

What the 'Climategate' E-mails Don't Say

by Laurence Hecht

The little secret of the University of East Anglia Climatic Research Unit e-mails is that there is no "global warming." A point of greater significance lies outside them.

The 90 megabytes of e-mail and data files provide evidence that leading figures at East Anglia were covering up or massaging data that disputed global warming, particularly, land surface temperature records, and the evidence of a period of warming temperature well before the industrial era, known as the medieval warm period. What had them upset was that the slight upward spike in surface temperatures over approximately two decades preceding 1998 has disappeared from the land surface records, and for the past approximately eight years there has been a cooling trend that has largely eliminated the previously alleged 0.6°C increase in the "global averaged temperature," over the previous century.

Highly influential figures in Britain have denounced the exposed hoax, some in scathing terms. Lord Nigel Lawson of Blaby, Chancellor of the Exchequer for six years under the Thatcher government, called for a formal inquest, and announced the formation of a new climate advisory group. Lord Christopher Monckton, Britain's leading spokesman against the global warming fraud, called leading figures at East Anglia "criminals" and "arrogant fraudsters," and suggested they will soon be prosecuted for procurement of data destruction.

Yet, the far more serious crime, is that "global warming" is a fraud, whose real intent is to promote a genocide worse than Hitler's. Since its first promotion in 1975, the claim has been that carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions from industry will warm the planet, melt the ice caps, and fry or drown us all. Therefore, human beings will have to do without. There never was scientific proof, and the argument went against all competent understanding of climate science—that it is the Sun and the variations of the Earth-Sun orbital relationship

ENVIRONMENT | 25.11.2009

Hacker scandal sends ripples through climate-science community



An e-mail hacking scandal at a British climate institute has set off shockwaves just ahead of key climate talks in Copenhagen. Skeptics say the mails show scientists have misrepresented climate data.

Those involved say warming - despite poorly worded e-mails

the INQUIRER
News, reviews, facts and friction

The cows were desperate to be milked, the sheep to be sheared

Home | Reviews | Chips | Hardware | Software

such planned reduction in global living standards is possible without unleashing a downward spiral of famine, pandemic disease, and social chaos of such a nature that its final

outcome cannot be predicted by any human agency. Thus, the hoax of “global warming” is no mere question for academic debate, but rather a matter of the survival of the human species.

To prepare the citizen to combat this almost unthinkable evil, it is necessary

that we go beyond mere refutation of the climate hoax, and understand more clearly the nature of the enemy.²

We concentrate here, rather, upon the essential points that are not widely understood. Most important, is that the environmental movement is an arm of a global financial empire dedicated to reducing world population, eliminating scientific progress, and increasing the control of a financial oligarchy. It is not acting in the interest of any single nation, but rather, against the sovereignty of all nations. The forces associated with the still-undead British Empire, or Anglo-Dutch financial

empire, continue to play the principal role. The partnership of Britain’s Prince Philip with former Nazi Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands and sundry oligarchical forces of Europe, in such promotions as the World Wildlife Fund, is exemplary. Credulous people, such as those bred to be servants or slaves of a ruling oligarchy, are told they must not entertain such ideas as may appear to be “conspiracy” theories. Yet, who does not know that all historical movements, for good or ill, are the results of conspiracy?

Telegraph.co.uk

Home | News | Sport | Finance | Lifestyle | Comment | Travel | Culture | T

UK | World | UK Politics | Celebrities | Obituaries | Weird | Earth | Science | Heal

BLOGS HOME » NEWS » POLITICS » JAMES DELINGPOLE

Climategate: the final nail in the coffin of 'Anthropogenic Global Warming'?

By James Delingpole | Politics | Last updated: November 20th, 2009

622 Comments | Comment on this article

If you own any shares in alternative energy companies I should start dumping them NOW. The conspiracy behind the Anthropogenic Global Warming myth (aka AGW; aka ManBearPig) has been suddenly, brutally

Recent Post
Climategate Conservativ begins
November 28th

The publication of reams of e-mail messages, hacked from the University of East Anglia Climatic Research Unit computers, sent shock waves throughout the world, by providing the “smoking gun” evidence that global warming is a hoax. Here are few of thousands of headlines on the story.

which are the principal determinants of climate variability.

