

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

July 24, 2009 Vol. 36 No. 28

www.larouchepub.com \$10.00

LaRouche to Mexican Leaders: Think Strategically!
Britain's 'Green' Opium War Against the Americas
What Profits? The United States Is Dying!

Fighting Degeneration Now



Britain's Mideast Gameplan: Divide and Rule

EXPOSED!

Who really blew apart
the Oslo peace effort?

It was high-level London-based freemasonic circles, intimately tied to the British Royal Family, who have been enflaming both Jewish and Arab passions for more than 100 years.

Here is their story, told in their own words, including explosive interviews with insiders to the "Temple Mount Plot."

This December 2000 report accurately forecast that London would light the fuse to religious war. *EIR's* exclusive intelligence provides the key to stopping the carnage.

EIR SPECIAL REPORT

Who Is Sparking a Religious War In the Middle East?—And How To Stop It

Price: **\$50**

EIRSP 2000-2 Call Toll-Free **1-800-278-3135**

Visa, MasterCard Accepted

Or Write

EIR News Service, Inc.

P.O. Box 17390 Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Or Order online at

www.larouchepub.com

Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small, Edward Spannaus, Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William Wertz*

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editors: *Bonnie James, Susan Welsh*

Science Editor: *Marjorie Mazel Hecht*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Graphics Editor: *Alan Yue*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele Steinberg*

Economics: *John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Law: *Edward Spannaus*

Russia and Eastern Europe: *Rachel Douglas*

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almarino*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Rubén Cota Meza*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

Stockholm: *Hussein Askary*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly (50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc., 729 15th St. N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005.
(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach 1611, D-65006 Wiesbaden, Germany;
Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany
Tel: 49-611-73650
Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>
e-mail: eirna@eirna.com
Director: Georg Neudekker

Montreal, Canada: 514-855-1699

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11, basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.
Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail: eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico: EIR, Manual Ma. Contreras #100, Despacho 8, Col. San Rafael, CP 06470, Mexico, DF.
Tel.: 2453-2852, 2453-2853.

Copyright: ©2009 EIR News Service. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement #40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Managing Editor

It is now exactly two years since Lyndon LaRouche, in a webcast address of July 25, 2007, entitled, “The End of the Post-FDR Era,” forecast the then-imminent, and now unfolding financial/economic disintegration which has placed worldwide civilization on the cusp of a New Dark Age. That forecast was realized within the days immediately following; in the intervening two years, the LaRouche political movement has fought fiercely for the solutions to that crisis.

Although precious time has been wasted, it is still not too late to rescue the planet from catastrophe. For sure, LaRouche is not ready to throw in the towel, as he will make clear, once again, in an Aug. 1 LaRouche PAC webcast.

Unfortunately, the Obama Administration, in which so many had placed their hopes for a return to sanity, and to policies that would reflect the Democratic Party’s FDR legacy, has revealed itself to be anything but. Its Nazi health-care policies, its obeisance to the British Liberal financial empire, and the effects of the deepening economic depression, have combined with the impact of LaRouche’s interventions, to create the beginnings of a resistance to those policies.

Our current issue is book-ended by two reports on the state of the battle: In “It Is Time To Declare War,” leading our *Feature*, LaRouche cautions, “The common error presently encountered even among leading circles today, is the common tendency of the post-industrial cultures of the Americas and Europe, to threaten war against the noisy brat next door, as a way of pretending not to notice the arrival of the powerful invading forces which have just now reached the outskirts of the city.”

The second, leading our *National* section, is “President Obama Flaunts His Nero Complex,” which takes an in-depth look at the mad flight forward of the President and his creepy advisors, confirming LaRouche’s warnings, in an April 11 webcast, that Obama is afflicted with a “Narcissus syndrome.”

To get a sense of LaRouche’s war-winning strategy, be sure to read our *International* coverage, beginning with his teleconference address to the Pro-PLHINO Committee in Sonora, Mexico.



Cover This Week

The enemy to be defeated is the British Empire, which holds President Barack "Nero" Obama in sway.



4 It Is Time To Declare War

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. writes: "The specific charge of high crimes and misdemeanors against this U.S. President, at this time, is his current, publicly stated intent to arrange the premediated deaths among our citizens, a method of mass-murder of the nation's own nationals, and others, copied exactly from the Adolf Hitler regime's 'Tiergarten Vier (T-4)' mechanisms." Impeachment could be avoided, on condition that Obama is reined in by competent advisors, and that the behavioral economists and other lunatics in his entourage are dumped.

6 Lyndon LaRouche Repudiates Obama's Fascist Sophistries on Health Care

11 Proposed Act: 'The Right to High-Quality Health Care'

International

12 LaRouche to Mexico's Pro-PLHINO Committee: 'Victory Is a Matter of Grand Strategy'

Lyndon LaRouche held a telephone conference with the Pro-PLHINO Committee of the 21st Century in Ciudad Obregon, Sonora, Mexico, on July 14. The PLHINO (Northwest Hydraulic Plan) would bring prosperity to the entire region, but has been sabotaged for decades. LaRouche told the committee that they cannot win this battle on their own; the enemy is the British empire, and it must be defeated globally.

23 The British Monarchy's 'Green' Opium War Against the Americas

28 Soros Strikes Again: Daycare Fire Covers Sonora Election Fraud

A statement by the LaRouche Youth Movement in Mexico.

Economics

30 What Profits? The Nation Is Dying!

Four of the biggest banks in the United States declared huge profits for the second quarter, prompting cheers about how the financial system has returned to normalcy. Virtually none of what these cheerleaders are saying is true, because virtually nothing they believe is true.

32 Eurasian Land-Bridge: Bangladesh Revives Silk Road Concept

National

40 President Obama Flaunts His Nero Complex

In apparent imitation of the Emperor Nero, Obama and his close advisors are conducting a reign of terror against any elected Democrat who opposes them. Inside the Beltway, as well as outside, people are recognizing that LaRouche was right to denounce the President's "Nero" complex, in his April 11 webcast.

44 LaRouche's April 11 Webcast: President Obama's 'Narcissus Syndrome'

Book Reviews

36 'Dead Aid' Proposes More Death for Africa: Why Not Kill Globalization Instead?

Dead Aid: Why Aid Is Not Working and How There Is a Better Way for Africa, by Dambisa Moyo.

Editorial

48 The British Empire Is the Disease

It Is Time To Declare War

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

July 17, 2009

The common error presently encountered even among leading circles today, is the common tendency of the post-industrial cultures of the Americas and Europe, to threaten war against the noisy brat next door, as a way of pretending not to notice the arrival of the powerful invading forces which have just now reached the outskirts of the city. Such behavior is typical of the fiercely militant cowards who limit their attention to the “more credible” issues of “immediate concern.”

We must recall, from experiences such as World War II, that it is stupid strategy to appeal, by populist rhetoric, to the stupid prejudices of those who appeal to the lower, more simple minded passions, and related issues, of those who rely upon the populist passions of people who prefer, like the French masses of 1939-1940, not to be forced to actually think in the needed, more profound way.

“What, therefore, did President Franklin Roosevelt do, when the Japan fleet attacked Pearl Harbor?” He declared war against the empire of the world. It was chiefly war against that British Empire which had created the Adolf Hitler regime in Germany; but, it was also, as Roosevelt made clear, a recognition that the real, larger warfare was against that British Empire which had created Adolf out of British mud. For President Franklin Roosevelt, it was a continuing war against that British

Empire which had created and deployed Adolf Hitler as its puppet, with much assistance from those Anglophile oligarchs who reigned over Britain’s tool, the so-called “Wall Street” gang, who were the enemies of our own republic within, as without our United States.

When the enemy is assembled in great numbers at your doorstep, do not attempt to defeat him there; attack him on those crucially significant strategic flanks, as General Douglas MacArthur did, where he is vulnerable to defeat.

When facing sundry resolute enemies, the first step to victory, is to commit one’s self, and one’s own forces, to win war. So, did Franklin Roosevelt, from the moment he had succeeded in gaining the 1932 Democratic Party nomination. Once the war was declared, in his own mind, against the same enemy he faced, in that campaign for the Presidential nomination, he knew what was against him: he was conducting strategic warfare: essentially, against the British Empire. Within a matter of days prior to his inauguration, he knew already that he would probably have to fight actual warfare, first, against the British Empire’s unleashed tool, the Adolf Hitler dictatorship which had just conducted the Reichstag coup d’etat in Germany.

Today, the enemy against which we must declare war, and for the same cause, is the same British Empire; times have changed, but the quarrel between those two principal opposing species of adversaries, has not. So, a similar set of considerations confronts us today. In the



ritory of a suitable asteroid, where Ms. Pelosi might visit him as a royal guest of honor.]

Obama's Crimes Against Humanity

President Barack Obama has earned his urgent impeachment from office, for reason of having already, persistently, even fanatically, committed himself to explicit crimes against humanity, and that massively: as by virtue of his fanatical insistence on promoting the same explicit crime against humanity which was expressed in Nuremburg and related tribunals in the matter of the Adolf Hitler operations conducted under auspices of the notorious Tiergarten Vier (T-4). These are, indeed, a matter of high crimes and misdemeanors.

When the Japanese fleet attacked Pearl Harbor (shown here, Dec. 7, 1941), President Roosevelt declared war against the empire of the world, i.e., the British Empire, which had created the Adolf Hitler regime in Germany. Today, LaRouche is declaring war against that same empire, and its puppet U.S. President, Barack Obama.

meantime, there have been some changes in the detailed features of the conflict; but, while the personalities have largely changed (barring the fact that I am a living adult left over from the last phase of that war, as most today are not), the clash of species, that between actually civilized society and its adversary, the British empire, remains the same.

Now, as then, the purpose of war is not to destroy the ultimate adversary physically, but politically; to destroy its power to continue to exist as the keystone element of a world empire of monetarism. That specification, including its all-important exclusion of purposes beyond the actual intention, is the most crucial feature of any morally tolerable declaration of war. The purpose of war must never be anything but to do good in a manner specified by Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa's *De Pace Fidei*, and, the echo of Cusa, as the 1648 Peace of Westphalia.

[This does not mean that we intend to shoot former brutish Prime Minister Tony Blair, but, perhaps, express our generosity, Nancy Pelosi-style, by offering him the uplifted authority for that queenly imperial miss-direction to which he might have yearned, hopelessly, on Earth, by awarding him nothing less than the entire ter-

However, it does not follow that I am committed to his being ousted under a bill of impeachment. Sometimes, as in his case, there may be alternatives in the form of measures which provide for his continuing to hold the office of President for some time, under the condition that we might treat him as undergoing suitable treatment for that mental disorder which is the apparent root of his propensity for perpetrating crimes against humanity.

Obviously, the collection of so-called "behaviorist economists" associated with T-4-modelled crimes against humanity, must be summarily ousted. Certain other persons complicit in promoting the same Nuremberg-crime kinds of offenses, must be released. Otherwise, if at all possible, we must find means to craft an arrangement under which the nation is protected against Obama's specific madness, and yet the intent of the relevant Presidential election is afforded every possible consideration.

Our most urgent concern, beyond such protective measures, must be to emphasize that Obama's criminal disposition has been expressed under the influence of the British empire's criminal influence upon him, the same "genetic" quality of criminal influence expressed by the role of the British monarchy and its Wall Street

accomplices, in placing the criminal Adolf Hitler into power in Germany. It is that British empire whose influence must be uprooted permanently from this planet, without impairing the otherwise, legitimate sovereignties of the United Kingdom.

That is my own, personal, declaration of war against the British empire which holds the mentally impaired President Barack Obama in its sway. The need for action is urgent, but the action to be taken must be truly Christian, in the sense that we must not take a single step beyond those strictly limited, specific objectives. More severe condemnation should be reserved for the implicit complicity of the British government under Prime Minister Tony Blair, who has committed great crimes, including the launching of the war in Iraq under fraudulent pretexts, and comes under appropriate scrutiny in the matter of the documented degree of Anglo-Saudi responsibilities for the so-called “9/11” act of Anglo-Saudi assisted acts of terror and mass-murder against the nation and people of our U.S.A.

The specific charge of high crimes and misdemeanors against this U.S. President, at this time, is his current, publicly stated intent to arrange the pre-mediated deaths among our citizens, a method of mass-murder of the nation’s own nationals, and others, copied exactly from the Adolf Hitler regime’s “Tiergarten Vier (T-4)” mechanisms.

The Crucial Point of War

A civilized act of war, under what is properly regarded as natural law, is not a license to destroy a nation, but to remove a specific evil, that in a fashion which, for a civilized form of modern society, is done in a manner consistent with that standard of international law which the systemically wicked Prime Minister Tony Blair has defied, the 1648 Peace of Westphalia. That man is not civilized by any decent modern standard of international law. The same, regrettably, must be said of President Barack Obama.

It is by actions such as a proper declaration of war, as that by President Franklin Roosevelt, that we must bring a meaningful quality of lawful order to dealing with what may be actually, or potentially lawful conflicts among nations. Under such auspices, a declaration of war becomes an instrument for the truly peaceful and just outcome of a conflict which leads, otherwise, into chaos.

The crimes against humanity by the British Empire, on the one side, and President Obama, on the other, must be distinguished properly, and then brought to a proper conclusion, also properly. That should be understood as in the nature of a properly civilized conduct of strife among nations. What I am proposing in both cases, that of both the British Empire and Obama, would be a blessing to all mankind.

Lyndon LaRouche Repudiates Obama’s Fascist Sophistries on Health Care

by Nancy Spannaus

July 17—Since his return from Europe approximately two weeks ago, President Barack Obama has conducted a non-stop campaign, in his unique Nero-like fashion, to demand that the U.S. Congress ram through his Hitler health program immediately—or else. There is no alternative to my plan, the President rants, for those who are suffering from lack of medical care, or high-cost medical care. “We’re going to get this done!” he decrees.

As on so many other issues, the nutty President is lying—on behalf of his British masters.

Lyndon LaRouche has not only repudiated the Pres-

ident’s fascist sophistries, but he has put forward, in precise detail, the measures which can and must be taken in order to solve what is real about the health care crisis. The essential elements of the LaRouche Plan are three:

1. Abolish the Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) system;
2. Revive the principles and implementation of the 1946 Hill-Burton Act;
3. Implement the Single-Payer plan (Medicare for all), as the key means of financing adequate health care for all.



White House/Pete Souza

President Obama's Nazi health plan is running into opposition, both on Main Street and on Capitol Hill. The White House is not happy, as can be seen in this photo of the President, in the Oval Office June 16, with his chief of staff Rahm Emanuel (right) and press secretary Robert Gibbs.

By taking these measures, in the context of the necessary bankruptcy reorganization and return to Hamiltonian national banking, which is needed to restore the physical economy as a whole, the real problems of the U.S. health care system are actually addressed.

First, abolishing HMOs eliminates from the medical system, the Nazi premise that health care can be made a profitable enterprise by measuring the value of human lives in dollars and cents. This corrosion of our system, which began under President Richard Nixon in 1973, and now encompasses, in its various forms of managed care—the majority of those with health care coverage in the United States—has led to millions of people being deprived of medical care, if not sent to their deaths, by an army of accountants, and the bankers they work for, who fundamentally believe, as Hitler did, that there are lives “not worthy to be lived.”

As LaRouche put forward in draft legislation back in 2000, HMOs should be not only shunned, but *banned* for leading to crimes against humanity for which the Nazis were tried at Nuremberg. (See box, p.11.)

Second, the revival of Hill-Burton, the 1946 “Hospital Survey and Construction Act,” will put on the agenda government funding of the hospitals and public-health centers which are urgently required in order to provide adequate health care to all. It is a cruel hoax, if not a crime, to talk about expanding health care in the context of the shrinking number of hospital beds and

medical facilities, which has been proceeding at an accelerating pace over the past 35 years. Instead, the Federal government should be extending the credit necessary to build new, modern facilities that will make medical care available to the entire population.

The third element of LaRouche's approach, the adoption of the Single-Payer plan being proposed by Rep. John Conyers (D-Mich.) and his allies, is a crucial means for wiping out the criminal and wasteful layers of administrative bureaucracy, which have been built up to both increase profit and deny care. The Medicare model, which Conyers uses, for example, has administrative costs of 3%, rather than anywhere from 15 to 35% under HMOs, and other private

insurance plans. A Medicare-style plan thus frees up hundreds of billions of dollars, which are now being spent for insurance companies, not health care. Hospitals, physicians, and others in the medical-care delivery system can, under the new Medicare-for-all system, be provided full payment for their services, instead of consistently cheated and underpaid, as in recent years. In fact, private insurance should not be permitted to be involved in the Medicare system—although it could be available as an option for separate, supplemental coverage, for those who want it.

Among the immediate savings of the LaRouche Plan would be reducing the cost of pharmaceuticals, by a crackdown on the role of speculation in pushing up their prices.

There is no rational argument that can be made against LaRouche's proposals. They provide health care and funding sources—whereas the Obama plan provides neither. What Obama's does, instead, is to provide a British-Nazi-style apparatus to *kill* people, as part of a British imperial drive to utterly destroy the only threat to their world domination, the republic of the United States. And *that* must be stopped.

The Hitler Health Model

What has to get through the heads of the American people, on the health-care and other issues, is that the President is trying to push through a *fascist* program,

which is a mortal threat to them and to the world as a whole. This fact could not be clearer than it is in the Obama health plan.

The model for the Obama Plan is, quite literally, Hitler's T4 program, which took its name from its Berlin office, Tiergarten 4. This program began in October 1939, immediately after Adolf Hitler issued his infamous secret order, in his own handwriting, under the title "The Destruction of Lives Unworthy of Life." That order read:

"Reichsleiter Bouhler and Dr. Brandt are charged with the responsibility for expanding the authority of physicians, to be designated by name, to the end that patients considered incurable, according to the best available human judgment of their state of health, can be accorded a mercy death."

The T4 program operated through the circulation of information on patients, obtained through questionnaires, and their evaluation by teams of psychiatric "experts," who indicated their opinion about whether the patient (whom they had never seen, much less examined, and whose medical history they were unfamiliar with) were to live or die. These panels of experts then submitted their evaluations to a chief expert, who passed the final judgment, which was unappealable. Those to be denied treatment (i.e., were to die) were then sent to killing centers, where their extermination was overseen by medical personnel, and fraudulent death certificates were sent out to their families.

Hundreds of thousands of the young, mentally and chronically ill, and the elderly were killed—before the mass extermination of the Jews even began.

It is obvious that the Obama program operates from the same premises as that of Hitler's. First, according to Obama and his henchmen like Office of Management and Budget chief Peter Orszag and his health advisor Ezekiel Emanuel, there are some (many) lives that we "can't afford," i.e., are unworthy to be lived. Second, we can rely on "experts" to determine who these people are, and send them to their deaths. But we can't afford to let people know precisely what we're doing, or have institutions such as the Congress interfere to save the lives we've determined to end. We have to *lie* about the cause of their death.

