

State Department seeks 'new Nicaragua' in the Philippines

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Democratic presidential candidate LaRouche issued this statement on Feb. 19.

Despite the internationally orchestrated, lying reports, even the election statistics claimed by former Philippines presidential candidate "Cory" Aquino and the U.S. State Department's Namfrel "front operation," show conclusively that President F. Marcos won the recent "snap" election.

Before the election, "sugar queen" Aquino had warned, that she must carry Manila with 70% of the vote, to win the national election. Even Namfrel's concocted statistics, show Aquino carrying Manila by slightly better than a bare majority, far from the 70% target. Although Aquino carried the "silk stocking" district of Manila, as expected, the lower-income districts voted strongly against the terrorist-allied sugar queen.

The reason for the internationally orchestrated lies about the recent events in the Philippines, is that the Philippines is currently number one on a long list of nations which Soviet-leaning U.S. liberals have presently scheduled for bloody destabilizations. South Korea is next on the list in the Far East, and Panama, Peru, and Colombia, are high on the list in South America. The treasonous forces inside the United States involved in these murderous plans, include former Attorney-General Ramsey Clark, and others of the gang which brought the murderous dictatorship of Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini to power in Iran, and which brought the Soviet-allied "Liberation Theology" dictatorship of Thomas Borge to power in Nicaragua.


Strategically, the key to the treasonous activities which certain U.S. liberals are conducting in the Philippines and

South Korea, is former U.S. Secretary of State Henry A. Kissinger. From the time that Kissinger was expelled from the National Security Council on orders of President John F. Kennedy, until Kissinger became President Richard Nixon's National Security Adviser, Kissinger's most important activity was as a participant in the left-wing Pugwash Conference. When Kissinger became National Security Adviser, he brought into the Nixon administration agreements which Pugwash had negotiated with the Soviet empire during the 1963-69 period, including the "SALT I" package, the 1972 ABM Treaty, and the so-called Guam doctrine for U.S. strategic withdrawal from the western Pacific.

It was Kissinger, as National Security Adviser and Secretary of State, who orchestrated the 1973 Middle East War and the events leading into the 1973-74 petroleum crisis. It was Kissinger, who sabotaged the U.S. anti-drug operations against the Golden Triangle. It was Kissinger, in concert with West Germany's Foreign Minister Hans-Dietrich Genscher, and Lord Carrington, who turned large parts of Africa over to Soviet operations in 1975. Kissinger has been a leading voice for proposed strategic decoupling of the United States from West Germany. It is Kissinger, who has repeatedly proposed that the U.S. withdraw to 25% of its postwar strategic position, meaning U.S. abandonment of Western Europe, the Pacific Rim, and Africa.

Kissinger did not do these things alone, of course. Kissinger has been nothing more than the high-priced errand-boy for an ultra-liberal faction of the Anglo-American Establishments, including the "nuclear freezenik" McGeorge Bundy to whom Kissinger dedicated his first book, the explicitly anti-American *A World Restored*. Despite the fact, that Kis-

The Philippines



singer was under official investigation as a suspected member of a Soviet-run homosexual ring inside the U.S. military in postwar Germany, he was sent into the New York Council on Foreign Relations, under joint Rockefeller and London Chatham House sponsorship, during the late 1950s, and was temporarily brought into the Kennedy administration's National Security Council by McGeorge Bundy. The files of the security investigation against Kissinger were destroyed, and the security officers conducting the investigation were thrown out of government. That cover-up of Kissinger was done under the supervision of former Soviet "Trust B" m Averell Harriman and security specialist Walter Sheridan.

Although Kissinger has played a key role in many bloody and treasonous acts against vital U.S. strategic interests, he is still essentially merely an errand-boy for those higher-placed circles which dictate Kissinger's policies, circles which use Kissinger as an essentially expendable potential scapegoat. It was Kissinger's most recent trips to Bangkok and Hong Kong, which set into motion the current efforts to overthrow President Marcos and turn Southeast Asia over to



NSIPS/Stuart Lewis

The key to the operations around Cory Aquino today, is the leader of the Philippines branch of the outlawed "Liberation Theology" movement, Cardinal Sin.

unchallenged Soviet domination. Nonetheless, although Kissinger has played a key role in these operations, he is only one contributing factor in a much broader operation.

