

# ‘Cancel the British Empire To Stop World War and Save the People’

*The following is an edited version of a presentation given by Will Wertz, a member of the Editorial Board of EIR, to the Manhattan Town Hall meeting of LaRouche PAC, on Aug. 5, 2017. A [video](#) of that entire meeting is available.*

I’m going to start out with a comment that Lyndon LaRouche made earlier this week. LaRouche said, “The American people must demand that the ongoing treasonous British coup against the U.S. Presidency and the nation itself, must be stopped and its perpetrators prosecuted and imprisoned. The British system must be cancelled, and the President must make every effort to save the people of this country and the rest of humanity from further British-directed depravations against their lives. Cancel the British system; save the people!”

What I want to do today is to address the role of the British government in the current coup against the Presidency and in a general policy of subversion of the United States as a nation, of our Constitution, going back any number of years.

The way I’ll begin is by addressing the coup against the Presidency. Crucial in the fight against this coup is the VIPS [Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity] statement, which is put out by the steering committee of their organization. They are all top-level former intelligence officials. LaRouche PAC did an interview with Ray McGovern, who is on that steering committee, published on our website just recently. What they did is the first forensic analysis of the so-called “Russian hack.” What they established is based on the postings of the data—they did not have access to the actual computer of the DNC; what they established is that physically it could not have been carried out over the Internet, because the Internet is physically incapable of

---

**“The so-called ‘Russian hack’: ... it could not have been carried out over the Internet, because the Internet is physically incapable of downloading the volume of data in the time that it took. ... this was an inside job”**

---

downloading the volume of data in the time that it took. So therefore, as WikiLeaks and others have maintained, this was an inside job in which the emails were downloaded onto some type of data carrier—a thumb drive, or similar device. The second point is that this second intervention into the DNC computer system deliberately left footprints which would direct the investigation towards a Russian hack. As WikiLeaks recently exposed, in releasing information they called Vault VII, the CIA under Brennan developed a

capability of falsely attributing a hack to another country—specifically Russia. They name a number of others that had the capability of doing that.

What these former U.S. intelligence officials argue, is that this was not a hack by the Russians; it was falsely attributed to the Russians after the fact. It was actually a leak carried out by a DNC insider. They say that they are prepared to defend this conclusion; they call on President Trump to speak to Pompeo at the CIA to get to the bottom of this. What we are also calling for, is that with Trump taking such action, there be an investigation in the U.S. Congress in which members of the VIPS are called to testify. The forensic evidence, presented by the VIPS, blows out of the water the fundamental hysterical assumption which lies at the base of the attack on President Trump, and also the sanctions bill, which was just passed into law, which is unconstitutional.

## **The Attack on Trump Originated in London**

Let me just go back to the British role in this entire attack on the Presidency. Donald Trump announced his candidacy for the Presidency on June 16, 2015. An article appeared in the *Guardian*, on April 13, 2017—after Judge Napolitano had argued that Obama had ar-



defenceimages.mod.uk

*Aerial view of the GCHQ in Cheltenham, Gloucestershire.*

ranged for British Intelligence's GCHQ to surveil Trump—which says that GCHQ first became aware, in late 2015, of suspicious interactions between figures connected to Trump and known or suspected Russian agents. So, this surveillance of Trump, by British Intelligence, began within a matter of months after he announced his candidacy for the Presidency. GCHQ is Government Communications Headquarters; it's the British equivalent of the NSA in the United States. Judge Napolitano was more or less disinclined from appearing on Fox News after he made that claim. But the *Guardian* published on April 13, 2017, an article entitled, "British Spies Were First to Spot Trump Team's Links with Russia."