Therefore, from the start, the formulators of Obama's "health" program have insisted on the establishment of a professional "board of experts," which would make the decisions on medical payments—i.e., who would live and who would die. While stressing, without end,



Obama's health-care "reform" plan, whose purpose is to slash costs on behalf of the financial oligarchy, is identical in intent to that of the Nazis. Shown, a sample of pre-Nazi German propaganda: "Look who you're carrying. One person with birth defects over 60 years old costs an average of 50,000 Reichsmarks."

that the core of the "health reform" was to *cut costs*, particularly from programs from the poor and elderly, such as Medicare and Medicaid, the Administration realized that this could only be guaranteed to happen, with the same measures that Hitler used, measures that, not coincidentally, are also at the core of the British health-rationing board, called NICE (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence).

But the challenge for the Administration remained: How to get the Congress, which was being educated and shocked by the revelations put out by LaRouche and his associates about how Obama's plan was "Hitler health," to pass such a Hitler-like measure.

Obama Demands T4 program

In fact, when Obama returned from his recent foreign trip, he found that Congress was not going to

comply. Bills had been drafted in the Senate and the House which paid lip service to his cost-cutting mantra, including the alleged substitution of “quality for quantity” and other such sophistries. But neither the Kennedy-Dodd bill, which was going through the Senate Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee, nor the House bill, which was drafted in collaboration among the Energy and Commerce, Ways and Means, and Education and Labor committees, included the required “stick” to dictate cuts: the panel of experts free of Congressional control.

If the President were going to get his Hitler health program through, he was going to have to do more than wield his fascist thuggery behind the bill. He would have to change the bill itself.

Thus, just after midnight, on July 16, the White House forced the three House committees managing the health-care legislation to rewrite the bills, to incorporate the Emanuel-Orszag T4 board into the legislation. Introduced as a “manager’s amendment,” the relevant section, according to *Politico*, which saw a draft, calls for the creation of an Independent Medicare Advisory Council, which would be under Obama’s direct control. A five-member council would be appointed by the President, with the consent of the Senate, for terms of five years. The Council would be authorized to make broad recommendations for reforms in Medicare, but its chief role would be to help set payment rates. It would send recommendations to the President twice a year on reimbursement rates. Within 30 days, the President would be required to send a message to Congress reflecting his approval or disapproval, at which point Congress would have 30 days to overrule them—or they would go into effect.


This, in fact, follows the model of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Commission, as demanded by Sen. John D. Rockefeller’s (D-W.V.) S. 1111. But, in fact, Obama’s Council is less independent, as its rulings first go to the President, who also appoints the board. The President is apparently determined, like Hitler (or Nero), to take “personal responsibility” for decisions which will lead to mass death.

Since his in-the-dead-of-night action on July 16, the President has been, if anything, even more active in pursuit of this goal. He and his henchmen, like Chief of Staff Rahm Emanuel, were successful in getting the Ways and Means Committee to pass its revamped bill out onto the House floor. This was despite the fact that Rep. Sam Johnson (R-Tex.) had the nerve to break de-

FIGURE 1

HMO Overhead and Profits

(Overhead and Profit as Percent of Premiums)



Source: Corporate Research Group, *Outlook for Managed Care*, 1997.

corum and denounce the President as a “fascist dictator,” for his actions—the first public figure, other than LaRouche, to have the guts to do so.

The bill still has to go through the Education and Labor and Energy and Commerce committees, where it is facing continuing resistance, including among some Democrats. In addition, there is the problem of the Senate, where Max Baucus (D-Mont.), who has been working intimately with Orszag, top economic advisor Larry Summers, and the President, on the Nazi health-cut plan, has been unable to get a bill formulated, in the face of opposition. Baucus, chair of the Senate Finance Committee, is responsible for formulating the financial aspects of the health “reform,” which the Kennedy-Dodd bill, which has already passed through committee, does not touch.

Get Rid of the Nazism


Meanwhile, Obama continues to issue diktats on how his health plan must be passed. He did it at the NAACP Convention July 16, and again, on July 17, in a press conference with New Jersey Gov. Jon Corzine (D), who is campaigning for re-election.

But our modern-day Nero wouldn’t leave it at that. He then called a press conference to specifically address his drive to ram through the Hitler program. After a sophisticated spiel about how the bill will allegedly help people, and the need to make it “deficit neutral,” Obama let out what’s really on his mind: establishing a board

FIGURE 2

Growth of Registered Nurses and Administrators, 1970-96

(Percent Growth Since 1970)




Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics and Himmelstein/Woolhandler/Lewontin Analysis of CPS data.

FIGURE 3

Number of Non Elderly Uninsured Americans, 2000-04

(Uninsured in Millions)



Source: Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured; Holahan and Cook, "Changes in Economic Conditions and Health Insurance Coverage, 2000-2004," Health Affairs Web Exclusive, Nov. 1, 2005.

with the power to cut Medicare and Medicaid costs, which he considers the major long-range "problem." We quote:

"The bill I sign will also include my commitment and the commitment of Congress to slow the growth of health-care costs over the long run.

"This is a separate issue. And I just want to be clear. There's an issue of how do we pay for health-care reform immediately, in a way that's deficit neutral, but how do we also bend the cost curve so that we're not seeing huge health-care [cost] inflation over the long-term that would not only make any health-care reform package more expensive, 15, 20 years out, but would also make sure that people who have nothing to do with the government programs like Medicare and Medicaid—how do we make sure that their costs are under control as well?

"I realize there's going to be a lot of debate and disagreement on how best to achieve these long-term savings. Our proposal would change incentives so that providers will give patients the best care, not just the most expensive care, which will mean big savings over time.

"This is what we mean when we say that we need delivery system reform. I've proposed to Congress, and I am actually confident that they may adopt these proposals, that independent—an independent group of

doctors and medical experts will oversee long-term cost-savings measures.

"Every year, there's a new report that details how much waste and inefficiency there is in Medicare, how best practices are not always used, and how many billions of dollars could be saved.

"Unfortunately, this report ends up sitting on a shelf. And what we want to do is *force the Congress* to make sure that they are acting on these recommendations to bend the cost curve each and every year, so that we're constantly adjusting and making changes that will reduce costs for families and for taxpayers. We need an independent group that is empowered to make these changes, and that's something that we've proposed.

"I'm confident that if we work with the foremost experts in the field, we can find a way to eliminate waste, slow the growth of health-care costs, and provide families more security in the long term" (emphases added).

Call His Bluff

Obama's Nazi policies are now more obvious than ever. The question is, will the American people act to stop them?

In effect, Obama is daring them to do so. As he said

in his July 17 appearance with Corzine: “Now is when we’ve got to get over the finish line. This is when you start hearing the same criticism, the same scare tactics that have held us back in the past. And if you do hear these critics, I want you to ask them a question I always ask: What’s your plan? What’s your alternative?”

Tell them you know precisely what the alternative

is. It is the obliteration of every aspect of the Obama reform, in favor of a health-care system based upon American System principles of providing for the life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness of every citizen. The system, as outlined by Lyndon LaRouche, is what must be on the table. And if Obama doesn’t like it, he’s the one who should get out of the way.

Proposed Act: ‘The Right to High-Quality Health Care’

This proposed bill originally appeared in EIR, May 5, 2000, and in a mass-circulation pamphlet entitled, “Ban the HMOs Now! Before They Get You and Yours,” issued by LaRouche’s Committee for a New Bretton Woods, May 2000.

Declaration of Purpose

The purpose of this legislation is: a) to affirmatively establish the right of every person to the highest quality health care available; b) to abolish Health Maintenance Organizations (HMOs), Managed Care Organizations, and the practice of managed care by health insurers; and c) to re-assert the principles of the Hill-Burton Act (42 U.S.C. Section 291 et seq.) as the primary policy governing U.S. health policy.

This Act is necessitated by the immediate crisis in the health conditions in the United States, where millions of citizens are denied access to necessary health-care services due to the financial practices of Health Maintenance Organizations, Managed Care Organizations, the practice of managed care by health insurers, and the lack of adequate medical facilities in many communities in the country. This has created a health-care emergency in the United States.

Under the Preamble to the United States Constitution, the Federal Government is required to “promote the general welfare,” thus necessitating immediate action by the Federal Government to address this health-care emergency.

The lack of access to adequate health care, and the practices of the Health Maintenance Organizations

and Managed Care Organizations, are in violation of Article 25 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of the United Nations, and Article 12 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, which establish the universal right to adequate health care, and require governments to take steps to assure access to quality medical care. The United States is a signatory to these declarations and covenants.

The practice of denying needed medical treatment to certain persons in order to cause their death, was prosecuted as a crime against humanity by the United States in the post-World War II Nuremberg Tribunals.

Section 1

A. It is hereby established and affirmed that every person has a right to the highest quality health care available.

B. Any practices by health insurers, that deny any person the right to the highest quality health care available, for financial, or any other reasons, are hereby prohibited.

Section 2

A. 42 U.S.C. Section 300e, et seq., providing for the establishment and operation of Health Maintenance Organizations, is hereby repealed.

B. It shall be unlawful to operate a Health Maintenance Organization, Managed Care Organization, or any health insurance program that practices managed care, or seeks to control costs by limiting necessary health care services provided to patients.

Section 3

A. It is hereby re-affirmed that the provisions of the Hill-Burton Act, 42 U.S.C. 291 et seq., are the governing principles for U.S. health care policy.

LAROCHE TO MEXICO'S PRO-PLHINO COMMITTEE

‘Victory Is a Matter Of Grand Strategy’

On July 14, Lyndon LaRouche had a telephone conference with members of the Pro-PLHINO Committee of the 21st Century in Ciudad Obregon, Sonora, Mexico, transcribed below. The PLHINO, or North West Hydraulic Plan, has been on the drawing boards since the mid-1960s, but has never been implemented. It would build extensive waterworks to transfer vast quantities of water from the Santiago River basin in the water-rich state of Nayarit, to the Mayo and Yaqui River basins in water-poor Sonora. The state of Sinaloa would also benefit directly. The Pro-PLHINO Committee was formed on Aug. 15, 2007, in Sonora, with leadership from the LaRouche movement, to revive the long-stalled effort.

LaRouche had had an earlier discussion with the Committee on April 18, 2008, during his visit to Monterrey, Mexico (see EIR, May 2, 2008). The moderator's remarks and the questions have been translated from Spanish.

Moderator: Lyn, we know that you've always been with us, but today we want to thank you for dedicating this time to the discussion we will be having. Old and young friends are gathered here, who, over the years, have shared a commitment to the general welfare of the region and the country. This has kept us close to you, seeking the best for humanity.

Lyn, as you know, Mexico is a wounded nation. Over the last years, it has been subjected to an empire of terror, by the paramilitary structures of narcoterrorism.

Over these same years, we organized a social movement in the northwest of the country, around the idea of the PLHINO, and this gave hope to the nation. We built alliances which put us in a position of having the possibility of achieving important tactical victories for the country. However, terror was imposed. Now our allies and the population are asking themselves, what should be done vis-à-vis those who have all the money in the world, all the evil in the world, and who are prepared to take the lives of our children, of our children and of our grandchildren?

Lyn, Mexico and Sonora await your counsel. We listen very carefully, not only because of your wisdom, but because we know how much you love this region and this country. Without further ado, I leave the floor to you.

Know Your Enemy

LaRouche: First of all, we've got to clear one thing up. It's very natural that patriots in Mexico would like to see their country win a war against these enemies, but that sometimes leads to a strategic miscalculation. What you're fighting, as you know, in northern Mexico immediately, but in Mexico as a whole at the same time—you're fighting *the British Empire*, which at present has the support of a British stooge who is currently the President of the United States, and has the support also of forces in the United States which are allied with a firm called Goldman Sachs. (We like to



"You're not dealing with some local drug pushers," LaRouche said. "You're dealing with Prince Philip [left], of the royal family of the British Empire of England, through his World Wildlife Fund, which is the enemy of all civilization!" And you can't win that battle on the local level, he stressed.

LaRouche is shown here during a visit to Mexico in March 2006, at a press conference in Monterrey, in the state of Nuevo Leon. With him is translator Dennis Small.



EIRNS/Sergio Oswaldo Barbosa Garcia

call it Goldman Sucks, because that's a more fair description of the function of that particular entity.)

But the fact is that Mexico can not win this war, as Mexico. The forces are international. They're the forces of the British Empire, including the British stooges inside the United States, and British stooges around the world. The British are running the entire area of South-west Asia. They are conducting a war against Iran. They are conducting a war against China, which is manifest in the Uighur phenomenon recently. They're running the international drug traffic. And what you're faced with in northern Mexico, in particular—you're faced with the British Empire. You're not dealing with some local drug pushers; you're dealing with Prince Philip, of the royal family of the British Empire of England, through his World Wildlife Fund, which is the enemy of all civilization! And you, a small group inside of one part of Mexico, are not going to win a war, alone, by bold resistance against this Empire.

The responsibility for winning the war lies, and the possibility for victory in Mexico, lies with the prior

defeat of the British Empire, under a leadership of the United States. Which means a change in, shall we say, the management of the current President of the United States. He's not competent himself to do any good, but he can be managed to carry the message needed, shall we say. So you need an alliance, not with Western Europe, because Western Europe is impotent; not with Africa, because Africa does not have the power; not with all of South America. All of South and Central America is totally *impotent* in any effort to conduct a resistance against the British Empire. Only a combination of the United States, Russia, China, and

India represents a dimension of power which is capable of defeating your enemy in that part of Mexico.

Now, therefore, what you do, is you prepare to win war, but you don't fight battles against overwhelming forces, in a position where you're vastly outnumbered and outflanked. What you do is you concentrate the fight where you have the allied forces which must and can take on and defeat the British Empire. It's that simple.

Now, as I said, the power to do this lies in no part of the world except this combination of the United States and the part of Eurasia east of the borders of Belarus and Russia. That's the only possibility of defeating the British Empire, whose drug lords are nothing but a continuation of the policy of the British Empire since the last decade of the 18th Century.

So, that's the first thing you have to understand. You can not say each country is going to patriotically defend itself against this Empire. Nobody has ever succeeded in doing that, except the United States itself. And it didn't do it alone! The United States was able to defeat the British Empire, in creating the United States, because the British were, at the same time, threatening all of continental Europe, especially the patriotic forces in

France, in Russia, in Germany, and so forth—in Sweden, in Denmark. The United States had international allies: the League of Armed Neutrality, which was the key force which made it possible for us to defeat the British Empire in securing our own independence.

The British and the Bolivarian Problem

The problem you have also in South and Central America, as you see it in Venezuela and elsewhere, is the Bolivarian problem. You saw this in the case of what happened in Central America just now, in Honduras. You saw the problem. You have Argentina, intimidated by the drug interests, which are run through this Venezuelan operation, which put the drug representatives into a key position in a government of Argentina, which is actually opposed to such a policy. But they conceded out of pressure from the United States and Britain, which they saw as the immediate adversary, to make an alliance with Venezuela and other countries which are allied, based on the Bolivarian principle, which Simón Bolívar himself denounced as being run by the head of British intelligence at that time, the predecessor and trainer of Lord Palmerston.

So, thus, one has to look at the history of this problem, the history of the enemy. And the enemy is the British Empire! The enemy behind the British Empire is not a bunch of British fools.

No, the Empire is in the form of international financiers, international financial power. That's the essence of the British Empire. It's an international monetary—you know, Goldman Sucks, as we call it in the United States, is a typical expression of the British Empire. Goldman Sucks has more power in the United States today than the President of the United States! That's largely due to the Bush family and so forth, things like that.

So, we have to understand that we're making a global revolution against the British Empire, and we can not have one of our allies, as in Mexico, killing themselves, impaling themselves on spikes, just to demonstrate their courage. In this case, we have to use a strategic approach, and we have to start from a global standpoint in strategic thinking.

Now, my position in this, of course, is crucial. If I do not succeed in my campaign to tame the present Obama Administration, Mexico has not got a chance of survival. That's the ugly truth in this situation. And the same thing is true in Europe. Without our victory against the British puppet, or the use of the puppet Obama by the British—the same British who gave us Adolf Hitler

and are now giving us Adolf Hitler's health policies in the personality of President Obama.

So, we have to look at this thing globally, not locally. And what has killed people again and again—great patriots have been killed—because they made the mistake of assuming that their bared breasts and courage could defeat an enemy of this magnitude. They went down, and the British Empire chopped them up, one by one. They took them on singly, and they would love to take on a conflict directly with Mexico right now. They'd love to do it, because they'd win in that kind of conflict.

Run a Flanking Operation

Now, therefore, that doesn't mean you give up. That means you locate yourself as representing an independent part of an international force which is determined to defeat this Empire. And the aim is always not, one by one, to nibble at the Empire, the nibble-down theory. Forget it! It doesn't work. It's a terrible strategy. The British are better at it, and they count on fools, like the fool of a President of the United States, who admittedly is a British puppet, among his other defects.

Look at this thing he is doing in Afghanistan! He's forcing the troops, the U.S. troops, to go into Afghanistan. He's an idiot! He's a reckless, irresponsible idiot. You don't do that! But he's got an ego, he's got an ego as big as Nero, and he's probably less intelligent than Nero.

That's the kind of problem we're up against.

So, we have to think about how we protect our forces from being exposed, from being exterminated, by taking on the enemy directly *before* we're in a position to take him on. You don't choose to fight the enemy in every part of the world. You do just exactly as General MacArthur did in the Pacific. MacArthur defeated the Japanese—and the British, because the British set this thing up in the Pacific—and he had Franklin Roosevelt's support for what he was doing: a naval operation which conquered the greatest area of the planet against an enemy, which was actually global, in the relatively shortest time imaginable, and with the least loss of life on either side. Because MacArthur was not a fool, the way most of the amateur generals and commanders-in-chief and so forth around the world today are.

We have to have a global strategy for freeing civilization from an imperial system which has dominated globally extended European civilization since the time of the



National Archives

President Franklin D. Roosevelt (right) with Mexican President Avila Camacho in Monterrey, Mexico, April 20, 1943. Roosevelt thought globally and strategically about how to destroy the enemy: a lesson for our time.

Peloponnesian War. And the United States is the only significant victory—that is, a victory against that enemy, as such. The only system of economy which *works* against the Venetian interests, or Venetian-type interests of the British Empire, has been the U.S. Constitution. There is no other standard, there is no other policy, which has ever been able to defeat this Empire, the Empire that has been in various forms of monetarism, has been the ruler of European civilization and its extension, since the Peloponnesian War. So, we should not get so foolishly courageous as to imagine that we, with a few forces in any neck of the woods, are going to win. We can win, if we have a grand strategy of allies to pick the fights where we choose to pick them, strategically.

