

A dialogue with Mexico's press

LaRouche defines what U.S. policy toward Mexico must be

In Mexico City on March 7, 1979 U.S. presidential candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. delivered a statement to representatives of that city's major news media. LaRouche was in Mexico heading a delegation of the U.S. Labor Party which was officially invited to attend the 50th anniversary celebration of Mexico's ruling PRI (Partido Revolucionario Institucional) party, March 4.

The U.S. leader presented to the press his program for reversing the collapse of U.S.-Mexican relations and for using the Lopez Portillo government's program of high-technology development as the model for Third World development under the new European Monetary System.

Said LaRouche: "I am engaged in a struggle within the U.S. to prevent the U.S. from following a policy which would mean war, general thermonuclear war.... The choice of the world today is between the new world economic order or apocalypse.... That the war danger will continue until, first, the new world economic order is coming into being, and second, until the policies of the U.S. are brought into conformity with the new world economic order, these are certainties.

"... It was important to me to take this opportunity to be in Mexico at this time, because although the government of Mexico is not a power by the ordinary standard of world powers, it is, at this moment, one of the most important moral forces in the world, and it is, at this moment, one of the leading forces of the new world economic order on behalf of the developing nations."

We reprint below the text of the questions asked by Mexico's press and Mr. LaRouche's answers.

The questions and LaRouche's answers

Q: *Would you define or analyze Carter's policy toward Mexico?*

A: Carter's policy toward Mexico is a deception, it's a fraud. If you want to know what Carter's policy toward Mexico is — he himself doesn't know — you have to know Paddock's book and the works of George Ball. The policy of Paddock and Ball is that there are 20 million too many Mexicans. And the policy of the Carter Administration, as now presented, is merely the introductory phase of the overall policy. The policy of

Brzezinski and other Carter advisors is a crime against humanity. It is a policy of genocide.

Q: *How are they implementing this policy?*

A: First, the Carter Administration and Kissinger, because there is no real difference between him and the Carter Administration, will attempt to force Mexico to capitulate by terroristic methods at the same time they will attempt to break the will of France and the BRD. They will try to set up an Iran-type destabilization here, which has already been in preparation for six months. Watch the Margaret Mead kind of anthropologist here in Mexico. These will be the people who will try to create cults among Indians and peasants and who will line up with other destabilizing forces to create a crisis in Mexico.

The planning will come from places like Chicago University, the London Tavistock Institute. The other institution that must be identified is the Aspen Institute, which was key in the destabilization of Iran. The other institution that will be key is the Mont Pelerin Society. There will be no real difference between the right-wing destabilizers and the left-wing destabilizers. One child is called "right," the other is called "left," but they have the same mother.

Although there are various ways through which Mexico will defend itself, it is the mobilization of the consciousness of the people in any country against this which is the ultimate necessary weapon. And Mexico — we know — is not Iran. But the ultimate answer is that if President of France Giscard d'Estaing and West German Chancellor Helmut Schmidt have the courage that I demand of them, then Mexico will not be victimized. And let me emphasize, I personally demand of them and I shall continue to personally demand of them as persons. I am not a diplomat. I am not a college professor. I am a fighting politician. The fate of humanity is at stake and I will do anything to save humanity.

Q: *Would you give us a panorama of the power of the Labor Party among U.S. electorate?*

A: According to statistical sampling, the standard

statistical sampling used for poll projections and electoral results by the TV stations and so forth in the U.S., I received over 3 million votes in the last 1976 election and that success and the effects of that campaign have resulted in a qualitative expansion of our influence.

We are presently negotiating not only with the top trade union leaderships for the support of my candidacy for 1980, but we are also negotiating with forces within the Republican and Democratic parties to break out of those parties and join me. If there is no war, I can assure you, the Democratic and Republican parties in their present form and hegemony will cease to exist in the U.S. during 1980.

I will give you one indication. We are putting on half-hour TV broadcasts in various regions of the United States, which I recorded about a week ago. After one of those half-hours, the TV station asked us to buy time and run it again at a reduced price. The reason given by the TV managers was the tremendous success gained for the TV station's ratings.

Q: *Do you consider the PRI to be a workers' party?*

A: Well, I am not qualified to talk about the internal structure of the PRI. What I am concerned about when I look at the PRI are people like President López Portillo and people around him. A party which can produce Presidents like López Portillo — and as long as it continues to produce the continuity of such leaders — I can

say with certainty that such a party is acting in the most vital interests of Mexico and the world and, therefore, of labor in Mexico. Of course, politics is more complicated and other parties help this process.

Q: *What would be the programmatic platform toward Mexico of a White House presided over by Mr. LaRouche, taking into account the well-known Carter policy of making Mexico into a strategic reserve of the U.S.?*

A: It is very simple what has to be done. What we need is a new world monetary system which has to be the EMS, because to talk about a different monetary system would be abstract speculation. The new monetary system, in the intent of the conspirators behind it with whom I discussed these matters directly or indirectly, is based on the same principle. The international monetary system to be created must function under the constitutional principle of aiding the development of the productive powers of labor in every country and no other principle.

