

EDITORIAL

British Coup Against U.S. Presidency Begins to Crumble

by Rachel Brown

March 12—As Lyndon LaRouche said this week: “The British *are* the media, with the intention to ruin nations. It’s been done before, and is being attempted again. Watch out.”

It is clear that there is an intention to disrupt and destroy the Trump presidency from the highest levels of the British oligarchy. The U.S.-Russia-China alliance now in the early stage of formation will end London-centered imperial geopolitics, and set out a new era of mutually beneficial cooperation among nations. The thrust of the last two British-loyal presidencies of Bush and Obama has been voted out. The British Empire is about to go out of existence.

A survey of recent “news” reports published both before and after President Trump’s inauguration, show the British attempt to topple the current U.S. presidency is in full force. Take for example just a few of the significant accusations and their origination:

- January 10, 2017—*Buzzfeed News* releases a 35 page dossier on Trump’s alleged collusion with Russia, containing numerous refutable allegations and anonymous sources. It later comes out

that the author of the dossier was Christopher Steele, a former MI6 agent. The document was alleged to have been requested by political opponents of Trump in the United States, first on the Republican side, then by Democrats.

- January 12, 2017—A BBC article by Paul Wood initiates the concept of Trump as a Russian

agent, claiming to have four sources, all unnamed, who confirm the validity of the assertions in the dossier. He sets up the impeachment tone, “It is an extraordinary situation, ten days before Mr. Trump is sworn into office, but it was foreshadowed during the campaign.” The article presents no evidence, in fact even admitting that no financial traces or video evidence were found, however it concludes by quoting

former CIA director Michael Morell and former CIA and NSA head Michael Hayden, calling Trump “an unwitting agent of the Russian Federation,” and “a useful fool.” The BBC’s Wood states, “Agent; puppet—both terms imply some measure of influence or control by Moscow... The background to those statements was infor-



Flickr/Gage Skidmore

Donald Trump speaking with the media at a hangar at Mesa Gateway Airport in Mesa, Arizona, Feb. 8, 2017.

mation held—at the time—within the intelligence community. Now all Americans have heard the claims. Little more than a week before his inauguration, they will have to decide if their president-elect really was being blackmailed by Moscow.”

- January 19, 2017—George Soros, during an interview at the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland, “predicts” the Trump presidency will not succeed, and that disunity, of which he is a leading purveyor, will prevail, saying, “I personally am convinced that he is going to fail... not because of people like me who would like him to fail, but because his ideas that guide him are inherently self-contradictory and the contradictions are already embodied by his advisors.”
- January 21, 2017—A *Spectator* article, by the same Paul Wood, is published, entitled, “Will Donald Trump be assassinated, ousted in a coup or just impeached?” It quotes Alexander Hamilton, about “the desire in foreign powers to gain an improper ascendant in our councils,” and claims again that Trump is a Russian “agent of influence,” bought or blackmailed by the Kremlin. The title of the article speaks for itself. It then introduces the emoluments allegation as cause for impeachment, concluding, “Impeachment—however far-fetched an idea—is not the most outlandish possibility being discussed in this town as the 45th president is sworn into office.”
- January 23, 2017—Citizens for Responsible Ethics (CREW), files emoluments lawsuit against President Trump in New York Southern District Court. CREW is a George Soros-funded organization, having received \$740,000 from the Soros family Foundation to Promote Open Society since 2010, and another \$150,000 from the Open Society Institute in 2010. The current chairman of CREW, David Brock, is not new to British-run coups against U.S. Presidents. He also initiated the media campaign “Troopergate,” as a writer for the conservative magazine *American Spectator* in 1994, which led to the impeachment of Democratic President Clinton. Brock has not changed sides, but is merely continuing his work on behalf of the British Empire.

- March 2, 2017—The *Independent* of London reports that members of the U.S. Congress, despite the Steele dossier having been exposed as absurd and full of errors, are seeking Steele’s testimony in the upcoming Congressional investigation of Trump’s ties to Russia. The *Independent* states, “it is understood Democrats—as well as some Republicans—in Congress are prepared to facilitate discreet initial meetings in the UK or on other neutral territory.” It also is widely reported that the FBI sought to pay Steele to continue his investigation, even after the intelligence was discredited.

