

Pro-ADL lawyer organizes against Judaism

by Stanley Ezrol

Chutzpah

by Alan M. Dershowitz
Little, Brown and Company, Boston, 1991,
378 pages, hardbound, \$22.95

Over the last 25 years, Edgar Bronfman's World Jewish Congress and the B'nai B'rith's Anti-Defamation League (ADL) have been on a rampage to eliminate all positive elements of Jewish cultural and religious traditions. For the most part, this campaign has not directly attacked Jewish theology, but has consisted of appeals to defend Jews and the Jewish state against anti-Semitism, terrorism, and former Nazis, or to revive the ethnic, rather than religious traditions of Judaism. In his 1991 book *Chutzpah*, the Anti-Defamation League's favorite "civil rights" lawyer, Alan Dershowitz, opens a frontal assault against the Jewish religion and the Jewish idea of a universal creator God, which he declares to be enemies of the Jewish race.

The book is a somewhat confused and confusing tirade, but does provide valuable insight into the mentality of guilt-ridden American Jews, which the Anti-Defamation League has manipulated in order to turn organized American Jewry into a diabolical weapon against its own best heritage. Dershowitz describes his personal rebellion against his family tradition of resistance against Russian and German anti-Semitism, to develop into a totally self-absorbed, borderline delinquent adolescent during the period following the 1940s Holocaust in which millions of Jews were murdered amongst the general slaughter known as World War II. Growing up in relatively benign Jewish neighborhoods of Brooklyn, which I

know from life-long first-hand experience to be paradises by comparison with today's urban black and hispanic ghettos, Dershowitz describes his own compassion for the victims of the Holocaust as follows:

"When one particular teacher, who had survived aerial bombardment, turned to write on the blackboard, we would let go with a low whistle that built to an explosive crescendo simulating a dropping bomb. . . . When a teacher hit us—a common form of discipline—we would threaten to report him to the Black Hundreds, the cossacks, or the SS."

This torturer of Hitler's victims, this Jew-baiting swine, Dershowitz, has the *chutzpah* (gall, effrontery, nerve, are good English equivalents), to claim for himself and his fellow swine, reparations on behalf of victims of the Holocaust.

"The world owes Jews, and the Jewish state, which was built on the ashes of Auschwitz, a special understanding. . . . If Israel resolves security doubts in favor of its own people—even if it is wrong in a particular instance—the world should 'indulge' the survivors of the Holocaust. . . . The world has never given—and does not today offer—any special indulgence to the Jewish people or the Jewish state.

"Just as the vast majority of German people expected to reap material benefits from Hitler's aggression, so too they should have reaped the bitter fruit of defeat. They should have suffered—as a *people*. . . . That is why the rebuilding of postwar Germany into one of the world's most affluent nations is a moral disgrace. A minimal appropriate response . . . should have been a generation of poverty, for most Germans. . . ."

It is all too common that people who feel guilty about an act or an omission, will, rather than improve their ways, which is a permanent and effective cure for guilt feelings, become enraged at someone else whom they will blame for

their own failings. Often that someone else is the victim of their error. Thus Dershowitz, who suffered not even the splitting of the end of a hair on his head in the Holocaust, and who as a child personally tormented victims of the Holocaust, claims the right to impose poverty on a generation of Germans who were childhood victims of that same Holocaust. Thus American Jews and others who have given up on the fight for civil rights and the eradication of poverty, are whipped into a frenzy against blacks, Hispanics, Germans, Japanese, Koreans, their children, or their parents.

A rage of lies

Dershowitz's argument is a torrent of lies, and inconsistencies. He argues, on page 139, that every non-Jewish Pole should be held responsible for the death camps constructed by their Nazi occupiers because no one there could have been unaware of what was going on, but then on page 297 says, "It was central to the . . . Nazi genocidal plan that the victims be deceived into believing that they were being transported to work camps. Had the truth been communicated to them . . . many could have been saved." He rails against anti-Semites for pulling phrases out of context from the Jewish Scriptures to make their case against Judaism, but he himself plucks a few phrases from the Gospels to "prove" that "Christian theology" is anti-Semitic.

