with Leibniz, I believe that every great evil is causing a response in the human soul, calling forward an even greater good.

### **With Schiller and LaRouche**

Now, as you know, my favorite poet is Friedrich Schiller, for whom I named the Schiller Institute. And the reason he is the most important poet, in my mind, in Germany, is because he had an image of man, that every man has the potential to become a beautiful soul. And his idea of a beautiful soul is a person for whom freedom and necessity, duty and passion, are one and the same thing. Now, if you do what is necessary freely, and if you do your duty with passion, there is no contradiction. You don't have a conflict between your emotions and what your reason tells you to do.

Schiller said you can educate your emotions so that you can blindly follow them, because your emotions will never tell you something different than what reason demands. And Schiller was also very clear that the only person for whom such a condition exists, is the genius, because only a person who cherishes his own creativity as the most important aspect of his identity, is such a free person.

So therefore, what we have to do is to build an alliance, a global resistance against the danger of fascism. An alliance of nation-builders who love their nations so that they develop the programs which make possible the livelihood of the present generation and of generations to come. And, obviously, this is only possible if we liberate enough individuals in each country to know what is their own creativity.

Now, Lyn has written many times that the key to creativity is the power of imagination, and that comes from studying Classical culture, Classical poetry, drama, Classical music. If you do that, you will generate the force inside you, where you can have a vision of how the world must become, if it's going to survive. I think that the absolute key to that is universal education, where every child has access to, what is called in the American Declaration of Independence, the right to "the pursuit of happiness," if you understand this "happiness" as the right for a fulfilled life, to become a truly creative scientist, a truly creative artist.

And we have to fight for a situation where the true identity of mankind is with the successive stages of dis-

coveries and perfection of knowledge of the laws of the universe. That requires individuals who are totally inner-directed, who are able to reject the *Zeitgeist*, the spirit of the time, and who cultivate in themselves a passion for truth, a passion for science, for beauty, for artistic composition.

And if you do that in all countries simultaneously, I'm absolutely certain that the moment will come when we will look back at the present time as if it had been infected with a deadly infection, the infection being greed, misanthropy, self-love, egoism, chasing after money. If the worldwide resistance movement is successful, people will look back, and see that that deadly infection was not so deadly, that the medicine was found, and all of these things will be regarded as childhood diseases like measles, chickenpox, or mumps, and that once you develop the vaccine, you can get over it. Oligarchism, greed, monetarism and so forth, all of that, will be regarded as the childhood diseases of mankind, which no longer will affect us.

Now, I think if it will go in the direction of a complete breakdown of civilization, and complete chaos—or, if we can, in the short term, go for the reconstruction program of NAWAPA, PLHINO, Bering Strait, Eurasian Land-Bridge, Transaqua, and similar development programs for Africa; if it will go this way or that way, is the test of the moral ability of mankind to survive. And I'm completely optimistic that it can be done.

I often quote the beginning part of Schiller's historical writings about the fall of the Netherlands from the Hapsburg Empire, where, in the beginning, he says: I'm telling you this story, this history, only so that the reader can have a happy feeling about himself, and see that even the most terrible power of the tyrant can be overcome, if people have a plan and unite to fight for it.

Now, we do have that plan, so let's unite and fight. Let's build an international movement of development that will decide this fight for humanity. Let's evoke in us the power of imagination, of how mankind can be, if we realize, all of us, each of us, our full potential. And let's take the example of all the great thinkers, poets, scientists, and composers before us, to be inspired by their creativity, assimilate their thinking and creativity, and carry on the torch to the generations who follow us.

Thank you.

## Dialogue

**Q:** Thank you for your brilliant presentation. So, we have to do things the right way, and the challenge is to make this a reality. In Mexico, there is brilliant thinking. We have good ideas in our Constitution. But there are people who then don't actually carry these ideas out. So my question is, how to actually carry these great ideas out.

### Great Changes: The Case of 1989

**Zepp-LaRouche:** I think that the only immediate precedent I can reference, is what happened in East Germany in 1989. Because for a very long time, most people who lived in the D.D.R. were in a kind of inner immigration, except naturally those who believed in the system; but a lot of people were in inner immigration. They didn't like the fact that they couldn't travel abroad; they didn't like the fact that they couldn't access international media, and many other things. I don't need to list all the other things which people were not happy with.

And then, when the system was bankrupt,



Wikimedia Commons/Bundesarchiv  
Dramatic, positive political change is exemplified by Germany's history in 1989. Above: Communist East Germany's nomenklatura celebrates its 40th anniversary, Oct. 7, 1989, vowing that socialism will last for a thousand years; left: joyous demonstrators breach the Berlin Wall on Nov. 9; below, left: the "Monday demonstrations" in east Germany built the mass strike process that led to Germany's reunification. This one is on Jan. 8, 1990.



Wikimedia Commons/Bundesarchiv

and that became obvious in the Summer of '89, then you had this thing where people would occupy the embassies in Warsaw, in Prague, in Budapest, and then eventually the "Monday demonstrations" started, and from September to October, this was swelling very, very quickly. And then, on Oct. 7, the 40th anniversary of the D.D.R., you still had a gigantic military parade, [party

Creative Commons/Sue Ream

chief Erich] Honecker said that socialism will last for a thousand years, and then 12 days later, Honecker was out, and three weeks later, the Berlin Wall came down.

Now, I think that that example shows: When you have the collapse of a system, then there's naturally the danger that it goes into chaos; but if people have the idea that they will not allow the chaos, that they will

basically go into a peaceful resistance and have a discussion and build a movement around reconstruction, not only of their own nation, but of the planet, I think that we can intervene in this coming crisis where more and more people will recognize that the system of globalization is finished.

I just recently wrote an article with the title that the

system of globalization and the free market is today more bankrupt than the D.D.R. was in November 1989, and that is exactly what it is.

Now, the problem was that in '89, the great historical chance was missed, and I think we have to learn the lesson from that: that this chance of another system going bankrupt must not be missed, but that we absolutely have to put on the agenda the plan for global reconstruction, fight for NAWAPA, PLHINO, and all the other projects I named. And if we do that simultaneously, I think that the fact that the enemy of civilization wants to eliminate 5 billion people should give us room to mobilize these 5 billion and more, and basically say that if some people are convinced that the world population should be reduced to 2 billion, then they should please take the leadership of this reduction of population by eliminating themselves first.

But I think that we have to mobilize the silent majority, that we have to show people that there is an alternative, and then I think it can be done. Because right now, the people of Africa, the people of the Arab world, and many other places, already know that they have to take the fight for development into their own hands. So, I think that the key thing will be to increase the factions inside every country that know about this alternative, and just increase in numbers, mobilize, intervene in institutions, show people that this alternative exists. And then I think we have a chance.