So therefore, we have to maintain our principles of what our demands are. We have to collaborate with allies on the ground in preparing for victory. We have to take small victories where they're available to us, without getting our people destroyed. And we have to concentrate our entire effort, globally, on the global defeat of a global empire. That's what we're up to.

Now, we, by our policy expressions, by our propaganda, by our attempt to organize, by making proposals, by taking small victories where they're available to us, because they all contribute to readiness for victory—but don't put our forces at risk by trying to take on and defeat, directly, an enemy which outnumbers you vastly. So therefore, we have to flank the enemy.

Strategy, Not Machismo

Now, what we've got here, we've got a couple of things going on. What you have to take into account to estimate the current situation—first of all, the existing world monetary financial system is doomed! Nothing can save this system in its present form. The danger is not that the British will triumph with their policy; the danger is that they will lose only after they have destroyed civilization, which is the way the thing is going now. So, we have to defeat the British Empire, and the British Empire is represented on your doorstep by Prince Philip and the World Wildlife Fund. Prince Philip and the World Wildlife Fund are the force directly behind George Soros, and George Soros is nothing but a tool of the Brit-

ish Empire. And if you're going to take on George Soros, you're going to take on the British Empire! And how many troops do you have in London? How many troops do you have on the continent of Europe? How many troops do you have in Venezuela, for example, or Argentina, or Bolivia? And so forth.

So, the problem is you have to think in these terms, in strategic terms, not in a bare-chested dash on the spikes of the enemy's barbed wire. But there are things which we can do, and we must do. We must not let ourselves go with a fit of bravado. Don't get too macho! And therefore, you concentrate on the programmatic approach, and see what's feasible.

For example, there are obvious things in Mexico that may work to the advantage. There are people who have more or less degrees of power, who might do this. We should stick to our purpose, our policy, our strategy for economic recovery, economic development, economic freedom, and propagandize for what our strategic objectives are, for Mexico in particular, and for the hemisphere. And we have to count on winning, not on throwing our bodies on the barbed wire of the enemy, who is shooting us down with machine guns as we hang, dying, on barbed wire.

So anyway, my pulling rank as a strategist—and you have very few; we have some good strategists among the military and others in the United States, but they're not completely in charge, with this idiot in the



Coordinación de Material Gráfico

Mexican President José López Portillo at a rally on Sept. 3, 1982 in Mexico City, in support of his nationalization of the banks. He and LaRouche collaborated at that time, in the vital interests of Mexico, but were blocked by the British and their agent Henry Kissinger.

White House. And under Bush, it was even worse—or possibly worse—we’ll have to see how that turns out. But that’s the situation.

So, the idea of the cause, the identification, the propaganda about the cause, is obvious. That’s a matter of principle. But diving onto the barbed wire of the enemy, when it vastly outnumbers you, and challenging them in that way, is just foolish. You’re just killing yourself for no good purpose. So that’s what we have to do.

Mexico’s Unique History

Now, there are things, as we know, in that area and in Mexico, which may change the situation, or modify it. These things will tend to be useful if they are coordinated with relevant things happening in other parts of the world, as in the United States. The basic thing is the solidarity of the people in the United States with the people of Mexico. And the solidarity is a very simple matter: The two states have a related history. Mexico is not like the rest of Central and South America. Mexico has an affinity with the United States; it’s not merely an affinity based on proximity, but it’s an affinity based on history, a common history of two nations. And we have—which our cross-border relations merely indicate—we have an intimacy of common interest which is not found anywhere else in the hemisphere. And therefore, our concern is, Mexico is precious to us, because of its special role.

And my view of Mexico is the same I had when [José] López Portillo was President, and the forces which were associated with him. We were defeated by the British. Of course, we had a Secretary of Defense, Cap Weinberger, who was a fanatic British lover and he was associated with [George] Shultz, who was an actual Nazi, still living today, and runs this Nazi, Schwarzenegger, in California, which is quite relevant to the Mexico situation. But we have this affinity, and we have a common cause in the hemisphere which is specific. We have a history of fights over this issue, and we’re going to defend our ally, Mexico. But we’re going to defend it intelligently, not recklessly. And we’re going to take the enemy on where we can.

The British and 9/11

We now have the evidence, for example, that what was called 9/11, Sept. 11, 2001, was orchestrated and directed by British intelligence, together with the Saudi kingdom. The personnel, leading personnel, involved in the attack itself, were funded and directed by a combination of British and Saudi governments. Now we have the evidence, we’re putting it out. What do you think the importance of *that* is for the situation in Mexico, strategically? It’s the most important thing that could happen!

Here you have the enemy, your enemy, who is essentially the World Wildlife Fund, in your immediate area—because Prince Philip is the guy behind George

Soros, as the world's biggest drug pusher—and this is your enemy. This is the guy that's out to kill you. And who is the enemy of your enemy? It's the enemies of the British Empire, the enemies of the international drug trafficking, which is run by the British Empire. Of the international policy of genocide, which is run by the British Empire. That's the enemy that must be identified and defeated. When we expose, internationally as we're doing now, the actual conclusive evidence proving that the 9/11 attack, the famous 9/11 attacks on New York and Washington, and other locations, were done by planes which were set up to do this by the Saudi Ambassador to the United States, who is a British agent—you think that has some relevance to the state of mind of the American citizen? About their present government, under a President who can not stop kissing the butt of the British monarchy?

That's the way we go at this thing. All effective warfare, combat warfare, is based not on shooting. It's based on ideas. It's based on principles and on conceptions. Strategic conceptions. What are our potential allies? Well, our potential allies are China, which is under attack by the British Empire; Iran, which is under attack by the British Empire; most of the areas around Pakistan and so forth are under attack by the British Empire. India's now under attack by the British Empire. The entire mess in the so-called Middle East is British Empire. The problems in Europe are British Empire. The problems of Russia are British Empire. The problems of Japan today are implicitly British Empire.

So therefore, we have a strategic position in terms of political issues, political strategic interests, which are to our advantage. *Don't throw away that advantage for the sake of an isolated act of bravado.* That's my conception, especially with machos. Machos are very dangerous to themselves, above all, because the tendency for bravado runs ahead of their brain sometimes. And therefore, in order to prove how brave they are, they take their shirt and tear it to show their bared breast, and then they charge forward, probably with some kind of stick, against an enemy with machine guns. We can't have that. But being smart, and thinking globally and strategically, each of us can find, in our way, a way of hitching into a global effort to move on, and finally destroy, the common enemy.

The kind of thing that [President Franklin] Roosevelt thought about. The way I like to think about things.

It's not killing that's important. That's not the victory. You may get into a situation where there's a lot of

killing going on, but killing in battle is not strategy. It's folly. You have to start from strategy, which is essentially political and cultural, rather than combat. Then you may find a way and cause to win in combat, if you have to fight it.

Dialogue with LaRouche

Moderator: Okay, having heard what Lyn has presented, we're open for questions. People here are very pensive about what Lyn has just presented, and everyone is invited to make Cervantes' *Don Quixote* into our Bible these days, precisely to not act the way Don Quixote did. So if there are any questions, please come forward to the microphone.

The Drug Traffic

Q: Lyn, I send you warm greetings from Sonora. On July 5, we had elections in the state of Sonora for governor, for federal congressmen, state congressmen, and mayors. We had a very active Election Day, but with a lot of filth; it was extremely dirty. We had clear evidence, strong evidence, of an operation carried out by people connected to the drug trade. It is estimated that \$50 million was spent to achieve their main objective, which is the territory of the state of Sonora. They want to have the power behind the power of our state. Here, I understand the British intervention of the WWF; this is very clear. The intervention of these people in the state, because this is a state which is a natural transit point for their merchandise.

So, in that regard, what advice can you give us with regard to unemployment in Mexico, especially here in our community, in our city, since we see a mistaken economic policy being carried out, which is making things worse day by day? That's one question.

And I also ask you, what else do you recommend? Because the truth is that we are going to be threatened if we don't keep fighting to make sure these people don't take control over our state. We have every possibility of winning, because there's strong proof of irregularities, really big irregularities, where we can challenge and win the challenges. These are the recommendations of our lawyers, and we're working in that direction.

LaRouche: Well, you've got to go beyond the lawyers. You've got to go to strategy; real strategy. See, the point is, when you think of us not as each independently



Attorney General of Mexico

Vicente Zambada Niebla, a kingpin of the Sinaloa drug cartel, is arraigned with five bodyguards on March 21, 2009. The drug traffic is not, in itself, the purpose of the enemy, LaRouche said. "The drug trafficking is an instrument of warfare by the enemy against the people." And it's run from the top levels of the British oligarchy.

fighting a fight in a local area—which is the first error, and a fatal error that you can make in any war. We're in a global war; not a war between special interests and Mexico, but a global war.

Now, for example, Mexico was hit in the northern section, was hit deliberately, on the direction of the British Empire. The instrument included the use of the drug trafficking, but the issue of the war was not the drug trafficking.

Now look back; just take a look at history. Look at the history of the drug warfare against China by the British Empire in the 19th Century. What happened? The drugs were used to get money, of course, by the British, but also they were used primarily to destroy the ability of the Chinese people—which already had a relatively vast population, relative to the British—who were utterly defeated by the drug traffic, because the opium destroyed the culture and mind of the people.

The purpose of the drug trafficking is to have a self-financing campaign—that is, the drug revenue self-finances the operation, and buys politicians; as in the case outside of Mexico, you know, Venezuela. The role, the corrupt role of Venezuela in the region is essentially not the oil traffic; it's the drug trafficking. The Venezuelan government was involved in backing drug trafficking, as a source of income. Other governments in South America, what are they doing? They're destroying their

own people, by saying they have a right to drugs. They have lost the war already! They have betrayed themselves already, by defending the drug trafficking. The drug trafficking is designed to destroy the people. And China, which was once a powerful part of the world, lost its power because the people were destroyed by the drug traffic.

Now, therefore, the drug traffic is not the purpose of the enemy. *The drug trafficking is an instrument of warfare by the enemy against the people.* Where's the enemy? Well, the enemy is Prince Philip. You want to say drug trafficking? Why don't you say Prince Philip? Why don't you say World Wildlife Fund? Well, what's purpose of the World Wildlife Fund? To destroy all nations; to reduce the population of the world, as

stated by the World Wildlife Fund, from presently over 6.7 billion people, to less than 2 billion. What is that? That's the issue.

Where does it come out? You come out with this idea in the United States, about the Green Revolution.¹ What is that? That's psychological warfare to corrupt the people, and induce them to destroy themselves. Anybody who is for this idea of anti-nuclear, you know, low-energy density policies, the cap-and-trade, or similar things, or the health policy, the Nazi health policy adopted by the government of the United States as by the President; it's the same thing. This is the real objective!

Don't assume the drug problem is your real problem. The drug problem is an *aspect* of the *implementation* of the intention, which is to induce people in Mexico to corrupt themselves and to destroy themselves.

Therefore, the key, the most important thing—take trade union organizations. What are you going to do? You're going to stick to, basically, your basic work in struggling for this and that, politically, and in other ways. But the question is, how far can you go alone in challenging what they are going to try to do?

1. Not to be confused with the earlier Green Revolution that improved the efficiency of grain production in many countries, such that Mexico, for example, went from importing half its wheat in 1943, to self-sufficiency in 1956, to exporting wheat in 1964—ed.

You Need International Backup

You, in a sense, have to operate like a guerrilla force, but politically, a guerrilla force. You have to flank the situation; you have to find flanks that you can develop. And you have to show courage, but also don't show desperation and panic.

And the key thing is, when they attack you in Mexico, since the attack is coming from the World Wildlife Fund, which is operating in, and controlling that whole area, we counterattack with our publication, internationally, of the evidence showing that 9/11, an attack on the United States' people and nation, was done by the combination of the British Empire with its ally, Saudi Arabia.

So, the action here is not always your reaction; it's the question of what we do as a flanking operation, to get the pressure off you. To the extent that we force the issue on the battlefields we know we can win on, we take the pressure off you, and give you some room to maneuver. And when we show courage, we are defending you. When we who have the greater power, don't show courage, we're undermining you.

So therefore, our objectives have to be defined, even though you're working in a special area, with like a trade union interest in that part of northern Mexico. The fight, in principle, is international. It's the fight for humanity. The fact is, of course, we're each functioning in terms of a cultural defense which defines a cultural defense in terms of national sovereignty. Now, we're defending national sovereignty, but at the same time, the defense of national sovereignty is an *international* interest. It's an *international human interest*. And the ability to fight these issues depends upon leadership by people who are thinking about the global interests of humanity, and look at the interests of each nation in the world in terms of that global interest. And when there's an attack on one part of us, we attack on the part we're able to.

That's the principle of warfare; the principle of warfare is, do not be provoked, even strongly provoked, into walking into a trap, into starting a war where you're crushed, when we can win the war, or win a battle for the war on another front. So, the fight we have to fight this thing, is on an international basis, and on a basis of our concern for each part of the forces in that international fight. And we have to avoid putting people at risk, where their putting themselves at risk would be dangerous to them, without fruit. And always concentrate on the areas where we have a chance of hitting the enemy on a flank where we can weaken him overall.

That's the whole point: You have to keep a clear view of what your interests are. You can define many of your interests in terms of local situations, human situations. But in winning the war, you've got to make a step up to a higher level, to a global strategic level.

And I'm sure you probably have people in Mexico, still left over from López Portillo, who did have a clear view of this matter, with some other people at the same time, of what the issue was. And if you just look at that last address he gave, public address, at the UN, and think about that—because he expressed something which he and I shared at that time. He and I were allies on a global issue, and we have not given up; I have not given up. I'm still committed to victory, where López Portillo's Mexico was committed to victory. I'm determined to win that back, for Mexico, but I have to think in terms of the global context which did crush Mexico then, and has been crushing Mexico since, over all the intervening decades. That's the point.

I'm not diminishing anything, any fight. I'm not giving up on anything that is a matter of principle; but I'm saying that the issue here of principle is, we've got to *win the war*; not just for our own personal satisfaction, but for the satisfaction of our children and grandchildren.

Water and Nuclear Power

Q: You said, that in this fight, we have to concentrate on a programmatic orientation to propagandize our objectives, our strategic objectives in Mexico and on the continent. Do you think that we should continue to push a national discussion, the idea of the PLHINO, in terms of how to organize the political forces in Mexico, and here in the northwest of Mexico?

LaRouche: Absolutely. First thing is, outflank the situation. The enemy is trying to get you to locate the PLHINO campaign in one area, in Sonora. Now, therefore, what's the point?

The mistake would be, not to propagandize for the PLHINO throughout *all* Mexico. Now, the evidence exists, as many of you know, who are experts, or leading trade unionists, are probably familiar with this thing. There's a long history of the water policy of Mexico; this is something which is inherent to the situation.

We have too many people in Mexico City. I used to sit there and watch: In the morning, you start out, and the haze, this pollution, is rising within the bowl which is Mexico City. And by afternoon, you couldn't move;

FIGURE 1

Mexico: the PLHINO and the PLHIGON

you were choking to death. And the population kept increasing, increasing, and increasing, in this bowl in Mexico City, while the development in the rest of the territory of Mexico was hindered.

And you look along the border. Now the border problem, which we dealt with at that time, was that the coastal areas, especially the southern areas, get too hot for comfortable living. But if you had nuclear power, say ten nuclear plants—which López Portillo's government planned at that time—then you would have the ability to open the territory along the coastal area, to make it habitable by air conditioning and other things you could do—water supply, and all these kinds of things, there. You also would be able to generate water development in northern Mexico, particularly in the high plateau, by various methods. This requires power: power to move water, power to get it up to higher elevations. And if you do that, now suddenly the whole area of Mexico has a change in character. You get areas which have too much water; you move some of that

water to areas which have too little. You also develop desalination as a way of doing that. You develop more density, a richer potentiality of the soil, and all these kinds of things.

So therefore, this issue which was for us then, and is still for us today, is we need nuclear power throughout Mexico. Otherwise, we really can not get to any long-term solution for the afflictions of the Mexican population in general. We wish to move the population less and less into Mexico City, and more and more away from it, but into areas of habitation which are suited for the needs of the people who are moving into these areas. It means more agriculture, it means more local industry, it means development of new towns and cities which are centers of employment and productivity.

So therefore, I think that the PLHINO is a case of a project which has been long-standing. It was developed by Mexican authorities, military and others, over a long period of time, along both coasts. And it's obvious to anyone who studies anything about it and knows any-



GNU-FDL

The El Cajón Dam in the state of Nayarit, a water-rich area that would be part of the PLHINO, to bring water to the arid north. The PLHINO will never be built without broad national support, and an international drive to defeat the British Empire.

thing, that this is what Mexico needs. And it's been stopped and blocked in one way or the other all the way over these years, as it was stopped in the case of López Portillo, by international forces led by the British, the same British as Prince Philip—they were the forces which organized Henry Kissinger and organized Cap Weinberger and others, to move in and destroy this Mexican government.

So that's the lesson, and the lesson is, you've got to broaden your appeal to the identity of all Mexico, broaden it to the sympathy that such a development has, and such thoughts have, throughout the hemisphere, particularly South America as well—Central America, but South America in particular.

And that's the way you win the war, essentially, with ideas, and you use the physical means of struggle as they are appropriate to successfully winning that war, or even to maintain a defense against some horror show.

Defeat the British Empire

So, we should just do that. The ideas are what's important. And you know, the PRI used to be an heroic organization, before it was crushed. I know, I was there. I know most of the leading people of that time. We

worked with them in López Portillo's time. They were really patriots, and the movement that he had in that short interim, when he did the reform, the economic reform, particularly in terms of the Mexican national bank, was perfect! It was right. These were good people. But you've had since, a long process of demoralization, because of the defeat, and the corrupt financial interests which have come in and polluted the countryside.

So therefore, when you take something like the PLHINO, this is crucial. This idea is crucial; it's infectious! To the extent that you can get more forces in Mexico to come out and support this kind of thing, then you have

more latitude for more tactical things, and can get victories, as you see right in this situation.

Obviously, what happened in the vote, in the orchestration of the vote in the Sonora area—which was done under the supervision of the World Wildlife Fund and under British agent George Soros and his people—was an attempt to crush exactly that, where it existed in that area, in the Sonora area.

So, your attitude has to be, well, what we have to do is make this more broad, so that we in this area are not so vulnerable on this issue, because we're the only advocates of this. Other parts of Mexico are not advocating this, even though if you look at the map, and you look at the history of the thing: The policy of development of Mexico's water system is an old one with Mexican patriots throughout the nation, and the problem here is we don't have enough support from other parts of Mexico. If we had more support, we would have more flexibility in dealing with this thing. And that's where the problem comes in.

