
Profile: Lord William Rees-Mogg

His British lordship spills the beans

by Scott Thompson

Lord William Rees-Mogg, who was created Baron of Hinton Blewitt in the County of Avon in 1988, is a principal spokesman for the British monarchy and its elite Club of the Isles apparatus. A Peer for life, Rees-Mogg has since the 1950s been entrusted with a leading role in the chief British propaganda organs: the *Financial Times*, the *Times*, and the British Broadcasting Corp. Oddly enough, this pin-striped aristocrat also has sunk very deep roots into populist networks in the United States, through his partnership with James Dale Davidson of the National Taxpayers Union. The two have authored and distributed numerous propaganda tracts on behalf of the Conservative Revolution.

In a commentary in the *London Times* on Jan. 5, Lord Rees-Mogg “spilled the beans” on the Conservative Revolution’s true agenda, writing that upwards of 95% of the world’s population will be thrown on the scrapheap with the advent of the Information Revolution. That 95% of the population will barely survive as uneducated, brutish serfs, in bondage to the remaining 5%, who will form a new feudal elite. Rees-Mogg has gone so far as to project a one-man world dictatorship, where all dissidents will be “lobotomized” or killed.

On Nov. 21, 1994, Rees-Mogg, writing in the *Times*, insisted that “the right-wing Republican victory in America is sure of a popular echo in Britain.” He wrote: “The new tone of American Republican politics is certain to be heard in Britain, if only because the congressional campaign which Newt Gingrich masterminded was so successful. In politics, there is no substitute for victory. . . . In America, real social forces have produced the Republican mid-term victory. . . . A similar . . . conservatism in Britain would go beyond Thatcherism. . . . The Americans who voted the Republicans into control of the House of Representatives, believe in the Gingrich program. The ten bills to be tabled [introduced] in the first 100 days of the new Congress reflect what this public wants.”

When it comes to “winning elections,” he concluded, “it is Newt Gingrich who can do the job.”

Like the lesser ranking figures British Secret Intelligence Service asset Ambrose Evans-Pritchard and Sir Peregrine Worsthorne, Rees-Mogg has long been fostering the Whitewater plot against President Clinton. The task set by the

British oligarchy has been to create a crescendo of scandals in the British press that will spill over into the United States.

Thus, on Aug. 1, 1994, Rees-Mogg wrote in the *London Times* that Clinton will never be able to shake off the “mud, money, and blood” from his days as Arkansas governor. Rees-Mogg’s article was entitled “Big Trouble Begins in Little Rock,” and his lordship wrote that Washington is filled with gossip about a “mysterious inner secret which the White House is desperate to conceal.” This “secret” is Arkansas itself, an American state which he likens to Italy’s Palermo, the center of bloody mafia activities.

On Oct. 31, 1994, Rees-Mogg recklessly opined that the gun attack on the White House by Francisco Duran is a “Tremor of Doom in the Disunited States.”

Then, in a Dec. 5, 1994 article for the *London Times*, he promoted would-be Clinton assassin Larry Nichols, after *EIR* had exposed Nichols from a videotape of Nichols on May 11, 1994 waving a pistol and threatening President Clinton during a rally in Boulder, Colorado (see *EIR*, Dec. 2, 1994). On March 13, 1994 in the *Sunday Telegraph*, Evans-Pritchard had built up loose-cannon Nichols as a hostile witness in Whitewatergate against President Clinton. Rees-Mogg’s article continued this theme after Nichols’s death threat to the President had been exposed, calling Nichols a “definitely unfriendly witness.”

“Can Clinton survive?” his lordship asked. He concluded: “As the momentum builds, it seems unlikely that Clinton can be renominated, let alone reelected; he is not even certain to reach the end of his term of office unindicted.”

Rees-Mogg’s articles demonstrate that the Club of the Isles will do anything to eliminate President Clinton, ushering in the short-term expedient of House Speaker Newt Gingrich to dominate and dismantle Washington.

Writing off 95% of the human race

Rees-Mogg’s latest forecast, in his book *The Great Reckoning: How the World Will Change in the Depression of the 1990s*, is that a period of economic collapse and violence will usher in a new feudalism and slavery in the form of the Information Revolution. He is bold-faced in his assertions that only 5% of the population at best will be necessary as the Age of the Renaissance ends and the Information Age begins. His Jan. 5 commentary in the *London Times* was titled “It’s the Elite Who Matter—In Future Britain Must Concentrate on Educating the Top 5%, on Whose Success We Shall All Depend.” In it, he wrote:

“In some ways, Britain is better placed to compete in the information age than it was in the mass production age which is closing. The information age will be driven by communications and services, including financial services. . . . As an exporter of financial services, Britain is fully competitive with the United States and Japan, aside from the scale of their domestic capital formation, and more competitive with Germany. . . .