### **An Atmosphere of Repression**

**Q:** My question is regarding what Mrs. Helga Zepp-LaRouche just said: that it's necessary for people to mobilize through peaceful civil resistance. But, in the concrete case of Mexico, it's important to ask ourselves: How can we carry out peaceful demonstrations in the country, where the president of the Republic, Felipe Calderón, orders that people be shot at? That's what happened a few days ago, and the PRD government here in Mexico City also broke up the peaceful demonstrations of the Zapatista resistance. So how in hell can we mobilize people in the middle of a climate of repression? The mobilizations which are not repressed are those of Andres Manuel López Obrador, because they are protected by the security forces of the city government, which is the same party that he comes from. So how can citizens mobilize in a country which is hyperpenetrated by military intelligence, which is in every university across the country?

**Zepp-LaRouche:** I think that, unfortunately, we

have come to the point where we face fascism in some countries, and I can only say that the danger that we will have military dictatorships, not only in one country but in a whole bunch of countries—that is a very real problem right now. It's being discussed in many countries. As a matter of fact, we have an open discussion about that in the United States. We have concerns expressed in Europe about that, and there I can only say that while I evoked de Gaulle and the Resistance against Nazism, the Resistance in France, I could also have mentioned the Resistance in Germany, the White Rose. Maybe we will soon be in a situation where we have to use these modes of resistance.

And I think that the key question is to be adequate to the situation. Organize meetings which are not subject to such attacks, because they are discussing reconstruction, but also keep in mind that we are in a resistance mode, and in a certain sense, that requires courage, it requires intelligence, it requires studying historical examples. What did people do under similar conditions? Just because the world is in danger of going to hell, we cannot stop fighting! So, if it's risky, then we have to find the courage to do it, and find the intelligence not to take unnecessary risks. But I think we have to fight. There is no other way.

**Moderator:** Thank you very much. Our time has run out for questions and answers. Is there anything more that Helga would like to say in closing?

### **Inner Strength**

**Zepp-LaRouche:** I just want to say that, if you look at the long-term evolution of the universe, and the long-term evolution of mankind, I think there is absolute hope. I think that mankind is not yet at the end of its development; it's just at the very first baby steps! And if you think about the laws of the universe, and place your identity in that lawfulness, you will have the inner strength to fight this battle, because you realize that there is a higher power with us, and that the enemy is operating on false laws which are in complete clash and contradiction to the reality of the physical universe around us.

So, I think that we just have to have the inner strength to wage this fight, and I have come to the conclusion that the best way to overcome fear, is by love for mankind. And that has to be expressed in a very concrete fashion. When you're not afraid, you're free. So I think that is my message.



# The U.S. Army Faces a Moral And Constitutional Dilemma

by Carl Osgood

Nov. 18—In the 1920s, Maj. Gen. Fox Connor, who had served on the staff of American Expeditionary Force Commander Gen. John J. Pershing during World War I, and who understood that fighting with allies can be more difficult than fighting an enemy, inculcated in the officers that he trained after the war, including future generals George C. Marshall and Dwight D. Eisenhower, that a country should never fight unless it has to, never fight alone, and never fight for long. Connor also recognized, as did Marshall, later, that the military, answerable to an elected civilian government, would have to rely for its strength on a conscripted army of citizen-soldiers. In fact, during several points in his career prior to World War II, Marshall was involved in both the training of National Guard soldiers, and in running a Civilian Conservation Corps camp during the Great Depression. He considered both experiences valuable for his later responsibilities in building a strong relationship between the Army and the civilian population, a relationship which proved crucial during the national mobilization for the war that followed.

The conduct of World War II by the U.S. reflected Connor's aphorism. We had to fight to defeat German Nazism, Italian Fascism, and Japanese imperialism, and Marshall, from his position as Army Chief of Staff, worked to insure that we didn't fight alone and that we didn't fight for long. The U.S. has long since abandoned these admirable, republican principles, however. The U.S. now routinely fights unnecessary wars of occupation, of indefinite duration, with ill-defined—if defined at all—objectives and ends.

The all-volunteer force also means that the civilian population at-large is disengaged from these wars in a way that has no precedent in American history, leading some to charge that the U.S. has created a military caste, apart from the rest of society. While recruitment

has recently been boosted by the economic crisis, five years ago, the Army had lowered its recruiting standards in order to meet the manpower demands of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. Periodic reports of gang members joining the military, including the FBI's 2006 National Gang Intelligence report, suggest one of the consequences of having an all-volunteer force.

## Wave of Mental-Health Issues

Another result of the long-war policy has been the vast, highly publicized wave of mental-health issues among military personnel, as indicated by rising rates of suicides, PTSD (post-traumatic stress disorder), and other mental illnesses. Related to that, is the collapse of discipline and professionalism that has resulted in crimes such as the "kill team," now under investigation at Fort Lewis, Wash., in which five soldiers are alleged to have killed Afghan civilians just for "fun." An earlier, even more infamous incident was the torture scandal at the Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq in 2004.

While the Army is not on the verge of a complete collapse, such as that which occurred in the late stages of the Vietnam War, 40 years of ignoring Fox Connor's dictum, in parallel with a cultural degeneration that began with the 1960s counterculture, has severely degraded it.

As an institution, the Army has responded to this situation in a variety of ways. It has adopted British colonial-style counterinsurgency doctrine in warfighting, which ensures many more years of combat, and lowers the threshold of military intervention. It has attempted to grapple with the mental-health issues, but with limited success. And, mostly recently, it has triggered an internal dialogue on the nature of the profession of arms, how to reinvigorate its professional standards, and how professional behavior can be developed among



Library of Congress

*Maj. Gen. Fox Connor warned, following World War I, that a nation should never fight unless it has to, never fight alone, and never fight for long. That excellent advice has, tragically, been ignored in recent years.*

Army personnel from the time they enter basic training.

## Unconstitutional Wars

This dialogue appears to have an implied limitation on it, however, which was in evidence at the Oct. 25-27 annual conference of the Association of the U.S. Army (AUSA) in Washington, D.C. The Army appears to be willing to examine its procedures and methods, but is skirting the screw-up factors that get injected from the outside, primarily from the civilian leadership which makes the decisions on whether or not to go to war, against whom, and on what basis.