Although he was writing after United States Armed Forces had slaughtered well over 100,000 Iraqi civilians, whose government was accused of the "crime" of invading and liberating the despotic slave state of Kuwait, and after the fire-bombing of the black slums of Panama City, Dershowitz asks, "Why is Israel singled out for super-scrutiny and double-standard criticism, expected to maintain a level of moral behavior not demanded of anyone else? Is it because Israel is the Jewish national state and the Jew among nations?" Dershowitz never systematically explains what he means by this double standard. In fact, Israel is the only state which has attacked United States vessels on the high seas, infiltrated its spies to steal military secrets from us, and yet continues to receive over \$3 billion a year in aid from the United States Treasury.

One of Dershowitz's clients is Jonathan Jay Pollard, an American serving a life sentence for spying for Israel. Typically, Dershowitz says of the Pollard case, "At the time, the average prison sentence imposed on a defendant convicted of spying for a U.S. ally, like Israel, was less than five years. . . . All countries spy on friends and foes alike. . . . The big news in the Pollard case is that the Israelis got caught." This is a lie. First, Israel is not an "ally" of the United States. It has always reserved the right to cooperate in military and intelligence matters with enemies of the United States such as the Soviet Union. Second, Pollard was *not* caught on foreign territory spying on behalf of his own nation. He is an American citizen who was spying *against* his own nation on behalf of a foreign power which very publicly and openly

flaunts its right to broker the fruits of his espionage on the open market. Dershowitz, elsewhere in his book, describes his own collaboration with the Israelis and their friends in the KGB, as well as the United States State and Justice Departments, in the prosecution of United States citizen John Demjanjuk.

Golem of the new world order

Despite the ludicrous inconsistencies of his arguments, Dershowitz ought to be taken as a serious representative of the new world order's Jewish policy. He was a personal protégé of super-spook Arthur Goldberg, one of the founders of what is today called Project Democracy. During the Second World War, Goldberg headed the Office of Strategic Services espionage organization's effort to set up the international network of Anglo-American controlled trade unions which are today at the heart of the establishment's covert warfare and dirty tricks operations. The network of communist-turned-anti-Stalinist activists which Goldberg organized evolved into what is today called the "neo-conservative" grouping. Dershowitz has become a favorite news and entertainment media spokesman on Zionist as well as civil rights issues. In addition to being a frequent guest on neo-conservative Ted Koppel's television program, he was the subject of a Hollywood movie, "Reversal of Fortune."

In proposing what Judaism ought to become, Dershowitz ignores all Jewish tradition, and rather embraces the views expressed by satanic freemasonic propagandist Mark Twain in his 1898 article "Concerning the Jews." In that paper, which the Anti-Defamation League reprinted in 1934, Twain recommended that Jews syndicalize in order to exercise political power. Here are some excerpts from Twain's article which Jew-baiter Dershowitz chose not to quote:

"I am quite sure that (bar one) I have no race prejudices, and I think I have no color prejudices nor caste prejudices nor creed prejudices. . . . All that I care to know is that a man is a human being—that is enough for me; he can't be any worse. I have no special regard for Satan; but I can at least claim that I have no prejudice against him. It may even be that I lean a little his way on account of his not having a fair show. All religions issue bibles against him, but we never hear *his* side. . . . A person who has for untold centuries maintained the imposing position of spiritual head of four-fifths of the human race, and political head of the whole of it, must be granted the possession of executive abilities of the loftiest order. . . . I would rather see him and shake him by the tail than any other member of the European Concert. . . ."

Having given his opinion of Satan, Twain gives his opinion of Jews:

"The Jew has his other side. He has some discreditable ways, though he has not a monopoly of them. . . . He has a reputation for various small forms of cheating, and for practicing oppressive usury, and for burning himself out to

get the insurance, and arranging for cunning contracts which leave him an exit but lock the other man in, and for smart evasions. . . . No, the Jew is a money-getter; and in getting his money he is a very serious obstruction to less capable neighbors. . . . He found out . . . that some men worship rain, some worship heroes, some worship power, some worship God . . . but that they all worship money. . . .”