Now, we also have another problem. Remember, the drug problem lies with the President of the United States right now. The fact that the President of the United States is allied with, and a tool of, the international drug interests, and is an ally, explicitly, of Prince

Philip, with the cap-and-trade policy and similar kinds of policy, is in favor of genocide like that of Hitler's medical policy, is not good for Mexico. So these things have to be taken into account.

Therefore, my emphasis—yes, all these things we're talking about, we agree; these are the things that must be developed, that must be strengthened. But there's certain shrewdness and tactical slyness, as well as other things. But the enemy, the real problem is the British Empire, as such, Prince Philip, his World Wildlife Fund, his drug-pushing, and those in the United States, such as President Obama, who have a Nazi policy on economy and health care. That's your problem.

So therefore, what am I doing? I'm saying that President Obama must retire, or go under management, where they have supervisors watching him like an animal in a cage, in the White House. That has to happen.

Your victory in Mexico depends on our succeeding in that. That is, any short-term victory. Because if the United States has a different policy, as a nation, the United States as a nation is sufficiently powerful to provide protection for people in northern Mexico and so forth.

A Flank in Sonora

Moderator: I believe we are coming to the end of the time allotted for this conversation, and therefore I'm going to reserve the right to ask the last question.

I think it's important for Lyn to know that today, a column appeared in the local press with revelations about the role of Soros in what is happening in Sonora. I think that this is the beginning of something which is going to happen on a regional level, and soon, it's going to be widely known. Soros's fame will be widely known all across Sonora—and the fame of the WWF and what's behind the WWF. So, the question I want to ask you, Lyn, is to develop a little further this idea of a flank.

As you know, nationally, we have a very anomalous electoral situation. The PRI grew, in the country. It got a majority in the Congress. It conserved its governorships. The only area where there was an offensive to ensure the defeat of the PRI was here, in Sonora. This speaks to us of a national scenario, which represents, relatively speaking, a kind of tactical advantage, because we are going to have a broader horizon of possibilities to push the discussion of the ideas regarding the PLHINO, and also in a certain sense, to help out with the existential crisis the PRI itself is facing, which is

whether they will accept co-government with the PAN government of [President Felipe] Calderón, which, in the last three years, his economic policies have thrust 3 million Mexicans into poverty.

That said, the question is, this flank which we were just talking about with regard to the PLHINO, we view this as the main vulnerability the enemy has, because they [the PRI] do not have a policy to protect the country, nor to present internationally a demand such as that made by López Portillo, for a new world economic order.

The other aspect which I'd like to know more about your views on is, in moving forward with this idea of hitting the weak flank of the enemy on the economic situation, and the flank that has to do with the role of Soros, and the operation that they carried out with surgical precision in Sonora, to suffocate the possibility of the PRI maintaining control over the government in the state.

LaRouche: Well, what you're dealing with is a special operation of a strategic type against Sonora, focused by the WWF organization, which outsources drug pushers as part of it.

Now, the key thing that has to be done in this, is to take this back across the border, by taking the issue inside the United States from the point where the attack was immediately launched—the political attack—and take it there. In other words, we have to escalate, by using the areas where we have strength, defensible strength, and use our capabilities where we have the advantage, to fight and avoid accepting battle on an area where we are weak. This is basic strategy in all warfare. When you are weak, don't force the battle there on the enemy's terms.

Where you are stronger, or where you can become stronger, force the battles that you choose on those terms where you have the advantage. And then get the hell out of there, and go to a new area once you've settled that operation. It's just that: It's a question of the taking of real strategy, of grand strategy.

Moderator: Okay, Lyn. We want to thank you for the time you've dedicated to us. We're going to follow your advice very closely, and we reiterate that we here are also prepared to carry this battle forward, to develop the flanks that are required, and we're moving in the direction of a victory, an international victory, a victory for all humanity. So thank you very much, Lyn.

LaRouche: Thank you.

The British Monarchy's 'Green' Opium War Against the Americas

by Dennis Small

July 18—In late June and early July, the British monarchy engaged in a multi-front assault to seize and control territory and routes vital to establishing Dope, Inc., as *the* unchallenged economic and political power in the Americas, and to flood the United States with narcotics, just as the British did in their Opium Wars against China in the 19th Century.

This assault is one feature of the international crusade by the British monarchy and its pawns to depopulate the planet down to some 2 billion people, and to destroy the very existence of the sovereign nation-state. In the Americas, North and South, they have deployed the World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF) of Nazi Prince Philip and the late (formerly card-carrying) Nazi Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, as well as the international narcotics enterprise and its prominent enforcer, George Soros, to bring about the conditions of a New Dark Age—with special targetting of their historical enemy of centuries, the United States.

It is this global reality which explains recent dramatic “electoral” developments in Honduras, Argentina, and Mexico.

On June 28, the pro-drug-legalization President of Honduras, Manuel Zelaya, was deposed on orders of the nation's Supreme Court, Congress, and Attorney



The British monarchy and financial circles have been running the drug trade from the top down since the 19th Century. EIR's underground bestseller (1992 edition shown here) traced it right up to the modern corporate boardrooms of the City of London and Wall Street.

General, for violating the Constitution. On that same day, Argentina held mid-term elections, which saw former President Néstor Kirchner defeated in a congressional race by the multi-millionaire Francisco de Narváez, who has documented links to one of the country's top drug-runners. And in Mexico's mid-term elections on July 5, there is strong evidence of large drug money flows into the northern state of Sonora, to throw the gubernatorial election there against the expected victor, Alfonso Elías Serrano, of the PRI party.

Go with the Flow

To understand these events, begin by looking at **Figure 1**, showing the major flow of illegal narcotics from South America, up through Central America (including Honduras), then into Mexico, and from there into the United States. Ninety percent of the drugs entering the U.S. are transshipped through Mexico. This includes

cocaine coming from Colombia, Peru, and Bolivia; ephedrine and other chemical precursors for the production of methamphetamine, from Argentina and elsewhere; heroin from Colombia, Guatemala, and Mexico; and so on.

Over the last couple of years, Soros, who was trained by the Nazis in occupied Hungary during his youth, has

FIGURE 1

Dope, Inc.'s War Against the Americas

escalated his long-standing campaign to bring about the legalization of drugs internationally. One of his targets has been Argentina, where the Supreme Court is expected to rule imminently on the legality of possessing drugs for “personal consumption.”

As Justice Minister in the government of President Cristina Kirchner, Aníbal Fernández has been the most vocal advocate of drug legalization. In early July, he was promoted to the post of Presidential Chief of Staff, from which position he has threatened and bullied anyone—including Argentina’s Catholic Bishops Conference—who opposes legalization.

Fernández will be a featured speaker at an international conference hosted by the Argentine Congress Aug. 6-7, to push the legalization agenda. Not surprisingly, the conference is formally sponsored by central elements of what Lyndon LaRouche has denounced as “Anglo-Dutch Liberal Imperialism”: the British and Dutch embassies in Buenos Aires, Soros’s the Open Society Institute, and the Soros-sponsored Latin American Commission on Drugs and Democracy.

In Argentina’s June 28 mid-term elections, the Colombia-born multi-millionaire Francisco de Narváez, under investigation for ties to drug-trafficking cartels, as well as money-laundering and other financial crimes, managed to narrowly defeat former President Néstor Kirchner in the province of Buenos Aires.

De Narváez is a media magnate, but his reported connections to the drug underworld may be his undoing. Argentine Federal judge Federico Faggionato Márquez is currently investigating de Narváez’s ties to “Ephedrine King” Roberto Segovia, currently jailed for trafficking eight tons of ephedrine to Mexico. De Narváez hasn’t been able to explain how or why Segovia received calls from a cell phone registered in de Narváez’s name, or why he and Segovia share the same lawyer.

De Narváez sits atop a vast financial empire which, according to more than one investigator, is made up of numerous shell companies registered in other family members’ names, and located in offshore tax havens. Although a member of Congress, he has failed to file a declaration of his assets for the past two years, as required by law. The daily *Página 12* reported July 5 that the U.S. Treasury’s Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN), is investigating him for cover-up and laundering of money derived from criminal

activity.

Although both ex-President Néstor Kirchner and his wife, current President Cristina Kirchner, have been soft on the British agenda of drug legalization—perhaps because of the financial muscle that Soros and his Dope, Inc. masters wield in and against Argentina—they are nonetheless considered by London to be a serious obstacle to their strategic objectives, having imposed a partial moratorium on the country’s usurious foreign bond obligations, and having expressed their desire to implement an FDR-style approach to economic development.

The de Narváez win, reeking of drug dollars, is being pumped by London as the beginning of the end for the Kirchners . . . and Argentina.

And in Honduras...

As Argentina was being subjected to Dope, Inc. assault, Honduran President Zelaya was encouraged to make a bold move to execute a de facto coup d’état on behalf of the drug interests. Zelaya had publicly joined



Manuel Zelaya, the deposed President of Honduras, publicly joined Soros's drug legalization movement in October 2008. His attempted coup against the Constitution last month was blocked.

the Soros legalization bandwagon in October 2008, and in June 2009 he moved—with the vociferous backing of Venezuelan President Hugo Chávez's "Bolivarian" apparatus in the region—to try to illegally change the Constitution so as to allow his own reelection. Fortunately, Honduran institutions such as the Supreme Court, the Congress and the Attorney General thwarted the coup, and deposed Zelaya from office instead, shipping him out of the country.

When Chávez and others—egged on by President Obama's reckless, unconditional support for Zelaya's unconstitutional action—attempted to *illegally* reimpose Zelaya,

by flying him back into the country on a Venezuelan plane, cooler heads fortunately prevailed. Secretary of State Hillary Clinton quickly met with Zelaya in Washington, and insisted on third-party negotiations, to be conducted by Costa Rican President Oscar Arias, which are ongoing at this time. Zelaya is calling on his followers inside the country to launch an "insurrection," including blockades of major highways.

Chávez, blocked for the moment, has been hollering to anyone who will listen, that Secretary Clinton is to blame, and that President Obama has to overrule her policy and reinstate the pro-drug Zelaya.

If Obama follows that advice, he will be implementing a British-sponsored policy—as he is already doing on so many other fronts—whose objective is to destroy the United States, in this case, with a flood of legalized drugs.

Soros: 'The Lord of the Skies'

Possibly the most dramatic recent "electoral" intervention in Ibero-America by Britain's Dope, Inc.—and the one which reveals most starkly the guiding hand of the British monarchy and empire—is the case of Mexico's northwestern state of Sonora.

On July 5, Mexico's mid-term elections saw the opposition PRI party sweep the country—except in Sonora. There, according to local activists, Dope, Inc. deployed some \$50 million to defraud the PRI gubernatorial candidate, Alfonso Elías Serrano. Soros ran cover for this operation by deploying his stable of NGOs to run a smear campaign against Elías and his ally, the current governor, Eduardo Bours, also of the PRI. Elías had campaigned throughout the state on the strategic urgency, for Mexico as a whole, of building the PLHINO, the Northwest Hydraulic Plan, which LaRouche has long promoted as vital for both Mexico and the United States.


Bours is also a strong promoter of the PLHINO, and has earned the enmity of the drug cartels for resisting their efforts to take over Sonora—a state which they consider vital in their drug shipment corridors to the United States—and also for supporting Mexican President Felipe Calderón's battle against drugs, even though he is of the opposing PAN party. In fact, when a purported spokesman of the Michoacán Family drug cartel went on the radio July 16 to offer the Calderón government a "pact" to stop the violence they have



OAS/Roberto Ribeiro

George Soros's decades-long campaign to legalize narcotic drugs is closing in for the kill, with the Mexican state of Sonora a crucial battlefield. Here, Soros (right), meets with officials of the Organization of American States in Washington in 2006.

FIGURE 2

British WWF and Dope, Inc. Target Mexico

Prince Philip's WWF (now called the World Wide Fund for Nature) is grabbing 30% of Mexico's total territory to set up "green" zones outside Mexican sovereignty.

WWF and Dope, Inc. (See Figure 2.)

Here's how Dope, Inc. deployed in Sonora, through the networks financed and run by kingpin George Soros:

With Elías leading by 20% in the polls a month before the elections, a daycare center in Hermosillo, Sonora, subcontracted out by the Federal government, suspiciously burned to the ground on June 5, with 48 children burned to death as a result. The drug cartels had threatened just such action in other states one year earlier. Immediately, Soros's leading NGO in the state, Sonora Ciudadana, went into high gear, blaming Bours and Elís, by implication, for the deaths.

Sonora Ciudadana was created as an offshoot of one of Soros's Open Society Institute's leading projects in Mexico, the national NGO called FUNDAR. FUNDAR and its Sonora branch share directors and projects, and are financed officially by the Open Society Institute. Sonora Ciudadana also works directly with the WWF (about which, more below), in an environmental network targetting the Sonoran-Arizonan border.

Heading Sonora Ciudadana is a prominent journalist from the state's *El Imparcial* daily, Guillermo Noriega Esparza, who, interestingly enough, publicly thanked the U.S. consul in Hermosillo, Sonora, John

unleashed across the country—the standard “choose between silver and lead” narco strategy of blackmail—Bours promptly issued a statement backing Calderón's rejection of the offer, saying: “The national pact is the Constitution; there cannot be any pact other than that.” He added: “I've said it time and again: Independently of possible differences there might be, on matters of public security, we must close ranks around the President.”

So, the British monarchy set itself the twin tasks during the Mexican elections of stopping the PLHINO and securing Sonora for Dope, Inc.'s trafficking routes. This, as part of their years-long drive to make Mexico a virtual colony of the British Empire. The two principal agencies they deployed on this mission are the

Breidenstine, for inviting him to attend Obama's inauguration in January.

Two other organizations in the Soros stable that joined the contrived fracas of demonstrations and ads against Bours and Elís, are LIMAC (Freedom of Information Mexico) and PRENDE (The Press and Democracy Foundation), both recipients of Soros funds.

Then there is a hard, more operational side of Soros's involvement in Mexico, which features direct control over nine critical airports in Mexico's Southeast (**Figure 3**)—the crucial ports of entry from Central America for drugs being shipped through Mexico to the United States.

Soros and his partner, the wealthy Mexican financier turned "businessman" Fernando Chico Pardo, founded a venture capital firm called Promecap in 1997. Promecap advertises itself as a financial advisor to Soros's Cayman Islands-based Mexico Strategic Investment Fund (MISF), and functions as a vulture fund, buying up distressed corporations' non-performing debt. Included in Promecap's purchases is the Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, or ASUR, which owns and manages nine tourist- and drug-dominated airports of southeast Mexico, including Cancún and Cozumel.

Given his control of nine vital Mexican airports, perhaps Soros should be bequeathed the nickname made famous by the now-deceased Mexican drug kingpin Amado Carrillo: "Lord of the Skies."


Fernando Chico Pardo was also on the board of Soros's Quantum Fund as of 2005, and he is currently the chairman of the board of PRENDE, the Soros journalistic operation involved in the campaign in Sonora.

Slim and the WWF: 'Natural' Allies

Here, around the activities of Fernando Chico Pardo and his brothers Jaime and Luis, we encounter one of the more visible overlaps of London's Dope, Inc. apparatus, with its WWF—the leading global promoter of

FIGURE 3

Dope, Inc. Assets: WWF Mexico Areas and ASUR Airports



genocidal environmentalism, run personally by Prince Philip.

Chico Pardo, in addition to his Soros partnership, has long been known as the right-hand man to the world's sometimes richest man, Carlos Slim, Mexico's most prominent fat cat and founder and chairman of the telecommunications giant Telmex.

Chico Pardo, who was reportedly worth a billion dollars in 2004, got his start as a banker working for the drug-linked British bank Standard Chartered, Salomon Brothers, and Mocatta Metals. In 1991, he joined the board of Slim's holding company, Carso, and has served there continuously to the present. Brother Jaime Chico Pardo is chairman of the board and president of Telmex. Jaime is a 1974 graduate of the University of Chicago's Booth School of Business—notorious as George Shultz's den, where he hatched the fascist Pinochet project for Chile—and is, today, chairman of the America Council of the Booth School.

But the Chico brothers are small potatoes for Slim. His true strategic alliance is directly with the World Wildlife Fund.

Slim's Telmex entered into a strategic partnership with the WWF in 2003, which was vastly upgraded in June 2009—just one month before the Mexican elec-

tions. On June 4, Carter Roberts, the president of WWF-International, travelled to the Mexican resort of Cozumel, to sign an agreement for the creation of a \$100 million public-private fund, to be run by the WWF, which is to limit human activity and preserve biodiversity in six “priority” regions, covering *no less than 30% of Mexico’s total territory*. Slim provided *half*—\$50 million—of the fund’s financing.

The intent of the WWF’s fund is to extinguish Mexico as a nation, by imposing anti-development environmentalist criteria and denying Mexico the sovereign right to develop its own territory. One of the WWF’s main Mexican targets is the PLHINO project, which they claim would “waste” water by employing it for agriculture!

It is highly instructive to overlay the regions which the WWF intends to run through its new fund—the Gulf of California, the Chihuahuan Desert, the Mesoamerican Reef, and forest projects in Oaxaca, the Monarch Butterfly reserve in Michoacán and the State of Mexico, and the southernmost state of Chiapas—upon a map of the principal drug traffic routes in and out of Mexico. The strategic impact of the project thus comes into clear focus (**Figure 3**).

The agreement for the fund, signed by WWF-International President Carter Roberts, WWF-Mexico director general Omar Vidal, Mexican Minister of Environment and Natural Resources Juan Elvira Quezada, and Carlos Slim, was held up as a model for the kind of partnerships the WWF intends to replicate globally.

WWF-International’s Roberts maniacally proclaimed that “the dream of our movement is that in a very important country we would have the leadership throughout the government, the private sector, the civil sector and the scientific sector. And, finally, here we have everything. . . . This initiative, with so many individual communities, NGOs, and more, is one of the most important things in the world. It is a moment in which the stars are aligned, and this is a great moment.”

This agreement exemplifies the bitter reality identified by U.S. patriot and proven friend of Mexico, Lyndon LaRouche, that Mexico, a noble nation of freedom under President José López Portillo (1976-82), has been reduced to a colony of the British Empire today. Mexico must be freed, and we must help free it, LaRouche reiterated recently, both in defense of its sovereignty and to stop London’s “green” Opium War against the Americas.

Soros Strikes Again

Daycare Fire Covers Sonora Election Fraud

This statement was issued on July 15 by the LaRouche Youth Movement in Mexico. It was translated from Spanish.

