

Mexico: PAN scrambles to dodge charges

by Timothy Rush

The U.S. State Department, the FBI, and a fascist client party in Mexico, the National Action Party (PAN), are involved in increasingly desperate and contorted efforts to stop further investigation of their clandestine collaboration in manipulating Mexican internal electoral affairs.

This publication first revealed the existence of secret collaboration in August, 1982, and subsequent events proved that the link was even deeper and more sensitive than originally thought.

The collaboration issue became a major factor in the PAN's stunning loss to the ruling PRI party in Baja California Norte elections held on Sept. 4, especially after a U.S. group, the National Democratic Policy Committee of Lyndon LaRouche, publicly called upon the Kissinger circles in the State Department and FBI to cease their intervention in a sovereign, neighbor country.

The PAN reacted with a series of incoherent falsehoods about LaRouche (see box) and the co-thinker political party in Mexico, the Mexican Labor Party (PLM). The neo-Nazi mental map of the PAN leadership was dramatically confirmed when top PAN ideologue José Angel Conchello, confronted by PLM organizers with his support for Hitler's slave labor projects, snarled that he would get LaRouche, "that filthy Jew from Philadelphia" (LaRouche is neither Jewish nor from Philadelphia).

This is the same mental need to label any enemy "Jewish" which erupted in one of the PAN's most influential defenders in the press, José Pérez Stuart of *Excelsior*, in regard to LaRouche-linked groups a year ago. At that time Pérez Stuart, a veteran of one of Mexico's most hard-core neo-Nazi gangs, the MURO, assailed the Mexican Labor Party as a central piece of "the Zionist apparatus" in the country. On Sept. 11, the ex-stormtrooper returned to the fray to charge that the PAN had lost the elections in Baja California due to groups "inspired by a type of Charles Manson who goes by the name Lyndon H. LaRouche."

The U.S. embassy, meanwhile, described the NDPC charges as "absurd" and "ridiculous in the extreme" in a widely-distributed release Sept. 8. Continuing a history of malicious and often illegal characterization of LaRouche and his activities going back more than three years, the embassy's public relations office, tied to the Kissinger stronghold, the U.S. Information Agency, stated that the NDPC "has been incorrectly identified as a branch of the Democratic Party. That is not the case; it concerns an independent organiza-

tion." The NDPC is the fastest growing political action committee in the Democratic Party, backing over 300 local candidacies across the United States and with over 20,000 members.

The PAN's KGB friends

The PAN's increasingly overt Nazi face is only half of what will trouble saner heads in Washington. Equally shocking—though not properly surprising, given the close connections of the Nazi International and the KGB in Qaddafi's Libya and in Lebanon—is that the only identifiable cheering gallery for the PAN, as its deep involvement with the Kissinger forces of the State Department has surfaced, is an amalgam of KGB assets in the Unified Socialist Party of Mexico (PSUM); the terrorist-tinged Fourth International (Trotskyist) grouplet, the Mexican Revolutionary Party (PRT); the "left," Jesuit-controlled press such as *Uno Mas Uno* and *Proceso*; and Jesuit-linked cohorts of Mexican Education Minister Jesús Reyes Heróles (See *Documentation*).

There is an additional irony for any Reagan administration forces who have credulously circulated the Kissinger lines that the PAN is "just another Republican Party like in the United States" and that "all that's involved is the creation of a two-party system"—the PAN's core ideology is virulently anti-American.

This embedded outlook has been forced to the surface by the insistence of both the PLM and the NDPC that now is the time to revive the collaboration between the two nations that characterized the high point of republican solidarity—the alliance between Mexico's Benito Juárez and the United States' Abraham Lincoln in the 1860s, when each faced the greatest peril to his nation's existence (Lincoln from the British-instigated secession of the South, Juárez from the Anglo-French sponsorship of a Hapsburg "emperor" in Mexico, Maximilian).

The Mexican daily *Ovaciones*, in an editorial Sept. 13 defending the PAN, went so far as to dismiss the Hapsburg invasion of Mexico as that "always controversial adventure of the past century," and those traitors inside Mexico who collaborated with the invaders, as patriotic Mexicans who merely "felt the Yankee danger."

The forces which have scrambled to defend the PAN from charges of collaboration with Kissinger networks in the State Department and to assert that the PAN was the subject of PRI

“dirty tricks” in the Baja California elections, are all from the “left.”