The *Guardian* article is very funny, defensively reporting, "It is understood that GCHQ was at no point carrying out a targeted operation against Trump or his team, or pro-actively seeking information. The alleged conversations were picked up by chance." [laughter] All right. Now, what's reported is that in 2016, Hannigan, the head of GCHQ, went directly to Brennan of the CIA, and informed him of so-called "intelligence" that had been gathered by GCHQ and most likely by MI-6, the British foreign intelligence agency – the equivalent of the CIA. What's reported is that as a result of Hannigan coming and speaking to Brennan, Brennan initiated a multi-agency U.S. intelligence investigation of Trump. This is in August 2016 in the middle of the Pres-

idential campaign. Brennan also briefed the "Gang of 8," the leading members of the House and Senate Intelligence Committees, and the Congressional leadership of both parties. So, the Republicans and Democrats are being briefed by Brennan on the basis of intelligence gathered by a foreign intelligence agency—GCHQ—against a Presidential campaign, in the middle of the campaign. And of course the investigation which was launched by Brennan involved the FBI, the NSA, and CIA. One wonders whether it's within the charter of the CIA to be launching investigations domestically against a U.S. President.

It's also reported in this *Guardian* article that Britain's MI-6 spy agency played a part in intelligence sharing with the U.S. So, you have both GCHQ and MI-6 involved in this operation; which is why Helga Zepp-LaRouche has pointed out that the real collusion is between the Obama administration and their intelligence agency stooges, like Brennan, Comey, and Clapper, with British Intelligence.

People should remember that John Brennan is the guy who would meet every Tuesday with the President to determine who was going to be killed that week; including extra-judicial assassinations of, in some cases, American citizens. John Brennan is the person who carried out illegal surveillance of the U.S. Senate Intelligence Committee when it was preparing a report on CIA torture, which he was complicit in. So, these two things should be understood.

What about Clapper? Clapper is the person who denied before the Senate Intelligence Committee that the NSA was engaged in surveillance of American citizens. He was asked the question by Senator [Ron] Wyden (D-OR), and he said, "No." Later on, when he was caught in having lied, he said "I said the least untruthful thing I could."

Now, we have an additional aspect to this, which is Christopher Steele, a so-called "former" agent of MI-6. We know from the *Guardian* article that MI-6 was also providing intelligence to the FBI and the CIA. Christopher Steele produced a dossier. He has a company called Orbis Business Intelligence, based in London.

He was hired by Fusion GPS, a U.S.-based company, and, allegedly, the report that he was commissioned to write, was paid for by supporters of Hillary Clinton. He put together this dossier, and then the dossier was given to the FBI, given to Brennan of the CIA, with unverified, slanderous material. But that report, to this day, remains the roadmap for the investigation being carried out by Mueller, the special counsel—and prior to that, by Comey.

When the campaign was coming to an end, the FBI entered into negotiations with Christopher Steele to pay him to continue his investigation. Orbis Business was founded in 2009, as was Fusion GPS. They have a confidentiality agreement which goes back to 2010, which means that the 2016 report was not the first engagement between Fusion GPS and Orbis. I would maintain that Fusion GPS is, in fact, operating as part of a British Intelligence operation against the U.S. Presidency. They argue, in response to Senator Grassley's request that they turn over information about the agreements to create this dossier, that they have a confidentiality agreement with Orbis; that's what they're trying to use to protect themselves.

The other figure in all this is the former acting director of the FBI. Because Christopher Wray was just confirmed, Andrew McCabe is no longer the acting director of the FBI. However he, when he began in the FBI, was the head of the Eurasian organized crime unit in New York City, from 2003 to 2006. Christopher Steele has admitted publicly that he was



*Obama's Director of National Intelligence, James R. Clapper.*

YouTube video



*Former CIA Director John Brennan.*

C-Span



U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation

*Andrew McCabe, Deputy Director of the FBI.*

working with that unit, at least from the time period of 2010. So, what you have is a suspicion on the part of Grassley that it's Andrew McCabe who was involved in the discussions with Christopher Steele, including the discussions about paying him to continue his work. This is the nexus of real British Intelligence treason in the United States, operating against President Trump.