The explicit intent in promoting the fraud and other anti-science hoaxes has been to halt the development of scientific and technological progress, to drastically reduce world population, perhaps to the level below 2 billion persons that the World Wildlife Fund’s Prince Philip has proposed as desirable.¹

In fact, by the laws of human physical economy, no

1. Cf. Marjorie M. Hecht, “1975 Endangered Atmosphere Conference: Where the Global Warming Hoax Was Born,” *EIR*, June 8, 2007, pp. 50-55. (http://www.larouchepub.com/eiwp/public/2007/2007_20-29/2007-23/pdf/50-55_723.pdf)


2. Factual documentation against the climate hoax has become widely available. An instructive collection of articles may be found at: http://www.21stcenturysciencetech.com/Global_Warming.html

The Environmentalist Record

To get an understanding of what is at play, begin with this simple historical fact: By the early 1960s, human society was poised for a breakout in the rate of physical economic progress. No technical obstacle stood in the way of combining already known agricultural and industrial technologies with the proven capability for generating high energy-flux density nuclear electricity and process heat, such that the entire world's population could be brought up to a modern standard of living, within a generation or slightly longer. Further, the United States was poised to follow up its program for manned Lunar exploration with a plan for manned exploration of Mars. Plans for the development of nuclear and thermonuclear powered rockets capable of achieving constant 1g acceleration to power human travelers to Mars, a Moon industrial base, and Earth orbital way-stations were all in the works.

Suddenly, all that changed. We can look briefly at what happened in the United States, recognizing that a similar pattern played out in all the industrialized nations of the West. By the late 1960s, students from the leading U.S. universities had been transformed from supporters of technological progress into enraged opponents of science, and anything to do with its application, including blue-collar workers and farmers. By 1969, an organized anti-science movement had emerged under the banner of "ecology," which found public expression in the April 1970 Earth Day celebrations. (Margaret Mead, the organizer of the first conference to promote the global warming fraud at Research Triangle, N.C., in 1975, gave her endorsement to the 1978 Earth Day with the statement, "Earth Day is the first holy day which transcends all national borders." Mead never failed to appear in public without a forked witch's staff.)

Mass layoffs in the aerospace industry had already begun, as a result of measures taken under Presidents Johnson and Nixon to wind down the space program, a



NASA

Fifty years ago, the United States had plans for development of nuclear and thermonuclear powered rockets capable of achieving constant 1g acceleration to power human travelers to Mars, a Moon industrial base, and Earth orbital way-stations.

Suddenly, all that changed. Shown here: an artist's rendering of a crew carrying out drilling on the surface of Mars.

decision reached even before the first manned landing on the Moon.

By January 1971, DDT was under courtroom attack from the Environmental Defense Fund, and, a year later, Environmental Protection Agency administrator William Ruckelshaus, who had first opposed a DDT ban, banned it, stating afterwards, that the decision was made for political reasons. Months of scientific testimony had not produced any valid scientific argument against the widely used insecticide, which had saved millions of lives. The ban has cost the lives of tens of millions of residents in tropical countries, mostly children. Because of the chemical's unique excito-repellent effect, indoor spraying of DDT remains the most effective means to prevent malaria-carrying mosquitoes from infecting humans.

Next came the attack on the chemical industry. Then, the leveraging of an accident at the Three Mile Island nuclear power plant, arguably a case of sabotage, into a movement that successfully prevented the breaking of ground on a single new nuclear power plant installation in the United States, to this day.

And there was more: CFCs, asbestos, PCBs, food preservatives. Almost every invention of modern chemistry was declared to be dangerous to your health. If the atomic nucleus could have been banned from the human body it would have been. It is not out of the question that some court may yet try.



PPPL/T.C. Simonen

Experiments during the 1980s on the axisymmetric tandem mirror device at Lawrence Livermore Laboratory (shown here), demonstrated that efficiencies up to 80% were possible in direct conversion of the energy of alpha particles and ions shot out the ends of this linear type of fusion device, using the standard deuterium/deuterium reaction.

What Is Needed?

Today, about 5 billion of the world's 6.7 billion people survive below an acceptable human living standard. The conditions for more than one-third of the human race are perpetual misery, disease, and hunger.

