

Brotherhood controllers in London, Munich, Geneva, and South Africa.

The funding for the organizations linked to the Muslim Brotherhood comes largely, according to many sources, from the faction in Saudi Arabia associated with Prince Abdallah, the commander of the National Guard there, and from the Kuwaitis.

In diplomatic and intelligence circles, it is widely known that Prince Abdallah is extremely close to London — as opposed to the majority of the Saudi royal family, which is “pro-American.” Both Abdallah and the new ruler of Kuwait, Sheikh Sabah, were trained at the same London police school, Hendon Police College — and both are pro-Muslim Brotherhood.

Following the assassination of King Faisal in 1975, when Abdallah’s power was somewhat increased, the growth of the influence of the Brotherhood in Saudi Arabia was speeded up, and throughout the Islamic world Abdallah’s faction was increasingly able to push fundamentalist Islam and the Ikhwan.

In Iran, for instance, the *Fidaiyani Islam* (“The Devotees of Islam”) are closely linked to the Muslim Brotherhood, and in the 1950s they carried out jointly a series of assassinations and terrorism in both Egypt and Iran.

In the current Iran crisis, the Devotees have suddenly resurfaced to carry out assassinations for the ultrareactionary cause of Ayatollah Khomeini.

Saudi Arabia: the next Iran?

Saudi Arabia, a leading force for peace in Middle East politics, has emerged as the number one target of a British-backed operation to destabilize the Middle East via the deliberate activation of reactionary Islamic sects.

Saudi Arabia’s refusal to back an Egypt-Israel separate peace, combined with its increasing desire to open relations with the Soviet Union, and growing willingness to cooperate with the European Monetary System all pose a formidable obstacle to the continued manipulation of the Middle East by Britain and her political and intelligence networks in the United States. The fact that Saudi oil wealth is key to the successful implementation of the EMS and would virtually guarantee the triumph of the new, high-technology-oriented EMS over the British-controlled International Monetary Fund has, of course, not been lost on the desperate British oligarchy.

Iran treatment for Saudi Arabia

Numerous signs surfaced last week that Saudi Arabia is next in line for the Iran treatment.

“Six months after I return to Teheran, the future of those who presently rule Saudi Arabia will be known,” said fanatic religious leader Ayatollah Khomeini, speaking through his chief aide Ibrahim Yazdi in Paris.

Khomeini’s threat was picked up by the Jan. 22 *Christian Science Monitor*, which reported that Shi’ite mosques in Iraq, Kuwait, the United Arab Emirates, and Oman have become centers of Khomeini-style “revolutionary activity,” and that Prince Fahd is urgently seeking cooperation with the other Arab states to put an end to the threat. However, according to Thomas Ricks of Georgetown University and British agent Joseph Malone formerly of the National War College, both quoted by the *Monitor*, mosques in Saudi Arabia have begun to “stockpile arms” for a rebellion.

Covertly backing those factions tending toward religious reaction in Saudi Arabia is Prince Abdullah, chief of Saudi security. According to a high-level Washington source, Abdullah is pushing to sever ties with the West. Prince Fahd, in contrast, is committed to modernizing Saudi Arabia and looks with favor on cooperating with the West to achieve this.

Abdullah has often been cited as the likely ringleader of a palace coup by dissident, anti-American young Saudis who are being personally cultivated by Malone and his ilk.

“If I were the Saudi leadership,” stated UCLA’s Malcolm Kerr, a close associate of Malone, “I’d be worried about what is going on in Iran and what the ramifications are for Saudi Arabia. Too much development too fast brought about a backlash of reaction in Iran. The same thing could happen in Saudi Arabia. If I were the Saudis, I’d start cutting back on oil production, the rate of modernization, and other such things just to keep things under control there.”

In an attempt to force Saudi support for the Camp David ac-

Washington Post waves Islam flag

“Resurgence of Islam” was featured in the Sunday, Jan. 21 Washington Post, excerpted here:

On Islamic holy days, thousands of worshippers turn out at prayer services organized by the once-outlawed Moslem Brotherhood, now so visible and well-organized that its posters appear in the windows of Cairo buses and its thick glossy magazine is on every newsstand.

These are the visible signs of a resurgence of Islamic orthodoxy and puritanism that is one of the strongest trends in Egyptian society today. It has been under way for about three years, and appears to be gaining strength — particularly in the universities.

“We are puritans, but we are not fanatics,” said Omar Telmassani, editor of *The Call*, the monthly magazine of the Moslem brotherhood. He spent 17 years in prison during the presidency of Gamal Abdel Nasser because of his membership in the brotherhood, which had a reputation as an organization of terrorists who made two attempts on Nasser’s life. . . .

Sadat is reliably reported to have struck a bargain with the leaders of the Moslem establishment, such as the sheikhs of Al Azhar, by which he tolerates their criticism on issues such as family planning provided they refrain from undermining him politically as the Iranian mullahs have done to the shah.

cords, backed by Egypt's Muslim Brotherhood faction, the U.S. is stupidly tightening the screws on Riyadh.