The problem is that the last time the U.S.A. went to war based on Constitutional principles was on Dec. 8, 1941. Every war the U.S. has engaged in since, therefore, has been unconstitutional. The resolutions that Congress has passed in many of those cases do not rise to the constitutional level of a Declaration of War; moreover, they have often been the product of political corruption.

Take the case of the Tonkin Gulf Resolution of 1964. The Anglophile faction in the Johnson Administration,

viz., Defense Secretary Robert McNamara, stampeded the President and Congress into passing that resolution by lying about the incident in the Gulf of Tonkin involving a pair of U.S. Navy destroyers and North Vietnamese torpedo boats. That lie laid the basis for the ten years of war that followed, a war that had a deeply destructive effect on the Army, in particular, and the military services, in general. Similarly, in 2002, the George W. Bush Administration grossly inflated the alleged threat from Iraq in demanding that the Congress pass a resolution giving it the authority to invade that country, at a time of its own choosing.

This is the real dilemma that the Army faces. Every time the U.S. enters into a war in an unconstitutional manner, it has implications for the military services. Ironically, Lt. Gen. Robert Caslen, the commander of the Army's Combined Arms Center at Fort Leavenworth, Kan., noted during a panel presentation on Oct. 26, at the AUSA conference, that Army officers swear allegiance to the U.S. Constitution, not to the President, or any other individual, such as the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. "We swear allegiance to the Constitution, to support and defend the Constitution," he said. "It's in that Constitution that you find within it the relationship between the civil and the military and the authority that exists between the two of them."

It is through that relationship that the Army serves the American people. "When we swear allegiance to the Constitution," Caslen said, "it's in that allegiance that we find that particular relationship, and what's critically important is the fact that we are the servants of the American people." Left unstated, but implied by Caslen, is that that relationship is mediated by the elected officials under the Constitution, that is, the President and the Congress.

So, what if the President orders the military forces

of the United States to act in violation of their oath to the Constitution, such as by launching a military attack without a Congressional Declaration of War, or to violate treaties that have been signed and ratified by the United States? What is the responsibility of the members of the profession of arms if they are asked to do something, by their client, that is morally and ethically wrong?

Caslen replied that when an order is given, the soldier expects it to be carried out, “as long as it’s moral and ethical.” And if it’s not? “Then the obligation and responsibility of the soldier is to re-address the situation and the issue with the person giving the order.” There are different ways to address that, he said, such as by asking “Do you understand what some of the potential consequences could be” of that order? It ultimately comes down to a command climate which allows a subordinate to ask those types of questions, he said.

### **The Corruption That Led to Abu Ghraib**

The Abu Ghraib torture scandal of 2004, which is the most widely known of the problems that have emerged from the recent wars, is one of the focal points of the Army dialogue. Caslen called it the result of “the degradation of leadership at the junior levels” of the Army.

However, the scandal was not the product of a rogue group of junior soldiers. In fact, it was the product of decisions, guidelines, and directives that began at the level of the Bush White House, driven by Vice President Dick Cheney and Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld. The process began with the determination, soon after 9/11, that detainees captured in the so-called War on Terror were not subject to the protections of the Geneva Conventions, which prohibit torture and other forms of mistreatment by an occupying force.

This was followed by a Jan. 25, 2002 memo, over the name of then-White House Counsel Alberto Gonzales, laying out a strategy for Bush Administration officials to avoid prosecution under the War Crimes Act for violations of the Geneva Conventions, a de facto admission that the Administration had ordered, or was about to order, the commission of war crimes.

After the U.S. invaded Iraq in March of 2003, the invading forces took with them the ambiguities about the Geneva Conventions that had been created by the White House. The final step leading to the torture



*One result of the long-war policy, is the severe degradation of morale among the U.S. military, as evidenced in the rising rates of suicide, and criminal activities, including the horrendous torture of prisoners at Abu Ghraib, in 2004 (shown here).*

scandal was the importation of “enhanced” interrogation practices adopted at the military prison at the Guantanamo Naval base in Cuba, to the prison at Abu Ghraib in Iraq, in September 2003. The poisoning of the chain of command by this process led directly to the misconduct of a small group of military police, which exploded onto the world stage the following April.

That misconduct was disastrous for American forces in Iraq. Maj. Gen. Robert Brown, who served as a deputy division commander in Iraq under Caslen, declared during the same panel discussion “I’m positive I lost soldiers because others [the soldiers at Abu Ghraib] didn’t maintain ethical standards.” This is undoubtedly true. The images of Iraqis being humiliated and tortured at Abu Ghraib became a highly effective recruiting tool for insurgent groups fighting the American occupation. But the Army is not openly acknowl-



edging the screw-up factor in this case that originated at the very top of the chain of command.

An example is an article in the September issue of *Military Review*, the Army's professional journal. The article is entitled "At What Cost Intelligence? A Case Study of the Consequences of Ethical (and Unethical) Leadership." Maj. Douglas Pryer, an intelligence officer, contrasts the interrogation at Abu Ghraib with that of the 1st Armored Division, under the command of then-Brig.-Gen. Martin Dempsey (who has since been elevated to four stars, and is now the commander of U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command). According to Pryer, intelligence officers assigned to the 1st AD refused to employ the "enhanced" interrogation techniques that had been authorized by Lt. Gen. Ricardo Sanchez, the senior U.S. commander in Iraq. As a result, no scandals emerged from the detention facilities operated by the 1st AD.

In contrast, the attitude toward detainees within Sanchez's command, designated Combined Joint Task Force 7 (CJTF-7), was that detainees had to be "broken." "Casualties are mounting, and we need to start gathering info to help protect our fellow soldiers from any further attacks," wrote one CJTF-7 intelligence officer, in an August 2003 e-mail. This attitude was not limited to the Abu Ghraib prison, but also spread to detainee facilities in Anbar province and Tikrit. All this led to what Pryer correctly describes as a "strategic defeat" for the U.S. in Iraq.

However, Pryer does not directly address the process of contamination of the chain of command that began at the White House soon after 9/11. His analysis goes no higher than the level of Sanchez. Yet, a caption accompanying a photograph of Rumsfeld touring the Guantanamo facility on Jan. 27, 2002 reports that "Twelve days earlier, Rumsfeld had signed a memo that stated that commanders need not treat certain detainees in accordance with the Geneva Conventions in the event of 'military necessity.'" Because he goes no higher than the level of Sanchez, Pryer does not provide an analysis of how Rumsfeld's directive led to the abuses at Abu Ghraib and other U.S.-run detention facilities in Iraq.

