

# A Vision for the Future Must Triumph over Crisis Management

by Jason Ross

Jan. 26—Great goals are achieved not by responding to present issues, but in having a higher vision that will transform them. This is plainly *not* the kind of leadership currently being displayed in the trans-Atlantic world.

Lyndon LaRouche warned of the loss of the concept of *future*, of the degradation of government itself into merely responding to real or manufactured crises. He spoke of this at a Jan. 3, 2001 [webcast](#), regarding the incoming George W. Bush Administration.

“We’re going into a period in which either we do the kinds of things I indicated in summary to you today, or else, what you’re going to have, is not a government. You’re going to have something like a Nazi regime. Maybe not initially, at the surface. What you’re going to have is a government which cannot pass legislation, meaningful legislation. *How does a government which cannot pass meaningful legislation, under conditions of crisis, govern? They govern, in every case in known history, by what’s known as crisis-management.*”

LaRouche warned that “members of the special warfare types, of the secret government, the secret police teams, will set off provocations, which will be used to bring about dictatorial powers and emotion, in the name of crisis management.” The “Reichstag Fire” that LaRouche warned of in that speech, came eight months later in the form of the September 11 attacks.

His analysis remains valuable today.

How does a vision for the future exert itself, if policy is driven by a series of “responses”—to [Russia’s military operation in Ukraine](#), to [illegal migration](#), to [the Israel-Palestine conflict](#), to the cultural insanity seen in various aspects of “wokism”?

How does a vision for the future exert itself, if policy is driven by a belief structure that *actively opposes* a future orientation, in the name of the power of efficient market forces?

The LaRouche movement has led the world for decades in facilitating a meaningful dialogue on planning for the future—through detailed infrastructure and development plans, to economic research on the unique value of scientific advancement, to a Classical approach to culture—and its ideas are needed now more than ever.

The [Eurasian Land-Bridge and New Silk Road](#) proposed by Lyndon LaRouche and Helga Zepp-LaRouche after the end of the Cold War has inspired, in its global form, a new paradigm for development, as detailed in the Schiller Institute’s [2014](#) and [2018](#) reports.

To apply that vision to the world today, a revolutionary change is needed in the United States, an elimination of the war party that has taken control of policy through its control over the institutions. President Donald Trump’s nominees Kash Patel (FBI) and Tulsi Gabbard (Director of National Intelligence) could have a transformative impact by bringing to the light of day the misdeeds of the American “intelligence” community—including, if they are diligent in their work—the connections to British intelligence, the ultimate source of imperial thinking in the world today.

This January 27 is the 50th anniversary of the 82-4 vote in the U.S. Senate to establish the Church Committee (officially, the United States Senate Select Committee To Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities), whose [final report](#) included shocking revelations of domestic spying, infiltration of political groups, assassinations foreign and domestic, and actions taken without the knowledge of the President.

The LaRouche Organization (TLO) report, “[The Liars’ Bureau](#),” gives a good idea of what Gabbard and Patel might find, and why they are being so adamantly opposed by the war party. TLO President Diane Sare is calling on the Senate to convene a new Church Committee to finally end the corruption and crimes.

## **EDITORIAL**