

Poland: a Trotskyite insurrection?

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., Contributing Editor

Every sane official in the United States and Western Europe supports the gist of the expressed views of Poland's Cardinal Wyszynski. The present general strike in that country must not escalate into the potential "1905" Trotskyist insurrection which brings Warsaw Pact troops into brutal confrontation with the bloodied shirt of Polish nationalism. In other words, Poland must not become a "Balkan Trigger" for the "August 1914-like" circumstance to which Chancellor Helmut Schmidt referred publicly, and most accurately, this past spring.

The frustration of the Polish population is real. If there were not very real, very embittered frustrations, British secret intelligence could not have enjoyed its current success in orchestrating this general strike, or in placing its agents in leading positions of spokespersonship for the strikers. For that, none of us can rightly blame the Polish strikers.

One would hope that the Polish authorities could keep the whole affair truly an internal affair of that nation, and that the Polish people might sort the matter out among themselves. Unfortunately, so far, British secret intelligence has been determined to provoke Moscow's fresh application of the "Brezhnev Doctrine." As long as that sort of London-centered meddling in Polish internal affairs continues, the current course of the general strike constitutes a grave danger to the already fragile state of peace between the nuclear alliances.

Apart from exposing the hand of British secret intelligence in the affair and pressuring London to cease its meddling, the hope for a successful and peaceful outcome for the general strike depends upon Warsaw's and Moscow's use of qualities of sophistication and patience not formerly conspicuous in those quarters.

Moscow, in particular, has a potentially fatal proclivity for priding itself on its "objectivity" to the point that its strategic military thinking is excellent, but its comprehension of the "subjective" side of politics is almost childishly inept. A military solution to the British intelligence-led destabilization of a Warsaw Pact nation is not the objective solution desired at this perilous juncture in global strategic affairs.

Although I have no agents on the ground in Poland itself, some of the principal British secret intelligence string-pullers playing with Polish internal affairs happen to be operating under my direct observation. They happen to be sections of British intelligence which are rather longstanding pledged adversaries of mine, and they enjoy my ongoing surveillance for that reason. Some of them also happen to be connected to the Armand Hammer-associated circles prominent in the "Billygate" scandal, and are currently being investigated for reason of those soiled Libyan and Italian connections. So, in the midst of surveillance of these British secret intelligence circles on such accounts, my associates and I discovered them also to be active string-pullers in the current Polish situation.

Who is behind the strike?

The element of British secret intelligence, most conspicuously involved in the Polish matter is the London Tavistock Institute. Tavistock, the base of the British psychological warfare executive during the last war, is the controlling agency for such United Nations Organization entities as UNESCO, UNITAR and UNCTAD, and also runs most of the international psychological, sociological and anthropological academic and related professional community, as well as meddling heavily in "the Arts." Tavistock also runs the Anglo-American Eastern Europe intelligence network. Zbigniew Brzezinski was developed directly as an operative of Tavistock. Henry Kissinger was trained by Tavistock after a stint with Wilton Park, the British intelligence "reeducation center" for German nationals in the post-World War II period during the 1950s. Tavistock created and runs such U.S. "think tanks" as Rand Corporation, Stanford Research Institute, the Trist-Gomberg "think tank" based at Pennsylvania's Wharton School, and such elements of U.S. intelligence as Naval counterintelligence, the counterespionage division of the FBI, Air Force intelligence, and the National Security Agency.

The virtual destruction of the Central Intelligence



Nikolai Bukharin

Agency at the direction of Vice President Walter F. Mondale during 1977, had the effect of neutralizing elements of the U.S. intelligence community which Tavistock considered too patriotic to be trustworthy.

The command structure through which British secret intelligence controls much of the U.S. intelligence community, as well as most of the policy making conducted through the New York Council on Foreign Relations, is the carry-over of the wartime Anglo-Canadian special operations executive into the post-war period.