Another article, on moral disengagement, in the same issue of *Military Review*, offers similar types of evidence that the Army really is aware of what has happened to it. This article notes that individuals on trial or in prison for war crimes will often restructure guidelines in their own defense. "But a more telling

(and a more dangerous practice) has been the general public's seeming desire to disengage their own standards on behalf of those acting as their agents."

The authors then identify the double standard often applied by the U.S. media when describing crimes committed by American soldiers, which are often distorted, versus those committed by soldiers of other countries, where language such as "atrocities" and "murder" are often used. "The stark contrast in the way we apply our moral standards to others compared to ourselves is obvious," the authors write. "In other words, we (as a nation) often engage in moral disengagement in an attempt to excuse the behavior of those acting on our behalf." The authors, both assistant professors with the Center for the Army Profession and Ethics at West Point, come closer to the required analysis, but otherwise act as if the conduct of U.S. soldiers in combat can be disconnected from the immoral character of the administration that sent them to war in the first place.

### **A Positive Mission for the Military**

In 2000, Lyndon LaRouche defined what the positive mission for the U.S. military must be, and who the enemies of our republic actually are. "The function of strategy and strategic thinking is to secure the kind of world order which we require, as a result of commitments which were shaped, essentially, in the 15th-Century Golden Renaissance," he said.

"That is, we are for a system of sovereign nation-states, each committed to the general welfare of all its people and their posterity, and who believe that the relations among such states must be joint action to ensure the common ability of each such state to efficiently defend the general welfare of its own people." The military officer, functioning as a strategist, "is not trying to find out what war to fight. He's trying to understand what the *threat is, to the effort to defend and build this kind of state and this kind of relationship among states.*"

LaRouche went on to specify that the enemy of the general welfare is the British monarchy, the British Empire, which wants to exterminate this general welfare principle, but without taking an unacceptable penalty to do so. "And therefore," LaRouche said, "we have to have the military means to back up our will, in terms of this policy. And that's Classical strategy...."

The U.S. Army would do well to reconsider its mission from this standpoint.

# The Book That Still Drives British Royals Mad, Is Back

by Jeffrey Steinberg

---

**Dope, Inc.: Britain's Opium War Against the World**

by the Editors of Executive Intelligence Review  
Joshua Tree, Calif.: Progressive Press, 2010  
328 pages, paperback, \$25

---

For the first time since 1992, the underground bestseller book by the Editors of *Executive Intelligence Review*, that tore the lid off of the British Monarchy's control over the world illicit dope trade, *Dope, Inc.*, is back in print. Progressive Press has published a limited run edition of an expanded *Dope, Inc.*, first published in 1978, updating the long and sordid tale of London's top-down control of the trillion-dollar-a-year illegal dope trade, through 2009. This updated edition details the global explosion of heroin and opium from an Afghanistan ravaged by a British-engineered Thirty Years War, and the emergence of the massively armed Mexican drug cartels, operating freely, right smack on the U.S. border.

The current material is drawn from *EIR*'s exclusive and ongoing coverage of these developments.

It also highlights the role of London's own George Soros, in promoting dope legalization inside the United States, as he runs his own Dutch Antilles-based offshore hedge funds, to siphon black market cash through the global monetary system.


Soros, whose career was launched on Rothschild start-up capital, is also one of the numerous British channels of influence and control over President Barack

Obama and the Democratic Party, through his Open Society Institute and Democracy Alliance; the latter, a corrupt billionaires club of hedge fund managers and other financial speculators tied to the Anglo-Dutch offshore system.

**Perfect Timing**

What makes the timing of the new edition of *Dope, Inc.* so spectacularly precise is the onrushing final collapse of the very Inter-Alpha Group-centered global financial system of the current British Empire, that thrives on the dope revenues, as vampires thrive on human blood. The Inter-Alpha Group, since its

founding in 1971, as a European-wide banking cartel run by the circles of Lord Jacob Rothschild, has been at the very center of the British monarchy's City of London and offshore monetarist empire. At their recent peak,



Inter-Alpha controlled 70% of the world's banking assets. The trillions of dollars per year in dope money and other criminal funds, that fuel the current British Opium War against the world, constitute a vital part of that offshore system. And without the Inter-Alpha system, the trillions of narco-dollars have no where to go!

The symbiotic relationship between the City of London-centered international financial system and Dope, Inc. was underscored by Antonio Maria Costa, who was until recently the executive director of the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). Following the 2007-08 bursting of the global financial bubble, Costa highlighted the central role played by drug money, in maintaining that speculative financial system on life support.

In a Jan. 27, 2009 interview with the Austrian weekly *Profil*, Costa candidly observed: "In many cases, drug money is currently the only liquid investment capital to buy real estate, for example. In the second half of 2008, liquidity was the biggest problem the banking system had, and, therefore, this liquid capital [of drug flows] became an important factor."

On the UNODC website, Costa emphasized, "with the banking crisis choking lending, these cash-rich criminal groups have emerged as the only sources of credit."

While Costa correctly identified the addiction of the financial system to the dope revenues of its far-flung opium, cocaine, and marijuana plantations, he neglected to mention another pivotal feature of the Dope, Inc. apparatus, one that has immediate operational consequences.

Dope, Inc. is totally dependent on the British offshore financial centers to launder their trillions of dollars in criminal revenue. Without the top-down London control over these offshore centers, Dope, Inc. would choke to death on its own cash revenues.

Now, with the events playing out in Ireland, Spain, Portugal, Greece, and Italy, the entire Inter-Alpha system is on its last legs. And all of the dope money on the planet could not possibly bail the bankers out of their bankrupt state of affairs. If Inter-Alpha Group, and all they represent, goes out of business, then Dope, Inc. itself is doomed.



Creative Commons/Agencia Esquema

*The new edition of Dope Inc., details the global explosion of heroin and opium from Afghanistan, and the emergence of Mexican drug cartels operating on the U.S. border. Shown: Mexican soldiers detain a man, following a deadly gun battle with drug traffickers in Apatzingan.*

## The Crown's Rage Against LaRouche

Since no later than the 1978 publication of the first edition of *Dope, Inc.*, the British royal family and its entire intelligence and courtier apparatus has been on a rampage against Lyndon LaRouche, the founder and contributing editor of *EIR*, and the man who commissioned the Dope, Inc. dossier in the first place.

Prior to the publication of *Dope, Inc.*, Britain's command over the global dope trade was largely unknown—despite the history of the British East India Company's 19th-Century Opium Wars against China. Law enforcement and intelligence agencies, worldwide, viewed the global drug trade from the bottom up, as a function of underground criminal networks, operating in the shadows. Even after the August 1971 breakup of Franklin Roosevelt's Bretton Woods system of fixed exchange rates, and the subsequent explosion of banking deregulation, leading to the emergence of such offshore financial centers as the Cayman Islands, the Dutch Antilles, the Channel Islands, the Isle of Man, and Dubai, the standing presumption was that dope money was laundered through suitcases of cash, deposited at gambling casinos, and smuggled across borders in the holds of ships, and in hidden compartments in cars and trucks.