In the midst of the greatest global economic crisis in modern human history, a clear battle between the system of empire, and that of sovereign nation-state republics, is breaking out across the globe. A well-known agent of that empire, British Foreign Office collaborator and mega-speculator George Soros, is the world’s biggest drug pusher, disguising his old British East India Company thug tactics with new, more innocuous terms such as harm reduction, and legalization. He has poured hundreds of millions of dollars of his ill-gotten gains into political destabilizations, targetting the development of sovereign nation-states all over the globe, as is typified by his support for the recent attempted coup d’état in Honduras by Manuel Zelaya, a public advocate of drug legalization. Zelaya was ejected from the country by the Congress, the Supreme Court, and the Attorney General, after he violated the Constitution.

Mexico, a long-time target of the apparatus of empire, held national mid-term elections on July 5, in which the entire 500-person House of Representatives and six governorships were up for election. The politically most significant result of the elections was the massive vote fraud committed in the northwestern state of Sonora, and the dirty operations carried out with the intention of defeating Alfonso Elias Serrano, the strongly pro-PLHINO gubernatorial candidate of the opposition PRI party. Due to increasing evidence of electoral fraud, candidate Elias Serrano has contested the results, and the Federal Electoral Institute (IFE) is considering annulling the gubernatorial election in the state of Sonora.

The PLHINO (Northwest Hydraulic Plan), is a great infrastructure project which would create a vast corridor of development in the Northwest region of Mexico, generate millions of productive jobs, and substantially increase food and energy production for the entire nation. U.S. statesman Lyndon LaRouche and his as-

sociates in Sonora and across Mexico have championed the project for decades. Most recently, on Jan. 14, 2009, LPACTV released a dramatic feature video in English and Spanish, entitled “NAWAPA-PLHINO: The Future of the Americas” (www.larouchepac.com/node/9257), which circulated in the tens of thousands in Sonora and across Mexico, in the lead-up to the elections.

Back in early September 2008, LaRouche had warned that the London-directed drug mob would very possibly lash out violently against the PLHINO. Their economic and political control of the region and the country are threatened by economic development projects such as the PLHINO, LaRouche explained. When a wave of bloody drug murders shook Mexico in the following weeks, LaRouche stated bluntly: “It’s Soros, it’s the British. It’s Soros—attacking the flank of the United States.”

The Sonora situation, and the dirty operations to try to defeat Elias Serrano, occurred in the context of overall national electoral results, where his opposition PRI party swept more than 230 of the 500 congressional elections, far better than expected, and won five of six governorships as well.

Elias Serrano had led PAN candidate Guillermo Padres in the Sonora polls by over 20% just one month before the elections. Then, on June 5, tragedy struck. The ABC daycare center in Hermosillo, Sonora, was burned down by a fire, in which forty-eight infants and children perished. Witnesses say they heard an explosion, and the entire building was in flames within five minutes.

The ABC daycare center was one of 1,427 such centers outsourced to private businesses by the government-run IMSS (Mexican Institute of Social Security), as part of a radical revamping of the structure of the IMSS beginning in the mid-1990s, which was imposed on Mexico by London-centered financial interests, to dramatically cut costs and move towards the full privatization of the country’s FDR-style Social Security system. The cost per child at IMSS-run daycare centers is about 7,500 pesos (\$680) per month; whereas costs per child at outsourced private centers is just under 2,300 pesos (\$210) per month—a two-thirds “savings” in costs! The conditions at the outsourced centers are frequently less than human: the facilities are often barely refurbished warehouses, with inadequate fire and safety provisions; in some cases, the space allotted is 1.5 square meters per child; and the personnel in charge of the children receive little training in medical and safety procedures.

The ABC daycare center was located in just such a warehouse, which had only one exit available for the 142 children and six adults trapped inside during the fire. Thus, the daycare fire was a tragedy waiting to happen, or a vulnerability waiting to be exploited.

In the weeks leading up to the elections, signs of a quintessential Soros-style operation were to be seen on all fronts:

1. A bitter duel of culpability was launched between the state PRI and federal PAN governments, resulting in the federal IMSS stating its intention to sue the state. Because owners of the daycare were all politically connected, local party officials were accused of nepotistic and partisan corruption which had led to negligence in the ownership and maintenance of the daycare. A clear Venetian tactic: republican government is dismantled, as its institutions are pitted one against the other, and as pessimism is spread throughout the population.

2. Reflecting Soros’s typical style of “democratic” intervention to fan the flames of chaos and destabilization, popular humanitarian organizations, with international support, entered the scene to demand justice. A media drumbeat picked up, complete with coverage of the vigils, marches, and endless TV interviews with devastated parents, pointing accusing fingers at the sitting PRI governor of the state, Eduardo Bours, and his party, the IMSS, and the President. The day before the elections, thousands took part in a demonstration in Hermosillo against “those responsible” for the tragedy. This orchestrated media campaign was then used to mask what is now emerging as the decisive factor in fraudulently throwing the election to PAN candidate Padres.

3. Mexican drug cartels had threatened earlier in 2008 to burn down daycare centers and primary schools in Sonora and other states, if local authorities did not back down to their demands. Local business owners and producers who had withdrawn their financial support from the PAN candidate Padres, having seen his campaign as a lost cause, began to worry when it became clear that he had found another—rather large—source of funds in the short period leading up to the election.

Let us ensure that George Soros and the purveyors of these types of thuggish tactics and British operations are identified and defeated, before they can strike again. Join Lyndon LaRouche in his efforts to launch an international alliance of sovereign nation states, committed to economic development, and the protection of the general welfare of their people.

What Profits? The Nation Is Dying!

by John Hoefle

July 17—Four of the biggest banks in the U.S.A. declared huge profits for the second quarter, prompting a predictable chorus from the usual lame-brained cheerleaders about how the financial system has weathered the storm and returned to normalcy. “Put your party hats on, kids, because the money machine is back in business!” Oh yeah, and the tooth fairy is going to leave the winning lottery ticket under your pillow tonight.

The levels of delusion, duplicity, and stupidity among the government, financial, and media circles are incredible. Virtually nothing they are saying is true, because virtually nothing they believe is true. It would be hard to find a bigger pack of idiots anywhere on the planet.

No matter what they say, the banks are *not* earning profits. The system is dead, and so are they. No amount of accounting fiction and asset-puffery will change that.

Deadly Fantasies

Rather than debate them on all their silly talking points, let’s demolish their fantasies from the top down, beginning with the belief that the return of the financial system would be a positive development.

We’re talking here about a global monetary system which was created and run by parasites, for the express purpose of subjugating and looting the people of the planet. This Anglo-Dutch Liberal system, more generally known as the British Empire, has been the bane of

the planet for centuries. Its power lies in its control over global finance, acting through a system of central banks and powerful financial houses, using its money to buy off and corrupt private and government interests in every nation. This empire is the entity which created the derivatives markets that blew up the world in mid-2007; it is this empire that has stolen trillions of your tax dollars to cover its losses, leaving you to face savage cuts in your standard of living. Reviving this monstrosity is about the worst idea a person could have, which presumably is why President Obama supports it.

The second fantasy is that the system is coming back. It’s not—it’s deader than Adam Smith. The derivatives markets are dead, the banking system is rapidly consolidating—with the biggest and most bankrupt banks kept open on the Federal teat, while the little ones are being closed at a pace not seen since the early 1990s. The only thing keeping the banking system from a visible collapse, is phony accounting on a world-historic scale, with trillions of dollars in worthless assets being carried at “whatever it takes to make us look solvent” valuations. It is a criminal conspiracy of the first order, and a monumental stupidity.

The third fantasy is that this is a financial crisis, for which the so-called financial “experts” must provide the solution. That’s a fallacy of composition, with a so-called “financial solution” being dangled in front of desperate bankers and citizens, as a way of keeping them distracted, while a very nasty political solution—

fascism—is being put in place. While we stare at the carrot on the stick, the world is being reorganized into a global financial dictatorship, and our nation is being politically destroyed.

Just as the financier oligarchy imposed fascism around the world in the 1920s and 1930s, it is attempting to do so again. Franklin Roosevelt, and the American spirit and industrial capacity stopped them then, defeating a coup attempt in the U.S., and defeating Hitler, Mussolini, and Japan. This time, however, we have President Obama openly pushing Hitler-style policies.

Far from being a good sign, even the illusion of a revival of the financial system is a dangerous development, because it takes us further away from the only real solution to this crisis—the abandonment of imperial monetarism in favor of a return to the American System of political economy, and its core, the Hamiltonian credit system. Anything else is a one-way ticket to global, corporatist fascism, the end of the United States as a sovereign nation, and the death of the nation-state system for decades to come.

Look Around You

Take a good look at the economy around you. Compare the standard of living of your family, your friends, and your neighbors now, with the way it was in previous decades. What kind of work do you do—productive or overhead? Do you earn enough income to pay your expenses and have a comfortable surplus? Do you spend hours every week in bumper-to-bumper traffic, commuting to and from work? Are you optimistic about the future? Or, are you grimly holding on, hoping for a break? Are conditions getting better, or worse?

Our nation is dying. Forty-seven of the 50 states are bankrupt, as are thousands upon thousands of cities and counties. As the economy sinks, as people lose jobs by the hundreds of thousands, as real estate and financial assets collapse in value, revenues are drying up. At the same time, prices are soaring on food, energy, and other staples. When incomes plummet and costs soar, even President Nero should be able to figure out we're headed deeper into trouble.

Six U.S. states hit contemporary-record unemployment rates in June, with Michigan being the first state in a quarter of a century to top 15%, according to the Labor Department. Fifteen states have unemployment levels above 10%. These are the official rates—real unemployment is probably twice those rates. What do you think that means for the families involved, the govern-

ments which depend upon them for tax revenues, and the financial institutions which hold their mortgages and their credit cards?

Look at the bank profits in this context. Even if the banks were solvent, they would not be for long, as the economy collapses beneath them. But that's only half of it. The other half is that the population, and the physical economy upon which their lives depend, is being systematically looted by banks like Goldman Sucks, with the deliberate, knowing collusion of the Obama Administration and the Federal Reserve. The more money these fools give away through the bailout, the faster the real economy collapses. We are throwing out the baby to save the bathwater.

Rise to the Occasion

The poet and historian Friedrich Schiller once remarked, of a earlier period of crisis, that a great moment had found a little people. That is a perfect description of inept leaders like President Obama and Nancy Pelosi, monetarist fools like Larry Summers and Ben Bernanke, and most of the citizenry.

Summers, for example, claimed as proof that the “recession” was over, that Google searches for “economic depression” are declining! “We were at the brink of catastrophe at the beginning of the year, but we have walked some substantial distance back from the abyss,” he claimed in a speech today at the Peterson Institute for International Economics. Are we really going to let our nation be destroyed by such fascist idiots?

The solution is on the table, courtesy of Lyndon LaRouche, and the great minds of history. The choice is clear: the fascism of the financier oligarchy versus a return to the principles of the American Declaration of Independence and Constitution. The issue is not *can* we save the nation, but *will* we.

Don't wait for the proverbial “them” to do it for you. “They” are either deliberate plotters of the destruction of the nation, corrupt individuals looking out for themselves while the nation collapses, or cowards hiding under their beds. There are good people out there who want to do the right thing, but they don't believe they can win. Our job is not only to show them that it can be done, but to lead the fight.

Without such action on our part, the nation is doomed. The oligarchy can not win, as its success in implementing its “solutions” ensures its demise. The real question then, is, will we let them take us with them?

johnhoe@larouchepub.com

Bangladesh Revives Silk Road Concept

by Ramtanu Maitra

July 14—On July 7, the business associations of Bangladesh discussed a study, titled, “Restoring the Asian Silk Route: Toward an Integrated Asia,” and recommended to the Bangladesh government that Dhaka should only opt for restoration of the original Silk Route, connecting all the countries of the region, and to strike a comprehensive deal with New Delhi for allowing port access, even under multilateral arrangement. According to their suggestion, Bangladesh would benefit only if Nepal, Bhutan, Myanmar, Thailand, and China were connected and engaged in the process, with the aim of boosting regional trade.

The concept of linking Asia with Europe by railroads, for the purpose of enhancing trade and reducing travel time, has existed for decades. The main route of the Trans-Siberian Railway that originates in St. Petersburg, runs through Moscow and ends in Vladivostok, via southern Siberia, was built between 1891 and 1916, under the Tsars Alexander III and his son Nicholas II. Later, the Chinese Eastern Railway was constructed as the Russian-Chinese part of the Trans-Siberian Railway, connecting Russia with China, and providing a shorter route to Vladivostok. The Trans-Siberian Railway is often associated with the main transcontinental Russian train that connects hundreds of big and small cities of the European and Asian parts of Russia. At 9,288 kilometers, spanning a record seven time zones, and taking several days to complete the journey, it is the third-longest single continuous rail service in the world, after the Moscow-Pyongyang (10,267 km) and the Kiev-Vladivostok (11,085 km).

The Schiller Institute and the Eurasian Land-Bridge

In recent years, China built a 10,900 km route from Lianyungang in Jiangsu province to Rotterdam, The Netherlands. In addition, Beijing has made known that it plans to build a third land-bridge between Europe and

Asia. Under the proposal, this third route would start from port cities in the Pearl River Delta, including Shenzhen, travel west to Yunnan province, then through Myanmar, Bangladesh, India, Pakistan, Iran, and Turkey, cross Europe, and end at Rotterdam. This land corridor, consisting of railways and highways, would boost trade and provide an alternative transport channel to safeguard China’s energy and economic safety, Qin Guangrong, governor of Yunnan province, said in an interview with *China Daily* in early July.

While the existing and proposed Eurasian land-bridges are intended exclusively as transportation linkages to carry passengers and cargo in bulk in a shortened period of time, the Schiller Institute, under the guidance of Helga Zepp-LaRouche and Lyndon LaRouche, presented, in the early 1990s, a fully-rounded Eurasian Land-Bridge concept that would create development corridors along the transportation networks to bring the vast Eurasian landmass to life. That study pointed out that such a developmental project would utilize high-speed railroads, clusters of nuclear power plants, and manufacturing corridors, to help bring long-term stability to a large highly volatile area, where more than 50% of the world’s population resides.

By contrast, the July 7 proposal made in Dhaka was modest, but it was aimed in the right direction. The panel pointed out that one of the three proposed routes of the Asian Highway Network (Mongla-Jessore-Hatiqumrul-Dhaka-Kachpur-Chittagong-Cox’s Bazar-Teknaf-Myanmar border) is designed to connect Myanmar, while others are connecting the interior towns of Bangladesh. Abdul Haq, president of the Japan-Bangladesh Chamber of Commerce and Industry, recommended that even in joining the multilateral road network, Dhaka should persuade Delhi to come to a comprehensive agreement on trade, investment, water resources, and even security, making it a precondition for allowing road connectivity.

“Since our capacity to negotiate has improved, I think, Bangladesh should properly bargain with this issue and ensure national interests. Nepal, Bhutan, Myanmar, and China should be made partners in the regional cooperation,” said Zafar Osman, president of the Dhaka Chamber of Commerce and Industry. He also stressed the importance of resolving political tensions that have hindered trade and economic interests of the peoples of regional countries, and focusing instead on economic issues. “We have to utilize the po-

tential services that we can offer to reduce the balance of payments deficit with India,” he added.

Changing Bangladesh

In many ways, such a proposal, which seeks out greater participation of Bangladesh in world trade and development, is extraordinary. Described at its inception in 1971 by former U.S. Secretary of State Henry Kissinger, who also tried his best to ensure that Bangladesh did not separate from Pakistan, as a “basket case,” Bangladesh is now almost self-sufficient in food. It has successfully developed its food security, within the past 35-odd years, despite the fact that it has a population of about 154 million and the highest population density among the world’s nations, with about 1,060 people per square kilometer. By contrast, population density in the United States is 31 per square kilometer.

Moreover, since its inception, Bangladesh, a weak, impoverished nation, has been the target of the British-Saudi imperial nexus, whose main objective was to use Bangladesh as a “thorn” in the flesh of the Indian Subcontinent. The British geopolitical scheme was to use an “Islamic” Bangladesh to fuel tensions, exemplified by the frequent religious riots within India between Hindus and Muslims, as a means to bleed and break up India. Its partners-in-Muslim-affairs, Saudi Arabia and Kuwait, were ready to exploit Bangladesh’s poverty, to finance, through madrassah schools and importation of migrant workers under contracts, Bangladesh’s extreme orthodox Wahhabi form of Islam, virtually non-existent otherwise in this part of the Subcontinent.

While the British-based “jihadi” Bangladeshis, under protection and control of both the British MI5 and MI6, had set up arms-training centers inside Bangladesh, the Saudi money attracted other mischief-makers from Pakistan, Nepal, and elsewhere. As a result, Bangladesh became a highly unstable nation, increasingly falling under the influence of British- and Saudi-run terrorists, dressed up as jihadis.

Following the overwhelming electoral victory of the Awami League and its allies in late December 2008, this terrorist faction, with direct links to Britain and Saudi Arabia, tried to grab power in early January by trying to slaughter the Bangladeshi Army leadership and assassinate Prime Minister Sheikh Hasina Wazed. The plot failed, and in the months that followed, Sheikh Hasina’s government unearthed a number of arms-training centers, linked directly to U.K.-based Bangladeshis, and a number of terrorist groups, which are

funded by the Saudis, and were masquerading as “religious” outfits.

It is evident that Bangladesh has now become less unstable politically and socially, and Sheikh Hasina’s government is now capable of carrying out programs to stabilize and bring long-term prosperity to the country. The business community’s aspiration to become a part of the New Silk Road, linking Bangladesh with the rest of Asia, is indicative of such a positive outlook.

During the discussions in Dhaka, Japan-Bangladesh Chamber of Commerce president Haq called on Delhi to cooperate with Dhaka in developing Bangladesh’s trade and investment, because the country is already one of the largest markets for Indian goods. Another speaker pointed out that India must also bear the some of the costs of transportation infrastructure building. In conclusion, the executive director of the Centre for Policy Dialogue, Mustafizur Rahman, said that Bangladesh might benefit from trade with India, if constraints could be overcome through political negotiation. “Certainly there are pending issues, but there are ways to overcome them if the political leadership wants to take a decision taking into account maximum economic interests....”

Necessity for India To Move Forward

There is no question that Rahman hit close to home. It is the lack of political leadership in New Delhi that has prevented India, which is now capable of turning the tide of instability in the Subcontinent, from asserting itself as a strategic power. On the other hand, the necessity of moving ahead with the Eurasian land-bridge has already been discussed in-depth in India; and yet, neither the previous government, nor the present one, has made any commitment to such a development project, which would integrate the area and improve India’s dilapidated physical infrastructure.

In fact, in 2007, the Research and Information Services (RIS), an autonomous research institution, established in New Delhi with the financial support of the government, issued a policy brief in preparation for the 14th South Asian Association of Regional Countries (SAARC) Summit. The policy brief was titled: “Towards a New Silk Road in Asia.”

