

---

## II. Remove Obama

---

# Get Rid of Obama and the British Monarchy to Have A New Chance for Mankind

Jan. 28—*Edited excerpts from the Fireside Chat with Lyndon LaRouche of Jan. 28.*

**Host John Ascher:** Good evening everyone, this is John Ascher. Hi, Lyn! We're here for our special emergency discussion, as you called for earlier this week. . . .

**Lyndon LaRouche:** I hear you.

**Ascher:** Given the recent developments, Lyn, before we begin questions, do you have any remarks to make?

**LaRouche:** The question is this: There's things we don't know, but they are going to happen anyway. But we just have a lack of certainty among some issues, because we're not in an effective place to take on everything all at the same time. But there's no question that those of us who are intent on surviving this situation, surviving this period of history, are going to work with us, because it's the only way we have available to do any good.

I'm not being pessimistic at all, because it's possible that we could bring something off, which would actually shut down some of the things that are actually being put into place; it's possible. What we have to do is concentrate on those conceptions, those options which do exist, and concentrate our attention on those options which we know are of a type which *would* be perfected, rather than trying to swarm around and trying to feel our way through the darkness. I don't believe in feeling through the darkness. I believe in finding loopholes in which we can make a progress.

It's like the military thing, you know; troops were out there in the field sometimes, waiting for

the signal to enter combat, and it probably didn't happen at that time. But the point is, whenever this thing is in place, whenever it's in place as it is now, then you have to react accordingly. And I'm ready to react accordingly.

There are things that can be done, which should be effective in dumping Obama from the Presidency of the United States. That is the only thing which will save the United States from self-destruction. So that's what I'm working on, and that's what I'm concentrating: It's the only thing that *could* work, and should work.

### They Support the Disease

**Question:** Lyn, we have a question which is related to the physical breakdown of the economy, which comes from a longtime activist and supporter in Connecticut. And he gives a lengthy question about having



*The Black Death was the new disease of Fourteenth-century Europe.*

been in India in 1965, and he was in the medical field at the time, and talks about the role of DDT.

His concern is this, Lyn. You probably have heard there is now a new deadly virus, that there's been a health emergency declared concerning, called the Zika virus; which is spreading in South and Central America, and it's affecting newborn infants. And it's been clear that the only way to destroy this is to get rid of the mosquitoes, and Jim asks, "why can't we use DDT, and why haven't we been using DDT?"

**LaRouche:** The answer is very simple: They don't want to cure the disease. They don't want to cure it! You have to realize that the British Empire, in particular, that is all of the leaders of the British Empire, and all of the people associated with that; and many in various parts of South America are for the same thing, *for* the spread of this kind of disease. Not by saying they want that disease to come, but because they're against allowing the *cure* of that disease to be supplied.

The point is, we have to realize that you cannot do a one-shot fix-it, not in terms of what's going on now. You have the British Empire, which is the dominant feature in the trans-Atlantic community. You have to remove that element, that part, the British Empire part, you have to *shut it down*.

Now, if you look at these stories, what you see in the United States itself is that you've got all these people who are part of the support for the wrong people. People who are part of the whole speculation system.

Therefore, *these* are the issues. You have to remove the issues which *cause* this threat. And there is very little attention being given to the possibility of saving people from these kinds of frightening,—terrifying, often,—diseases. These are new diseases, in general history, and there's nothing being done which portends to be able to fight these diseases, because the relevant people don't want to fight these diseases! They like the diseases!

It doesn't mean they like it personally, but it means their determination is to *reduce the human population throughout the planet!* To cut down the number of living people, in order to make a cheaper world. A world that doesn't work for mankind.

I mean, this has been done before; there has been mass death induced in periods of history, because a body, which sometimes will often call itself devoted to being religious, will generally almost wipe out the entire population of nations. And that's history.



CC/ohad

*"The Governor of California, the current one, destroys the people of California." Here, Governor Jerry Brown.*

So the thing you have to do is realize what has been done, in the way that in many nations in South America have toppled themselves into that kind of category, who are going to spread disease, deadly disease throughout South America and elsewhere. So therefore, the problem is, we discuss the wrong thing. We protect the disease, and abhor the cure.

## Twentieth Century Traditions

**Question:** This is J— from Anaheim. I had a question for Mr. LaRouche. I'm concerned with the mainstream media narrative, and its power of suggestion, and how the American public is rather uninformed on many things. I wanted to see, from you, in your opinion would it be worthwhile to pursue an effort by getting organized and having some youth organization involved, to nationalize the media? Or would it be a worthless effort?