Although SOE was nominally closed down at the end of the 1950s, it was merely under corporate covers. Rio Tinto Zinc, ITT, the Argus Corporation and Permindex are but a few examples of the way in which SOE has continued enlarged operations for British secret intelligence under, predominantly, supranational corporate covers.

In the beginning, the postwar corporate covers for this aspect of British secret intelligence were simply a continuation of the wartime corporate forms SOE employed for financial and economic warfare against Germany and Japan during the war. Rio Tinto Zinc's economic warfare capabilities and operations illustrate the point. The role of Permindex (Permanent Industrial Expositions) in complicity with repeated attempted assassinations against President Charles de Gaulle, and its indictment in connection with the deployment of the accused assassin of President John F. Kennedy, illustrate the use of old SOE-spawned corporate covers for very dirty sorts of British secret intelligence operations.

One of the entities spawned under the same auspices is the New York City based entity known as Freedom House. This entity features Leo Cherne and Jay Lovestone of the old Bukharinite "Communist Right Opposition" spinoff, The International Rescue Committee, and also the current U.S. Ambassador to Italy, Richard Gardner. The complementary nest of old Bukharinites in New York City is the headquarters of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union (ILGWU), the most important conduit of intelligence community influence over the national trade union association, the AFL-CIO.

This network of old Bukharinites overlaps prominently the current "Billygate" scandal in the U.S.A., together with Libya-Italy centered financial operations involving the prominent visitor to Moscow, Armand Hammer of the Bertrand Russell Pugwash operation. The same network also controls one leading faction of Israeli intelligence. The latter is the faction of Israeli intelligence still exerting control over the elements of the old Iranian SAVAK now running the Khomeini Savama. The Savama was assigned to have Khomeini die of heart failure in preparation for the mooted U.S. military intervention into Iran.

Although this Bukharinite linked aspect of British secret intelligence is nominally viewed as variously "left" to "right" social-democratic, it directly interfaces and co-deploys with an intelligence front known sometimes as the Tolstoy Foundation. This is but one element of the international, feudal "solidarist" network interfacing the old overlap of Austro-Hungarian secret intelligence services with elements of the Wittelsbach intelligence service, (e.g., Major General Professor Karl Haushofer). Naturally, the old Vlasov networks and the Italian Black nobility's traditional allies among the old anti-Semitic, fascist elements of the Polish aristocracy are part of the same package.

Some things appear not to change much. Both the Trotsky and Bukharin elements within the old Bolshevik leadership were spawn of a London-coordinated nest of projects featuring the notorious Alexander Helphand (Parvus). Parvus, a spawn of the Vienna-centered intelligence networks extended to as far as Odessa, operated in Vienna and the Balkans, as well as being laundered into the World War I German intelligence service with his British-designed "Parvus Plan." The infrastructure of Eastern Europe into which Parvus was inserted in 1905 and 1917 has never been eradicated. In fact, the possibility of the present destabilization in Poland has depended principally on the maintenance and reconstruction of elements of that old infrastructure.

Hence, the echo of 1905 in the present Polish situation; the same basic sort of infrastructure, the same basic anarchosyndicalist doctrine employed, and the same sort of potential intelligence operations caper created.

Like all well-designed operations, the full range of the forces developed for deployment is seldom brought into direct collaboration openly until the prepared operation surfaces. What astonishes naive onlookers in such a development as this is the integration of left-anarchosyndicalists of the KOR sort with networks of old Eastern European fascists earlier associated with Admiral Horthy's Hungarian regime.

The interesting, complicating feature of the situation is the degree to which Moscow's credulous stubborn adherence to their official Marxist-Leninist mythologies, including official mythologies concerning the 1905

and 1917 revolutions, blinds them repeatedly to the development of sophisticated capabilities right under their noses. The dismal record of Soviet intelligence in the Near East is roughly analogous.

The involvement of Jay Lovestone, the former U.S. protégé of N. Bukharin, in the present Polish situation ironically underlines the way in which Parvusite connections to Eastern European feudalist cultists has been sustained over the intervening decades.