This is the result of the U.S.-British special relationship, so called. It should be noted that after World War II, in 1946, there was something called the U.S.-U.K. Agreement, which was set up to monitor the then-Soviet Union; but this agreement continues to this day, and is designed to monitor the former Soviet Union and Eastern Bloc nations. It eventually morphed into what's called the "Five Eyes," expanding from Britain and the United States to include Australia, New Zealand, and Canada. It is this apparatus which is involved in the surveillance of Trump. GCHQ is the centerpiece of this. GCHQ has about 5500 employees; that's the kind of operation you're talking about. Snowden, for instance, I think it was in 2013, exposed one of the programs of the Five Eyes called Echelon, which he emphasized is a "*supranational intelligence organization that doesn't answer to the known laws of its own countries.*" So, they can use the excuse that the CIA or the NSA is not doing it, GCHQ is doing it, or another member of the Five Eyes; when in fact, the United States is directly involved in the entire surveillance operation.

## British Lies on Syria

I'm going to use one other example of the British operation: the chemical weapons case in Syria. You have two of the biggest alleged crimes in modern history: the so-called hacking of the DNC computer and the chemical attack in Idlib Province, Syria, which became the basis for the U.S. launching a military attack on the Syrian airbase. In both of these cases, *the crime scene was never secured*. In both of these cases, *the crime scene was never investigated!* The DNC refused to allow the FBI to investigate their computer. We have been given this entire story about how the Russians hacked the DNC, but the FBI has never examined the computer. Similarly, the OPCW [Organization for Prohibition of Chemical Weapons] has never gone to the site of the alleged Syrian Air Force sarin attack.

I'm raising this chemical attack because it's further evidence of British involvement in operations against the United States. Sergey Lavrov, the Russian Foreign Minister, said, "I would like to remind you that we have pointed out a very strange coincidence, that the two groups of the OPCW fact-finding mission on the potential use of chemical weapons in Syria are chaired by U.K. citizens." Steven Wallis, a British subject, is the head of one of the fact-finding missions responsible for working with the Syrian government. Leonard Phillips, another British subject, works with the Syrian rebels. So, the investigation—to the extent which it occurred—took place under the responsibility of two U.K. subjects. It should be pointed out that not only was the site of the attack never investigated, but also the Syrians offered to bring investigators to the airfield, so that that could be investigated. If chemical weapons had been used from that site, it would have been evident; it couldn't have been hidden from the investigators—and neither of these things was done.

Remember that Idlib Province is controlled by al-Nusra, which is al-Qaeda; that's the excuse for not sending a UN delegation there to investigate—it's



UN/Jean-Marc Ferre

*Carla Del Ponte, member of the UN Commission of Inquiry on Syria, addresses journalists in Geneva.*

unsafe. We have also pointed out that the doctor from Idlib Province who was featured in all the news accounts, is a Dr. Shajul Islam, who happens to be a British doctor from the British National Health Service. In 2012, he was in Syria fighting with the jihadists against the Syrian government. When he returned to Britain, he was arrested because he was involved in the abduction of two journalists, one a British journalist and the other a Dutch journalist. But he was released without a trial going forward, and sent back to Syria. He then became the spokesman quoted in the media (CNN et al.), saying this was a Syrian government chemical attack. The NGO on the ground in all of these areas controlled by al-Nusra, is called

the "White Helmets," which received \$123 million, from 2013 to 2016, to build them up as an organization. They were founded by a British military agent by the name of James Le Mesurier, who was a graduate of the Royal Military Academy and a recipient of the Queen's Medal.

If you look at the OPCW report, they say the following: "At the time of handover" of so-called evidence, "the team was informed that all samples were taken by non-governmental organizations. A representative of an NGO was also interviewed and provided photographs and videos from the scene of the alleged incident." All the evidence came from the British-created White Helmets, which is the NGO that they're talking about.

I would also point out the following: There's a certain amnesia that takes place in terms of chemical weapons. In 2013, there was a chemical attack in East Ghouta. Carla Del Ponte went there as part of the UN investigative committee. She is quoted in the *Telegraph*, at that point, saying, "According to the testimonies we have gathered, the rebels have used chemical weapons, making use of sarin gas. It is, at the moment, opponents of the regime who are using sarin gas." She was also interviewed by Reuters, saying, "This was used on the part of the opposition, the rebels, not the

government authority.” So, we know that the rebels have access to sarin gas.