My job in the White House is to remove those unwholesome and evil historical and geographical conditions which prevent Mexico from playing a key role within such a new world monetary system. And as I understand, the policies of the government of Mexico, what they propose to undertake, agree precisely with that. What I would propose to offer to Mexico, I could not discuss in a more detailed way without discussing it

The Mexican press covers LaRouche

On March 7 and 8, Mexico City accorded major press coverage to the press conference given by U.S. Labor Party Chairman Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., an announced candidate for the U.S. presidency in 1980.

Five Mexico City dailies and one television station prominently reported LaRouche's statements. Fourteen media, including three television stations, attended the press conference held at Mexico City's Hotel Reforma on March 7.

We present below the coverage of the Mexico City daily *Excelsior* on March 8. In addition, the March 7 evening edition of *El Universal Grafico* headlined its genocide and is dictated to him by his advisors Pressures and Blackmails Mexico, Says Lyndon LaRouche, U.S. Presidential Precandidate." The March 8 edition of *El Universal* ran a front-page picture and page 11 coverage of the press conference.

The daily *Avance* captioned its picture of the press conference with: "USLP Chairman Lyndon LaRouche's policy for Mexico is technology transfer." And the conservative daily *El Heraldo* quoted LaRouche: "I have every intention of reaching the White House."

Excelsior on LaRouche

The policy of James Carter toward Mexico is one of genocide and is dictated to him by his advisors. Through terrorism and by making Mexico's allies, France and Germany, capitulate, the U.S. hopes to dominate this country, said Lyndon LaRouche, candidate for the government of the United States for the Labor Party.

LaRouche represents "the third party with the most electoral strength" in the United States, that is, after the Democrats and the Republicans. We are on the verge of a new war, he said, and the danger will continue until a new international order is imposed and my country changes its policy.

This visit to Mexico, added LaRouche, is very important for us because (Mexico) is a moral power and

with the government of Mexico, because it would be a matter of reaching agreements.

Q: *If you were in the White House what would you do about the problem of the undocumented?*

A: First of all, the undocumented workers thing is another case of a cynical and immoral act by the Carter Administration. That there is no reason for the government of the United States to abuse the government of Mexico over the question of the undocumented workers, that is not a problem. The only thing the White House should discuss with the government of Mexico about the undocumented workers is how to regularize this and how to give these workers protection within the United States.

Q: *Mr. LaRouche, do you think that Carter continues to be popular in the United States?*

A: The Carter popularity has lost 20 percent of the vote and his foreign policy is considered as a disaster among the population.

Q: *If that is the way things are, do you think that there are inside the Republican and Democratic parties leaders qualified to be President of the United States?*

A: None of the present candidates of the Republican and Democratic parties are worth buying a dog license for. They have essentially the same policies as Carter.

The flavor is different, but the substance is the same.

Q: *Can the Carter visit be considered a triumph or a failure?*

A: It was considered a disgusting affair and a disaster on Carter's part. The Americans who knew of President López Portillo's conduct said: Why can't the United States have a President like López Portillo? The President of Mexico got tremendous respect for that.

Q: *Does that mean that Carter has lost any chance of being reelected?*

A: Definitely. The New York Council on Foreign Relations wants to run two gangs, one of the Republican Party, the other of the Democratic Party. In the end, Kennedy is supposed to be nominated by the Democratic Party and lose the election, Alexander Haig is supposed to be nominated for the Republican Party and win the election.

Q: *Do you think that Mr. James Schlesinger is a strategist qualified to direct the energy policy of the U.S.?*

A: From a military strategy point, James Schlesinger is not qualified to pick up cigarette butts in an Army depot. His energy policy, both for the U.S. and the world, will send us back to the Dark Ages.

a vanguard country in the fight for peace and a new international economic order.

LaRouche explained: the policies of the Carter government are against Mexico and, "I do not speak as a dissident of the United States, but as a political leader of a party that follows in the tradition of the American Revolution. Carter fools and deceives Mexico and is influenced by Paddock, for whom 20 million Mexicans are excess and who wants to eliminate them."

Asked how his country plans to carry out its attacks against our country, he indicated: "With techniques like those used in Iran. Besides, the anthropologists are going to be assigned to create cults and fanaticism among the indigenous populations of this nation.

"There are institutions dedicated to destabilizing countries and only the popular conscience can confront them. Further, we understand that Mexico is not Iran and counts on the solidarity of European leaders like Giscard d'Estaing and Helmut Schmidt with a large dose of courage."

Then (LaRouche) explained the necessity of

creating a new international monetary system in which the European system will participate the most and which will be based on the principles of Alexander Hamilton. The new system should have as its foundation the development of the labor forces of all nations.

The case of the undocumented workers, he continued, is another example of the cynicism and immorality of the Carter government. It should not be blackmailing Mexico with this supposed "problem." "The process of immigration in the United States we ourselves determine by the rhythm of agricultural expansion on the border frontiers. Without the undocumented workers, we would have a shortage of qualified workers," explained LaRouche.

He dealt with other concepts like: "The Common Market proposed by the United States, for the development of Canada and Mexico, is the most imperialist proposal given by my country and forged by the British and the Canadians. The United States is a dumb giant on the leash of these two countries, dedicated to distressing the entire world," concluded Lyndon LaRouche.