If the banks were involved, it was the result of individual corruption by branch managers and tellers.

*Dope, Inc.* turned that fantasy world on its head, and



cast a bright light on the London control of the global dope trade. And, along with the message that dope money laundering is a top-down process, engineered from the City of London, *Dope, Inc.* also addressed the strategic motive behind Britain's promotion of mind-destroying drugs. As in the time of the Opium Wars, the goal of the Lords of Dope, Inc. was the subjugation of whole populations to a form of mental slavery—what the British Fabian Aldous Huxley graphically described as a “concentration camp of the mind.”

Speaking on behalf of the British oligarchy in 1961, Huxley told an audience at the University of California Medical School in San Francisco: “There will be, in the next generation or so, a pharmacological method of making people love their servitude and producing dictatorship without tears, so to speak. Producing a kind of painless concentration camp for entire societies, so that people will, in fact, have their liberties taken away from them, but will rather enjoy it, because they will be distracted from any desire to rebel by propaganda, or brainwashing, or brainwashing enhanced by pharmacological methods. And this seems to be the final revolution.”

This was, in fact, the longstanding British Dope, Inc. policy: to eliminate human creativity and destroy the possibility of a sovereign nation-state system.

LaRouche and *EIR* earned the instant and profound hatred of the British Crown, from the day that *Dope, Inc.* hit the streets in 1979. Within months, a campaign of slander, mayhem, and attempted murder was launched against LaRouche, leading, ultimately, to LaRouche's frame-up prosecution and jailing in 1989, by the Anglophile President George H.W. Bush.

In the course of London's war to silent its most potent enemy, they, of necessity, exposed a vast network of assets and dupes, from Mafia attorney Roy



*The British Empire and its propagandists aim to subjugate entire populations to a form of mental slavery—what the evil Aldous Huxley openly praised as a “concentration camp of the mind.”*

Cohn, to the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai Brith, to Anglophile libertarian William F. Buckley Jr., to Wall Street spook John Train, to the last President of the Soviet Union, Mikhail Gorbachov.

Tracing these networks involved in the targeting of LaRouche and associates always led back to the same address: the British Crown!

### **The ‘Brutish Empire’**


As LaRouche has explained in numerous locations over many years, the issue is not the people of the British Isles, who have been as much the victims of empire as anyone. The issue is the

“Brutish Empire,” the apparatus of Crown-chartered institutions, including the City of London and its offshore banks, insurance companies, shipping lines, and trading companies; the propaganda apparatus; and the penetration of Wall Street and Boston, where leading families, like the Weld family of LaRouche Federal prosecutor William Weld, made their fortunes as junior partners of the British East India Company in the opium trade, dating back to the clipper ship era of the 18th and 19th centuries.

It is fitting that *Dope, Inc.* is once again available to the American public at this moment. The British Empire is on its last legs, as the Inter-Alpha Group, itself, is drowning under the collapse of the biggest financial bubble in history, even as London's Opium War against humanity targets nations on every continent with its mind-killing poisons, on an unprecedented scale. Now, more than ever, *Dope, Inc.* is a must-read combat manual for anyone who wants to survive the onrushing disintegration of that evil empire, and assure that civilization does not go down with it.

*To purchase your copy of Dope, Inc., go to [www.larouchepub.com](http://www.larouchepub.com) for details, or call 1-800-278-3135.*

# DOPE, INC.



## Is Back In Print!

**Dope, Inc., by the Editors of the Executive Intelligence Review, is back in print, by popular demand, for the first time since 1992. Commissioned by Lyndon LaRouche in 1978, the book immediately “went viral” before the term was even invented!**

The new, 320-page paperback, subtitled “Britain’s Opium War Against the World,” includes reprints from the third edition, and in-depth studies from EIR, analyzing the scope and size of the international illegal drug-trafficking empire known as Dope, Inc., including its latest incarnation in the drug wars being waged out of Afghanistan, and against Russia and Europe today.

FROM THE BACK COVER:

*“Dope money supports the bankrupt world financial system. A trillion dollars goes through the Cayman Islands, the Isle of Man, Dubai. Speculation makes it trillions more. It sucks the blood of the real economy; and the dope destroys mankind’s powers of reason.”*

This edition, published by Progressive Independent Media, is currently available in limited numbers, so there is no time to waste in ordering yours today.

PRICE: \$25, plus \$5 shipping and handling

available through [www.larouchepub.com](http://www.larouchepub.com) and EIR  
at 1-800-278-3135.

# Ferdinand Pecora Takes on Wall Street and Wins a Victory for the American System

by Glenn Mesaros

---

## **The Hellhound of Wall Street: How Ferdinand Pecora's Investigation of the Great Crash Forever Changed American Finance**

by Michael Perino

London: Penguin, 2010

340 pages, hardcover, \$27.95


---

This is *the* definitive book on what, and who caused the Great Crash of 1929, and the subsequent economic Depression, and what the Obama Administration did *not* do, to find the cause of the 2008 Great Crash, and punish its perpetrators.

This book, therefore, from the pages of history, indicts President Barack Obama as a willing tool and agent for Wall Street, who has protected his masters from the scourging indictment and purges which a humble Italian immigrant, Ferdinand Pecora, inflicted on the financier “masters of the universe” in ten short days of early 1933, even before Franklin Roosevelt became President.

By the time Pecora finished his work for the Senate Banking and Currency Committee in 1934, New York Stock Exchange president Richard Whitney was on his way to Sing Sing state prison in upstate New York for bunco fraud. Appropriately, Whitney shared his train ride to prison in April 1938 with two extortionists, an armed robber, and a rapist.

Justice had been served on behalf of the long-suffering American people, and even FDR, a patrician who personally knew many of Wall Street's denizens, exclaimed, “Dick Whitney—Dick Whitney. I can't believe it.”



No such justice has been served to America after 2008, as the pathetic, failed narcissist personality, Barack Obama, employed the same criminals, such as Larry Summers, Timothy Geithner, and Ben Bernanke, whose policies caused the current, ongoing, economic depression, which threatens to devastate the world.

By the time Pecora took control of the Senate Banking Committee hearings in early 1933, the unemployment rate was 25%; 38 states had closed banks; and 25% of the population had lost their savings.