Whoever has failed to master history from a Neoplatonic standpoint, is as a child in attempting to understand any of the truly important intelligence network operations deployed during the present time.

Next to the hideous, dissoluble mess which Yugoslavia has become today, the Polish economy is the worst case of aggravated economic mismanagement in Eastern Europe.

The heart of the Polish problem is the inability of the government to overcome the hideous, feudal legacy of Polish agriculture. Poland has developed excellent industrial potentials, but the high ratio of the agricultural labor force in the total population means a social cost of food which is always a running ulcer in relations between the Polish urban population and the government.

British secret intelligence has played upon this embittered conflict between city and countryside over decades. The most devilish and persistent indoctrination used to exploit and misdirect the frustration is the anarchosyndicalist lunatic doctrine associated with the

semi-Trotskyist circle around Jacek Kuron and Karol Modzelewski, an overt asset of the British secret intelligence's Bertrand Russell-linked "workers control" network.

What the Russellite agents in Poland have done is to focus the rage caused by high social cost of food against Poland's capital budget. In other words, they have attempted to aggravate the very economic backwardness of Poland which is the true cause for the economic grievances of Polish urban households.

The only solution to the problems is to reorganize Poland's agricultural technology. This change might be effected brutally, or by aid of economic incentives, to attempt to wean low-productivity Polish farmers away from their habituated, feudalist ideologies concerning land usage. Otherwise, it is simply impossible to provide Polish urban households with Western European levels of real wages as long as the productivity of agriculture is not freed from its feudal ideological heritage. So, the anarchosyndicalist agents of British secret intelligence play upon the misperception that the Polish capital budget represents "exploitation of wages."

Do we not hear the same idiotic attacks on industrial capital in capitalist nations, and from the same British intelligence linked elements in our trade union and socialist organizations? Only a Maoist fanatic, or some lumpenized youth suffering from effects of marijuana or LSD will actually propose neo-Malthusian sorts of machine-breaking Luddism within labor organizations. Honest working people are too sane to become environ-

Cardinal Wyszynski calls for work and order

Stefan Cardinal Wyszynski, the primate of Poland, celebrated mass at the Roman Catholic shrine in Czestochowa on Aug. 26. For the first time ever, parts of his sermon were taped and broadcast on official Polish television. Speaking at the height of the Baltic seacoast strikes, Wyszynski appealed for "work" and "order," in these passages translated by the Foreign Broadcast Information Service.

I would like to start, first of all, from a duty . . . the duty which rests on the shoulders of the whole nation and on the authorities which have assumed responsibility at this stage for the development of national life and for the proper use of the hard-won freedom in the interests of our country. This is one element which, for

the sake of public peace, prosperity and normal development of our life as a nation and state, should not be infringed. . . .

We know that when there is not good work, then the best economic system will be unsuccessful and we will only multiply debts and borrowing. And all this will be eaten up straight away because there is no prosperity without work. And even though man has the right to leisure, and even though sometimes, when there is no other means, man has the right to make his stance known—even if this is by refusing to work—we nevertheless know that this is a very expensive argument, an argument so expensive that they burden the whole national economy and affect the life of the nation, family and every person in some negative way or other.

We know that in the great toil of the reconstruction of Poland much has been done in the fatherland, but much more still remains to be done, and we must multiply the efforts of work, deepen its moral level and sense of professional responsibility in order to bring about proper order.

mentalists—at least, until marijuana or other mind-destroying drugs impair their moral qualities of judgment. However, on the historical record, it has not been difficult to brainwash less skilled strata of industrial labor into viewing state and corporate capital budgets as a “looting of wages.”

In Poland, the longstanding effects of overall mismanagement of the agricultural problem have been compounded by a practice of postponing the problem through mortgaging-out the national economy through external indebtedness.

Now, the debt-service component of the state budget exerts a noticeably depressive influence on household real incomes. This is aggravated by the importance of Poland’s dependency compounded by the U.S. and British Commonwealth practice of depressing the world market prices of foodstuffs, thus lowering the rates of capital accumulations for technological improvements in agriculture worldwide.