● “The resources of the PAN are definitively from inside the country, not from abroad.”— Jaime Trejo, spokesman of the Unified Socialist Party of Mexico (PSUM). *Ovaciones*, Sept. 8

● “Absurd charges. . . . I will not say more because I don’t want to give any credibility to someone whose Mexican satellites are mercenaries. . . .”—Antonio Gershenson, PSUM congressional deputy. *Ovaciones*, Sept. 8.

● “The NDPC, the agency through which the economic resources to the white-and-blue party [PAN] would be provided [sic], ‘has been mistakenly identified as a branch of the Democratic Party’.”—*Proceso* magazine, Sept. 12, turning the NDPC charges inside out to protect the PAN. *Proceso* is edited by “left” Jesuit asset Julio Scherer Garcia.

● “The agency making the charges is headed by an individual called Lyndon LaRouche, instigator of a small but active group of youngsters, all identical, called the Mexican Liberal Party [sic]. This makes the charge, true or false, an unacceptable intervention itself.”—*Punto*, a rag published by dissident “left” journalists, Sept. 12.

● “If electoral campaigns are going to take the same tone as that of Baja California’s, rough times are ahead.”—Rafael Segovia, close collaborator of Education Minister Reyes Heróles, professor at Colegio de Mexico, Sept. 8.

On Sept. 9, the advisory board chairman of the NDPC, Lyndon LaRouche, responded to PAN charges that he had “interfered” in Mexican affairs with NDPC demands that the State Department and FBI cease covert aid to the PAN. Excerpts follow:

Representatives of the PAN have charged falsely that I am “an American millionaire” meddling improperly in the internal affairs of Mexico.

As many in Mexico know, my technical assistance is available to all patriotic parties of Mexico, and to the institutions of government of the Republic. It is true that members of the Mexican Labor Party (PLM) have a special relationship to me through our common membership in an international association modeled upon Plato’s, Leibniz’s, and Dr. Benjamin Franklin’s specifications for an academy, but I have no organizational or financial connection to the PLM itself. In matters bearing upon the affairs of the Republic of Mexico, I deal directly with the governing party and proper channels of government.

However, if any agency attempts to subvert the Mexican constitution from outside, my anger is aroused, and I will act promptly and vigorously to defend Mexico against such interference. The 1823 Monroe Doctrine, as drafted and explained by then-Secretary of State John Quincy Adams, defines it to be the interest of the United States to defend the sovereignties of the republics of the Americas against foreign interference. . . . This is my policy. . . .

The Republic of Mexico is presently in danger, with certain subversive forces operating within Mexico steered from insidious circles in Western Europe and other locations. Foreign missionaries, anthropologists, sociologists, and institutions affiliated with the Switzerland-based Nazi International are among those prominently complicit. . . . Even some men of good will in and around the government of the United States have a misapprehension of the scope and impact of what they see as “pressure” upon the government of Mexico, and appear in my best judgment blind to the true intent of some of the private and other agencies engaged in what are mistakenly viewed as merely “political pressure” tactics. I fight for Mexico’s sovereignty and security in Washington, D.C. and elsewhere.

As for my rumored “millions,” this is a myth concocted by a hired propagandist for the international drug-lobby, Dennis King, who during a period in 1979 was associated with an attorney not unknown to Acapulco, Roy M. Cohn. From such quarters one does not expect accuracy. . . .

I have received no income for years, and have no personal possessions but some clothing and so forth, and a dog given to me by my wife. Except when residing with my wife in Europe, I move from one hotel and safe-house to another, as assigned to me by my organization. The largest item of expense incurred on my account by my organization is a large security-disbursement, a disbursement made necessary by my would-be assassins, assassins steered chiefly by some of the same circles presently targeting Mexico for destabilization. I am rich only in my marriage, my friends, and my work, and wise enough at the age of 61 years to desire nothing more.

Viva Mexico!

PAN reactions to LaRouche

The PAN’s characterizations of Lyndon LaRouche, advisory board chairman of the National Democratic Policy Committee, have so far yielded the following extraordinary diversity:

● “We’ll get that filthy Jew from Philadelphia.”— José Angel Conchello, former PAN president, in Mexicali, Baja California Norte, Aug. 19.

● “. . . a multimillionaire gringo. . . .”—Gerardo Medino, PAN congressional floorleader, on the floor of the Mexican congress, Sept. 7.

● “. . . one who aspires to become the first socialist president of the United States.”—Abel Tovar, president of the PAN. *El Herald*, Sept. 8.

● “Lyndon LaRouche, a former director of the Central Intelligence Agency. . . .”—PAN congressional deputy Altamirano Dimas. *El Herald*, Sept. 13.