Dershowitz appeals to Jews not only to organize, but to renounce monotheism in favor of pagan cultism, or perhaps, Satanism:

A yeshiva student like Dershowitz should recognize his arguments as those of the Baalists and the worshipers of the Golden Calf against Moses and the Ten Commandments. He is embracing the 3,000-year-old adversary of Jewish thought.

“The Holocaust caused many Jews to question the theological basis of Judaism, while at the same time strengthening their identity as Jews. Whether ‘God died at Auschwitz,’ as some believe, or humankind was ‘desanctified’ there, as others believe, few can doubt that the Holocaust altered the relationship between the Jewish God and his ‘chosen people.’ What, after all, had we been ‘chosen’ for?”

“. . . If there can be one God, why can’t there be many? Indeed, in some respects monotheism is a regression and an invitation to conflict. If there is only one true God, then all people must worship him.

“. . . Even monotheistic religions flirt with the idea of more than one supernatural force, whether it be the Devil, or the Son of God, or divine prophets.”

Obviously yeshiva student Dershowitz missed his first Bible class. God is not some “supernatural force,” like the ones in the comic books Dershowitz read, He is *the* Creator of the Universe. Whether he knows it or not, when Dershowitz says there could be many “gods” as well as one “God,” he is not expressing a mere opinion. He is propounding a hypothesis about the manner in which the universe is created, which is that the universe is not the result of a single principle of creation, but results either from no idea, or from a multiplicity of inconsistent ideas. In this type of universe, in which Dershowitz and his friends imagine they live, of course, man has no dignity. He can never take responsibility for his own actions because he can never forecast what the results of his actions will be. That all depends on which “supernatural force” is in control. For a polytheist, “Hey man, step on the brake or you’ll hit that wall.” “That’s your opinion,” is a valid

argument. The only opinion not permitted by Dershowitz and his co-thinkers is the opinion that truth exists and it matters whether you’re right or wrong.

A yeshiva student like Dershowitz should recognize his arguments as those of the Baalists and the worshipers of the Golden Calf against Moses and the Ten Commandments. He is embracing the 3,000-year-old adversary of Jewish thought. Were the people of Abraham chosen to serve God in His continuing project of Creation? Or did some science fiction character choose the people of Abraham for some sort of special privileged position of dominance over other peoples who were chosen by other science fiction characters?

Dershowitz himself describes his youthful rejection of the message of the 37th Psalm: “I was a child and then grew old, but I never saw a righteous person abandoned or his children asking for food.” He continues, “I recall raising questions in class about what these perplexing words could possibly mean. Surely they did not accurately describe reality. . . . Indeed, the Holocaust, and the world’s reaction to it, make it demonstrably clear not only that the observation is factually false, but also that it is morally unacceptable.” To illustrate that this statement is evidence that Dershowitz has lost his soul, I will quote at length from the Jewish Publication Society’s translation of the 37th Psalm, which I urge you to read in this or another translation, in full:

Fret not thyself because of evil-doers,
Neither be thou envious against them that work
unrighteousness.
For they shall soon wither like the grass,
And fade as the green herb. . . .

Commit thy way unto the Lord;
Trust also in Him, and He will bring it to pass.
And He will make thy righteousness to go forth as
the light,
And thy right as the noonday.
Resign thyself unto the Lord and wait patiently for
Him;

Fret not thyself because of him who prospereth in
his way,
Because of the man who bringeth wicked devices
to pass. . . .

Cease from anger, and forsake wrath;
Fret not thyself, it tendeth only to evil-doing. . . .

And yet a little while, and the wicked is no more;
Yea, thou shalt look well at his place, and he is
not.

But the humble shall inherit the land,
And delight themselves in the abundance of peace.

The wicked plotteth against the righteous,

And gnasheth at him with his teeth.
The Lord doth laugh at him;
For He seeth that his day is coming. . . .

