

Without Science, There Will Be No Civilization

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

LaRouche made this statement at the opening of the July 27 LaRouchePAC Weekly Report (<http://larouchepac.com/node/18916>).

We're in a situation, in which science has virtually died in the United States, and in Europe. The scientific technology is being destroyed, and therefore, we're in a situation in which we have to, by other means, revive and maintain physical science and its related things.

Therefore, today, we are going to take on a major factor—several major factors actually—which are combined together, to define things that have to be understood, lest they be lost as knowledge, for dealing with the science of the future, without which there will be no civilization. And we are on the verge of losing civilization entirely right now.

And if we tried to restart civilization now, we would have a problem: that most of the professors out there are incompetent, in science. Because they don't care about science any more; they want to *control* it, without actually practicing it. And therefore, there's a tremendous amount of slop. And there are two crucial elements in the practice of economy, in general, science in particular, which are just being lost entirely.

So therefore, we have something which is not far out, shall we say, really. It's very important. It's very current, very currently important. And, in a sense, it seems premature, but it's not. Because unless we get back to real science, which means we are going to have to make giant steps in terms of leaps forward, we are not going to be able to save civilization. Even if we

stop the present collapse of the world economy, especially in the trans-Atlantic region, we are going to have a tremendous challenge in trying to educate people who are now totally *un*-educated, or incompetently educated.

And the only way you can do that, is by going to the frontiers of scientific progress today, or frontier issues. Because it's on the frontiers of science that science and related practice live. So you have to go to the most advanced area of science, in order to maintain it and reproduce it, now. It takes two to three generations of science to develop a scientific capability. So, we have to leap ahead to some things which may be one or two generations ahead of what people would think today, and this is the nature of the subject today.

The subject includes such things as esoteric names, but they're not esoteric in fact, as these things we will just hear now: one is the question of life; the other question is reversal of time, which very few people have an adequate consciousness of, which is absolutely essential. The reversal of time, from the standpoint of the incompetence of Laplace—which he [Sky Shields] particularly dislikes—it is a fraud. And therefore, these things which are popular science, and taught in universities, universities which practice science without practicing it. They just go through the motions and recite the chatter; there's no real science, there's no involvement.

So these questions—the ontological questions of science—have to be brought to the fore. And we thought that today is a good opportunity to present some preliminary introduction into the science of the future.