In that paper, the RIS pointed out that in the old days, South Asia was a key hub on the ancient Silk Route connecting Central Asia, China, and the Far East. However, those transport links have since been disrupted. Pointing out that South Asia can regain its position as a hub among Central Asia, the Middle East, and East Asia, in



Eurasian Land-Bridge Network Plan Showing Main Rail Lines

EIRNS/1992

addition to facilitating intra-regional trade, the brief made a case for “restoring the Afghanistan-Pakistan-India-Bangladesh-Myanmar (APIBM) transport corridor that could become a new Silk Road facilitating the emergence of South Asia as a hub for pan-Asian trade besides bringing substantial revenue as transit fees and making the sub-continent more interdependent.”

In proposing the APIBM Transport Corridor, the RIS study said that “a regional overland road link from Kabul to Yangon via Dhaka can be revived for regional trade with minimal effort.” If the cross-border linkages are reopened, a distance of about 5,272 km from Kabul to Yangon via Lahore, Delhi, Kolkata, Dhaka, and India’s North East Region (NER), can be covered within about 12 days, the study said. Therefore, the RIS concluded that the APIBM Transport Corridor deserves a high priority. It went on to point out that “the importance of the APIBM corridor is not only for the trade. It would also facilitate investments in the infrastructure sector in Southern Asia. It will also bring many rich rewards for bordering regions by bringing investments in them.”

It can make Pakistan and Afghanistan serve as hubs for India’s trade with Iran, the Middle East, and Central Asia, although that would require an upgrading of infrastructure and Land Custom Stations (LCSs) at Afghanistan’s border with the Central Asian countries (Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, and Tajikistan). Similarly, Bangladesh will become a hub for India’s trade with Myanmar and other Southeast Asian countries, besides serving as a transit for India’s NER. Myanmar itself will become a transit hub for India’s trade with other members of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN). Sri Lanka is already well placed to be a maritime hub in South Asia, with a good part of India’s trade transshipped through the port of Colombo, the RIS said in its policy brief.

According to the RIS study, once transit between India and Bangladesh is allowed, Bangladesh can earn revenue (over US\$ 1 billion per annum) as transit fees from Indian vehicles plying to and from NER, to the rest of India, cutting through Bangladesh in the process.

Similarly, transit agreements among India, Pakistan, and Afghanistan will fetch significant revenues for Pakistan for movement of vehicles between India and Afghanistan, over its territory.

In this regard, the RIS pointed out that South Asia should seek to emulate the success of the Greater Mekong Sub-Region (GMS) Cross-Border Transport Agreement (CBTA), which has been successful in im-

plementing single-window customs clearance at all border crossings in the GMS. (This system enables cross-border traders to submit regulatory documents at a single location.) Specifically, Mae Sai-Tachilek is one of the seven pilot points selected under the CBTA, which came into force in December 2003, to streamline regulations and reduce non-physical barriers by introducing the single-window customs clearance. By the end of 2006, 13 border points in the GMS were expected to become operational. A single-stop, single-window system has been put in place in the Dansavanh (Lao PDR)-Lao Bao (Vietnam) border crossing point since June 30, 2005. This is an important area for regional cooperation, to evolve an agreement providing the basis for adopting a single-window system at all the border crossings in South Asia.

While both the RIS policy brief and what the business councils in Dhaka pointed out will help integrate the area and benefit all the participating nations, such transport networks’ utility cannot be maximized unless the main carrier of bulk items are relatively high-speed cargo trains. All of South Asia, including India, is short of transportation fuel. All South Asian countries import oil, remaining vulnerable to fluctuations in oil prices. Developing a large transportation network based on imported oil will make these countries even more vulnerable.

Moreover, transportation of bulk material by road is the one of the most inefficient and expensive ways of carrying cargo. By contrast, railway transport, simply because of its economy of scale, comes out significantly cheaper and much faster over a long haul, than road transport. It is for that reason that China, another large nation dependent on imported oil, has developed its connection to Europe by railroad, and is now planning to set up the third transport corridor, also utilizing its railroads.

But most important of all, is the fact that while a consensus is forming within South Asia to develop such a network, neither New Delhi nor Islamabad has unveiled any plan to make it happen. What New Delhi must come to accept now, is that it will have to take the leadership in building this network. Businessmen in Dhaka and, earlier, the RIS policy brief, made that clear. South Asia has the manpower, and, among India, China, Russia, and Japan, there exists no dearth of technology to make the land-bridge a huge success. What is in short supply in New Delhi are leadership and vision. It is time that India’s Manmohan Singh government take the cue from its smaller neighbor.

Book Review

‘Dead Aid’ Proposes More Death for Africa: Why Not Kill Globalization Instead?

by Lawrence K Freeman

Dead Aid: Why Aid Is Not Working And How There Is a Better Way For Africa

by Dambisa Moyo

New York: Farrar, Straus and

Giroux, 2009

188 pages, hardcover, \$24.00

I came to read *Dead Aid* at the request of African friends who wanted to know what I thought of this book, which is making the rounds among those concerned about the plight of Africa. Having now read this book, I feel obliged to speak out as strongly as possible against Moyo’s deadly pro-British axioms: her support for globalization and free trade, the two most deadly diseases ever to infect mankind.

The author, a Zambian, articulates policies that are coherent with the goal of the British monarchy’s World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF), to depopulate the world by several billion people. Whether she intends, or even realizes the deadly consequences of her thinking is a separate matter. All moral, thinking people, especially Africans, should denounce the axioms underlying this book.

Moyo ignores the role of International Monetary Fund (IMF) policies which have turned African countries into food importers, instead of helping them achieve food self-sufficiency. This process, among others, created a huge debt load for Africa, with debt-service payments larger than the amount of aid received. She blames corruption, saying that aid money was mis-spent. Incredibly, she advocates cutting off aid as the solution, to force African countries to shape up, and

goes so far as to say that a dictator could make free trade work better.


“What is it about Africa that holds it back, that seems to render it incapable of joining the rest of the globe in the twenty-first century?” Moyo asks. “The answer has its roots in aid.”

It is all the more important to speak out, following President Barack Obama’s address in Ghana on July 11, whose tenor was in line with Moyo’s biases.

Before even reading *Dead Aid*, one is tipped off that one is embarking upon a nasty journey. She dedicates the book to Peter Bauer, and Niall Ferguson writes the Foreword. Bauer, who died in 2002, was a professor emeritus at the London School of Economics, and was the first recipient of the Cato Institute’s Milton Friedman Prize for Advancing Liberty—enough said. Ferguson, author of *Empire: The Rise and Demise of the British World Order and Lessons for Global Power*, is an unabashed apologist for imperialism; Moyo cites him in her book to buttress her argument for the creation of an “independent monetary authority”—i.e., independent of the sovereignty of the nation—to “to foster growth.”

The Monetarist Mindset

Moyo’s training has conditioned her to genuflect before the altar of fictitious monetary values, in the bubble economy that has polluted our economy over the last four decades. After two years at the World Bank, she moved on to Harvard for a master’s degree, and then to Oxford for a doctorate in economics. She worked for eight years at Goldman Sachs (2001-08), one of the



primary institutions whose speculative trading in derivatives led to the collapse of the global financial system in July 2007. Her employment at Goldman Sachs alone disqualifies her to speak about economics, as she has no understanding of how to build sovereign economies capable of producing the physical wealth necessary for their people's existence. Like Bauer and Ferguson, Moyo has no comprehension of the unique principles of the American System of Political Economy, established by Alexander Hamilton, the first Secretary of the U.S. Treasury, which is the only sound scientific basis for economic development. She instinctively opposes the American System economic principles that allowed the United States to achieve significant rates of growth even after the death of President Franklin Roosevelt, until President Richard Nixon introduced the floating-rate-exchange system.

Moyo's analysis suffers from a systemic mental defect: her monetarist world view, which leads her to propose recommendations that are against the interests of the African people. She fails to grasp the principles of Franklin Roosevelt's Bretton Woods system, and adopts instead the outlook of John Maynard Keynes, whom she calls the "pre-eminent British economist." Her hostility to the very notion of state issuance of credit for investment in a country's future, most importantly for investment in essential categories of infrastructure, is a pervasive theme of the book.

Is Aid Responsible for Backwardness?

Moyo's main thesis, is that since World War II, the West has spent over \$1 trillion in aid to poor African countries, which has been misspent by corrupt government leaders to pad their own pockets. Her solution is to propose that, in five years, all aid from the developed countries should stop. According to her, it won't get any worse for the people living in Africa, and eventually it will force governments to change their ways. How many people will die as a result, is not much of a concern for someone who was trained at "Goldman Sucks."

After praising the performance of a few African stock exchanges and bond markets, as a Goldman Sachs capital market specialist is trained to do, she does find it necessary to catalog the horrific conditions of life in Africa, if for no other reason than to bolster her case for the failure of aid:

- Sub-Saharan Africa is the poorest region in the world, with an average per-capita income of US\$1 a day. Some 50% of the world's poor live in Africa.

- African countries are as poor today as they were in the 1970s.

- If current trends were to continue, in 2015, Africa would account for one third of the world's poverty, up from one fifth today.

- Africa is the only continent where life expectancy is less than 60 years; in some countries it is as low as 30 years.

- One in seven children die before the age of five, with 50% of Africa's population below the age of 15.

'Benevolent Dictatorship'

Under the ideological cover of free-market policies, the IMF, through its structural adjustment programs (SAPs) of the 1980s, demanded the removal of any state involvement in the economy. Its diktats included eliminating subsidies, liberalizing financial institutions, privatizing 90% of state-run corporations, and shrinking the work force of the civil sector. The result was to further drive down fledgling economies, and to end even the limited investment in infrastructure. But the most evil intended effect of the SAPs, along with the emergence of the World Trade Organization (WTO), was to utterly destroy agricultural sectors and the capacity to feed the people of a nation through their own labor. (Even under the boot of direct colonialism, this was never accomplished.) As a result, since the mid-1980s, virtually all African nations have been dependent on food produced outside their borders for their very existence.

The SAPs created greater dependency on the West through increased amounts of new debt, borrowed from banking consortia. Moyo reports that by the end of the 1980s, the debt of the "emerging-market" nations soared to \$1 trillion, leading to yearly debt-service payments that were \$15 billion in excess of the aid received, thus producing a "net outflow of resources." Instead of identifying the SAPs as responsible for wrecking these poor nations, Moyo blames lack of "good governance" and the failure to fully implement IMF conditionalities. In other words, if only the patient had imbibed more poison, he would not have died.¹

1. Nigeria offers one of the best examples of how the SAPs sent a nation spiraling downward. The IMF and the British ordered the removal of head of state Gen. Muhammadu Buhari in 1985 to bring into power, through a coup, the more compliant Gen. Ibrahim Babangida, to implement the SAPs. Immediately following the coup, the Nigerian currency, the naira, which was approximately equal to \$1, plummeted to 25 to the dollar; farmers were bankrupted, since they could no longer afford im-

While Moyo never misses an opportunity to castigate “undemocratic” African leaders for the miserable condition of their people, she praises the methods of the brutal dictator of Chile, Gen. Augusto Pinochet: “That is not to say that Pinochet’s Chile was a great place to live: it does however, demonstrate that democracy is not the only route to economic triumph.” This is the same Pinochet who was praised by George Shultz and Felix Rohatyn, as a model for “free-market” economics.

Ferguson, in his Foreword, even more directly blurts out his preference for dictatorship, saying that poor countries need “in fact a decisive, benevolent dictator to push through the reforms required for getting the economy moving.”

Prescriptions for Death

The idea on which Moyo’s book is premised is that after foreign aid is terminated, countries will either “cut back on expenditures or raise funds elsewhere to support the same level of spending.” In other words, let people suffer even more than they are now, and they will figure how to make it on their own.

Moyo suggests the following alternatives for countries to raise money once the aid is cut off: foreign investment, trade, capital markets, remittances, micro financing, and savings. Those “alternatives” would *never* put Africa nations on course to achieve economic freedom, but to make such “suggestions” in the midst of a total breakdown of our global economy, to countries where the majority of the population lives on \$1-2 a day or less, is just plain disgusting.

She boasts that her “prescriptions are market based, “since no economic ideology other than one rooted in the movement of capital and competition has succeeded

ported farming machinery. Forced to leave their farms, they migrated to cities like Lagos, which could not accommodate the increase in population; there were no jobs for the millions of people now living in three-sided corrugated metal shacks. All infrastructure programs (as limited as they were) ceased, and all subsidies ended. Nigeria has never recovered to this day.



www.bangor.ac.uk

Collection of wood fuel for urban areas in Nigeria. The backwardness of most of sub-Saharan Africa is the result of the continuing legacy of colonialism, including by the IMF and Western banks—not of “corruption” in itself, as author Moyo claims.

in getting the greatest number of people out of poverty, in the fastest time.” (What about the unique creation of the United States? I guess they don’t teach that at the schools she went to.) Moyo is unable to conceive of any economic policy that is not based on monetarism. The “freedom” to further globalize the world economy, and the unfettered banking system’s “right” to speculate in quadrillions of dollars of derivatives, are the only doctrines that exist in her monetarist mindset.

Moyo despises the very notion of a sovereign nation-state; she opposes protectionism; she disregards the principles of President Franklin Roosevelt’s Bretton Woods System of sovereign nations, in opposition to Keynes’ One-World, central bank/imperialist system; and she completely rejects the historically successful, credit-based American System of political economy.

Globalization Is Evil!

“A . . . specifically fascist type of assault on humanity generally,” wrote Lyndon LaRouche recently, “is expressed as the elimination of national sovereignties by the mode of imperialist tyranny known as ‘globalization.’ Essentially, no nation shall grow its own food, or exert sovereignty over the technologies it employs. At the same time ‘globalization’ of the labor-force lowers the level of technology at the population’s com-

mand to a virtual condition of pauperized peonage” (“Economic Science, in Short,” *EIR*, June 19, 2009).

In Chapter 8, Moyo doesn’t just endorse globalization; she wants to turn every African into a slave for the British Empire’s cheap labor policy. She characterizes three groups of countries: “winning globalizers”—those who experience economic growth from increased trade; “non-globalizers”; and “losing globalizers,” which have increased trade but are not experiencing economic growth—the category, according to her, that includes many African nations. She asks why African nations, with their huge pools of cheap labor, are not achieving more success in the world of globalization. Her answer: protectionism! It is the protectionist policy of the West, especially in the agricultural sector, that is responsible for the “globalized losers.” For her, the virtual slave-labor wages that Africans have been reduced to accepting, would turn them into “winners” in the free-market economy, if it were not for subsidies to American and European farmers, and tariffs on other manufacturing products.

Many well-meaning African leaders unfortunately fall into this trap of blaming American and European subsidies for the demise of their agricultural sectors. This simply is not true. The American family farmer has been targeted for extinction for 30 years, allowing the food supply to be controlled by a tiny group of unregulated food cartels. This is another product of globalization, which has to a large degree already succeeded in forcing most nations into food import dependency, endangering their national security. This is yet another good reason to end globalization and put the WTO out of existence, as Lyndon and Helga LaRouche called for in June 2008.

Moyo is so obsessed with defending free trade, that she attempts to cover up its genocidal effects in Africa by removing “famine” from the Four Horseman of Apocalypse, and replacing it with “corruption.”

She continues: “On pure wages alone, Africa should dominate the world’s manufacturing slot (manufacturing does tend to employ lowly skilled, so Africa’s poor education showing ought not to hamper its prospects of becoming a manufacturing engine).” She is upset that these poor countries cannot effectively market the slave-labor conditions of her African brothers and sisters in the globalized economy.

Moyo can’t wait for the aid to Africa nations to be terminated. Then they will be forced to accept public-private

partnerships, which will be controlled by the same financiers who indebted Africa and bankrupted the world economy. This is a “good start,” says Moyo, “as are the billions of dollars of smart money (hedge funds, the international banks, private equity funds) now going to Africa. Africa’s era of private capital is only now beginning, and this trend has to be nurtured in order for it to continue.” Nuture hedge funds in Africa? Isn’t Africa suffering enough? It was, and is, the speculative orgy of hedge funds and the like, run by investment houses like Goldman Sachs, that destroyed the world economy!

Unfortunately, many Africans are so angry at the failure of their governments, that they buy into Moyo’s line. African nations have never been allowed to develop. The British and other colonial powers made sure that no regional infrastructure was ever built, dooming those countries to permanent underdevelopment. There is no “objective” problem in developing sovereign national economies in Africa; it was the conscious British, and later U.S.-adopted policy of genocide that caused the horrible conditions of life in sub-Saharan Africa. Secondly, the nurturing of ethnic conflicts has been a primary imperial tool to prevent the emergence of nation-states that the people of a country could identify as representing their interests. While anger at the “corruption” of one’s government is understandable, it is necessary to think about who created the conditions that are responsible for “corrupting” governments. Indeed, one of the real tragedies of Africa is the internalizing by the Nigerian elite of the British oligarchic mindset, which still afflicts the country’s leadership today.

Now look at the shameful remarks by President Obama in Ghana last week: He would rather blame African leaders than tell the truth about how the continent has been kept in backwardness. Like Moyo, Obama exhorted African leaders to strive for “good governance,” and to follow the same monetarist doctrines that accelerated the rate of collapse of the U.S. and world economy, since his inauguration. These two “children of Africa” have offered no pathway out of the misery affecting millions on the continent. Have they become the modern faces deployed to sell the new imperialist policy of globalization to Africa?

Would I recommend reading this book? Only if you want to know how the enemies of mankind are planning to kill more Africans.

President Obama Flaunts His Nero Complex

by EIR Staff

July 18—On April 11, 2009, Lyndon LaRouche stunned the world, by declaring, during an international web-cast, that President Barack Obama was suffering from a severe Narcissistic disorder, and that his behavior, during a recent trip to London, which included his shameless fawning over the Queen of England, resembled that of the infamous Emperor Nero.

The reaction at the time, to LaRouche's bold public diagnosis, was sharp. Even among a small, but well-placed group of officials, within the institution of the Presidency, who had drawn much the same conclusion, after the President's bizarre and irrational behavior throughout his first European, indeed, his first overseas tour since taking office, there was a reaction against LaRouche's oh-so-public pronouncement.

But LaRouche, a student of both history and psychology, understood that someone suffering from an acute Narcissistic disorder, has to be confronted—repeatedly, and with brutal candor—if he is to ever break free of the syndrome and return to reality.

So, LaRouche stood his ground, on repeated occasions, confident that his diagnosis was sound, and that his actions were the only clinically effective approach to take, for any patriot, seriously worried about the consequences of Nero-like behavior on the part of the President of the United States, during a moment of the greatest crisis in modern history.

