

Editorial

Who are the guilty?

As Ramsey Clark emphasized in his speech at Copenhagen, Lyndon LaRouche is in jail today because he was considered to be a danger to the system. Not only were LaRouche and his associates innocent any crime, but the people who convicted them knew that they were innocent. President Bush, the Supreme Court, and the justice apparatus knew it. They knew it then, and know it now.

Clark identifies the brutality of the political process in the United States today. We would go still farther.

LaRouche was deemed to be a danger by those among the Anglo-American elite who were and are hell-bent on making a deal with the Soviets and on keeping Mikhail Gorbachov in power. He was and is a danger to them because—ironically—the Soviets recognized LaRouche as their number-one enemy: the man who could undo the debacle of American economic and foreign policy.

This was perceived by the Soviets to be a threat to their long-range imperial goals; therefore, after the Strategic Defense Initiative became U.S. policy in 1983, LaRouche was seen, by them, as a dangerous force to be eliminated from the policy-making circles of the U.S. government. The Soviets did not oppose the SDI as such—they opposed the economic conceptions which underlay LaRouche's policy for strategic defense.

Thus LaRouche is in jail, and an unholy alliance is still in force between Margaret Thatcher, George Bush, and Mikhail Gorbachov. LaRouche and his associates are innocent of any crime, save the crime of refusing to capitulate to prevailing opinion; it is their opponents who will be judged by history.

As Clark emphasized, the LaRouche case is one of a series of precedents in which the process of justice is being overridden; in which the Constitution of the United States is being abrogated, and with it the rule of law. In the LaRouche case, for example, there is a written court record proving that the prosecution and the judge knew—personally—that enterprises run by LaRouche enterprises had been illegally and summarily bankrupted by the government. The defense was refused permission to bring this exculpatory information into the

courtroom and to the attention of the jury.

What, then, is to be said about a country in which the federal court system, and the President, allows political opponents to be jailed, knowing that they are innocent?

The plain fact is that the Anglo-American attempt to form a condominium with the Soviets cannot work. The Anglo-American financial system is collapsing, and the Soviet system is collapsing. Moreover, the Soviets have no respect for the Bush administration or for the nanny who is British prime minister. The problem is that the Bush administration, which wishes to keep LaRouche and his associates in jail, does so as an outgrowth of its stubborn determination to push through unworkable policies, including those financial, economic, and related policies which are responsible for the collapse.

Only the policies which LaRouche has advocated, modeled upon what is known as American System economics, can rescue the world from plunging into another, murderously brutal dark age. If the tottering condominium holds together, and if the united Anglo-Americans or Soviets combine to prevent the potential of Europe and Japan from being tapped for the realization of projects such as LaRouche's Triangle project, then civilization as we know it is finished in the foreseeable future.

That is why LaRouche is now in jail: because the policies which he advocates, the only policies which offer any hope for humanity, are opposed by Henry Kissinger and his cronies, and by President George Bush.

There is no hope, there is no solution for the world's problems, as long as the present policy matrix continues. As long as LaRouche remains in jail, it is a clear signal that the present administration is fully committed to maintaining the present course. Every crisis management solution which these idiots come up with only makes the situation worse, the crisis deeper, the road to recovery more difficult. What the Bush administration presents in place of the cure *is* the disease.

LaRouche and his associates are innocent. The same cannot be said of their enemies.