Poland’s workers, like most citizens of most nations, become susceptible to covert intelligence-conducted false propaganda, because most citizens of most nations behave like sheep most of the time in matters of national interest. That is crucial to understanding the stubborn wrongheadedness displayed by the honest majority of the striking Polish workers.

Each of us is born, and must die sooner or later. We can respond to that basic fact of mortal life in one of two general ways.

If we are wise, we guide our choice of careers and personal self-development to the purpose and effect of making the one mortal span of life given to us of some general and durable account. We seek to live and develop ourselves to such effect that our forebears need not be ashamed of us, and that our posterity will benefit from the fruitfulness of our existence.

If we are foolish, we live as existentialists, as the Heideggers, Sartres and post-war school of Polish existentialists propose. If we are fools, we live from existentialist moment to existentialist moment, obsessed with our biological impulses of appetite and zig-zagging pursuits of new momentary pleasures, seeking thus to relieve the boredom of jaded appetites and of the life lived without dedication to true purpose.

Only immoral wretches of the sort Dante Alighieri depicts in the “Inferno” canticle of his *Commedia* become environmentalists, or other forms of commitment to degraded existentialism. Most citizens are relatively moral, but inadequately so. They wish to live moral lives to a true purpose, but they are at the same time enslaved to the sensual “here and now,” in pursuit of that combination of career, pension, and fleeting peer group prestige which they have chosen to become their portion of “Earthly Paradise.”

In brief, even most of our moral fellow citizens live out their lives in that morally ambivalent, tortured condition Dante attributes to “Purgatory.” In a word, even most moral citizens live out most of their lives as political sheep. Even when they are moral, they move, like sheep, from one appetite to the next.

As it is with sheep generally, Judas goats appear often to lead political sheep to fresh slaughter, such as general wars. So the Polish strikers—the honest, lovable strikers to whom we extend our compassion—are marching to slaughter behind British intelligence’s KOR-centered Judas goats.

Their frustration is real. The associated sense of unending oppressiveness of life is real. Their patience with bureaucrats is at an end, and rightly so.

A bureaucrat “does not rock the boat,” even when his failure to press for overdue solutions to problems means extended suffering for people. The bureaucrat plays by the rules of bureaucracy, always fixed upon enhancing his chances for promotion, for a better, secure pension. Such bureaucrats are the same sort of wretched moral weaklings on both sides of Europe and the United States. A bureaucrat desires to serve the interests of the state, the firm, the people as long as the indicated form of action does not incur unpleasantness from his superiors or peers.

Frankly, most of the bureaucrats and elected politicians I have known are pitiable, cowardly wretches. I sympathize with the Polish strikers on that account.

The proper issue is the economic management of Poland. How is Poland to overcome its wretched agricultural problem? How is Poland to increase productivity to end the population’s longstanding frustration over the real wages issue?

What course of action the citizens of Poland choose—general strike or any other—to seek a remedy for the causes of their frustration, is their business as far as I am concerned. However, foolish demands pressed to the stated purpose of overthrowing the Polish state in willful challenge of the “Brezhnev Doctrine,” is in fact a direct threat to all of my posterity. That, my Polish friends, is no longer an internal affair of Poland. That is very much my business.

This is especially so, when I observe that the orchestration of the present internal conflict in Poland is in the hands of elements of the British secret intelligence service.

Our best hope

In the future, under the new conditions implicit in the efforts of President Giscard and Chancellor Schmidt, a Gaullist “Europe from the Atlantic to the Urals” can and must become a reality.

Under those future circumstances, each nation of

Europe will have an undiminished sovereignty, including the sovereign right to make an unwholesome mess of its internal affairs. The latter, unfortunately, is not the present privilege of Poland.

As long as a military adversary potential between nuclear powers exists to divide Europe, Europe will continue to be divided between the allies of the United States and the allies of the Soviet Union. As long as Western Europe remains within the Atlantic Alliance, under such potential adversary conditions, Poland, in particular, suffers limited sovereignty, a sovereignty conditional upon its efficient participation in the Warsaw Pact.