President Hoover had initiated the hearings by fixating on the false flag of “short selling” by certain fi-

nanciers, such as Democratic Party chairman John Raskob. This narrow focus buried the hearings in irrelevant trivia, until South Dakota Republican Sen. Peter Norbeck took control of them in April 1932.

Norbeck refused to endorse Hoover for re-election in 1932, although he did not formally endorse FDR either. However, a solid group of Republican “progressive” Senators, including Hiram Johnson (Calif.), Frank Norris (Neb.), and Robert Lafollette, Jr. (Wisc.) supported FDR, and his New Deal. Once FDR was elected, the process of launching the attack went live. Author Perino, however, doesn't deal with FDR's role, which was essential, if behind the scenes.

Since the Committee hearings had vacillated during the 1932 campaign season, chairman Norbeck wanted to make his mark on the hearings during the long “lame duck” session of Congress, which extended from November 1932 until March 1933, when the new Democratic majority would take control.



Norbeck went through a short list of prominent lawyers who might run the investigation, until he came across a former Tammany Hall Assistant District Attorney of Manhattan, Ferdinand Pecora. Pecora had prosecuted Wall Street “bucket shops” during his tenure, for selling fraudulent securities, but had been passed over for the District Attorney job for being too honest.


Pecora wanted to resurrect his career, so he accepted the job, and travelled from New York to Washington, D.C., on Jan. 21, 1933, to meet his new boss, Senator Norbeck, and accept a salary of \$255 a month, to take down Wall Street millionaires. They formulated a more aggressive strategy to prosecute Wall Street criminals for the 1929 financial and economic collapse.

The country was reaching a boiling point. In placid Sioux City, Iowa, a 68-year-old man was killed while running a blockade of farmers protesting low prices and farm foreclosures. Norbeck, and others, were in no mood to continue to placate Wall Street, and they gave Pecora the power to issue tough subpoenas, on Feb. 8, 1933, to National City, and other Wall Street banks. This gave Pecora a mere 12 days to visit the banks himself, and examine the innermost “minute books” of the Wall Street titans, in preparation for the hearings.

### Ten Days That Shook the World

The subpoenas demanded that the flamboyant National City Bank president, Charles “Sunshine Charley” Mitchell, cancel his Italian “vacation” to appear before the Committee on Feb. 21. Mitchell had been invited to advise Mussolini on his Fascist state fiscal policies. Mitchell had led National City to its powerful position in commercial and investment banking by riding the post-World War I wave of foreign bond selling.

During his imperial reign of influence, Mitchell had lectured politicians, from President Hoover to New York Mayor Jimmy Walker, on restoring fiscal discipline, while his own bond salesman peddled millions of dollars in worthless stocks and bonds to credulous investors during the 1920s. He told Mayor Walker, “cut your budget, or go elsewhere for your money.” Walker proceeded to slash the New York City budget, as thousands of homeless and unemployed roamed the streets. Mitchell lectured Hoover that taxes were damming “the natural flow of wealth,” while advising the Federal government to stay out of all business life, including regulating his bond traders, who were “an essential element in the financial machinery of the United States.”



*During the hearings, Sen. George Norris (R-Neb.) presented a large wall chart in the form of a spider web (above), showing the eight large banks which constituted a Wall Street web of intrigue dominating American finance.*

Mitchell’s bond traders had foisted an amazing \$20 billion in securities on investors, equivalent to \$3 trillion today. His special “management fund” compensated his traders with incentives for bond sales, whose value fell precipitously after 1929. While letters from defrauded investors poured into Congress, Pecora was scrutinizing the minute books from the inner sanctum of National City on Wall Street in preparation for grilling Charley Mitchell.

Pecora found that National City Bank was so heavily invested in Cuban Sugar, that it had basically taken over the sugar business. The bank used its securities affiliate to paper over its losses with new bond sales, as former City Bank trader Julian Sherrod revealed in an exposé book, which reported that, “hundreds of millions of dollars have been lost through investments that were originated primarily to pay operating expenses of a large, expensive, and unnecessary system of distribution.”

Pecora charged that the securities affiliate was a “gigantic foolproof device for gambling freely with the stockholders’ money, taking huge profits when the gambles won, and risking not a penny of their own money if they lost.”

While Mitchell squirmed on the unexpectedly hot seat of exposure, despite his legion of lawyers in the hearing room, Pecora honed in for the kill, and forced Mitchell to admit that he had paid no income tax for 1929, because he sold 18,300 shares of National City

Bank stock, at a loss, in late 1929, to his wife “for tax purposes.” Since he bought back the stock in early 1930, he accrued \$1.1 million in salary and bonuses for 1929 without paying any income tax.

The dam broke in the national press, as the *New York Times* feigned outrage, while the Midwestern press called for the blood of the “banksters.” Sen. Burton Wheeler (D-Mont.) declared that the only way to restore confidence in the financial system was to treat the banksters as Al Capone would.

The influential journal *The Nation* observed, “if you steal \$25, you’re a thief. If you steal \$250,000 you’re an embezzler. If you steal \$2,500,000, you’re a financier.”

Senator Norris released a large wall chart in the form of a spider web, showing the eight large banks which constituted a Wall Street web of intrigue, dominating American finance. Even President Hoover chimed in, saying that “these men have done the American people more damage than all the incidental operations of Al Capone . . . who had the merit of confining his robbery . . .

to the wicked. . . . These men are not bankers, they are banksters . . . who are traitors to our institutions and national ideas.”

Pecora had destroyed Sunshine Charley Mitchell and New York Stock Exchange chairman Richard Whitney in ten days. Mitchell resigned in disgrace, even before he left the capital. After the hearings continued under FDR’s Presidency, Pecora revealed that one Wall Street tycoon had offered him \$250,000 to “not go on the stand.” Pecora turned him down.

Another financier approached Pecora’s aide, and asked, “What is Pecora’s price? All through my lifetime I have learned that every man had his price.” The aide replied, “you don’t own all the gold in the world, but if you did, that wouldn’t be enough.”

“Let’s talk realities,” the financier persisted. “Would a million dollars do the trick?”

The aide did not inform Pecora about this interchange until after Pecora had grilled the man. “I knew you were going to put him on the stand and I didn’t want you to kill him.”

## Where Is Our Pecora Commission?

Michael Perino wrote an op-ed to the *New York Times* in 2009 which asked, “Where is our Pecora Commission?” The question is still an open one.

