

Why You Can't See the British Empire at Work? Because You Are Looking Right at It!

On Jan. 21, just one day after President Trump was inaugurated, the UK's *Spectator* magazine ran an online article by Paul Wood entitled, "Will Donald Trump be Assassinated, Ousted in a Coup, or Just Impeached?"

As you will see below, this is British Policy, directly from the Monarchy itself, stated by Her Majesty's own ministers or her Imperial media mouthpieces, and what is reported here is just the last two weeks.

The UK's *Evening Standard* reported on April 11 that UK Foreign Minister Boris Johnson, attending the G7 meeting in Italy, pushed for a regime change policy in Syria. The *Standard* reported, "The summit united on a call for Assad to step down, and to send a message to Russian President Vladimir Putin that he must choose between aligning with the west or being tied to the dictator."

The *Standard* then states, based on anonymous sources who apparently speak on behalf of the United States, "The American view is that Assad can go the nice way, which is that the Russians drop him, or the bad way, which is the Libyan example," said a source referring to the death of Libyan despot Muammar Gaddafi at the hands of a mob in 2011."

Yet, this contradicts U.S. Secretary of State Rex Tillerson's public comments on national television just days earlier, when he said, "We've seen what that looks like, when you undertake a violent regime change in Libya, and the situation in Libya continues to be very chaotic. We have to learn the lessons of the past and learn the lessons of what went wrong in Libya when you choose that pathway of regime change."

Regime change is not the American view, certainly not the American people, and also not the President's policy, rather, it is what the UK wishes the American view to be, so that its war crimes will be carried out by others, much like they deployed Hitler for war with Russia.

On April 17, the UK's *Independent* reported that the UK's Attorney General, Queen's Counsel Jeremy Wright, claimed that the legal case against Tony Blair, based on the British Parliament's Chilcot report—a report which investigated and proved Blair's role in the illegal Iraq war—is a hopeless case "because the crime of aggression does not exist in English law, even though it does exist in international law."

The UN Charter, in this case based on the Nuremberg or London Charter which made the case against aggressive warfare following WWII, states in Article 2, paragraph 4, "All Members shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any state, or in any other manner inconsistent with the Purposes of the United Nations."

The UK is declaring itself above international law, or rather, asserting its tradition of Imperial Law, a law above nations. Tony Blair was his Queen's Prime Minister, and the Monarchy's power for assassination as with Gaddafi, illegal war as in Iraq and Libya, or coup d'état as in Ukraine in 2014, is the Monarchy's publicly stated criminal foreign policy.

Then on April 24, the UK's *Independent* again weighed in regarding the question of aggressive war, this time by Her Majesty's Defense Minister Michael Fallon. He stated, in response to the discussion on the UK's Trident Nuclear Missile program that, "In the most extreme circumstances, we have made it very clear that you can't rule out the use of nuclear weapons as a first strike."

Regime change, aggressive warfare, and the first use of nuclear weapons are British policy.