The key to treason: the 'Trust'

The 1917 Russian Revolution was set into motion by a group of European and North American bankers, who had financed the careers of Lenin, Trotsky, Bukharin, and many others, through a gigantic Odessa-Salonika-Venice-Switzerland operation headed by the famous Alexander Helphand popularly known as "Parvus," the same Parvus whose son later became the top Soviet intelligence operative based in Paris. Very soon after the Bolshevik Revolution, Soviet official Leon Trotsky worked with top members of Parvus's 1916-17 organization inside Soviet secret intelligence, setting up an organization known as the "Trust." Later, Trotsky was pushed out of this position, and replaced by Parvus's agent N. Bukharin. The "Trust" was never simply a Soviet intelligence operation; it was a partnership between the Soviet regime and the Western bankers who had financed the 1917 Russian Revolution.

The entire Communist International, including both the Trotsky-centered "Left Opposition," and the Bukharin-centered "Right Opposition," was controlled by the "Trust," not the Soviet government itself.

During the late 1920s and the 1930s, Stalin purged the leading "Trust" figures inside Russia, and established a Soviet dictatorship echoing the regime of Czar Ivan the Terrible. Whereas the "Trust" was committed to "world revolution," using Russia as an expendable pawn in this operation, Stalin was a rabid Russian nationalist, who dreamed of making Moscow the capital of a worldwide Russian empire. That was Stalin's only fundamental difference with Trotsky and

Bukharin.

Beginning 1955, after Moscow had begun to build up thermonuclear arsenals, Stalin's successors reopened partnership with the former Western members of the Trust, through Bertrand Russell and the Pugwash Conference, and established a wide variety of other Soviet back-channels to U.S. Trust circles through the U.S.A.-Canada section of the revived Comintern organization (IMEMO), including David Rockefeller's "Dartmouth Conference" and various channels linked to Averell Harriman. Through this post-Stalin reconciliation, numerous anti-Stalinists of the late 1930s and 1940s, who had penetrated deep into U.S. intelligence and foreign-service establishments, also resumed their role as Soviet agents beginning the late 1950s.

One must not think of Harriman's and Rockefeller's circles, or former members of the Bukharin-Brandler-Lovestone "Right Opposition," as Soviet agents pure-and-simple. Their treasonous loyalties are to the kind of partnership with Moscow which the 1920s Trust represented. The Soviet and Western partners of the revived arrangement, should be thought of as a kind of joint-stock company; there are conflicting as well as common aims among the different factions of the stockholders.

It is not so difficult for normal citizens, to understand Soviet motives for partnership with wealthy Western liberals; Lenin long ago described such liberals as "useful fools." It is difficult for ordinary citizens to understand: "What would cause very wealthy families of the West to work for Soviet strategic interests as they are doing today?" The citizen thinks of these wealthy families as "capitalists," and assumes, therefore, that these families are axiomatically "anti-communist." The normal citizen can not swallow what seems to him the absurd idea, that a Harriman or a Rockefeller could be "pro-communist."

The key to understanding the mind of the wealthy Western Soviet partner, is Henry Kissinger's first book, *A World Restored*. Kissinger writes emphatically, and repeatedly, that he has dedicated his career to following in the footsteps of the Holy Alliance's Prince Metternich. He endorses Metternich's statements identifying the United States as the enemy to be destroyed. Kissinger has faithfully carried out Metternich's anti-American doctrine, throughout his career in public life to date.