It’s also reported by the OPCW that when the Syria government allowed its chemical weapons facilities to be dismantled and removed from the country, there were then twelve chemical weapons facilities; ten were removed. The other two were controlled by the rebels, so those were never removed.

### **British Intelligence and British Geopolitics**

There’s a very interesting book called, *Desperate Deception: British Covert Operations in the U.S. 1939-1944*, by Thomas Mahl. What it demonstrates, and this has been indicated in other publications as well, is that the British, starting in 1939, set up intelligence operations in the United States. Of course, this was a period in which Winston Churchill knew he needed the United States to defeat the Nazis: the Nazis had actually turned against Britain, after the British had helped create the Nazis in the first place. The British had an intelligence operation in the United States; it was centered here in New York at Rockefeller Center, and it was called the British Security Cooperation. It was located on the thirty-eighth floor of the International Building of the Rockefeller Center. William Stephenson represented MI6; he also represented MI5, and he ran the Special Operations Executive. He worked closely with what later became the CIA, and the person that he worked with was Allen Dulles. Allen Dulles operated out of Room 3663, 630 Fifth Avenue. The British Security Cooperation operated out of Room 3603, 630 Fifth Avenue. Stephenson also worked closely with “Gay” Edgar Hoover.

Let me just read you a couple of things. The British set up a forgery factory in Toronto, Canada for their war efforts. There was a memorandum which was released Nov. 26, 1941, called, “Atrocity Photographs.” It says “they could quite easily provide a regular supply of ‘atrocity pictures’ manufactured by us in Canada, the buying and hiring of costumes, the manufacture of small pieces of scenery and of dummies, a first class make-up man—all of which could be carried out under some sort of cover.” I mention that, because all of these videos they produce in Syria are just such “atrocity photos.” In this case, they were trying to generate atrocity photos of atrocities carried out by the Nazis.

Roosevelt worked with Churchill, but Roosevelt,

as reported by his son Elliott Roosevelt, told Churchill: “We’re not fighting this war to preserve the British Empire. . . after this war, we want to develop the world with American system methods and dismantle your imperial system.” But after Roosevelt’s death, this is the apparatus that took over. As I said earlier, the U.S.-U.K. agreement was signed in 1946 under Truman. In a certain way, I would argue that the environment in the United States after Churchill launched the Cold War, with Trumanism and McCarthyism, creates precisely the kind of state of mind—pure terror—that you see today with the lemming-like group-think action on the part of the U.S. Congress and Senate on behalf of the sanctions bill—even though they should know that this is something that can lead to thermo-nuclear war.

I’m using those as two examples: The failure to investigate the DNC computer and the way the British operated in terms of this chemical incident in Syria—I’m using those to demonstrate the nature of the British operation, which should be transparent to everybody, except for the way that they’ve been trained to think.

We fought a revolution against the British. It’s the British who burned down the White House. It’s the British who were involved in the assassination of Hamilton and the assassination of Lincoln. One of the biggest shifts in U.S. policy orientation was after the assassination of McKinley. Before that, the United States was working with Russia, working with Germany. After McKinley’s assassination, you had the Anglophile Teddy Roosevelt, who came in and shifted the entire policy. Over the past century—and into this century—what you’ve had is a shift toward the U.S.-British special relationship, as opposed to the traditional U.S. policy of working with other nations for economic development, that is, the American system of economics, which is coherent with what President Trump announced in a number of speeches in Kentucky and Detroit a month or two ago, and also coherent with his advocacy of Glass-Steagall.

The British know we are on the verge of a financial collapse. There was a very interesting interview with Alan Greenspan, who otherwise is not quotable. He pointed to the real danger of a bond bubble that could blow out very soon. Others have made similar types of warnings. We are on the verge of that. The British are still committed to maintaining their bankrupt imperial



*Zbigniew Brzezinski*

CSIS

system. The British system is based on the Venetian system, which was a financial system. So those who argue “well, the British Empire doesn’t exist anymore because they’re not militarily occupying this and that country,” miss the point. It is fundamentally a financial form of imperialism. You see the way in which, as Helga says, they have attempted to pull the United States into this British Empire, the “Commonwealth.” The other four countries in the Five Eyes are all members of the British Commonwealth. The British tried to pull the United States into this arrangement. It is that arrangement that has to be destroyed. It has to be cancelled! As Lyndon LaRouche said.