**LaRouche:** I don't know about that particular formulation as a proposition. I simply think that the way we have to organize the population, is in several ways. Now, the problem is, in part, the fact of the quality of education and education-like experiences in the United States, since the beginning of Bertrand Russell's arrival on the scene in the Twentieth Century. And there has been constantly a destruction, a destructive force of corruption throughout the planet—more or less throughout most of the planet, especially the trans-Atlantic region. Now, that's happened.

And if we continue on that course, which has become tradition, the Twentieth-century principle,— if you follow the Twentieth-century principle,— the way that schoolchildren, or even pre-school children believe today, in general, there will probably be very few schoolchildren who have any minds left at all. Their minds have been already destroyed by the inception of an element of what must be called “disease.” And even little children are destroying themselves, as a package, because they don’t know what it is they have to do. Therefore, they lead themselves to destruction.

And our problem is that today, given the degeneration of the opinion of the American people since the beginning of the Twentieth Century, the degeneration which came on after Franklin Roosevelt was removed from power, that disease and the things which are like it which have come into play,— like against some great leaders who were exceptions,— and you are at a point now, that if you want to behave the way that your teacher tells you, in general, the way you’re educated, the way you’re informed, your taste in music, so-called music, all of these things *lead toward your own self-destruction*.

Now, that’s not a final word. The point is, if we are actually intelligent, we are going to reject what we are told in today’s schools, say in the public schools in California; they are poison. They tend to destroy the people of California. The governor of California, the current one, destroys the people of California. That’s what happens.

So the point is, we have to make a distinction between issues and principles. Principle is health; the alternative is speculation. We have to change our behavior. And it’s not too hard to do so when push comes to shove. But the problem is that you try to submit to what you call a “popular opinion” and say, “I don’t want to be opposed to popular opinion, I must respect popular opinion.” And Satan is just outside the door there, waiting to pull you in. And that’s the best way to understand that.

## We’ve Got to Grow Up

**Question:** Hello Lyndon, this is J— from Massachusetts. Just speaking of India made me think, how did the British control such a vast population as the country of India? But I wanted to ask you, also, is there any chance to be a super-delegate that would support O’Malley? Any group at all, in the Democratic Party or

in Congress?

**LaRouche:** I would not worry too much about him, O’Malley. The man is good. Now, let me just get to the truth of these matters. I had a discussion going on around this issue just recently, and what I did is, I led the enemy, which is Hillary and Sanders, and I led them down the line by provoking them, and they decided they were going to go all the way and were going to make sure that the persons that I supported should *never* be elected. Well, they did that!

Now, what they’ve done, is what? In the period where they think they’ve conquered everything, they’ve effected just the opposite effect. By being suckers to support Hillary and Sanders, both, they made both of them absolute fools. And that’s the point.

If you want to get into the business of politics and you want to win, that is win, not in the sense of stealing something, but win in the sense of winning something that’s worth winning,— and what these guys did, when they bought into my trap, the trap I set for them, and went for 100% wiping out of O’Malley, you didn’t destroy O’Malley! You destroyed yourselves.

**Question:** I have a question that just came in which is kind of in the similar vein, but dealing with the—I hate to say it—but the Republican side of the Presidential election. And this gentleman, it’s a very, very long statement, but I’ll try to get some sense of it: He refers to first the fact that Rand Paul has left the Presidential race and said this is sad because he was the only hope that we had. And then he talks about the fact that Ted Cruz and Donald Trump are only there to eliminate Dr. Ben Carson and Rand Paul from getting the Republican nomination.

Let me put it to you this way, because it’s extremely lengthy: He’s very concerned that Jeb Bush is still going to somehow come in from the outside. I’ll put it to you this way—let me try to put a little more positively what this gentleman hopefully is referring to: Is there any hope at all that something could come out of the Republican Party under these circumstances?

**LaRouche:** Well, that’s a strong question. It’s not impossible, if you get a turnaround. Look, what you’ve got is the Senate and the House of Representatives are pretty much, I would say, fair imitations of whorehouses. They may not intend to do that, but that’s the effect of what they do. I mean—Trump? My God, this man Trump! Do you think he’s even human? If you



kremlin.ru

*“What Putin has done . . . is a miracle.”*

know his record, this man’s an absolute fool, and a fatuous fool, and he has very bad antecedents, has very bad habits, and he shouldn’t be in any place outside of a circus! And I don’t know if the circus would take him on.

So what we have to do, is we have to realize that we are human beings. We are supposed to have intellectual capabilities to see through some problems, some situations. We’re supposed to, thereby find a way to make a contribution to society which will be beneficial to mankind in future, in some way, and so forth. Well: that’s it, isn’t it? That’s the issue!