The citizen must recognize, that the key to the founding of the Holy Alliance, was Metternich's alliance with Czar Alexander I's Russia. Russia was used to aid in crushing every pro-American force in Western Europe; Metternich and Britain's Lord Castlereagh (another favorite of Kissinger's) were willing to tolerate Russian imperial domination of Western Europe, for two generations, as the price for crushing the influence of the ideas of the American Revolution in Europe. That was the purpose of Prime Minister Winston Churchill's pushing through the 1943 Yalta agreements,

and for Lord Carrington's and Kissinger's pushing "New Yalta" agreements, giving Moscow strategic domination of the Middle East, continental Western Europe, Africa, and Asia.

The model for treason inside high-level circles in the United States today, is the Trilateral Commission. There is nothing secret about the kind of treason to which the Trilateral Commission and Pamela Churchill Harriman are dedicated. From the beginning, the Trilateral Commission has stated, that the purpose of its work is to establish what Zbigniew Brzezinski and other Trilateral leaders name "global society." "Global society," sometimes named "world federalism," means something like a worldwide Roman empire. It is proposed to divide the world-empire between Moscow's section of the empire, and a section ruled over by the wealthy bankers represented today by the Bank for International Settlements and the BIS's front-organization, the International Monetary Fund.

Under "global society," the institution of the sovereign nation-state is destroyed. Governments become merely local administrative agencies, carrying out policies dictated by supranational agencies such as the IMF. The idea of putting the United States under an IMF dictatorship, was first proposed under President Jimmy Carter, and is the stated policy of Treasury Secretary James Baker

No honest citizen can deny these facts. His problem is, that he can not swallow the idea that the "capitalists" of our Eastern Liberal Establishment should be committed to destroying not only the sovereignty of the United States, but also to destroying the kind of capitalist system which the United States was founded to typify. "Aren't they capitalists?" the normal citizen objects. "How could they have anti-capitalist motives?"

If the word "capitalist" is used properly, to mean a system of private entrepreneurship in farming, industry, and ordinary commerce and banking, these wealthy families of the Liberal Establishment are clearly not "capitalists." What has happened to our entrepreneurial farms, to our entrepreneurial manufacturing firms, and to the system of state-wide private banking essential to local farms and industries? The Eastern Establishment has systematically destroyed entrepreneurial capitalism in the United States. The wealthy banking interests of Switzerland, have taken over and ruined U.S. agriculture, and the European reinsurance cartels have taken over, looted, and ruined U.S. industry and real-estate development; these Swiss-controlled, rentier interests, have degraded the ownership of businesses, to errand-boys for the banks.

These wealthy families are not "capitalists" in the sense of the economic system on which the United States was founded. They represent pre-capitalist traditions of usury, at least as old as the Phoenicians and the Babylonian empire. They represent what European usages used to call the "Lom-

bard" finance of medieval feudalism. They represent what the United States was created to eradicate from the Americas.

Anton Chaitkin's book, *Treason in America*, documents the history of the families since the days of the traitor Aaron Burr and the Boston "blue bloods" piling up of their original fortunes from the China opium-trade. McGeorge Bundy is a biological and spiritual heir of the treasonous Lowell family of Massachusetts; the Morgans also came out of the profits of the opium-trade; and the Harriman family today represents the continuation of the drug-running interests of Connecticut, around the Russell family and the Russell Trust. It is not accidental, that Pamela Churchill Harriman and the Trilateral Lloyd Cutler are in partnership in an open conspiracy to tear up the U.S. Constitution. They have a long history of treason against the United States, over more than 200 years, and they have not improved their morals recently.

These Swiss- and Venetian-connected elements of the Anglo-American Liberal Establishments hate the American System so much, that as Metternich and Castlereagh did in 1815, they are willing to betray the United States and its allies, to put most of the world under temporary domination of the Soviet empire, in order to eradicate everything for which the U.S. Declaration of Independence stands, from every part of this planet.

Why turn the Philippines over to Moscow?

Why should the State Department support liberal Sen. Richard Lugar and gangster-linked Congressman Stephen Solarz, in an effort to destroy the Philippines and South Korea, and pull the U.S. submarine-fleet from the Asiatic Rim, back to Seattle, while the Soviet navy, operating out of Vladivostock and Cam Ranh Bay, is presently deploying a larger force into the Pacific than into the North Atlantic? Why would the State Department support such a treasonous swindle?

