

LaRouche: Impeach Obama for Complicity In Murder of U.S. Ambassador Stevens

Sept. 15—Lyndon LaRouche today demanded that Congress remain in session to immediately convene impeachment proceedings in the House of Representatives against President Barack Obama for his criminal complicity in the Sept. 11, 2012 attack on the U.S. Consulate in Benghazi, Libya, in which Ambassador Chris Stevens and three other U.S. officials were killed.

“President Obama was complicit before the fact in the events that lead to the killing of four valuable American diplomats. There is sufficient evidence to warrant immediate impeachment proceedings,” LaRouche declared this afternoon. “U.S. officials were repeatedly warned, in the weeks preceding the 9/11 Benghazi attacks, that there was a breakdown of security in the city. The State Department issued a travel alert to all Americans, urging them to stay out of Libya. All of the evidence was there to impose strict security measures. Yet, nothing was done. That failure is on the President’s plate.”

LaRouche spelled out three levels of Obama complicity in the killing of Ambassador Stevens and the other officials.

First, since the earliest months of his Presidency, Obama has joined in the George W. Bush/Dick Cheney coverup of the direct role of the Saudi Monarchy in the Sept. 11, 2001 attacks. Obama met with the 9/11 families in the White House in February 2009, and was explicitly asked to declassify the 28-page chapter from the Joint Congressional 9/11 inquiry that detailed the role of then-Saudi Ambassador to the United States, Prince Bandar bin-Sultan, in the financing of the 9/11 hijackers. Obama not only refused to release the document, but he ordered the Solicitor General of the United States to intervene in a Federal lawsuit on the Saudi Monarchy’s complicity in 9/11, to secure sovereign immunity for the Kingdom, thus deepening the coverup.

The classified chapter from the Joint Congressional report, if released to the public, would not only reveal

Bandar’s complicity in the financing of the 9/11 attacks, it would expose the larger issue of the British-Saudi hand behind the global jihadi terror apparatus, via the Al-Yamamah offshore slush-fund, established in 1985 by Bandar and then-British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher. The Al-Yamamah oil-for-weapons barter deal created a massive offshore secret fund, used to promote terrorist insurgencies, coups, and assassinations over the past three decades. During the period that he was providing cash to the 9/11 hijackers via two Saudi intelligence officers, Prince Bandar received at least \$2 billion from the Al-Yamamah funds, which went into the Saudi embassy accounts at Riggs National Bank and HSBC.

President Obama is guilty of covering up the crimes of the original 9/11 attack, thus facilitating the 2012 9/11 attack, which resulted in the four deaths in Benghazi this past week.

Second, President Obama’s unconstitutional war in Libya during 2011, ending with the assassination of Muammar Qaddafi, unleashed a wave of Anglo-Saudi-sponsored neo-Salafi terrorism that has spread from Libya to other parts of Africa, and into Syria.

‘High Crimes and Misdemeanors’

President Obama’s refusal to seek authorization from Congress to go to war in Libya was an impeachable crime in itself. Under Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution, only the Congress has the authority to bring the country to war—unless the U.S. has been attacked or is facing imminent attack. President Obama’s actions in Libya constitute “high crimes and misdemeanors” under Article II, Section 4, of the U.S. Constitution.

Third, President Obama showed reckless disregard for the lives of American personnel in Libya by failing to take action to properly secure U.S. personnel and facilities, in the face of repeated warnings of grave security threats, specifically in the Benghazi region.

There is already compelling evidence that the attack on the U.S. Consulate on Sept. 11, 2012, which resulted in the deaths of Ambassador Stevens and the three others, was carried out by an offshoot of al-Qaeda, Ansar al-Sharia. The leader of the group, Sufyan bin-Qumu, had been arrested by Pakistani police soon after the original Sept. 11, 2001 attacks on New York and the Pentagon, because of his affiliations with al-Qaeda. Bin-Qumu was detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba from 2002 until September 2007, when he was returned to Libya where he was imprisoned, and eventually released.

Libyan officials have been warning U.S. counterparts for months that the Benghazi area was a hotbed of jihadi violence, to the point that local security agencies were heavily penetrated and public safety was disintegrating. According to one senior U.S. intelligence source, Ansar al-Sharia had penetrated the Benghazi regional public safety committee, and had full access to information on U.S. personnel and facilities, including a U.S. safehouse, which was also attacked on Sept. 11, 2012.

Despite all of these warnings, there were no U.S. Marines dispatched to secure the Benghazi consulate until after the attacks and killings.

LaRouche concluded: “Just because the U.S. media has decided to give President Obama a free hand to continue with his unconstitutional and murderous activities does not mean that the U.S. Congress can also let him off the hook. Between his extrajudicial drone assassination program throughout the Muslim world, his coverup of the Anglo-Saudi hand behind both the 2001 and 2012 9/11 attacks, and his callous disregard for the lives of American diplomats, every American citizen is in danger every day that President Obama remains in office.

“The events of Sept. 11, 2012 cannot be swept under the rug. Crimes have been committed, American diplomats have been killed, and the President must be held fully accountable for his miserable failure to execute his Constitutional responsibilities. The only proper venue is an immediate impeachment proceeding in the U.S. House of Representatives.”

Susan Rice Is Lying

Sept. 19—President Obama’s Ambassador to the UN Susan Rice went on ABC-TV’s “This Week” Sept. 16, and lied her head off in an attempt to protect her boss from charges of complicity in the attack on the Benghazi Consulate that resulted in the death of four Americans. She claimed that the attack was “spontaneous,” and that there was a significant security presence at that location.

Over the last two days, significant voices have come forward to counter and expose those lies.

First, Libya’s Interim President Mohamed Yusef al-Magariaf has told numerous news media, including Al Jazeera Sept. 15, that he was certain that the deadly attack on the U.S. consulate in Benghazi on Sept. 11, was “premeditated” and organized by “experienced masterminds” from al-Qaeda.

Second, on Sept. 17, the London *Daily Telegraph*, quoted a Libyan security guard, who declared that the attack on the consulate occurred *before* there

was any demonstration over the anti-Islamic film, made in the U.S.A., which, it was claimed, provoked the attack.

The guard, who is recovering from wounds received in the attack, and whose name has not been released, said that the assault began with a single warning shot, followed by hand grenades and heavy shooting from automatic rifles and rocket-propelled grenades. “They were shouting ‘Kill the bastards,’” he said, not religious slogans.

He said there were no demonstrators at the time of the attack, and that it came in from three sides. He said the attackers’ accents were Benghazi, they wore masks, and many had their trouser legs rolled up—a mark of the Salafist sect.

Third, an MSNBC news short, which appeared on Breitbart.com Sept. 18, featured a reporter who said that multiple officials have reported that there was no significant security detail, and that the two Navy SEALs who were killed were not part of a security team, as Rice had claimed. At the time of the attack, just one U.S. officer and a local militia were guarding the consulate.