"We have tiptoed around Saudi Arabia long enough," said Sen. Frank Church, the new chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on Jan. 19. Because the U.S. supplies the Saudis with military hardware, Church continued, Washington "has the right to demand from them positive, affirmative support for the (Egypt-Israel) peace treaty."

Then, in open blackmail, Church stated that because of the "obvious potential threat which instability poses for the Saudi regime,

Israel separate peace, even if that means withholding the security and military apparatus that Washington has promised Riyadh.

Chiming in with Church were Zionist lobby Senators Javits and Stone, also on the Senate Committee. Javits insisted that the crisis over Iran means that an Egypt-Israel pact is of maximum importance; Stone demanded a congressional review of U.S. Middle East policy and threatened to revoke the F-15 jetfighter deal with the Saudis.

More egregious was Assistant Secretary of State Harold Saunders' delivery of the Administration's first direct criticism of Saudi Arabia. Saunders, the chief of the Middle East division at the State Department, stated outright that the U.S. is unhappy with the

lack of Saudi help in pushing through the Camp David peace accords.

Despite the pressure, the Saudis are standing firm. Last week, the former chief of Saudi intelligence was fired from his post as advisor to King Khalid because of his partiality to Egyptian President Sadat and the separate peace arrangement with Israel. At the same time, King Hussein of Jordan arrived in Riyadh on his way from Damascus, and reaffirmed Arab opposition to Egypt's pursuit of a separate deal with Israel.

the U. S. must now fo

Khomeini's friends at Foggy Bottom

The centers of international support for the leader of the fanatic Moslem Brotherhood wing of the Iranian opposition, Ayatollah Khomeini, are individuals tied to the organizations and political circles around the late Bertrand Russell, as well as British-intelligence tainted elements in the U.S. State Department. These individuals are running support for Khomeini in cooperation with the Institute for Policy Studies, Georgetown University, and M.I.T. brainwasher Noam Chomsky.

Prominent among these supporters of Khomeini is former Attorney General Ramsey Clark. Clark was deployed to Iran last week to drum up support for the Ayatollah by elements in the State Department linked to Clark's former Deputy Attorney General, now Deputy Secretary of State Warren Christopher. Christopher's political pedigree is found in the joint Bertrand Russell-Aldous Huxley MK-Ultra drug proliferation project run in California. Clark is also connected to the West German Baader-Meinhof group and other "left" terrorist operations.

Another Iranian subversion agency associated with Russell is the Italy-based Lelio Basso Foundation, named after the late Italian politician in British employ who ran Trotskyist and anarchist networks into the Italian Left. Basso was also a 1960s member of Russell's anti-Vietnam War "War Crimes Tribunal." The Basso Foundation not only maintains close supervision of European agents extending into the Iranian opposition, but has sent special teams to Iran to coordinate work with the Khomeini people.

A recent Basso Foundation team was sent under the joint auspices of the U.S. Committee on Iran, a pro-Khomeini group run out of Georgetown University by Professor Thomas Ricks. The Committee's representative at the time, James Cockcroft, is a sociology professor at Rutgers University heavily involved in left-counter-gang and pro-terrorist activities.

One of the leading spokesmen for the U.S. Committee on Iran is Princeton University professor Richard Falk, a prominent figure in several zero-growth and counterculture political institutions. Falk has recently been in communication with Noam Chomsky, who has agreed to speak jointly with Ricks at a Stanford University seminar on Iran. The Stanford organizer for the seminar, physics professor Pierre Noyes, is also the Committee's leading organizer in California, and maintains steady contact with co-thinking European organizations.

Brzezinski's Islamic study script

National Security advisor Zbigniew Brzezinski has personally ordered U.S. intelligence services to prepare an in-depth "worldwide study" of the revival of Islamic fundamentalism. The study, according to the Jan. 20 *Washington Post*, was ordered "in the wake of the Islamic revolt that helped drive the Shah of Iran from his country."

According to well-briefed Middle East analysts, the Brzezinski study is, in essence, nothing but a feasibility report for implementing what has been dubbed the "Bernard Lewis Plan," named after the British orientalist currently at Princeton University, whose profiling of Middle East sectarian differences set the stage for the Iran crisis and the spread of reactionary Islamic fundamentalism in the entire area.

Corralled into support for Brzezinski's British-inspired study, Carter Administration officials disclosed at a closed-door hearing of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee Jan. 19 that "the existence of the request was considered highly sensitive by the Administration, because of the growing political impact of Moslem fundamentalism in many areas of the world. . . . Brzezinski is reportedly determined not to allow the political impact of Moslem fundamentalism in such potential crisis points as Pakistan, Afghanistan, Egypt and the Philippines to go unreported in the future. He has formally directed the intelligence community to produce an in-depth study of this phenomenon."