The present State Department commitments, to destabilize the Philippines and Panama, have the most obvious strategic advantages for the Soviet empire. These projects are therefore treason, pure and simple. Similarly, the attempt to strengthen the Soviet strategic build-up in Angola and Mozambique, means Soviet domination of the South Atlantic and the Indian Ocean, and Soviet control of another major choke-point, around the Cape of Good Hope, while the State Department, meanwhile, has turned the Middle East and Eastern Mediterranean over to domination by the Soviet empire. From a military standpoint, the treasonous character of State Department actions is clear.

There is an additional motive for this treason.

President Marcos of the Philippines, hero of the Philippine resistance (when the Aquino family collaborated enthusiastically), is a leader of the patriotic forces of the Philippines, a leader committed to gaining for the Philippines the same kinds of benefits which our forefathers described in the

Declaration of Independence. General Noriega of Panama, presently targeted for assassination by our Kissinger types, is one of the leaders of a regional movement with the same objectives as President Marcos. So is Peru's President Alan García. So are the forces in Colombia presently targeted for assassination by our drug-traffic-linked liberals. So are the Peronists of Argentina. South Korea, the Asiatic economic miracle of the 1970s, is also targeted, because its policies of economic development are consistent with the principles of the American Revolution.

The treasonous Anglo-American Liberal Establishments are dedicated to the bloody mass-murder of every political force in Latin America, Asia, and Africa, which represents, in its own country, the same objectives as the American Revolution.

We must ask: "Why the urgency with which the State Department is trying to murder so many of our friends abroad?"

During 1986, or not much later, the international banking system, in its present form, will be plunged into a collapse far worse than that of 1931-33. Apart from the special case of Belgium, the U.S. banking system is the most bankrupt of all the industrialized nations, with major banks wavering on the edge of collapse. Every leading financial center in the world is presently discussing this impending collapse.

What happens when this collapse erupts? Under those conditions, only action by governments could prevent entire economies from undergoing general collapse. Governments must meet to establish a new international monetary order. If a large coalition of developing nations were to demand a form of international monetary order consistent with the principles of the American Revolution, it would be most difficult for the governments of the United States and Western Europe to resist such types of reforms. If such reforms were adopted, the special power of the Swiss and Venetian bankers and reinsurance cartels would be broken, and the Anglo-American Liberal Establishment would lose the greatest part of its present political power in the United States and Britain. Therefore, the Swiss, the Venetians, and the Liberal Establishments are committed to drowning such developing-sector governments in blood, before the imminent international banking collapse occurs.

The forces of Cardinal Sin

The husband of Cory Aquino was sent from the United States to the Philippines, to be killed by assassins collaborating with the liberals whom the victim, Benigno Aquino, believed to be his U.S. friends. To unleash the destabilization of the Philippines, these liberals needed a major atrocity which they could blame on President Marcos. Whether Cory Aquino is willing to face this fact or not, Benigno Aquino was murdered so that Aquino's "bloody shirt" could become a presidential candidate against President Marcos.

To describe the Aquino family as "overflowing with egalitarian, democratic" impulses, ignores the conditions of life of the sugar-workers on the feudal sugar plantation of the Aquino family. If Cory Aquino believed in improving the conditions of oppressed Filipinos, she would start by freeing the serfs on her family's plantation. The family has a rotten political history in the past and present life of the Philippines. Her election-campaign support for the terrorist New People's Army (NPA), compared with the condition of her family's serfs, is typical of the morality of her presidential campaign.

As in many formerly colonial nations, great financial and political power within the nation is concentrated in the hands of a collection of oligarchical families, of the type which the Aquino family typifies in the Philippines. In Spanish-speaking countries, such oligarchical cabals have tended over the decades, toward what is often described inside those nations as "clerical fascism."

