

Dialogue

Question of jurist Marino Elsevyf, professor of Constitutional and Criminal Law at the University of Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic, to Col. Richard Black (USA ret.), who had provided expert testimony, and his response. Col. Black is a former chief of the Army Criminal Law Division, Office of the Judge Advocate General at the Pentagon.



Schiller Institute

Prof. Marino Elsevyf



Schiller Institute

Col. Richard Black

Marino Elsevyf: Col. Black, at this moment, the “deep state,” which Lyndon LaRouche used to refer to as the “international synarchy”—interests that are above nation states—since they have tried in the past to carry out a coup d’état in the United States, and they’ve tried to do that in the middle of a pandemic, do you anticipate an American Autumn

like the Arab Spring, where in the middle of all this crisis—the murders, violence, and burnings which are occurring across the U.S.—are we perhaps facing that kind of a crisis?

And secondly, do you not believe that the strategy of the mass media that are supporting the international

synarchy—their line that there is no proof of fraud—that this could be made to backfire? There is the issue of Smartmatic and Dominion, the issue of “fractional accounting,” the ethical violations which occurred as documented by Sidney Powell.

Col. Richard Black: One thing that has happened in the background, through all of this, has been that we have evolved, over several years, basically since Trump went into office, into a censorship state. We often criticize other nations—China, for example—and we say, “Well, look, they’re censoring their equivalent of Twitter, and their social media, and so forth,” and yet, if you watch what has happened here over several years, the social media have become quite draconian, and there are many very responsible internet news outlets that have simply been shut down. . . .

So, we’ve seen this transition over time, where Facebook, Twitter, Google, YouTube, all of these have tightened, and tightened, and tightened the screws. I did some videos, very factual, not inflammatory in any way, but they dealt with the situation in Syria. And someone went back to refer to one—it was four years ago; it’s not as though people are looking at them every day—and he discovered that they have deleted it. They just censored it, not because it’s false, but because it’s true! And because it does not meet the narrative of the Central Intelligence Agency.

You have this purging of history. History has to be eliminated. You see the same thing with the riots going on, the tearing down of statues. They started with Confederate statues—they were the easy target. Then they went to Christopher Columbus; they started tearing him down.

There was one of the Gang of Four Democrat female congresswomen, the very radical group headed by AOC, and the one said, we need to tear down that statue of the Catholic priest who voluntarily joined a leper colony, knowing that he would be infected with leprosy, knowing that his digits would fall from his body, that he would die this horrific death; and yet, he did it voluntarily so he could serve the needs of that community and he could preach the Gospel.

And so, basically it is anyone who stands for decency and honor and civilization, we’re going to destroy it.

This is the same thing I saw when I was in Syria, and I went to Palmyra, this wonderful city, this historic city. And ISIS had gone in and they had destroyed and burned and looted all of the historic monuments, some of these went back 4,000 years before the birth of Christ!

Anywhere that you see revolutionaries, you’re going to see an attempt to purge records of civilized activities. And we’re seeing that in this country.

Now, I will say that, going back to the idea of “American Autumn,” I think that we’re not quite to the point where they can pull off an Arab Spring type thing in the United States. There’s a little too much resistance, a little too much left of our cultural architecture that has not yet been dismantled and destroyed. But they’re making progress all the time, and if they’re allowed to continue, particularly if they should prevail in this election and can go on, they will eventually try to simply disassemble the country, and its culture completely. There is a communist, a Bolshevik underpinning to the whole thing. It has the whiff of the French Revolution and that type of thing, and that is ultimately where they are headed.

Question of journalist Daniel Marmolejo (Mexico), and the response of Harley Schlanger of the Schiller Institute, who had provided expert testimony.

Daniel Marmolejo, Mexican YouTube host [excerpts, via translator]: Hello, I’d like to greet everyone who is participating in this extremely important event, which allows us to analyze the elections in the country which has tried to take democracy to the whole world. What happened in the United States is something that we lived through in Mexico in 2006, to some degree, because we had a spurious President who took the country into one of the biggest, terrible internal conflicts. There was a redoubling of violence and a whole series of relations with the Deep State in the United States, with the military-industrial complex, and with the international drug trade.

Recently, we have received in Mexico the return of a very important military man from the last government, General Cienfuegos, who they say is connected to organized crime, and most surely with the U.S. Deep State. He has the same charges against him as Genaro García Luna, who is a personal friend of the spurious government of Felipe Calderón.

Based on the evidence which has been presented here, the parallel is really very similar, separated only by a few years. What concerns me greatly are the statements which Colonel Black has made here regarding a military grouping in the United States that could possibly carry out a coup d’état. Different leaders, such as President Evo Morales in Bolivia, have quipped that, if

there isn't the danger of a coup, it's because there is no U.S. embassy in the United States!

I would also like to express my solidarity, and that of the people of Mexico, with Leah Hoopes, and for the situation she is having to endure.

What I would like to know is if, within the American population, there is to be found something along the lines of universal truth, and if the justice system in the United States could be an example not only for the United States, but for the world. Will they be able to actually look into all of the details to make sure that this is a legally viable election; and to make sure that they would not have a spurious President as we did in Mexico?

This is directed to anyone of the panelists who would like to respond.

Harley Schlanger: That is a very important point. I think it's interesting that the Mexican President has withheld the endorsement of Joe Biden, or the recognition of Joe Biden, because of what he faced in 2006 with vote fraud. The question that you are posing to us is really, how do you restore the tradition of the American System, of the American republic, at a point when the direction of the country is spiraling into a worse and worse economic crisis, a social crisis, and the group that's trying to come to power through this vote fraud is committed to expanding the wars around the world, expanding the financial fraud, the outsourcing, the collapse of industry.

It is a moment where everyone has to take a very deep reflection as to what we've been tolerating from governments. And the stealing of the right to vote, the right to have your vote count, is one of the important things. It's considered one of the sacred things about the United States, but this has not been addressed.

That's why what I just spoke about a few moments ago is the direction we have to go in. We have to have a

full and open reckoning with what's been done to the country. This could have been done beginning four years ago, had there not been so much obstruction from the Democratic Party, the Republican Party, the Bush networks, the media. President Trump ran head up against the so-called "Deep State."

It's not really a Deep State; it's what Mrs. LaRouche refers to as the British Empire. This is what Lyndon LaRouche always identified as the enemy, where an oligarchy attempts to impose itself on the institutions of self-government. In particular today, that means destroying sovereign institutions.

What we just heard from the Senator from Pennsylvania is quite interesting, because the idea that the people will take back their government, acting through their local elected representatives, can restore confidence. Most people in the United States have no confidence that we'll get honesty from the Congress. But we do know there are people, the whistleblowers like Bill Binney and others, there are good people in this country that have to step forward and fight this. It's not a question of waiting to see what's going to happen next week in the court. People have to be mobilizing, and by mobilizing, I mean get out the truth. The truth is not limited to the vote fraud fight; it's the question of how we've given up our rights for self-government to the extent that we've stood back and allowed this to happen.

The Bush administration was a murderous administration. Obama was worse. What we're seeing so far from Biden, if he's allowed in, it will be even worse, at a point when the whole world is careening towards a systemic collapse. So, what we're discussing here is not some abstract question of legal judgments or academic concerns, but something that should touch every single person who's hearing this and mobilize for an outcome which includes the cleaning up of this gang of criminals that has taken over the United States.