Those who toy with a "Europe of the Regions," or propose, specifically a "Yugoslav Option" for Romania or Poland, are toying with nuclear war.

Is this an unwholesome arrangement? An arrangement which offends strongly the principle of true national sovereignty to which some of us adhere? Absolutely. Unfortunately, this is reality, not to be wished away, nor to be challenged without incurring the consequences of each such challenge.

Therefore, the question of Poland must be resolved within the premises of the Comecon and Warsaw Pact. We of the West can contribute to the environment of a solution to this question, and can, in particular, propose actions on our part which make possible better solutions than might occur under Warsaw Pact auspices alone. Yet, only a fool will overlook the reality that the principal responsibility for producing a solution lies with the Polish government and its Moscow ally.

What we can propose, generally speaking, is capital assistance to Poland. That, under proper conditions, will help both Poland and ourselves.

The central concern before us, properly speaking, is our wish that both the government of Poland and Moscow will be patient and sophisticated in dealing with this crisis situation.

To put the same point the other way around, in my encounters with the Soviet KGB I found it consistently crude, clumsy, and often brutish. I refer to such follies as Soviet policy toward economic development of the emerging nations, in which Soviet agencies have often been the best assets of British colonialist mentalities around the World Bank, UNCTAD, and so forth. I refer also to the dominant current of unremitting idiocy running through Soviet operations in Islamic countries. At best, the KGB seems an inexhaustible font of epistemological cretinism in every facet of its manifest activities bearing on the decisive subjective aspect of policy and intelligence operations.

In general, the current Soviet leadership has publicly prided itself frequently on its "objectivity," professing abhorrence of the quality which distinguishes Cherny-

chevskian "voluntarist" V.I. Lenin. In the subjective side of politics, they are inept and crude, generally speaking.

A recent foolish article in the East German magazine *Einheit*, deprecating the practical importance of the "Aquarian Conspiracy," is exemplary, as is a factually sound but analytically nonsensical recent treatment of the Muslim Brotherhood in Soviet publications. The Kremlin's credulous display of stupidity concerning "systems philosophy" is typical of the same sort of flaw in judgment and behavior.

The KGB behaved as credulous fools in the Pugwash operations of British intelligence. They are similarly credulous dupes in the matter of Armand Hammer, as well as, frequently, errand boys for British intelligence in the Islamic world.

It is in objective matters, such as military matters, that Moscow displays superiority. Hence, their lack of sophistication in real politics and social processes, their tendency toward British empiricist forms of epistemological cretinism, impels them to rely frequently on objective methods such as military capabilities. It is precisely that indicated flaw in Moscow's command on which British intelligence is playing in the current Polish situation. British agents inside and outside Poland are pushing every button to the effect of provoking a military solution according to the "Brezhnev Doctrine." The British motive for the provocation is strategic lunacy, but its purpose is clear all the same.

Were I Gierek

The best way to communicate the ABC's of a sophisticated approach to the crisis in Poland is to report the policy I would follow were I in Mr. Gierek's shoes.

My approach to the general strike would be analogous to my response to the ghetto riot in some major U.S. city.

Such riots over the past fifteen years have all been orchestrated by an element of the Anglo-American intelligence community intersecting figures inclusive of former U.S. Attorney General Ramsey Clark. The use of the division of the U.S. Department of Justice known as the Community Relations Service to orchestrate recent and impending riots in the U.S., including the Miami, Florida riots, is adequately proved by documentation.

However, the use of the snipers and youth gang assets of Chicago's Alinsky-connected intelligence apparatus to create ghetto riots depends upon exploiting an existing riot potential of accumulated grievances within the affected communities.

New York City is controlled by major real-estate interests linked to such figures as David Rockefeller

and the financial networks of the offshore-based Anglo-Canadian SOE organization. Since clearing away large tracts for development by legal means is complicated and tiresome, these financial interests have copied a page from the Emperor Nero's methods of clearing a presently inhabited site for real estate development projects—arson.