The reader may now reflect on the milquetoast commentary on Wall Street by President Obama and his minions, during 2009, while they absorbed trillions of

bail-out dollars from Congress, and the unconstitutional Federal Reserve System. In addition, the reader should pick up this book, and read in detail some of the actual testimony that shook up America in ten short days, and learn that history can change in a hurry if real leadership is provided to the American people.

Perino makes the point that Congress had treaded these same waters in 1911, with the Pujo Committee, led by the likes of Rep. Charles Lindbergh, Sr. (R-Minn.). However, no legislation resulted from the exposé of the “Money Trust” by this committee.

This time, however, was different, as FDR, who was inaugurated on March 4, 1933, followed the Committee hearings with a whirlwind Hundred Days New Deal, that included a Banking Act that permanently separated commercial and investment banking, known famously as the Glass-Steagall Act. This maintained a sound American banking system for more than 60 years, until it was dismantled and replaced by banking deregulation, in 1999.

FDR rode the wave of citizen outrage with his detailed Fireside Chats on the banking system, restoring confidence in the regional banks, and inspired a young radio host named Ronald Reagan in Des Moines, Iowa to vote for FDR four times.

The *Philadelphia Record* newspaper spoke for America when, writing about the banksters, it concluded, “This is a sample of the scruples exercised by the group that whooped up the stock market boom, diverted credit from legitimate business, exercised a controlling hand at Washington, and took the American people for the greatest buggy ride in their history during the last decade.”



Library of Congress

*The flamboyant National City Bank president, Charles “Sunshine Charley” Mitchell, was sent packing to Sing Sing, following his appearance before Pecora’s committee.*

## The Meaning of Thanksgiving: *‘Britain Delenda Est’*

*The following greeting was sent to a Thanksgiving gathering of LaRouche Youth Movement members, by Mark Calney, a veteran of the movement.*

Greetings to all you folks in Los Angeles and San Francisco. This is Mark. I assume by this time, you are all sated, stuffed with your Thanksgiving turkey. Unfortunately, . . . this is the first time in many years, that Maureen and I have not been able to host the national holiday festival at our home for all of you. As has been the custom over that time, I always endeavored to present an historical exposition of the true origins and meaning of those national holidays, often accompanied by readings of the key relevant, historical documents, writings, and speeches by such leaders as Presidents Washington, Lincoln, Garfield, FDR, and others.

The necessity of those expositions was revealed to me from the very beginning: Exemplified by the reaction of one of our early recruits to the Youth Movement, when she admitted to me privately, that she had always thought that Thanksgiving was a day to celebrate the all-American sport of football! This goes to the critical issue, which everyone present there understands: We desperately need to educate our fellow Americans, and others, to the true history and mission of the founding of the world's first true republic, the United States of America. . . .

### **The Thanksgiving Idea**


Now, the idea of Thanksgiving is as old as Western civilization, although the American founders and preservers gave it a more specific, though universal meaning. Historically, the “thanks” in “Thanksgiving” is given to God, in organized prayer, and possibly celebration, usually issued by church leaders, and later, military or state leaders, to mark a human victory over some type of natural or man-made calamity. The success of Nicholas of Cusa, over the degenerate, vile European oligarchy, who organized the colonization of the New World, with the best of the Old World, beginning with the trans-Atlantic voyage of Columbus, was marked by the historic pilgrimage of the passengers aboard the *Mayflower*, which landed near present-day Boston, in 1620. Parenthetically, I suggested the first manned spaceship to Mars, be given the name *Mayflower*.

Now, it is often said, that the best Brits moved to America. In the case of those English Pilgrims, their quest to the New World was to establish a new civilization, based on the freedom to be human, to be able to exercise that God-given quality of creativity, that provides the means of discovering and mastering the principles of the universe, that which distinguishes human beings from the beasts, like sheep, or Prince Philip and the other Royal Primates, whose view of those “uppity Pilgrims,” and humanity generally, has always been






Portrait by Gilbert Stuart



Library of Congress



Library of Congress

*Presidents George Washington, Abraham Lincoln, and Franklin Roosevelt (left to right) focused Americans' attention on the real meaning of Thanksgiving, with their declarations of national days of commemoration and celebration of human freedom.*

that humanity is their personal cattle herd, to be culled and slaughtered, as they see fit.

Well, human freedom is not the simplistic notion of having the freedom to choose, à la Milton Friedman, or the Boomer concept of “freedom to do your own thing,” which is usually accompanied by an attempt to fill every available orifice of the human body, with the maximum amount of so-called pleasure. Rather, our Founding Fathers explicitly adopted Leibniz’s idea, that *higher idea* of freedom, what it truly means to be human, when they included “the pursuit of happiness” in our Declaration of Independence.

Now, some years ago, when I was in elementary school, I participated in a pageant depicting the first American Thanksgiving, celebrated almost one year after the landing of the *Mayflower* in Plymouth, by the colonists and the Native Indians. I was always proud of having played Squanto, a local Indian leader, in that school performance, because the Indians saved the butts of those Pilgrims! During the first Winter, almost one-half of those 102 English immigrants died of disease, brought about by the lack of adequate food supplies. The following Spring, the Native Americans taught the colonists how to reap the agricultural blessings of the New World, which happily resulted in a joint Thanksgiving celebration—and that lasted three days. It was during the Autumn harvest season.

That celebration did become an American tradition, particularly beginning in New England, and it included many of the foods served at that first feast, though lobster, eel pie, and venison are still not likely to be found on many American dining tables these days. In 1623, upon the arrival of new colonists and supplies, the Governor of Plymouth Colony, William Bradford, issued a Thanksgiving Proclamation.

Following that of the Plymouth Colony, there were a number of important American Thanksgiving proclamations from leaders, and also issued from Congress. Having spent each Thanksgiving holiday of my adolescence with my family, at my grandparents’ home in Boston, I was well aware of the origins of the original Thanksgiving tradition. Yet, it was not until I became a member of the LaRouche Movement, that I discovered the Thanksgiving Proclamations of later American leaders, such as President Abraham Lincoln.

### **An Anti-British Holiday**

After the Fourth of July, Thanksgiving is perhaps the most patriotic, and explicitly *anti-British Empire* holiday for Americans. Let’s do a quick review:

After the American victory over the British, at the Battle of Saratoga, in October of 1777, Gen. George Washington proclaimed a Thanksgiving to occur in December, and that was supported by the Continental

Congress. After our military victory over the British, and the adoption of the U.S. Constitution by Congress in 1789, the newly elected President, George Washington, issued his Presidential Thanksgiving Proclamation in New York City. At the end of our Second War of American Independence, now referred to as the War of 1812, President James Madison, and Congress, declared not one, but two Thanksgivings, in 1815.