It is not yet too late to remedy that situation. The solution requires a mobilization of precisely those scientific resources that the anti-science movement has targeted. The problem we face today, as compared to 40 years ago, is that a larger population must be supported, with a depleted base of resources, both human scientific and material.

For example, it will take the construction of 6,000 nuclear power plants by 2050, just to meet the electricity generation requirements of a properly fed, clothed, and housed world population. The power equivalent of another 6,000 1-gigawatt plants will be needed to supply process heat to industry and mining. Railroads, roads, water and sewage systems, hospitals, schools, factories, etc., are needed now throughout the world. The depletion of the most easily available mineral resources means that new sources must be discovered and developed. How will such needs be met?

The greatest limitation to achieving such aims is not

any deficit in finite resources, but the lack of sufficient human creativity to solve the many challenges. The only remedy for such a situation is a rapid mobilization to increase our creative scientific capabilities. This is not a matter of merely opening up some schools. We have lost almost two generations of science, thanks to the environmentalist onslaught. When we look at the crucial areas of nuclear science and space, for example, we find that much of the genius, knowledge, and capability of an older generation has been lost without replacement. The biological sciences are increasingly mired in reductionist dogma, where they are not dominated outright by the green movement; and the Earth sciences have been increasingly taken over by the climate mafia.

The Science of Culturing Science

The proven method for achieving a rapid rate of scientific progress is the mobilization of the creative powers of youth around the tasks that challenge the imagination and invite breakthroughs in thinking. Today, that challenge remains, as for a prior generation, the manned exploration of the Solar System.

The leading practical problems of space exploration are the achievement of a thermonuclear-powered rocket to provide a constant 1g acceleration for manned vehicles, and mastery of the Vernadskyan domain governing the relationship of life to the cosmos. The pursuit of those aims will prove the fastest route to solving the problems facing human development on Earth.

For example, the nuclear fission reaction can produce 1- to 10-million times the energy-flux density of chemical reactions, such as from burning of fossil fuels or hydrogen. Thermonuclear fusion can exceed that of fission by an order of magnitude, or more, and also provide other advantages. The most likely candidate for powering manned vehicles for planetary exploration is the neutronless helium-3/deuterium fusion reaction, utilizing the abundant helium-3 resource found on the Lunar surface. Mastering the means to directly convert the kinetic energy contained in the high-velocity proton produced by the reaction into electrical power, will

prove a boon to power generation on Earth or any fixed station.

Bypassing the need to first boil water to turn a turbine blade to rotate a generator will place power generation from thermonuclear sources on an entirely new footing. As Dr. Richard Post reported on 1980s experiments on the axisymmetric tandem mirror device at Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, efficiencies up to 80% were possible in direct conversion of the energy of alpha particles and ions shot out the ends of this linear type of fusion device, using the standard deuterium/deuterium reaction.³ (Research on that reactor along with all other approaches to fusion, except the tokamak device, were scuttled in the 1980s, under budgetary pressure shaped by the environmentalists' Luddite onslaught.)

The million-degree temperatures produced by a fusion reactor can provide the heat to process raw rock, even garbage, into its constituent elements, magnetically separating the ionized gases of each element by a device known as the plasma torch. Laser and plasma isotope separation, techniques demonstrated in the 1970s, permit a new level of control over the Periodic Table for the use of man.

Space exploration also challenges our understanding of life and its relationship to the cosmos. The great challenge, to keep man alive in the space environment, as he travels at high velocity in an inertial gravitational field, and lands in a completely new environment of changed gravitational and magnetic field strengths, will prompt new discoveries. What is the relationship of cosmic radiation to life? Can life survive without a magnetic field or without the low-level radiation that is a constant companion on Earth? What is the significance of the electromagnetic spectrum in intercellular communication and development of an organism?

The alternatives for the future are: creative development of the human potential of the sort we outline here, or descent into a hell of depopulation, famine, disease, and the breakdown of human society. The details of the East Anglia e-mails are not the significant point. Defeating the genocidal intentions of the promoters of global warming is.

3. See "Interview: Richard F. Post, A Fusion Pioneer Talks About Fusion and How To Get There," *21st Century Science & Technology*, Summer 2007, pp. 36-52. http://www.21stcenturysciencetech.com/Articles_2009/Summer-2009/Post_interview.pdf