Mirror, Mirror, Off the Wall

Slightly more than 100 days have passed, since LaRouche delivered his clinical diagnosis. In the interven-

ing period, the evidence of the President's disorder has become so transparent that one prominent Washington, D.C. Democrat confided that the joke circulating around Capitol Hill and at Democratic National Committee headquarters is: President Obama awoke one morning, looked in the mirror and saw that his nose was bleeding. Furious at what he saw, he ordered aides to bring him a new mirror!

Rarely has reality interfered with the delusions of grandeur gripping the President and his small circle of Court Jesters, who spend most of their waking hours, keeping the President in the dark, and lashing out at anyone who dares to suggest that this Emperor has no clothes.

Senior Democrats, speaking on condition of anonymity, have told *EIR* that they are astounded at the behavior of the President and his aides. "They see the world as a zero-sum game," one official commented. "If anyone takes any effective policy action that dims the spotlight on the President, there is hell to pay. Never, in memory, has a President burned so many bridges, with so many former allies in his own party, in such a short period of time."

In apparent imitation of the Emperor Nero, Obama, and his coterie of advisors, led by White House Chief of Staff Rahm Emmanuel and his top political aide David Axelrod, have conducted a reign of terror against any elected Democrat who dares step out of line—particularly on the President's two signature issues: the cap-and-trade "global warming" Ponzi scheme, to reduce greenhouse gas by creating a speculative market on



White House MP3 grab

Lyndon LaRouche's exposure of President Obama's Narcissus complex has led to a meltdown in his support among Americans, since his inauguration on Jan. 20 (shown here). Will he be put under adult supervision, as LaRouche has called for, in time to avert a catastrophic collapse of the nation?

carbon emission vouchers; and the health-care “reform” package, borrowed from Adolf Hitler’s 1939 T-4 euthanasia program, to eliminate the sick, the elderly, and the disabled, through a mandatory extermination program.

Targeted Democrats (and some Republicans as well) have been subjected to three different forms of draconian Executive branch pressure.

First, a group of senior members of the House and Senate have been targeted for FBI investigation, on a scale that would have made the late J. Edgar Hoover envious.

Sources close to the White House have reported that Rahm Emanuel maintains a political hit list, identifying legislators who might stand in the way of the President’s breakneck-speed agenda of outright fascist austerity.

When Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton recently announced plans to bring in a former top campaign aide and close friend, Sidney Blumenthal, as a personal advisor at Foggy Bottom, Emanuel lashed out, warning that Blumenthal’s appointment would be considered a “personal slap in the face” to the President.

Some of the people on Emanuel’s Congressional hit list, including some with the highest seniority, and the strongest “FDR Democrat” credentials, are now targets of relentless FBI investigation and a barrage of media leaks. Rep. John Murtha (Pa.), chairman of the Defense

Appropriations Subcommittee; Rep. Charles Rangel (N.Y.), chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, perhaps the most powerful body in the House, responsible for all tax legislation; and Rep. John Conyers (Mich.), chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, who has championed a single-payer universal health insurance plan, which was instantly deep-sixed by the President, are but three leading elected officials who have been politically targeted by the FBI—and are considered as potential obstacles to the White House agenda.

A senior U.S. intelligence source independently confirmed that the FBI is “out of

control” and going after leading members of Congress. “The Bureau learned some lessons from their 1980s Abscam program,” a centralized sting operation against a selected list of Congressmen and Senators, the source elaborated. “Now, there is no code-named centralized program. They are going after a similarly politically motivated list of targets, one at a time. They are exploiting the extraordinary domestic spying powers they got after 9/11. It is very scary.”

Soros’s Jacobin Offensive

As the President’s team moved their cap-and-trade, and health-care schemes through Congress, the White House also unleashed former self-professed Nazi collaborator, mega-speculator George Soros, to bankroll a network of Jacobin organizations, to attack Democrats who threaten to oppose the President. Soros and his Democracy Alliance-funded groups like MoveOn.org, Health Care for America Now, and the Democratic National Committee-sponsored Organizing for America, are waging a vicious TV and newspaper advertising campaign against a growing list of wavering Democratic members of Congress, including such powerful Senators as Dianne Feinstein (Calif.), Mary Landrieu (La.), Arlen Specter (Pa.), and Ron Wyden (Ore.).

Incredibly, the President has feigned a “Look Ma,

no hands” policy, denying that the White House is behind the ad campaigns, the street demonstrations, and the increasingly confrontational phone calls. But two of the most active groups in the Jacobin onslaught, Organizing for America and Health Care for America Now, are actually former Obama campaign organizations, which went through a cosmetic name-change after the elections, but are known to be run out of the White House. And Patrick Gaspard, the Obama White House’s equivalent of Bush’s Karl Rove, is a former Soros employee and Service Employees International Union (SEIU) executive, who reportedly handles day-to-day coordination with the Soros Jacobins.

Unconstitutional Bullying

In the past few weeks, as the impact of the LaRouche Political Action Committee’s exposé of the Obama health-care “reform,” as a replay of Hitler’s T-4 euthanasia board, has hit home across the country, the President and his aides have launched what may very well be an unconstitutional assault on Congress and on a number of states, which are facing imminent bankruptcy and collapse of services.

High-level Democratic Party officials have reported that Obama, Emanuel, and some members of the Cabinet have threatened governors from both parties with a cut-off of Federal funds, if they don’t bring their Congressional delegations around to the President’s agenda. For example, Arizona Gov. Janice Brewer (R) received several letters from Obama Cabinet members, and, reportedly, a call from the White House, after Sen. John Kyl (R-Ariz.) aggressively criticized the Obama health-care plan.

Arkansas Gov. Mike Beebe (D), according to Democratic Party sources, took a call from a senior White House aide, who demanded a crackdown on Sen. Blanche Lincoln (D-Ark.), after she sounded off against both the Obama health-care swindle, and cap-and-trade.

Lincoln had also reportedly crossed swords with the White House, by leading an effort to win Senate bipartisan support for a Steelworkers Union complaint before the International Trade Commission (ITC), over alleged Chinese dumping of tires. Obama was reportedly furious that the USWA didn’t come to him first, and in-



EIRNS/Joanne McAndrews

The impact of LaRouche PAC’s mobilization to expose the Obama/Orszag Nazi health-care “reform” has provoked rage at the White House, and growing opposition throughout the country. Shown: LPAC organizing in Philadelphia, May 11.

stead sought Senate backing for their fight. Yet, when the ITC did recommend tariff remedies to the White House in late June, the President let it be known that he would sit on the recommendation until September—holding it as a sword over the head of the Steelworkers and the ten Senators who backed the union complaint at the ITC.

Gov. Bobby Jindal (R-La.) was yet another target of Obama’s wrath—and threats of a cut-off of Federal funds, according to Washington sources; and Ohio Gov. Ted Strickland (D), a former leading member of the House of Representatives, was told that he needed to “whip his Congressional delegation into line,” or face a shutoff of stimulus money and other vitally needed Federal funding.

One former top Executive branch official said he was stunned at the “borderline illegalities and ridiculous pettiness” of the President and his men.

‘Go To Hell!’

The behavior of Emanuel, Axelrod, and a coterie of other White House sycophants is nothing, however, in comparison to the President’s own “my way or the highway” arrogance. Just in the past two weeks, Obama chastized African leaders, in an address in Ghana, for “whining” and making excuses, based on former colonial repression. He told the entire California Democratic Caucus in Washington and Sacramento to “Go to Hell,” according to one member of Congress, who was stunned that the President chose to work with California Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger (R), to impose murderous austerity on the state’s most impoverished and desperate citizens, rather than offer relief, in the form of loan guarantees or accelerated Federal stimulus funds.

And, in another unmistakable sign of Nero-esque self-adulation, the President addressed the 100th anniversary celebration of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) on July 16, and held himself up as, effectively, the greatest success story in African-American history.

Just days earlier, Obama cancelled a scheduled town hall meeting in Detroit, choosing, instead, to stage a tightly controlled lecture, in which he bluntly told Detroit’s highly skilled industrial workforce that their manufacturing jobs were gone forever, and that they should get a life: Go off to community college, he scolded them, to train for “green” jobs—on the very day that Bureau of Labor Statistics data showed that unemployment in Michigan had passed 15%, with a 22% jobless rate in the Greater Detroit area.

One official with access to Presidential speeches before they are delivered, noted, with growing alarm, that the President is increasingly departing from the text, “going off on ego trips that are getting more and more out of control. It is pure arrogance.”

The net effect is one of the most stunning meltdowns in the history of the institution of the Presidency—and Barack Obama is absolutely clueless, so far, about what is going on around him!

The Coming Moment of Truth

Rasmussen Reports, one of the nation’s most respected polling organizations, conducts a daily nationwide survey of Presidential approval ratings,

among likely voters.

On Jan. 20, 2009, at the start of his term, President Obama enjoyed a “strongly approve” rating from over 45% of those polled. His “strongly disapprove” rating was under 15%. The identical poll, taken on July 18, shows a stunning collapse in public support. Obama’s performance received a “strongly approve” rating from just 34% of those polled; while those who “strongly disapprove” of the President soared to 42%. Over 43% of independent voters—who delivered the 2008 election victory to Obama—now “strongly disapprove” of his performance.

Someday soon, the President is going to wake up and face reality—perhaps for the first time in his adult life: His Presidency is in a free-fall; his promises to the American people during the election campaign have all been betrayed; his closest advisors are all clinically insane; and his future looks grim. Will he abandon his malignant self-love and clean up his act? That question can only be answered by the President himself. Far more than the balance of the Obama Presidency hangs on the answer.

America’s Untold Story

How the trans-Atlantic republican movement waged a continuous fight for freedom, beginning with John Winthrop’s Massachusetts Bay Colony in 1630.


\$19.95

ORDER FROM
EIR News Service, Inc.
P.O. Box 17390
Washington, D.C.
20041-0390

Order by phone, **1-800-278-3135**

OR order online at **www.larouchepub.com**

Shipping and handling: Add \$4 for the first book and \$1.00 for each additional book. Virginia residents add 4.5% sales tax. We accept MasterCard and Visa



LaRouche's April 11 Webcast: President Obama's 'Narcissus Syndrome'

Here are excerpts from Lyndon LaRouche's now-famous webcast.

Because of the sensitivity of certain questions which I'm going to address today, I have restricted myself in the opening to following a certain script, to avoid any possible misunderstanding. Because, it will be obvious to you, as I proceed, that some very sensitive questions about the state of mind of the President have come up, and they must be addressed, because we can not understand the situation or discuss it, without taking these issues of state of mind into account. And you have to be precise. I'm not saying the President is insane. I'm saying he has certain limitations which affect his ability to judge certain things.

Therefore, we have to understand the President's limitations: that he seems very bright, he seems very capable, but a lot of matters which he deals with, he hasn't got a clue of what he's talking about. And that's one of the big problems. That's why he's so susceptible to misrepresentation and being misled by people close to him, especially among certain groups. And therefore, in covering that aspect of my presentation, I've restricted myself very tightly, to make sure there's no wrong understanding of what I'm saying.

When our new President, Barack Obama, left the United States for his arrival in London for the G20 meeting, he not only abandoned our United States for a time, he also abandoned what had been the hopes of many of the nations and peoples of the world. Many in Europe, and elsewhere, came away from the experience of his recent travels abroad, with the sense of being enraged, by the experience of mixed incompetence, confusion, and even outright betrayal. Essentially, for the purpose of that excursion, he had abandoned the fundamental interest of defense of our United States, and with a particular expression of treasonous insanity which we had already experienced under two preceding terms in the White House.

Then he left us, for Europe and Turkey, for a mad dive, into what has since threatened to become a fast track into an anteroom of Hell.

When he departed for Europe, as if for the purpose of hugging and kissing the little Queen of Buckingham Palace, the situation inside our United States' new Presidency was already bad, as the action of that particular scoundrel Larry Summers had already forewarned us. Summers' and Geithner's lunatic schemes were not only the prelude to a global disaster which is now bringing us all to the brink of a planetwide New Dark Age for the all humanity. This present situation, and this present policy, must now be changed, suddenly and radically, for the better, not only suddenly, but very soon.

In the Grip of an Evil Cabal

Then, as we've been warned by *Time* magazine, we have a special problem: President Obama is presently in the grip of a thoroughly evil cabal, a most frankly Satanic pack of inherently criminal lunatics, to be found in high places in any real important part of the world, since Adolf Hitler departed our planet, nearly 64 years ago. Now, if we're to save this republic of ours, and not only that, but the entirety of this planet, from a virtual dive to Hell, we must free this President from the lunatic grip of that pack of fascist-like scoundrels, which has now been identified for us, by *Time* magazine. This pack of scoundrels, identified by *Time*, has represented the cabal which is currently exerting control over the political will of President Obama.

If this nation, and civilization generally, is to survive, the presently accelerating global breakdown crisis must be brought under control, and the control by this crowd over the President's mind must be severed, and the policy of the Presidency returned to the council of that set of leading cabinet and related officials, whom the citizenry have a right to expect to be responsible, and also fully sane advisors of that Presidency, as an institution. An institution which serves the historical


past, present, and future interest of our United States, rather than this cabal of the likes of Larry Summers and Peter Orszag at OMB.

The word of instruction to these weird creatures who have been identified in a “Timely” fashion, the instruction which should have been offered to that pack, by the President himself, is simply: “Git! While you can still do it!” The welfare of not only our republic, but the civilization more generally, now depends upon the execution of that simple and timely purge of the rascally cult of depraved traditional enemies of our United States, since 1776—the followers of Adam Smith and Jeremy Bentham.

Barack Obama is the elected President of the United States, and I do not presently expect that aspect of our present situation should be changed.

However, there are certain other things that must change, and that suddenly. As more and more of many among you have learned, since the announcement I delivered in an international webcast on July 25, 2007: that we have been, not in a recession, not in a mere depression, but in a *general global breakdown crisis of the economy of the entire planet*. As long as the present structure of economy, in the United States, in Europe, and elsewhere continues, the world is going *more and more deeply, into a general breakdown crisis*, which will probably result in the elimination, within a generation or two, of two-thirds of the present level of the world’s population: a reduction of the population of the planet from 6.5 to 6.7 billion people today, to less than 2 billion, in a short period of time. Entire cultures and entire languages and entire nations would disappear, if this current trend, in the Obama Administration, is allowed to continue. So the change must come, it must come soon, it must come suddenly, while we still have a Presidential team in place which is rational.

The elimination of these factors, such as Larry Summers, and this crowd identified by *Time* magazine, must occur immediately, because if it does not



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

In his April 11 webcast, LaRouche identified President Obama’s dangerous psychological weakness: his “Narcissus syndrome,” noting that this left him open to manipulation by “a thoroughly evil cabal.” That warning has been borne out in spades since then.

occur, the following will be true: The situation we face, in the United States and worldwide, is comparable in many respects to Rome under the dictatorship of the Emperor Nero. The character of the President under these conditions *is* of that form. He is not really aware of what he’s doing. He has no comprehension of many of the technical issues, such as economic issues which he’s treating—none whatsoever. He has no clear understanding of strategic interest. He’s an intelligent person, in other respects. But he has *no competence* in these areas, for which he is largely responsible as President.

And therefore, only if you eliminate this crowd identified by *Time* magazine, as the controlling influences on him, and put him back into the dependency upon the advice of capable people in his cabinet and related positions, could the United States survive.

If, as in the case of the Emperor Nero, who is, historically, a similar precedent for this kind of problem, if you don’t eliminate those factors, and let him run under the control of this crowd identified by *Time* magazine, he will *eliminate—as Nero did!—all his own advisors from outside that particular team*. At that point, with a deteriorating world situation, we can approach the con-

dition of a non-recoverable situation on this planet: *We can go into a New Dark Age of all humanity!* And therefore, that change must occur, now. . . .

The President's Nero Problem

And the point is, it's obvious: The United States and the world, the world economy today, is *bankrupt*, and not only bankrupt, it is disintegrating. The world system is in a breakdown crisis; the world as a whole, and the world *is* a whole.

That's the reality! Don't believe the lies. You know they're lying, so why do we believe this stuff? Why? Because you're afraid of the fascists; you're not willing to fight them. It's a problem in the institutions of government. They are afraid to fight these guys. That's the reason why I insist that the people in the lower 70% to 80% of family-income brackets, is the only location of serious guts in this part of the country right now, plus a few of us individuals. That's it!

The Congress has lost its guts! I mean look, frankly: Take "Tight Lips," the Speaker of the House: She has been in there since the beginning of 2007, and she's not worth anything! She's no good for anything! A completely useless blockhead, who gets in the way—you know, she can't move her mouth, so therefore, she can't consent to anything. She's a fake; she's a fraud! We all know she's a fraud, anybody in the Senate, anybody in the Congress, knows this woman is a fraud. And yet, there she sits! Lips sealed by medical science.

I mean, what are we? Are we fools? We don't know? We ask ourselves questions in order to shut ourselves up?

The point is, this is a question of guts. The question of guts, which I raised today, you know? The President of the United States is acting like something worse than a fool. He should not be let out without a leash. He shouldn't be going running around the world, because he's going to make a mess of things. You've got to get him under control. We don't want to throw him out, because we don't want to create a new element of instability in the system.

We want to put him under parental administration; not by his wife. We want him put in a situation where he's able to perform the functions of a President, even though he doesn't have the mind of a President, and this requires some adult supervision. Confine him to areas where he can't do damage with his ignorance, and put him in custody, as President. He's a President under custody. Let him do things. He's not unintelligent, he's

just mentally disordered. He's got a serious mental problem.

Look, I said before, and I can say it again: He has a Nero problem. He's a contemporary Nero. Famous kind of problem. And if you leave him in there, you're going to find out the kind of effect he's going to play; he's going to play the role of a Nero. He may not have the specific problems that Nero had, some of them, but he has this idea—look: "The One"? The One? The miracle man? Who doesn't know how to find the key to the front door? Or the back door? He's not competent! We stuck him in there. The system stuck him in there; he's President. He's lawfully President. But you don't let him play with firecrackers!

Take that crowd which affects him and controls him, and take them, and get them out of government! And put him under the condition where he has to talk and make policy with people who are not corrupt, and who are competent! We *have* people in government, in key positions in government, who are perfectly capable of making competent policy for the United States. They may make mistakes, in the process, but they're competent. Put him in a position where he has no handles on which to operate with the Federal government *except in concert with those competent people*. Don't let him run loose! We've got to keep him there because we elected him. He's not unintelligent; he may be educable, but you have to control it.

You see, his instincts are *wrong*! And his self-adulation, his manic, euphoric self-adulation, is the mentality of the worst kind of dictator. Don't let him get in a position where he has that kind of power. Keep him under constraint, the legal constraint within the American Presidential system, as it works. Keep him in that constraint. If you don't, you're creating a monster. You don't want a Frankenstein monster. You don't want a Narcissus in the Presidency, and he's a case of Narcissus, just like Nero. And the program is basically like that of Nero.