Following the defeat of the British-sponsored Confederate forces by the Union, at Gettysburg, July 1-3, 1863, and General Grant's victory at Vicksburg on July 4, President Abraham Lincoln issued his first Proclamation of Thanksgiving, on July 15. Lincoln made two additional Proclamations of Thanksgiving: the next on Oct. 3 of 1863; the last was issued three weeks before his re-election in November 1864, as General Sherman prepared to launch his famous March to the Sea.

To whom do you think Lincoln was referring, in that proclamation, when he began by stating: "It has pleased Almighty God to prolong our national life another year, defending us with His guardian care against unfriendly designs from abroad." That final proclamation of Oct. 20, 1864, set aside the last Thursday of November, as a national day of Thanksgiving, which has since become the traditional national day of celebration.

And it's no accident that President Franklin Delano

Roosevelt hearkened back to reaffirm Lincoln's commitment to upholding the principles of the Declaration of Independence and the U.S. Constitution, when Thanksgiving Day was officially established as a national holiday, in 1939. In 1942, FDR celebrated Thanksgiving in the White House, where the Marine Corps Band performed the *Battle Hymn of the Republic* (even though FDR privately criticized the band for always attempting to turn anything they played into a two-step!).

Now, in the weeks following that celebration, during January of 1943, after the U.S. invasion of North Africa, FDR held his famous meeting in Casablanca, Morocco, with the British Empire's Prime Minister Winston Churchill. It was there, that FDR informed the apoplectic "Winnie," that America would ensure that *all* colonialism would come to an end, globally, at the conclusion of World War II.

Today, the mantle of responsibility to bring about the unfinished mission of those who boldly came before us, of George Washington, Abraham Lincoln, and Franklin Roosevelt, has happily fallen on the shoulders, of Lyndon LaRouche, and us, his associates. And *that mission, is the final, and complete, destruction of the British Empire, and everything evil for which it stands!*

So, I now leave you, to continue your festivities, and hope to be with you, on the front lines, soon.

Oh, one final thing: *Britain delenda est!*

## The Concept Of Immortality

*Following Mark Calney's remarks (above), Lyndon LaRouche spoke briefly on the question of immortality. We print an excerpt here.*

...What he [Calney] said, is the concept of the essential immortality of the human personality. That the problem which we often face, even among our ranks here, is the sense that one's sense of life, as being lived, is limited to the span, the bookends, so to speak, of your birth and death. That the sense of

non-participation in events which occurred before you were born, and after you died, is the extent of your experience and involvement with humanity.

This, of course, is a deadly, as well as false assumption. As we know from all great inventions, particularly discoveries of principle, the greatest inventions of humanity have been realized, in principle, long after, sometimes, the death of the individual inventor, or the initiator of this change. That mankind, unlike what the reductionist says, mankind lives in creativity, not in experience as such. Not in events as such. And the purpose of life is to insert into the living process, from the past and into the future, those conceptions which will lead mankind to a fruitful outcome, even long after the death of the creative individual...

### *A Showdown with the Empire*

The current British imperial rape of Ireland should put the entire world situation into focus for those who know they should take responsibility for the world's fate. The Inter-Alpha Group of banks, along with its allies in the European Union, have demanded that the Irish people slit their own throats, and give up their sovereignty, in order to bail out Inter-Alpha's banks, and the euro system as well. And if Ireland concedes (as Greece was forced to do), the rest of Europe, and the world, are to come—*unless we in the United States take the long overdue measures of financial reorganization that Lyndon LaRouche has insisted upon.*

Indeed, the Irish themselves can play an extremely useful role in this global battle. All they have to do is refuse to comply with the terms of capitulation, by bucking their current government's agreement with the EU and IMF. Already, the broad opposition to the government's intention was expressed in the Nov. 27 demonstration of over 100,000 people. Now, the uproar over the Nov. 28 capitulation, particularly, the pledging of EU\$17.5 billion from the Irish National Pension Reserve Fund to bail out the banks, shows that there is the passion for resistance.

Ireland's refusal to go along can bring the Inter-Alpha Group to its knees—and that can change the agenda internationally, immediately.

The British may, under those conditions, go for dictatorship—and that's where the international support for Ireland's resistance is needed. The most critical place for measures of support is, of course, the United States, and the key measure required is the re-establishment of FDR's Glass-Steagall law. In fact, as Lyndon LaRouche commented Nov. 28, if the Glass-Steagall bill had been passed during the last Congress, this current full-

blown financial crisis would not be occurring.

Remember LaRouche's May 8 webcast, where one interlocutor reported, from personal knowledge, that the British government considered the reimplementation of Glass-Steagall to be an act of aggression by the U.S. against it, and was communicating that message to the U.S. government. To put it concisely, the British demand that the U.S. *not* re-establish Glass-Steagall, is the proximate cause for British puppet Barack Obama's sabotage of the measure. And the refusal to implement Glass-Steagall, has left the London imperial monetary authorities in control.

Direct support for Ireland, in its resistance to bailing out the banking casino, is also of crucial importance. The program of draconian austerity, while creating hyperinflation to bail out the bankrupt banks, is a global one—as we see in the U.K., throughout Europe, and in the plans of the incoming Congress of the United States. The bankers are salivating over the prospect of Obama's collaboration with the anti-government, von Hayekian wing of the Tea Party, in slashing Social Security, Medicare, and the investments in the necessary infrastructure to save the U.S. economy from utter collapse.

But, LaRouche recently asked, what happens to all these grand plans for fascist austerity, if Ireland says no, and the British Inter-Alpha system falls on its face? Suddenly, the powerful masters are exposed as the desperate marauders they are, and they are ripe for being put in their place—in the garbage heap or the zoo.

We are talking the fate of civilization here. If Inter-Alpha "wins," they, and we, ultimately lose. If Inter-Alpha loses, we have a road to potential victory.





SUBSCRIBE TO

**EIR**

Executive Intelligence Review

**EIR Online**



**EIR Online** gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Youth Movement, we are changing politics in Washington, day by day.

**EIR Online**

Issued every Tuesday, EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- \$360** for one year
  - \$180** for six months
  - \$120** for four months
  - \$90** for three months
  - \$60** for two months
- Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

—EIR Online can be reached at:

**www.larouchepub.com/eiw**

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Company \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_ Country \_\_\_\_\_

Phone ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail address \_\_\_\_\_

I enclose \$ \_\_\_\_\_ check or money order

Make checks payable to

**EIR News Service Inc.**

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my  MasterCard  Visa

Card Number \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Expiration Date \_\_\_\_\_