

What is even more important for the German population to really “overcome their past” is to understand that there is a major difference between those Jews who were the victims of the Nazis and the Zionists. The latter not only worked with the Nazis to put the non-Zionist Jews under the knife “so that the Jewish race is purified,” but are the very same Zionists who deceitfully reproach Germans for the “Holocaust” and who are using the Holocaust mythology today in order to drive the entire Israeli population into a war that will mean their own total annihilation.

The perfidious collaboration

The following account only singles out one aspect of the extensively documented cooperation between the Zionists and the Nazis which *must* be taught in history lessons in our schools.

In 1961, the Jewish author Ben Hecht published in the United States a book with the title *Perfidy*. Hecht gave the reasons for publishing his book in his introduction. He said that he had great fear that the same mentality that once caused the Holocaust is controlling the Zionists today and will plunge the world into a thermonuclear holocaust.

Among other items, Hecht reported how, in 1944, then Director for the Immigration of Hungarian Jews, Dr. Rudolf Kastner, negotiated with Nazi officials Eichmann and Himmler, on Ben Gurion’s orders, for the departure of 400 “elite Jews” including the wife of Tibor Rosenbaum. At the same time, he consciously deceived about 1 million Hungarian Jews — of little value in the Zionists’ eyes — about the Nazis’ intentions and herded them into the deportation trains with false promises. The Nazis would have had major military problems in subjugating Hungary without this helpful support from the Zionists. The Nazis were on such friendly terms with their Zionist accomplices that Eichmann could proudly state in his 1960 affidavit that: “Kastner was one of us.”

During the early 1950s, Kastner was the Israeli Minister of Industry and, after the anti-Ben Gurion faction in Israel threatened to bring him too court in 1957, he was murdered as a precaution on the evening before the Eichmann trial opened. (...)

A future of peace and progress

By no means do the British oligarchical circles think that their only complaint about the Federal Republic is that there are old Nazis there who do not want to be confronted with their past. The reason why they are so angry with the Federal Republic is based on exactly the opposite. They view the policies of Federal Chancellor Schmidt, who is supported by 80 percent of the population according to polls in even the hostile press, as the greatest hindrance to their confrontation policies.

The European Monetary System which was jointly initiated by Schmidt and Giscard threatens to end their financial control once and for all. Above all, it is the irrevocable policy for detente that Schmidt is carrying out toward the Soviet Union that has the British oligarchy in a white rage. All of their plans to involve NATO in a confrontation against the Soviet Union will not work so long as the second most-important NATO partner, the Federal Republic, does not go along. Another reason for their anger is that it is the stated policy of the Federal Republic not only to force the maximum of technological development on its own country, but, with the other EMS partners, to spread this technological progress throughout the developing sector so that millions, yes, billions of people will technologically progress and develop republican ideas. When this process seriously gets under way, then the oligarchists and the aristocrats’ hope that they will be able to again put the world under a feudal order dominated by them will be gone for good.

Creating a humanist future, beginning with the EMS, this is what it means for the Federal government to carry out a policy of war avoidance through establishing a new world economic order. Therefore, we can be proud today of being citizens of the Federal Republic of Germany.

The only people who have no reason to be proud that they live in the Federal Republic are the opponents of the EMS. They, and they alone, have all sorts of reasons for being confronted with the Holocaust that they themselves support consciously or unconsciously. There is no collective guilt, but only individuals who will be brought to justice.

Strike wave: Britain asked for it

In Great Britain, fashionable opinion is bitterly blaming Henry Ford II for triggering the apparently uncontrollable wave of strikes that has paralyzed the country for the past two weeks. Ford, so the line runs, provoked the strikes by breaking the Labour government’s 5 percent wage ceiling, and ordering the management of his English operations to grant English Ford employees a wage hike amounting to some 15 percent.

Elsewhere, however, the feeling predominates that Ford’s decision was inevitable, given the low productivity of Britain’s wage-starved workers, and that the British, by refusing to follow suit, may be taking an irreversible step toward writing their anemic industrial capacity out of any competitive position in the world markets. Those who follow this line of reasoning point out

that the British posture — refusal to even negotiate with striking workers — is consonant with Britain’s lonely decision to stay out of the new European Monetary System, which promises to yield a new boom of prosperity for the rest of Europe and Europe’s trading partners.

As of this writing, a nationwide strike by some 60,000 truckers is in its third week, and the country is beset by repeated continuing walkouts — officially of 24 hours duration but often longer — by public service workers and rail workers.

The effect on daily life is catastrophic.

Shipments of industrial products, food, and raw materials by truck have ground to a halt. Britons have been warned not to go to the hospital without an appointment, not to call for ambulances

except in extreme emergency, and not to "overburden" family doctors with work. At some hospitals it has been reported that patients have gone without food for 24 hours, while at others only cold meals were provided. Garbage and sewage services have been intermittent and schools have been temporarily closed.

Half a million workers have been laid off; automobile, chemical, and steel plants have begun closing as materials run low. Shortages of staple food items are widespread and in some sections of the country, affecting one million people, drinking water must be boiled for fear of contamination by sewage. Exports have ground to a halt. A rabies alert was issued after one woman was bitten by a rabid cat.

One Londoner quoted in the *New York Times* said: "Everyone has become brutish; everyone has become selfish. It's not the civilized way it used to be."

Official Policy

The Callaghan government is willing to endure this disruption of basic living conditions in order to preserve its austerity policy which seeks to hold wage settlements to 5 percent of current earnings — a policy which has led to what all admit are sharp real wage reductions for British workers. Rather than admit that the austerity policy is unworkable, the British government has turned the progressive deterioration of the country into official policy. A just published pamphlet entitled "De-Industrialization," put out by the National Institute for Economic and Social Research, discusses the collapse of British manufacturing and concludes that nothing can be done.

Home Secretary Merlyn Rees announced in Parliament that "no contingency measures open to the Government will significantly ease the disruption of industry or reduce the number of men laid off as a result of these disputes." Merlyn insisted: "We are not near a crisis."

No options

Having ruled out participation in the EMS, the options open to British policymakers are severely limited.

The national press has led the outcry against Callaghan, calling for what amounts to a national unity government led by Tory Margaret Thatcher and her band of cold warriors in which Callaghan would play a secondary role. The *Sunday Telegraph* called for a "determined national will" to show the unions who is boss. The Opposition Party and the Labour Government should stand "shoulder to shoulder" in the crisis, urged the editorial, but Thatcher should "take the lead and show the government the way." Of course, "troops will be required to keep essential services moving," advised the newspaper. "If these are not enough, volunteers will be required to fill the gap."

—Marla Minnicino

"However surprising it may be to the founders and supporters of the European Monetary Fund, the new monetary system embodies one of the most fundamental scientific breakthroughs of the present century, and is the basis of a new world economic order which will conform in every essential feature to this writer's International Development Bank proposal of 1975."

The Theory of the European Monetary Fund

*An Executive Intelligence Review
Special Supplement*

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

LYNDON H. LAROUCHE, JR., one of the intellectual architects of the new European Monetary System and Fund, chairman of the U.S. Labor Party and a contributing editor of the *Executive Intelligence Review*, draws on his groundbreaking work in political economy and the advanced mathematics of Cantor and Riemann to explain the profound significance of events which many, LaRouche warns—even the principal actors—still understand only pragmatically. An indispensable document both for those who have followed the *Executive Intelligence Review's* exclusive coverage of the EMS since May 1978 and those who are just learning about the new system and its impact.

\$5.75

[including postage and handling]

Make checks payable to:

New Solidarity International Press Service
GPO Box 1922
New York, NY 10001