Thus, the 37th Psalm, from which the Christian assertion "The meek shall inherit the earth" is drawn, is in that tradition of religious poetry which inspires men to seek joy in doing good and to despise evil. Lyndon LaRouche has rigorously demonstrated in *In Defense of Common Sense* and elsewhere the scientific basis for the psalmist's observation: "And yet a little while, and the wicked is no more." Only the fostering of human creativity, man's service in the image of God the Creator, can sustain society. Only in such service does a man's life have lasting value. Those societies which have accepted Dershowitz's opinion, that any opinion is as good as any other, have become the subjects of archeology. Look in their place and they are not.

French book slanders LaRouche, POE

by Jacques Cheminade

Enquêtes sur la Droite Extrême

by René Monzat

Le Monde Editions "Actualités" Collection, Paris,
1992

340 pages, FF 110

This book, whose title is translated *Investigations of the Far Right*, is exactly what one might qualify as an excellent piece of police work: With the persistence of never rising to the level of ideas, René Monzat accumulates details—collected from beneath mattresses and inside waste baskets—from the files of his "informants" and his "adversaries," opportunely and selectively come upon. Here he is trying to pin up that rare creature which goes by the name "POE," in order to preserve it in formaldehyde with his collection of "far right" insects.

While our defense minister, drawing the lesson of the Gulf war's "successes," imports the Anglo-American "intelligence culture," Monzat gets his information in New York and Washington. His sources, according to his own bibliography, are entirely American.

It is true that Monzat is a bit behind the times: His clock

wound down in 1983, with the exception of his reference to the 1987 autobiography of Lyndon LaRouche.

You should modernize, Mr. Monzat, and buy yourself a personal computer. You should also survey the territory: No one has ever seen you in the offices of the POE, although you were not afraid of meeting, according to your introduction, with Marc Frédriksen, Alain de Benoist, Jean-Gilles Malliarakis, Trystan Mordrelle, and many others. On us, you limited yourself to copying what others have said. Is this reasonable?

The old line, still the Big Lie

Monzat's "thesis"? The European Labor Party is a "destabilizing political structure . . . a pure project of an intelligence operation." The Labor Committees have been "since their founding, a war machine against the left"! The POE was part of the tendency within the "American military-industrial complex," with "American anti-communists who are less intelligent than the CIA analysts," as the author, who seems to hold these latter in some kind of esteem, puts it.

However, Mr. Monzat, we are definitely in 1992. You cannot not know—although not a whisper of it crosses your lips—that Mr. LaRouche has been in an American prison for three years, after having been sentenced to 15 years in prison under conditions that even his enemies agree are unjust and unbelievable. You cannot not know that LaRouche has been subjected to a virtual "slow death sentence," having to remain in prison until his 80th birthday. You cannot not know that, since his imprisonment, he has denounced the Gulf war, the invasion of Panama, and the injustice perpetrated against the countries of the South.

Sure, you will reply that LaRouche is a crook, and got what was coming to him—as has been repeatedly also said by George Bush, Henry Kissinger, and White House spokesman Marlin Fitzwater. But you have to choose: crook or intelligence agent? Perhaps you will say: both at the same time.

Files prove effort to 'get LaRouche'

Okay, get serious. There is a dossier which shows the constant relentlessness of the American intelligence services toward Lyndon LaRouche and his friends, which you have never sought to consult, just as you never sought to meet with their lawyers. This dossier is backed up by thousands of documents from the FBI and CIA, obtained through the Freedom of Information Act. It has been established, beyond a shadow of a doubt, that Mr. LaRouche and his friends are on the black list of Henry Kissinger, Oliver North, and numerous others of their ilk.

Mr. Monzat, you don't inform your readers that on Oct. 6, 1986, one of the largest police raids in U.S. history was launched against organizations close to LaRouche, mobilizing 400 law enforcement officers, with helicopters and Uzi submachine guns. According to the account of the FBI, the thousands of documents seized that day were put into a depot