"The next century—like the 19th—will probably be the age of the professions, with an emphasis on rare skills, and Britain is still a professional and relatively elitist country. What has been considered our cultural backwardness may prove an advantage. . . . Britain's national interest is that the rest of the world should do its business in and through our country, but we should also be able to do our own business on the same terms. . . .

"There are fascinating implications here for educational policy, and they are highly unfashionable. The 20th-century view has been that the economics of mass production required mass education, perceived as the universal provision of modern educational skills. The 21st century will require greater emphasis on the higher skills of the ablest students. . . . *In international competition, perhaps 5% of the population will produce 80% of the national income, and the employment of the 95% will depend on the success of the few.* . . . Britain has educated for Empire, has educated for factories, and now must educate for knowledge and communications" (emphasis added).

This is one of the central features of House Speaker Gingrich's adoption of Alvin Toffler's "Third Wave"—actually feudalist—doctrine. As with the helot slaves of Sparta, the lives of this underclass will be valueless.

Doing away with the 'welfare state'

Rees-Mogg spelled out his murderous program for the United States further, in a subsection of his book *The Great Reckoning*, which he co-authored with National Taxpayers Union founder and chairman James Dale Davidson in 1991, titled "Farewell to the Welfare State." He wrote:

"Even more astonishing, given current expectations, the need to narrow a gaping deficit will result in government spending being slashed. Although few will be convinced by the arguments we spelled out earlier about the need to curtail income redistribution and reduce pauperism, circumstances will force the hand of authorities. Programs will be cut sharply or even abolished. . . .

"Public schools will be largely privatized by the year 2000. The fall of real estate prices will remove the financial advantage that contributed to support public schools by many upper-middle-class families. . . . Falling property prices make private schools and public school choice more attractive. . . . With educational results steadily deteriorating, public schools are unlikely to survive the trauma of depression in their current, costly form. . . . There will be a tremendous growth in private educational services, including for-profit and non-profit schools. . . .

"Therefore, it is more likely than most people now imagine that public schools in the United States will more or less disappear in the coming decade. Educational entrepreneurs will enjoy a rare opportunity to compete in providing effective elementary and secondary education to children whose parents will be able to spend vouchers."

According to Rees-Mogg, the "welfare state" was never more than a shakedown of the middle class by the underclass using the threat of violence. As he wrote in a chapter of *The Great Reckoning* titled "Drugs, Delusions, and the Imperial Culture of the Slums":

"The culture of the slums has become an imperial culture. . . . The underclass has gone from a tiny subculture in inner cities during the 1960s to become a dominant culture in many urban areas today. The rapid growth of the underclass has meant a dramatic increase in violence, drug addiction, and social disintegration among blacks."

As we shall see, although Rees-Mogg is a student of the "raw power" of violence, he is also a British bullyboy determined to suppress what he chooses to call "the underclass."

'Blood in the Streets'

Lord Rees-Mogg's first book with James Dale Davidson, appearing in 1987, *Blood in the Streets: Investment Profits in a World Gone Mad*, got its title from Baron Nathan Rothschild's maxim, "The time to buy is when blood is running in the streets." This refers to Baron Rothschild's coup, when, after helping depress the British stock market, he made a fortune by cleverly obtaining the first knowledge that Napo-

Kissinger's alliance with the British

Ironically, the American populists who so admire Lord Rees-Mogg, and are aiding and abetting the British assault on the White House, tend to strongly dislike his lordship's transatlantic co-thinker Henry Kissinger. They think of Kissinger as a Rockefeller stooge and a "liberal." But the fact is, Kissinger and Rees-Mogg are two sides of the same British coin: Both hate the republican values embedded in the American Constitution. As Kissinger has averred, he was always a British agent-of-influence, pursuing the policies of the arch-Conservative Revolutionary, Prince Klemens von Metternich.

Kissinger revealed his British agency on May 10, 1982 in a speech at the Royal Institute for International



leon had been defeated at Waterloo.

Rees-Mogg analyzes all situations according to a method he calls "Megapolitics," which is "the comparison of raw power." He has divided the 2,000 years since the death of Christ into four cycles of 500 years each, at the end of which the balance of "raw power" has shifted. This is one reason why he projects the end of the 500-year Age of the Renaissance cycle of history, which he otherwise refers to as the "Gunpowder Revolution." For Rees-Mogg, "the state of nature" upon which Megapolitics is based is equivalent with the Enlightenment philosophers' view that "the ultimate law is the law of the jungle. The law of the desert. The law of the dark alley in the inner city. It is the law that says that what is yours by right and justice is yours only so long as you—or someone—can protect it."