Now, it’s true. What do we do? We buy these guys! We buy the Republicans! We buy the Democrats! We buy them like animals, selling them as if they were some kind of a toad or something, that had to hop along there; and we had one toad was a Republican and the other toad was a Democrat.

No, this whole thing is mythological. If the people of the United States,— and I think they can, but they’re going to have to do a little painful experience on this one,— if we want to do it,— and we have friends, in China, big friends; *big* friends! The biggest friends you could ever imagine, China! Russia: Russia is the most effective political institution, in terms of the planet right now. What Putin has done in his leadership in the reconstruction of Russia, is a miracle! A scientific miracle, of science, of physical science. And this is reality!

So the idea that you have to know who’s which guy’s rear end you’re going to kiss, that is not really a very good standard for choice of candidate.

No, we’ve got to grow up. And what I represent,— and some of you know what I represent. I think that what I represent, is pretty close to the right thing. And I think if we’re smart, we’re going to try the taste of my pudding, at least a little bit.

## **The Basis of Mankind**

**Question:** This is R— from South Dakota. I just picked up a copy of John Perkins’ book today, the *New Confessions of an Economic Hit Man*, and in there he refers to going back to the ’50s and ’60s, when the American public had a sense of morality. And in there, he’s talking about the modern, the new economic hit man, and he lists Tom Daschle, the former Senator from South Dakota here, and Chris Dodd. And he goes on to list a bunch of the Republicans that he now considers to be economic hit men, and which includes Newt Gingrich, Phil Gramm, Chuck Hagel, Trent Lott, Warren Rudman, and the list goes on past that.

But anyway, that gives you a sense of how these guys have degenerated. Tom Daschle, in the ’80s and the ’90s, used to listen to LaRouche’s ideas. He put together a proposal with Congressman Bingham, back in the ’80s, that was patterned after the LaRouche program. And what he turned into today, is totally despicable and disgraceful.

And I think back to the ’50s and ’60s when I was a boy and a young man, and how my family, around the dinner table after church on Sunday, would have a political discussion, and by the time they got done talking and thrashing over these guys, a guy like Daschle, I mean, we would have been looking for pitchforks and a rope to hang the bastard, when he set foot in South Dakota.

What can we do, to re-instill in the American people, a sense of morality again? What specifically can I do, or anybody listening, what do you think they can do, Lyn, to re-instill that?

**LaRouche:** It’s very simple: I’m doing it. The recipe,— I’m doing it. I know the facts, probably better than anyone, of what these facts are, and it’s not just because of my old age, but it’s because of my experience. Remember, I’ve been through the whole thing. I’ve been a significant figure in many countries over this period. I have a pretty accurate record, in terms of these kinds of facts. And I don’t think you can simply pick something out of the basket, and say, “this is the

new prophet we're going to adopt, or the thing we're going to do next."

No. We're working at it. Look, we are organizing in Manhattan, for example, and we have regular events which occur in Manhattan as such, and in the environs of Manhattan as such, in terms of the revival of Classical musical compositions' performance; of discoveries, *re*-discoveries of scientific principles. And the great mass of people, according to the popular total of things, are stupid, idiotic.

Now, what's wrong? Why would people who wish to be effective as human beings, why would they want to build up something that's rotten?

But you know that most members of the electorate will vote up and down for the worthless ones. Most of the people that are voted for, are not fit to be voted for! And yet, that is the choice—you ask me to make a choice, who am I going to support? You think I'm going to support a jerk? Or a guy who doesn't know what he's talking about? A guy who has no conception of what the principles are on which the progress of mankind depends?

And I know that virtually *no one*, on this planet has an efficient conception of what mankind needs. They don't; they believe in something, but it's only imaginary. It's something they hope is true, but I know in general it's not true.

So the problem is, how do we get people to let go; stop believing that you have the makings of all the truth of mankind or something like that. You don't. All of you, because you were born within this generation or series of generations now, because most of you believe that your generation was right. Now, your generation was not always wrong; but it was very often right.

And therefore, these kinds of things, these kinds of complexities, when people get too eager to say that they know what has to be done and they need to have some-



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

*"We have regular events . . . in terms of the revival of Classical musical compositions' performance." Here Bach's Magnificat being performed at a Schiller Institute "New Paradigm To Save Mankind" conference in New York City in 2013.*

one up there and hammer through the truths, as if by an act of force,— it doesn't work.

The basic thing, you know, about mankind is love, human love; human love of humanity; the love of creating a kind of mankind in the next generation which is better! Better to behave than anyone in the present generations.

