

# Don't Believe the Popular Lies!

Dec. 10—Corrupt popular opinion and media insist that Obama's removal is impossible. Indeed, they go so far as to try to insist that it isn't even being discussed. But thanks first of all, and most of all to the key catalytic role of Lyndon LaRouche's "Manhattan Project,"—neither assertion is true. In fact, there is active discussion of the need for Obama's removal at the highest levels of government. No more lying: it can be done, it must be done, and we must see to it that it is done, and done quickly.

Sometimes an action which appears ostensibly local to a single place, like the "Manhattan Project," has universal effect: think of Brunelleschi's cupola in Florence, for example.

Part of what these corrupt media and popular opinion are hiding from you, is that there is now an active bill before Congress, which lists eleven offenses which would trigger impeachment proceedings against any President who committed any of them. The most prominent of these offenses are precisely the "high crimes and misdemeanors" for which Lyndon LaRouche has indicted Barack Obama in his weekly dialogues with the Manhattan Project.

Congressman Ted Yoho (R-Fla.) introduced H. Res 198 on April 13 of this year. It is short and sweet. After a few "whereases," its operative section simply says the following:

The House of Representatives declares the following Presidential actions shall constitute impeachable "high crimes and misdemeanors" within the meaning of Article II, section 4, which will cause the House to vote an article or articles of impeachment to send to the Senate for trial—

(1) initiating war without express congressional authorization;

(2) killing American citizens in the United States or abroad who are not then engaged in active hostilities against the United States without due process (unless the killing was necessary to prevent imminent serious physical danger to third parties);

(3) failing to superintend subordinates guilty of chronic constitutional abuses;

(4) spending appropriated funds in violation of conditions imposed for expenditure;

## To Prevent a Nuclear Armageddon

Dec. 13—In Theodore Andromidas's article in the *EIR* of [September 25, 2015](#), he proves conclusively that the underlying necessity for passage of the 25th Amendment was that an impaired President would have the power to start a nuclear war, or would be unable to meet such a challenge if it were instigated by another nation. He documents that in the case of Richard Nixon, during the end phase of the Watergate proceedings, James Schlesinger, then Defense

Secretary, had ordered the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff not to take any orders from Nixon. Article 4 of the 25th Amendment was his authority to do so. Schlesinger's was a pre-emptive measure, in case Nixon had gotten it into his head to start something irrevocable.

At Obama's instigation, with British-Saudi backing, Turkish President Erdogan ordered a Turkish F-16 to ambush a Russian Su-24 tactical bomber whose coordinates were given to Turkey by the United States. It was indeed an act of war against an ally in the fight against ISIS. Obama is insane all right,—but in the way that Hitler was insane.

We have to face reality and move now.