Applauding the bullyboy nature of the British Empire, is his descriptive account from Winston Churchill of the battle of Omdurman in 1898. At that battle, recounted in *Blood in the Streets*, the British reduced 40,000 Dervishes to bleeding "tangled heaps" by firing on them from the safety of their ships. As Churchill relished the massacre:

"At the critical moment the gun boat arrived on the scene and began suddenly to blaze and flame from Maxim guns, quick-firing guns and rifles. The range was short; the effect

tremendous. The terrible machine, floating gracefully on the waters—a beautiful white devil—wreathed itself in smoke. The river slopes of the Kerreri Hills, crowded with advancing thousands, sprang up into clouds of dust and splinters of rock. The charging Dervishes sank down in tangled heaps. . . . The infantry fired steadily and stolidly, without hurry or excitement, for the enemy were far away and the officers careful. Besides, the soldiers were interested in the work and took great pains. But presently the mere physical act became tedious. . . . And all the time out on the plain on the other side bullets were shearing through flesh, smashing and splintering bone; blood spouted from terrible wounds; valiant men were struggling on through a hell of whistling metal, exploding shells, and spurting dust—suffering, despairing, dying."

Information Revolution means slavery

The next cycle of history, according to Rees-Mogg, as Gingrich's guru Alvin Toffler is quick to affirm, will be one where man will create machines capable of Artificial Intelligence, causing an Information Revolution. It is impossible, as Lyndon LaRouche has demonstrated, for a machine to think. Despite the impossibility of his utopian scheme, highlights of Rees-Mogg's "New Age" science fiction from *The Great Reckoning* are worth noting, for policy reasons

Affairs (Chatham House), titled "Reflections on a Partnership: British and American Attitudes to Postwar Foreign Policy." In that speech on the bicentennial of the Office of Foreign Secretary, Kissinger admitted:

"Our postwar diplomatic history is littered with Anglo-American 'arrangements' and 'understandings,' sometimes on crucial issues, never put into formal documents. . . . In my White House reincarnation then, I kept the British Foreign Office better informed and more closely engaged than I did the American State Department. . . . It was symptomatic."

In the same speech, Kissinger made clear his preference for Sir Winston Churchill's nineteenth-century colonial methods, over the policies of President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, who had stated his intention to roll back neo-colonialism, founding nations on the model of the American Revolution. Stated Kissinger at Chatham House, after reviewing Churchill's drive for America to use its postwar nuclear monopoly for a "final settlement" with the Soviet Union:

"Americans from Franklin Roosevelt onward believed that the United States, with its 'revolutionary' heritage, was the natural ally of peoples struggling against colonialism; we could win the allegiance of these new nations by opposing and occasionally undermining our European allies in areas of their colonial dominance. Churchill, of

course, resisted these American pressures."

Kissinger rejected Roosevelt's belief in the self-determination of the colonies of the European powers, criticizing President Eisenhower's unwillingness to side with the British after they had fomented the crisis at Suez. One of the major points of Kissinger's Chatham House speech—which was delivered at the time of Britain's Malvinas War against Argentina—is that America must never again be allowed to abandon a European adventure in the Third World.

Kissinger's treasonous alliance with the British has its root at Harvard University, where Kissinger was an assistant to British Round Table operative and Secret Intelligence Service asset William Yandell Elliott. Under Elliott's tutelage he wrote a thesis on Metternich, which was published in 1954. *A World Restored: Metternich, Castlereagh and the Problems of Peace 1812-1822* is a venomous attack upon the American republican "revolutionary" tradition that Kissinger found so objectionable as Franklin D. Roosevelt.

Replacing republicanism is "stability," in Kissinger's world view; replacing human freedom, in the sense meant by the Preamble to the U.S. Constitution, is oligarchical power, and replacing the self-determination of nations is the "balance of power," which Kissinger states that Castlereagh advocated and Prince Metternich legitimized.

that underlie the Conservative Revolution:

“Like all true revolutions, the Information Revolution is also a revolution of power. Miniaturized technologies miniaturize institutions. In time, the microchip will destroy the nation-state. It will give small groups and even individuals the capacity to employ violence in ways that could overturn governments and destroy large organizations. . . .

“Over the longer term, the megapolitical impact of micro-technologies will be devolutionary in the extreme. . . . So the Information Revolution will overturn the modern economy whose borders are policed by the gun.