The Counterattack

The transparent fraud, British sponsorship, and absurd lies that have been circulated concerning President Trump are now beginning to backfire against the conspirators who wish to overthrow the duly elected Trump and be rid of his Presidency.

Sen. Chuck Grassley, Chairperson of the Senate Intelligence Committee, stated in a letter to James Comey, head of the FBI, “The idea that the FBI and associates of the Clinton campaign would pay Mr. Steele to investigate the Republican nominee for President in the run-up to the election raises substantial questions about the FBI’s independence from politics, as well as the Obama administration’s use of law enforcement and intelligence agencies for political ends... It is additionally troubling that the FBI reportedly agreed to such an arrangement given that, in January of 2017, [former Director of National Intelligence] James Clapper issued a statement stating that ‘the [intelligence community] has not made any judgment that the information in this document is reliable, and we did not rely upon it in any way for our conclusions.’”

In recent days the Trump administration has turned the tables on the attempted coup, accusing the Obama administration of wiretapping Trump Tower before the election, an investigation of which will likely lead to full exposure of the British-run nature of the coup. Numerous reports point to British intelligence playing a key role in the wiretapping and intelligence gathering.

Lawrence Wilkerson, former chief of staff to Colin Powell, speaking on his “Wilkerson Report” radio show, was asked about Trump’s wiretapping allegations. He responded, “Well, I’m certainly not one to defend HMS Trump and that whole entourage of people,

but I will paint you a hypothetical here. There are a number of events that have occurred in the last 96 hours or so that lead me to believe that maybe even the Democratic party—whatever element of it—approached John Brennan at the CIA, maybe even the former president of the United States. And John Brennan, not wanting his fingerprints to be on anything, went to his colleague in London GCHQ, MI6, and essentially said, ‘Give me anything you’ve got.’ And he got something and he turned it over to the DNC or to someone like that. And what he got was GCHQ MI6’s tapes of conversations of the Trump administration, perhaps even the President himself. It’s really kind of strange, at least to me, they let the head of that organization [Britain’s GCHQ] go, fired him about the same time this was brewing up. So I’m not one to defend Trump, but in this case *he might be right*. It’s just that it wasn’t the FBI. Comey’s right, he wasn’t wire-tapping anybody, it was John Brennan, at the CIA.”

Larry Johnson, former CIA analyst, wrote in his blog “No Quarter,” that at least some of the “intercepted communications” of Trump aides reported in the *New York Times* on Jan. 20th, “were done by foreign entities and that this was done *with the knowledge of Obama administration officials*.” Johnson then discusses the arrangement between the NSA and the British spy agency, GCHQ, whereby under standard operating procedure, GCHQ intercepts communications in a way that would be illegal for a U.S. agency to do

under U.S. law, and then passes these on to U.S. intelligence officials. (*EIR* exposed this program years ago, including when Edward Snowden first exploded the NSA scandal, and when the Democrats opposed such police state measures.) Johnson also stresses the very public assertions, as in the *New York Times* article of March 1, that President Obama moved in the last phases of his presidency, to loosen intelligence secrecy provisions so that “information” about alleged links between the Trump administration and the Russians could be available to a wide array of individuals, and to European allies.

Newsweek reported, on February 15, that NATO countries, under British direction, were engaged in widespread intelligence collection on Trump campaign and administration officials. Author Kurt Eichenwald wrote, “The Western European intelligence operations began in August, after the British government obtained information that people acting on behalf of Russia were in contact with members of the Trump campaign. Those details from the British were widely shared among the NATO allies in Europe.” His sources reported surveillance, ranging from intercepting telephone calls to gathering electronic and human source information.

The truth of the British role—as well as the personal law-breaking of Barack Obama—is now surfacing. Perhaps the destruction which they were plotting will, in the end, be their own.