He's a danger to all humanity if you don't keep him under control. He's a danger to himself, as well as everybody else. So, you ain't persecuting him, when you're protecting him from himself. . . .

A True Narcissus Case

. . . Well, there are a lot of things you can say about that. You know, I said that essentially when you have the case of Larry Summers and Geithner, I described that as Mephistopheles and his Faust. It's essentially



White House/Pete Souza

The Obamas' lovefest with Her Imperial Highness Queen Elizabeth was shocking evidence of the President's obeisance to America's historic enemy. Obama, said LaRouche, took "a mad dive, into what has since threatened to become a fast track into an anteroom of Hell."

what it is. They're both fakers. You see, Larry Summers would say this, if you saw him speaking. That's what he does, he does funny things with gestures; he goes into a whole business of gestures intended to intimidate and distract the audience, while if you look at what he's saying, he's saying nothing; the whole thing is a fraud.

But, you've got to understand the other thing: When you criticize the President, you've got to see clearly, as it became very clear to me when I saw the evidence: This guy is a Narcissus case. Specifically, he maps in history, on the profile of the Emperor Nero, who was put into power by his mother, who he later killed. Who was educated by Seneca, who he tried to kill in several successive ways, before the job was finally done. And who understood nothing.

A true Narcissus case, like Nero, is not concerned with reality. He is concerned with his illusion. He lives really in a fantasy life, and the only way you can deal with him as President—and I've indicated what the problem is about removing him as President—is, you have to put him in an environment where the environment refuses to allow those games to be played.

You stick him in a position—see, he's not unintelli-

gent. He's got the mechanism of intelligence, but he has no moral criteria. The Narcissus case, like an Emperor Nero, *has no intrinsic moral character*. The Narcissus has a self-image; and what he tries always to do, is to avoid collision with the self-image as he's crafted it. So, he wants to see himself as always powerful, a genius, everything else. He's a guy who's a quick study; he's a facile asset, a quick study. He's a chameleon. And you imagine, what does a chameleon think about himself when he's standing on a Scotch plaid?

And that's your problem with this type of person. This guy is a very specific type of personality. All the evidence is conclusive; you don't have to get into anything more than we know now. It's there. This is a Narcissus, which maps onto the image of a Narcissus type, such as the Emperor Nero. If you keep him in, the way he's being kept in now, he's going to be that type. That's where he's going; that's what his character is showing you.

If, on the other hand, you put him in the position where he's treated as a boy, who's allowed to play certain games and not others, then he will wait until he has his opportunity to strike, as Nero would strike.

So, to deal with the problem—you're worried too much about the wrong things. I understand the anger, but you have to worry about our institutions of the Presidency, which is what I worry about. As long as he's in control of his position, with that retinue on which he depends—remember, he depends on a very specific group of people, which is identified essentially by the *Time* magazine report. *That's his personality!* That's the truth!

You are who you eat. You are what you're fed to be. He's fed to be a Narcissus type, of this type. *Don't feed him*. Don't feed the disease, and he's forced then to attempt to assimilate himself into a position where he's credible. Remove the credibility of the other thing, because our problem is that we're not governed. This nation is not governed! There has been a total breakdown of the government under this jerk we had for two terms. Fortunately, we escaped the other great danger—a Gore! A Gore as President would be the worst possible affliction you can imagine. You've got a slimeball who's a Narcissus. That would be really something bad.

The British Empire Is the Disease

The swine flu (H1N1) continues its non-seasonal spread in such diverse locations as England, Thailand, Mexico, and the United States. While not yet so deadly as the 1918 flu, which killed between 50 and 100 million people worldwide, this virus must be watched closely as a marker for a pandemic condition brought on by global economic collapse.

Considering the dynamic of the planet as a whole, set into motion by the British-inspired reversal of Franklin Delano Roosevelt's policies, beginning immediately after his death in April 1945, there is every reason to believe a deadly pandemic will indeed occur.

The process at work here has been put forward by economist Lyndon LaRouche, with great clarity, since at least 1974, when he set up a task force to study the worldwide biological-ecological breakdown, and emergence of new diseases, that could ensue if the "zero-growth" economic policies then being imposed upon Africa, were maintained, and came to prevail more widely. As that study demonstrated, the outbreak of new diseases is a reflection of the failure of the man-made economy, to invest in the technological progress required to compensate for the depletion of resources as a whole.

There is no such thing as equilibrium, LaRouche has stressed. Mankind and the biosphere are either progressing, or they are regressing. We are now regressing, because the British global financial system has imposed post-industrial policies and conditions, which have led to a collapse process. If we want to improve the potentiality of the planet—including its ability to fight the emergence of new diseases—mankind has to advance, specifically by going to a level of higher energy-

flux density, with nuclear power.

There is no reason to worry about disease *per se*, LaRouche recently commented. With a healthy biosphere, and healthy organisms (including people), you have the natural ability to overcome disease. If the breezes flow, and fresh water flows, you don't have a problem. You can maintain sanitation and general health conditions, and you will be all right. The problem arises from the unhealthy condition of the physical economic relationship of man to the biosphere, which has resulted from the British Empire policy. *This is the disease.*

And, as every sane person knows, the degradation of conditions of life is accelerating, all over the planet. Conditions that have condemned Africans to rapidly *decreasing* life-expectancies, are now spreading throughout Ibero-America, and in parts of Asia as well. Vast swaths of the so-called industrialized countries are being deconstructed as well, through the shutdown of industry and agriculture, and the throwing of the formerly employed workers on the human scrapheap. The process of devolution is also being sped by the general dis-investment in the infrastructure appropriate to a high-technology, prosperous society, especially in transportation, power sources, and water management and supply. Not to mention the need for public health and hospital infrastructure.

So, how do we prepare to fight an onrushing pandemic? The *only* sane solution is to overthrow the British imperial monetary system, and install an American-style credit system which can fund the revival of technological progress in the world economy. That means, first, bringing the American Presidency under control of sane forces—and then doing what Americans do best, getting to work rebuilding our nation and the world.

See LaRouche on Cable TV

INTERNET

- BCAT.TV/BCAT Click BCAT-2 Mon: 10 am (Eastern Time)
- LAROCHEPUB.COM Click *LaRouche's Writings*. (Avail. 24/7)
- MNN.ORG Click *Watch Ch.57* Fri: 2:30 a.m. (Eastern Time)
- QUOTE-UNQUOTE.COM Click on *Ch.27*. Tue. 6 pm (Mtn.)
- SCAN-TV.ORG Click *Scan on the Web (Pacific Time)*. Ch.23: Wed. 7 am Ch.77: Mon. 11 am
- WUWF.ORG Click *Watch WUWF-TV*. Last Mon 4:30-5 pm (Eastern)

INTERNATIONAL

THE PHILIPPINES

- MANILA CH.3: Tue 9:30 pm

ALABAMA

- UNIONTOWN GY Ch.2: Mon-Fri every 4 hours; Sun Afternoons

ALASKA

- ANCHORAGE GCI Ch.9: Thu 10 pm

CALIFORNIA

- CONTRA COSTA CC Ch.26: 2nd Tue 7 pm
- COSTA MESA TW Ch.35: Thu 5:30 pm
- LANCASTER/PALMDALE TW Ch.36: Sun 1 pm
- LONG BEACH CH Analog Ch.65/69 & Digital Ch.95: 4th Tue 1-1:30 pm
- ORANGE COUNTY (N) TW Ch.95/97/98: Fri 4 pm

COLORADO

- DENVER CC Ch.56 Sun 10 am

CONNECTICUT

- GROTON CC Ch.12: Mon 5 pm
- NEW HAVEN CC Ch.23: Sat 6 pm
- NEWTOWN CH Ch.21: Mon 12:30 pm; Fri 7 pm
- NORWICH CC Ch.14: Thu 7:30 pm
- SEYMOUR CC Ch.10: Tue 10 pm

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

- WASHINGTON CC Ch.95 & RCN Ch.10: Irregular

FLORIDA

- ESCAMBIA COUNTY CX Ch.4: Last Sat 4:30 pm

ILLINOIS

- CHICAGO CC./RCN/WOW Ch.21: Irregular
- PEORIA COUNTY IN Ch.22: Sun 7:30 pm
- QUAD CITIES MC Ch.19: Thu 11 pm
- ROCKFORD CC Ch.17 Wed 9 pm

IOWA

- QUAD CITIES MC Ch.19: Thu 11 pm

KENTUCKY

- BOONE/KENTON COUNTIES IN Ch.21: Sun 1 am; Fri Midnight
- JEFFERSON COUNTY IN Ch.98: Fri 2-2:30 pm

LOUISIANA

- ORLEANS PARISH CX Ch.78: Tue 4 am & 4 pm

MAINE

- PORTLAND TW Ch.2: Mon 1 & 11 am; 5 pm

MARYLAND

- ANN ARUNDEL CC Ch.99; FIOS Ch.42: Tue & Thu: 10 am; Fri & Sat: midnight
- P.G. COUNTY CC Ch.76 & FIOS Ch.42: Wed & Fri: 6 pm
- MONTGOMERY COUNTY CC/RCN/FIOS Ch.21: Tue 2 pm

MASSACHUSETTS

- BROOKLINE CV & RCN Ch.3: Mon 3:30 pm; Tue 3:30 am; Wed 9 am & 9 pm;
- CAMBRIDGE CC Ch.10: Tue 2:30 pm; Fri 10:30 am
- FRANKLIN COUNTY (NE) CC Ch.17: Sun 8 pm; Wed 9 pm; Sat 4 pm
- QUINCY CC Ch.8: Pop-ins.
- WALPOLE CC Ch.8: Tue 1 pm

MICHIGAN

- BYRON CENTER CC Ch.25: Mon 2 & 7 pm
- DETROIT CC Ch.68: Irregular
- GRAND RAPIDS CC Ch.25: Irreg.
- KALAMAZOO CH Ch.20: Tue 11 pm; Sat 10 am
- KENT COUNTY (North) CH Ch.22: Wed 3:30 & 11 pm
- KENT COUNTY (South) CC Ch.25: Wed 9:30 am
- LAKE ORION CC Ch.10: Mon/Tue 2 & 9 pm
- LANSING CC Ch.16: Fri Noon
- LIVONIA BH Ch.12: Thu 3 pm
- MT. PLEASANT CH Ch.3: Tue 5:30 pm; Wed 7 am
- SHELBY TOWNSHIP CC Ch.20 & WOW Ch.18: Mon/Wed 6:30 pm
- WAYNE COUNTY CC Ch.16/18: Mon 6-8 pm

MINNESOTA

- ALBANY AMTC Ch.13: Tue & Thu: 7:30 pm
- CAMBRIDGE US Ch.10: Wed 6 pm
- COLD SPRING US Ch. 10: Wed 6 pm
- COLUMBIA HEIGHTS CC Ch.15: Tue 9 pm
- DULUTH CH Ch.20: Mon 9 pm; Wed 12 pm, Fri 1 pm
- MARSHALL Prairie Wave & CH Ch.35/8: Sat. 9 am
- MINNEAPOLIS TW Ch.16: Tue 11 pm
- MINNEAPOLIS (N. Burbs) CC Ch.15: Thu 3 & 9 pm
- NEW ULM TW Ch. 14: Fri 5 pm
- PROCTOR MC Ch. 12: Tue 5 pm to 1 am
- ST. CLOUD CH Ch.12: Mon 6 pm
- ST. CROIX VALLEY CC Ch.14: Thu 1 & 7 pm; Fri 9 am
- ST. LOUIS PARK CC Ch.15: Sat/Sun Midnite, 8 am, 4 pm
- ST. PAUL CC Ch.15: Wed 9:30 pm
- ST. PAUL (S&W Burbs) CC Ch.15: Wed 10:30 am; Fri 7:30 pm
- SAULK CENTRE SCTV Ch.19: Sat 5 pm

- WASHINGTON COUNTY (South) CC Ch.14: Thu 8 pm

NEVADA

- BOULDER CITY CH Ch.2: 2x/day: am & pm
- WASHOE COUNTY CH Ch.16: Thu 9 pm

NEW HAMPSHIRE

- CHESTERFIELD CC Ch.8: Wed 8 pm
- MANCHESTER CC Ch.23: Thu 4:30 pm

NEW JERSEY

- BERGEN CTY TW Ch.572: Mon & Thu 11 am; Wed & Fri 10:30 pm
- MERCER COUNTY CC Trenton Ch.26: 3rd & 4th Fri 6 pm Windsors Ch.27: Mon 5:30 pm
- MONTVALE/MAHWAH CV Ch.76: Mon 5 pm
- PISCATAWAY CV Ch.15: Thu 11:30 pm
- UNION CC Ch.26: Irregular

NEW MEXICO

- BERNALILLO COUNTY CC Ch.27: Tue 2 pm
- LOS ALAMOS CC Ch.8: Wed 10 pm
- SANTA FE CC Ch.16: Thu 9 pm; Sat 6:30 pm
- SILVER CITY CC Ch.17: Daily 8-10 pm
- TAOS CC Ch.2: Thu 7 pm

NEW YORK

- ALBANY TW Ch.18: Wed 5 pm.
- BETHLEHEM TW Ch.18: Thu 9:30 pm
- BRONX CV Ch.70: Wed 7:30 am
- BROOKLYN CV Ch.68: Mon 10 am TW Ch.35: Mon 10 am RCN Ch.83: Mon 10 am FIOS Ch.43: Mon 10 am
- BUFFALO TW Ch.20: Wed & Fri 10:30-11pm
- CHEMUNG/STEBEN TW Ch.1/99: Tue 7:30 pm
- ERIE COUNTY TW Ch.20: Thu 10:35 pm
- IRONDEQUOIT TW Ch.15: Mon/Thu 7 pm
- JEFFERSON/LEWIS COUNTIES TW Ch.99: Irregular
- MANHATTAN TW & RCN Ch.57/85 Fri 2:30 am
- ONEIDA COUNTY TW Ch.99: Thu 8 or 9 pm
- PENFIELD TW Ch.15: Irregular
- QUEENS TW Ch.56: 4th Sat 2 pm RCN Ch.85: 4th Sat 2 pm
- QUEENSBURY TW Ch.71: Mon 7 pm
- ROCHESTER TW Ch.15: Sun 9 pm; Thu 8 pm
- ROCKLAND CV Ch.76: Tue 5 pm
- SCHENECTADY TW Ch.16: Fri 1 pm; Sat 1:30 am
- STATEN ISLAND TW Ch.35: Mon & Thu Midnite. TW Ch.34: Sat 8 am
- TOMPKINS COUNTY TW Ch.13: Sun 12:30 pm; Sat 6 pm

- TRI-LAKES TW Ch.2: Sun 7 am, 1 pm, 8 pm
- WEBSTER TW Ch.12: Wed 9 pm
- WEST SENECA TW Ch.20: Thu 10:35 pm

NORTH CAROLINA

- HICKORY CH Ch.6: Tue 10 pm
- MECKLENBURG COUNTY TW Ch.22: Sat/Sun 11 pm

OHIO

- AMHERST TW Ch.95: 3X Daily
- CUYAHOGA COUNTY TW Ch.21: Wed 3:30 pm
- OBERLIN Cable Co-Op Ch.9: Thu 8 pm

OKLAHOMA

- NORMAN CX Ch.20: Wed 9 pm

PENNSYLVANIA

- PITTSBURGH CC Ch.21: Thu 6 am

RHODE ISLAND

- BRISTOL, BARRINGTON, WARREN Full Channel Ch.49: Tue: 10 am
- EAST PROVIDENCE CX Ch.18; FIOS Ch.25: Tue: 6 pm
- STATEWIDE RI INTERCONNECT CX Ch.13; FIOS Ch.32 Tue 10 am

TEXAS

- HOUSTON CC Ch.17 & TV Max Ch.95: Wed 5:30 pm; Sat 9 am
- KINGWOOD CB Ch.98: Wed 5:30 pm; Sat 9 am

VERMONT

- BRATTLEBORO CC Ch.8: Mon 6 pm, Tue 4:30 pm, Wed 8 pm
- GREATER FALLS CC Ch.10: Mon/Wed/Fri 1 pm
- MONTPELIER CC Ch.15: Tue 10 pm; Wed 3 am & 4 pm

VIRGINIA

- ALBEMARLE COUNTY CC Ch.13: Sun 4 am; Fri 3 pm
- ARLINGTON CC Ch.69 & FIOS Ch.38: Tue 9 am
- CHESTERFIELD COUNTY CC Ch.17; FIOS Ch.28: Mon 1 pm
- FAIRFAX CX & FIOS Ch.10: 1st & 2nd Wed 1 pm; Sun 4 am. FIOS Ch.41: Wed 6 pm
- LOUDOUN COUNTY CC Ch.98 & FIOS Ch.41: Wed 6 pm
- ROANOKE COUNTY CX Ch.78: Tue 7 pm; Thu 2 pm

WASHINGTON

- KING COUNTY CC Ch.77: Mon 11 am, Wed 7 am BS Ch.23: Mon 11 am, Wed 7 am
- TRI CITIES CH Ch.13/99: Mon 7 pm; Thu 9 pm

WISCONSIN

- MARATHON CH Ch.10: Thu 9:30 pm; Fri 12 Noon
- MUSKEGO TW Ch.14: Sat 4 pm; Sun 7 am

WYOMING

- GILLETTE BR Ch.31: Tue 7

MSO Codes: AS=Astound; BD=Beld; BR=Bresnan; BH=BrightHouse; BS = Broadstripe; CV=Cablevision; CB=Cebridge; CH=Charter; CC=Comcast; CX=Cox; GY=Galaxy; IN=Insight;

MC=MediaCom; TW=TimeWarner; US=US Cable. FIOS=Verizon FIOS-TV.

Get The LaRouche Connection on your local cable TV system! Call Charles Notley 703-777-9451, Ext. 322. Visit our Website: www.larouchepub.com/tv.

[updated Mar. 2, 2009]

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review
June 5, 2009 Vol. 36 No. 22 www.larouchepub.com \$10.00

Zepp-LaRouche Tells Voters, 'Stop Rationing Health Care'
The Substance of Tensors: The Ontological Matter
Matter-Kennedy Alliance Was Killed by the British

**LaRouche: Act Now To Stop
Obama's Nazi Health Plan!**



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Youth Movement, we are changing politics in Washington, day by day.

EIR Online

Issued every Tuesday, EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

☐ **\$360** for one year

☐ **\$180** for six months

☐ **\$120** for four months

☐ **\$90** for three months

☐ **\$60** for two months

☐ Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail address _____

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my ☐ MasterCard ☐ Visa

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____