The whole policy against Russia and China is a remake of the geopolitical doctrine of Harold Mackinder, who was a British geopolitical thinker who worked with [Karl] Haushofer of Germany, who was instrumental in designing Hitler’s policy. In 1919, Halford Mackinder said the following: “Who rules East Europe commands the Heartland. Who rules the Heartland commands the World-Island. Who rules the World-Island commands the world.”

This is precisely the policy which was advocated by Bernard Lewis, another Brit. It was advocated by National Security Advisor Zbigniew Brzezinski under President Carter. It led Lyndon LaRouche to produce the video, [Storm Over Asia](#). Their whole idea was to create an Arc of Crisis surrounding the Soviet Union, at the time of Carter and Brzezinski, and later against the former Soviet Union. That’s what we’re dealing

with right now with regime change policies.

The Russians and Chinese have posed a coherent alternative to that with the intervention in Syria, the proposal for collaboration to fight terrorism, and with China’s adoption of the policy which Lyndon and Helga LaRouche have fought for for decades—the World Land-Bridge or the One Belt, One Road, which is a win-win policy, as opposed to a geopolitical policy. The British are committed to preventing this so-called Heartland from being developed. That British geopolitics is the policy that led to two world wars.

### **To Win the War—Understand Your Enemy**

This is what we’re up against. If the British are successful in the effort to impeach, carry out a coup against, or assassinate the President—we’re heading toward a Third World War, which would be thermonuclear. That’s the reality of the situation. That’s what is at stake! A major part of the problem is the way in which the British have operated to control the way people think, including Americans, but not limited to Americans. For instance, Bertrand Russell was a key figure. Lyndon LaRouche has called him the most evil man of the 20th Century. This is a guy whom many regard as a peacenik, but who advocated carrying out nuclear strikes against the Soviet Union, before he knew they had nuclear weapons. But, more fundamentally, he pushed a philosophy that denied creativity, the actual source of scientific development and economic development. He put forward a mathematical form of thinking, and that’s the way the British have always operated.

There are certain ideas which have become hegemonic in society and in academia, including the idea that the Universe is ruled by entropy, that the Universe is winding down, and there are limits to growth. And if you use up limited resources, there will be a catastrophe; therefore, we have to reduce population. We cannot industrialize because it will use up limited resources; and that man is the cause of climate change because he industrializes. This is the fundamental conception which is scientifically fraudulent, but has taken over.

You can look throughout history, where such ideas have been imposed, they’re enforced. For instance, the



Elizabeth (foreground), now Queen, makes the Nazi salute.

idea that the Earth is flat, or the belief in Euclidian geometry, which is that linearity is primary as opposed to curvature, which is connected with the idea that the Earth is flat. During the whole era of the Middle Ages, it was believed that the Emperor in Europe derived his power from the Pope; it was a divine right, and the Pope determined who was the Holy Roman Emperor, and this was attributed to the “Donation of Constantine.” But, as Nicholas of Cusa and Dante before him, and others, pointed out, this was a complete fraud. But you had to destroy that idea—an idea that everybody was afraid to challenge—in order to arrive at the actual reality, which is that the power to govern derives from the people: It has to be an informed people, it has to be a people who are acting intelligently, not just ultra-democracy, as in the democracy policies of regime change.

This was the British approach—to control the way in which people think, by reducing their thinking to mathematics, to what’s called induction. You go from sense-perception to a conclusion which is actually derived from a fixed assumption. Take the chemical

bombings. You see a video on television,—that’s your sense-perception—an atrocity, a child was injured. It could have been completely staged and most likely was. But you see that, and then what happens? From a deductive standpoint: this is the Russians—it’s always the Russians, or Assad, they demonize them. But where’s the evidence? They never went to the scene, but people accept this kind of thing.