“Advances in miniaturization and computer technology not only imply the replacement of raw materials with information, they also promise in time to give humans control of nature at the molecular level. . . . As specific genes that control human development are identified . . . genetic engineering on this scale also allows the development of new and more deadly biological weapons. . . .

“Molecular computers would make possible the construction of numerically controlled assemblers for manipulating matter at the atomic level—what is known as nanotechnology. . . . Invisible machines programmed through Artificial Intelligence could literally force anyone to behave any way the ultimate programmer wished. . . . You could have robots with human characteristics collecting garbage. Or humans with robot characteristics. They could be programmed to love garbage and derive great happiness from dusting antique window sills. . . . Slavery could return. . . . Slaves will be anyone without control of nanotechnology, and they will do anything that might have been asked by Aladdin when he rubbed his lamp.”

This is the fate that Lord Rees-Mogg envisions for the vast majority, with the Information Revolution: a return to “electronic feudalism” and “slavery.”

Rees-Mogg: ‘not a good Christian’

Who is William Rees-Mogg, anyway? First, he is a traitor to his Welsh Catholic roots and to the Christian values that the family of his deceased wife Gillian tried to instill in him. In his first semi-autobiographical book of 1977, titled *An Humbler Heaven, The Beginnings of Hope*, he admits with typical British understatement that he is “not a good Christian.” Born on July 14, 1928 in Temple Cloud, Somerset, he attended Charterhouse public school, then Balliol College, Oxford. He was president of the Oxford Union in 1951. After working at the *Financial Times* from 1952-60, he got a job with the London *Sunday Times* in 1960 and worked his way up. From 1967-81, he was editor of the *Times*, and he has been a columnist with that newspaper since 1992. Among his jobs in the interim period was vice-chairman of the Board of Governors of the British Broadcasting Corp. (1981-86), and vice-chairman, Board of Standards Council (1988-93).

He has long been a Conservative Party member, having

contested as a Tory candidate in Chester-le-Street County Durham by-election in 1956 and again unsuccessfully in the general election of 1959. He was vice chairman of the Conservative Party’s National Advisory Committee on Political Education from 1961 to 1963. He was a very close friend and adviser of Margaret Thatcher when she was prime minister, and she rewarded him by placing his name on the Civil List for a Knighthood in 1981.

Rees-Mogg has been a director of numerous companies. Since 1981, he has been a director of the General Electric Co., in which Queen Elizabeth has a large holding, and whose former chairman, Lord Peter Rupert Carrington, was a co-founder and British controller of Kissinger Associates, Inc. GEC was a cash cow for the Conservative Party during Thatcher’s years as prime minister. Since 1988, Rees-Mogg has been a director of J. Rothschild Holdings, which is the holding company for the junk bond buyout and derivatives speculation of Baron Jacob Rothschild.

It may therefore not be surprising that Baron Rothschild’s cousin and oft-time business partner, Sir James Goldsmith, financed Rees-Mogg’s unsuccessful legal efforts to block the Maastricht Treaty from being adopted in Britain in 1993. Even more importantly, Jimmy Goldsmith and Rees-Mogg pledged to block former European Commission President Jacques Delors from implementing his “White Paper” for European high-speed rail and other infrastructure development. The Delors plan reflected the “Productive Triangle” proposal for Eurasian integration put forward in 1989 by Lyndon LaRouche. Goldsmith and Rees-Mogg pledged in June 1994 to form an alliance of environmentalists and bankers that would stop subsidies of energy and infrastructure projects.

That is the same argument advanced in the *Green Scissors* report issued by the NTU’s James Dale Davidson (see dossier elsewhere in this package), whose outfit itself has gone increasingly green. Davidson, an American, attended Pembroke College, Oxford. He and Rees-Mogg not only co-authored *Blood in the Streets* and *The Great Reckoning*, but they also co-edit a newsletter called *Strategic Investment*, which peddles “investment opportunities” based on the impending crash, while trashing real solutions like the Delors plan and such high-tech infrastructure programs as Tennessee’s Clinch River fast breeder nuclear reactor, which Davidson’s NTU helped scuttle.

Strategic Investment claims to have a network of intelligence sources that makes it an “investor’s CIA.” The monthly newsletter has been churning out a steady stream of “Get Clinton” propaganda for the past year, even though Davidson advertises himself as a former friend and adviser to the President. Davidson is also a principal of Strategic Advisors Corp., which is tied in with a network of onshore and offshore money management firms that are preparing to cash in on the coming financial collapse by buying cheap when there is “blood in the streets.”