In the U.S. intelligence archives dealing with Nazi operations inside the Philippines, a prominent role is ascribed to a network associated with the Nazi-Soviet intelligence operative Richard Sorge. This was the same network which included Australia-born actor Errol Flynn's Nazi cronies of Hollywood and Acapulco, around the notorious Dr. Hermann Erben. Erben, a Nazi spy, was rounded up at the end of World War II in China, by Gen. Douglas MacArthur's staff, and shipped to Bremerhaven for processing by the Nuremberg Tribunal. Erben was in China as part of the Sorge network which had been operating in the Philippines. That is key to understanding the Soviet connections of former fascists in the Philippines today, families which collaborated with the occupation of the Philippines, not because they were pro-Japan, but because they were die-hard fascists linked to the Nazis' intelligence networks in the Far East.

However, the key to the operations around Cory Aquino today, is the leader of the Philippines branch of the outlawed "Liberation Theology" movement, Cardinal Sin. In other words, Aquino is being pushed by the same heretical faction among nominal Catholics, which created the present pro-Soviet government in Nicaragua. Cardinal Sin is, as his name suggests, of Chinese origin, with very special importance because of his special connections to Beijing. He is a die-hard "Liberation Theologist" in profile. However, the leading role of certain priests and nuns in running the Aquino destabilization operation in the Philippines, can not be explained by Cardinal Sin himself. The root of the problem is long-standing connections of certain religious factions with the "clerical fascist" tendencies among the oligarchical families of the country.

Early during the recent election-campaign, Cory Aquino's slate fell apart through internal dissensions. Then, there was a special meeting including Cardinal Sin, U.S. Ambassador Stephen Bosworth, and Aquino, where the campaign was put back together. In other words, the State Department allied with the same forces which run Nicaragua today, to

use Cory Aquino as a pawn for the attempted bloody destabilization of the Philippines!

President Marcos is a seasoned and extraordinarily intelligent and courageous patriot of his country, not to be easily overthrown by such a liberal as immature U.S. Ambassador Bosworth, even with former Ambassador William Sullivan orchestrating the treasonous operation from behind the scenes. Marcos is tough and intelligent. He has won the first two rounds of the State Department's efforts to murder his country, and will be tougher to destroy now, than before. It is very unlikely that he will fall into either of the two kinds of traps the liberals are trying to set for him.

On the one side, there will be great pressure on President Reagan, to cause the President to request that Marcos make the kinds of gestures of concessions to his enemies which President Reagan himself made, in bringing Kissinger into his administration and putting Don Regan in the position of White House chief of staff. Marcos will understand clearly, that unprincipled concessions to the opposition will merely weaken the government of the Philippines and feed the confidence and strength of the opposition. A government which adopts a policy of concessions to a revolutionary movement, is a government which that revolution will soon destroy by aid of such concessions.

President Marcos will not be provoked into desperate acts of repression against his enemies. He will act firmly, and with measured force if need be, but he will act in a way which upholds the constitution of the Philippines, in ways which are consistent with his nation-building commitments to the present and future generations of the average Philippine citizen. Marcos is no desperate dictator, he has solid support from a majority of his people, and will not act against the interests of that majority.

Cory Aquino and Cardinal Sin are about to be faced with some very tough political tests. Do they intend to betray the nation and people of the Philippines, by supporting IMF economy-wrecking demands, or will they defend the nation against such wicked and cruel demands? This challenge will bring to the fore the image of serfdom on Aquino plantations. It will force Cardinal Sin to show his true colors on the issues of Pope Paul VI's encyclical *Populorum Progressio*, and the recent Vatican Extraordinary Synod. The President is committed to implementing the postponed nation-building programs of the 1970s, to building the nation's economy, and to improving the condition of the nation's people as rapidly and dramatically as possible.

Those around the world, who continue to spread wild lies against President Marcos, will be forced to show their true face. Do these liberals, these supposed "defenders of democracy," support famine, epidemic, and civil war in the Philippines—and other nations? Or, do they have the morality and honesty to recognize that the President of a predominantly Catholic nation is implementing the resolutions on economic morality presented by the Vatican's Extraordinary Synod?