

Editorial

Lame Ducks and LaRouche

Once again the American electorate is being forcefully and pungently reminded how stupid they were in the year 2000 Presidential campaign, and how they are paying for it. With Lyndon LaRouche's international webcast from Washington, D.C., upcoming on May 1, they will be reminded as well, that they had an alternative leadership, which they were fools not to take.

On one hand is the President, blundering "like a Cyclops" among the conflicting interests of his administration, which share only the fact that they have no notion of the General Welfare principle for the United States or any other nation, and no strategic assessment of events in the world based on reality. The Mideast crisis has spun out of President Bush's control; he sent his Secretary of State on a hopeless mission with no backing, while all Americans had painfully to witness that Bush has no idea what he is doing in this crisis. As LaRouche observed, Bush, in his pronouncements on the Mideast, has been palpably "the victim of tortures executed by an evil teleprompter," the mere manipulated observer of the escalating war crimes carried out by the forces of his "man of peace," Ariel Sharon, and the Clash of Civilizations gang that backs him; equally the victim of the obsession to re-elect his brother as Florida governor.

The President doesn't realize that the majority of Americans would back him, as they backed President Eisenhower in the election year 1956, if he acted firmly to stay Sharon's murderous arm. The behavior of Bush's government toward Venezuela and Colombia has shown the same complete confusion; nor has he any idea what to do about the next looming stages of economic decline.

The question that must be asked is, "Is Bush already a lame-duck President." If you don't ask that question, LaRouche says, every answer you come up with will be wrong.

On the other hand, we suffered the spectacle of Al Gore, the Born-again Loser, re-emerging in Florida doing a disjointed war-dance against the Bush family, but

actually contriving only to make the smarter and more bloodthirsty Joseph Lieberman look Presidential by comparison. The game of the Brzezinski global war faction is now to dupe Americans into proceeding from the awful non-choices of the 2000 Presidential nominations—including the President—into giving early backing to the worse-than-nothings of a Lieberman-McCain, utopian war candidacy. Gore is simply the clown-act, the empty-headed, babbling fat fool, being used to herd the lunatic masses into "preferring" a Lieberman-McCain who want a state of permanent war against a billion Muslims.

Once again, Americans are reminded that they agreed to choose, and even to enthuse, between two manifestly unqualified candidates for President in 2000, while LaRouche, who told the truth about the economy and the two front-running losers, was barred from debates and TV coverage; they even agreed to shut up while Gore-Lieberman stole LaRouche's delegates in Arkansas, Michigan, and elsewhere, and tore up the Voting Rights Act in doing it.

With the complicity of President Clinton in agreeing, during 1994-96, to the free-trade and globalization corruption of the Democratic Party, the stage was set for the pre-rigged nomination of two unqualified candidates sent to the polls in November 2000. Either way, the United States would have no real President come 2001. That is the way the Establishment wished it, and that is the way things are today.

As in previous times in American history when the leadership of both parties had become corrupted by more powerful, dangerous forces, an extraordinary leader has to bridge the best remaining in both parties, together with independent forces, and bring them together around real leadership for the Common Good. LaRouche is seen as that leader in many nations today, as for example in the virtual non-stop, major coverage being given to his views and efforts, throughout the Arab world and elsewhere. His May 1 webcast will be the next crucial step in rallying those leaders.