And I'll tell you, also, most of the recent generations, in the Twentieth Century and Twenty-first Century were pretty much idiots when it came to morals and judgment.

So there has to be a revival, and it's going to come through a crisis, by mankind, by people going through a crisis. And people meeting each other, and saying "*We were damned fools! We've got to make it right. We've got to get this thing right, finally!*"

Look at the entertainment. Look at what people like for music, or what they call "music." What they like in terms of all kinds of entertainment, in terms of what they consider good taste: All of this is garbage! The education they receive from universities is mostly garbage.

But there is a truth and there's an accessible truth. But you've got to get a little more humility. And don't assume that just because you *believe* in something, that it's right.

## The Nuclear War Threat

**Question:** This is D— from Arizona. I was at a meeting on Monday where they played an Alex Jones conversation with a gentleman, in which the gentleman said that they will not remove Hillary because Hillary has threatened to put out all the dirty linen on everybody if they ever attempt to indict her, and he predicted that Hillary, even though she's done a multitude of crimes and bad things, will never be indicted because of her threat to expose the criminality of everybody else. Have you heard that? And what are your thoughts on that?

**LaRouche:** Well, I say that's nonsense. That is not the truth.

Look, I know her. I know her, and I've had a discussion with her at the time that she began to turn her course into that of Obama.

Now, what happened was, she asked that I give some advice to her, to try to make things clearer to the people at that time. And I answered; I was happy to do that. But then, the next thing that happened of significance, was that she was hammered by the gangsters from Chicago, and she was actually threatened physically; and the import was the threat. And the gang from Chicago made the threat, it was made on behalf of Obama and the Obama Administration.

What happened was, in some way which I did not actually trace out, she turned. And she jumped on the side of the Obama Administration. Since then, she has been an Obama agent, in every degree, in every way! There could be no one so low, as to be as low as she has reached, in her departure from what her original intention had been. She's a stooge. We know about this thing: Obama is a killer. He's a mass killer. He kills people on Tuesdays, human beings, Americans: He kills them! People of great prestige, public prestige are terrified by the threats of Obama, just like Hillary.

How did she change, how did she become the animal that she acts like so often? She's terrified! She thinks he's going to kill her. And in that persuasion, that's a very likely fact. It could happen. But by whom? By Obama!

You've got to understand what history is: Obama as the President of the United States could be the death of civilization as a whole. *Get this guy out of office, if you want human beings to live.*

Don't blame her. Yes, she's acting like a bum, but *why* is she acting like a bum? Because *she's terrified*. And I don't know if her friend there is of the same persuasion.

**Ascher:** OK, Lyn, I just wanted to ask, because no one has explicitly touched on it, but since you were just indicating the danger and had put out several statements on this earlier this week, how would you assess right now the heightened danger of thermonuclear war, in the aftermath of what has occurred over the course of the immediate past period?

**LaRouche:** There is no magnitude as such, which will measure the danger that involves. What exists now, to the degree that the British Empire, the Monarchy itself, whatever else is in the British system, the Monarchy is the real Satanic factor in this thing. We are in a period in which a Satanic threat exists. Can we stop it? Well, I think what we're doing, we're trying to spend everything we can, in terms of efforts, to make sure that mankind survives. I know that in principle, Obama must be removed from office immediately, and some of his sidekicks should be thrown into the junk yard at the same time.

That given, I think the very fact that Obama was removed from office by action of the Presidential system would be sufficient change to get people to say, "Oh! I was terrified. I was terrified!" And when people sometimes act under pressure of terror, when that happens, then sometimes people come to sanity, because at the same time that they have a tendency to be, well, immoral, shall we say, when they are freed from terror, they tend to try to free themselves from their own bad habits as well.

And so therefore, I would say, we have to concentrate on the hope, that we are going to be able to get rid of Wall Street, just destroy Wall Street entirely; it has no use, it's a disease in and of itself. Besides it has no money value, either. And we can imagine, Wall Street has money, but the money is worthless, is worse than worthless. I mean, some people should get a little thought in their head some place about that: Why is it they believe in something which is inherently worthless? Or less than worthless?

So anyway, the point is that, perhaps, if we can do what we should do, we can induce enough of our fellow citizens and citizens of other nations to do the kinds of things that will put mankind back on the road towards civilization. We know that Russia is doing that; Russia is doing exactly that. China is doing that. The leadership of India is doing that.

So the situation is not inherently bad: The question is, can we get rid of Obama *and* the British Monarchy? Those two conditions, I think would be prerequisites for a new chance for mankind.