Or the so-called hack of the DNC computers: You’re told from CrowdStrike—which is the company hired by the DNC, two of whose leading figures used to work at the FBI with Mueller (now the Special Counsel)—that this was the Russians. Then you are manipulated into this entire operation, which is destroying this country and the world. The urgent necessity is to break out of this kind of mental control, and recognize the actual nature of man is to be creative, not to just operate on the basis of induction and deduction. That’s the philosophy of the British System, empiricism, the method of Francis Bacon, John Locke, all of these so-called philosophers who were just agents of the British Empire.

Always remember that the goal of the royal family, the Nazi-loving royal family, is to reduce the world’s population from its current level to one billion—at most. That is real genocide. That’s the policy of Zeus from Greek mythology, as opposed to the policy of Prometheus which was to develop mankind—to give science, give technology, give fire. That is the more fundamental issue that people have to actually think about—how they think—and not be afraid. You’ve got to actually break through this environment, which has been created, and mobilize. That is what we’ve got to do at this point: Mobilize to make sure that the truth comes out with respect to this whole issue of the so-called DNC hacking; mobilize to ensure that this sanctions bill is reversed. Even more importantly, mobilize for collaboration between the United States, Russia, and China, and potentially India, to dismantle the British Empire once and for all, before it destroys humanity. That is the fundamental issue before us right now.

There are economic policies which Lyndon LaRouche has outlined—the *Four Laws*—which are crucial. That’s what has to move forward. That would move us into coherence with Russia and China, as opposed to these sanctions.

That is, I think, the crux of what I wanted to develop.

# Every Day Counts In Today's Showdown To Save Civilization

NEW  
REDUCED  
PRICE!

That's why you need EIR's **Daily Alert Service**, a strategic overview compiled with the input of Lyndon LaRouche, and delivered to your email 5 days a week.

The election of Donald Trump to the Presidency of the United States has launched a new global era whose character has yet to be determined. The Obama-Clinton drive toward confrontation with Russia has been disrupted--but what will come next?

Over the next weeks and months there will be a pitched battle to determine the course of the Trump Administration. Will it pursue policies of cooperation with Russia and China in the New Silk Road, as the President-Elect has given some signs of? Will it follow through against Wall Street with Glass-Steagall?

The opposition to these policies will be fierce. If there is to be a positive outcome to this battle, an informed citizenry must do its part--intervening, educating, inspiring. That's why you need the EIR Daily Alert more than ever.

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 22, 2016

Volume 3, Number 65

## EIR Daily Alert Service

P.O. Box 17390, WASHINGTON, DC 20041-0390

- Only Global Solutions, Based on New Principles, Can Work
- Tulsi Gabbard Meets with Donald Trump Regarding Syria
- Robert Kagan Throws in the Towel, Complains U.S. Is Becoming 'Solipsistic'
- War Party Moving To Preempt Trump-Putin Reset
- Syrian Army Makes More Progress in Aleppo
- Duterte Gives OK to Nuclear Power for Philippines
- Europe Will Suffer from Maintaining Russia Sanctions
- Former Chilean Diplomat Confirmed, 'We Will Joyfully Welcome Xi Jinping'
- Duterte and Putin Establish Philippines-Russia Cooperation
- François Fillon, Pro-Russian Thatcherite, Wins First Round of French Right-Wing Presidential Primary

## EDITORIAL

Only Global Solutions, Based on New Principles, Can Work



**SUBSCRIBE** (e-mail address must be provided.)

## EIR DAILY ALERT SERVICE

- \$100** one month (introductory)
- \$600** six months
- \$1,200** one year (includes EIR Online)

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Company \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_ Country \_\_\_\_\_

Phone ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail \_\_\_\_\_

For mobile users,  
EIR Daily Alert Service  
is available in html

I enclose \$ \_\_\_\_\_ check or money order

Make checks payable to

**EIR News Service Inc.**

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my  MasterCard  Visa  
 Discover  Am Ex

Card Number \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Expiration Date \_\_\_\_\_

EIR can be reached at:

[www.larouchepub.com/eiw](http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw)

e-mail: [fulfillment@larouchepub.com](mailto:fulfillment@larouchepub.com)

Call 1-703-297-8434