Arson is far cheaper and a much less time-consuming way of removing tenants from a prospective development site than the regular legal approaches. If a very large portion of the city is involved, isolated arson performed by youthful drug addicts is deemed to be inadequate. For large-scale efforts, the arson and related destruction occurring in the course of an urban ghetto riot proves a more effective form of tenant removal.

It works this way

Currently, the new series of ghetto riots being run with cooperation of the U.S. Department of Justice is intended, according to documented proof, to eliminate the last vestiges of intelligence capability by local law enforcement agencies. The current series of ghetto riots is being launched to the purpose of discrediting local law enforcement agencies, including the Los Angeles Police Department. However, real estate interests' desire for arson is not being overlooked. Both are orchestrated by branches of British secret intelligence. The ability of such intelligence operations to direct strikes in Poland or a ghetto riot in the United States also depends upon exploiting very real, very heated existing grievances within the population being manipulated.

Exemplary of the method I have adopted is the case of the 1977 "Blackout Riots" in New York City. In this instance, my associates provided intelligence and related assistance to the city's law enforcement agencies. The mayor and the New York City Police Department performed with memorable excellence.

Under very mysterious circumstances, the city's power grid went down. Immediately, known operatives deployed a series of lootings of stores around the city, using the slogan "God Gave Us This Day" (to steal from stores under cover of darkness), while certain dubious characters "above suspicion" demanded that the mayor call in the National Guard to control the rioters.

Putting the military up against a rioting ghetto population is the worst possible initial approach to an eruption of such forms of rioting: Local law enforcement officers, experienced in distinguishing between a menacing appearance of things and an actual shooting combat situation, are trained not to shoot into crowds at the mere appearance of a provocation. In a similar situation, military units operating under martial law, most military commanders will panic and order fire

where law enforcement professionals will use more effective means.

If a crowd is looting a store, the military commander will tend to shoot under license of martial law. The law enforcement professional will tend to avoid firing, or even drawing his weapon. The store is doomed anyway. Shooting into a crowd of temporarily crazed looters will transform a riot into virtual insurrection.

Law enforcement will concentrate, as did the New York officials in 1977, on pre-identifying and surveilling the known provocateurs, taking them out of the situation by arrest and detention. Without the provocateurs, the ordinary citizens whipped up temporarily into an orgy of looting will tire themselves out, and the situation thus be brought under control. Our concern in that situation was to identify the agents to be selectively detained in the situation.

In general, in such a riot, use force to contain and separate groups of rioters from one another and from uninvolved areas of the population. Isolate and neutralize the agents-provocateurs as inconspicuously and quickly as possible, and let the dupes tire themselves back into a normal state of mind.

The slogans for law enforcement in such a situation are "sophistication" and "patience."

This approach demands remedies for those legitimate grievances which created popular susceptibility to manipulation by the provocateurs. This willingness to acknowledge legitimate grievances helps to soothe the innocents drawn into the riot, and reenforces support of law enforcement agencies by non-involved portions of the population. Popular hostility to the effects of the continued riot (or general strike) not only brings popular support for the actions of law enforcement agencies, but that mood of popular support from non-involved portions of the population affects the honest citizens involved in the action.

There is no more effective balm for the rage of a frustrated population than that population's perception that it is being offered true justice. The receipt of justice from a hand reacting to riotous action with resolute firmness and compassionate patience is the policy which enrages, because it frustrates, the agents-provocateurs.

When the provocateurs begin to declaim noisily against the "danger of selling out the struggle," law enforcement knows it has begun to win.

Let us hope that the Polish government and Moscow both know how to apply sophistication and patience, tempered with justice, to the present situation in Poland. If that course of action prevails, Poland will not only save its national sovereignty, but will secure the foundation for a more secure nation and greater independence over the period just ahead.

That, if Moscow understands it properly, is very much to the benefit of us all. ■