

was scheduled for the next day. President Bush's chief political strategist, Karl Rove, had been "all over" the Louisiana races, arranging three visits to the state by President Bush, separate visits by former First Lady Barbara Bush and former President George H.W. Bush, and campaign appearances by Vice President Dick Cheney and National Security Adviser Condoleezza Rice. Rove hoped to defeat incumbent Democratic Sen. Mary Landrieu, by throwing obvious top-down White House support to her Republican challenger, Suzie Terrell.

But in the final weeks of the campaign, Landrieu broke decisively from the losing strategy of Connecticut Sen. Joe Lieberman's Democratic Leadership Council (DLC) gang, and launched a sharp attack against the Bush Administration's free trade policies. Landrieu warned that Bush's pushing of NAFTA would ruin Louisiana's sugar cane industry, by flooding the United States with cheap Mexican products.

On Election Day, not only did Landrieu soundly defeat Terrell by 52-48%—due to a large African-American and blue-collar turnout, catalyzed by her "fair trade" stance—but a more decisive Democratic upset took place. Rodney Alexander, the Democratic runoff candidate for the 5th Congressional District seat in northeast Louisiana, won a strong victory in a traditionally Republican district, by running an even more aggressive campaign against free trade. At a campaign event also attended by Landrieu, Alexander publicly credited longtime LaRouche Democrat and state party official Fred Huenefeld with winning him over to the anti-free trade stance.

The *New Orleans Times-Picayune* of Dec. 9 interviewed leading African-American Democrat, State Sen. Cleo Fields, who had been a critic of Landrieu's earlier kowtowing to President Bush, but had helped turn out the vote in the Dec. 7 runoff: "All that talk about support for the President turned my stomach," he told reporters Bill Walsh and Bruce Alpert. "To her credit, she changed all that in the runoff and worked hard to distinguish herself from the Republicans." The *Times-Picayune* singled out Landrieu's attacks on NAFTA and the threat of sugar dumping, as the decisive issue that drew crucial votes from "blue collar workers" who had formerly been "skeptical of her candidacy—when she touted her support for Bush."

Such political temperature readings were not lost on the campaign strategists at the White House, who were well aware of the pending turn of events in Louisiana, on Dec. 4, when they made their final decisions on the purge.

One other development had also caught the strategy team's attention. On Dec. 3, the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, an institution founded in 1780 by John Adams, the second President of the United States, issued a report, "War With Iraq: Costs, Consequences and Alternative," which placed the cost, to the United States, of an Iraq war, at between \$99 billion and \$1.9 trillion over the next decade.

In 2004, Will There Be A Democratic Party?

by Nancy Spannaus

A loyal Democrat whom I recently met told me about his reaction to the recent behavior of the official Democratic Party leadership. First, after the Democrats refused to support Sen. Robert Byrd (W.V.) in his threatened filibuster against the war resolution, this Democrat telegraphed Senate Democratic leader Tom Daschle, saying that the party's behavior made a good case for a third party. Then, after the Nov. 5 elections, he telegraphed Senator Daschle again, saying the party's behavior made a good case for a *two*-party system. In other words, when will the Democratic Party cease acting like the slightly less opulent version of the Republicans?

So far, there is no sign on the horizon that such leadership exists *within* the normal party confines. Lyndon LaRouche, the leading rallying point for the FDR tradition internationally, and the only credible Democratic leader in sight on the crucial issues of the economy and war, is still not even being included in party polls, and drives terror into the hearts of party officialdom.

Around the country, some Democratic parties are holding meetings to "assess" where they stand after the recent elections. Where LaRouche organizers, many of them youth, have intervened, it is clear that there is demoralization and dismay. At a meeting of the Oakland County Democrats in Michigan, for example, leading Democratic officeholders attended, including Rep. Sandy Levin. But, rather than giving the 125 people who showed up a perspective on how to get through the current crisis (which the recently elected Democratic Governor is going to have to deal with), the meeting was turned over to a "facilitator," and broken up into "small discussion groups," to come up with a list of suggestions.

At some of these meetings, the lack of leadership is actually a topic for discussion—but, without any ideas being put forward, that discussion only creates more despair. Such was the case at the meeting of the King County (Seattle) Democratic Central Committee meeting Nov. 30, where, despite the appeal by a party candidate for Governor, for regaining the soul of the party by telling the truth, a presentation of the truth from, and about, LaRouche—his forecasts of the present economic collapse, and his leadership initiative of a "Super-TVA" program for recovery—was met with a generally sullen response!

The core of the party base knows that its current approach is losing, but is still clinging so hard to "public opinion" that it will not bring in LaRouche.

Meanwhile, the Democratic Leadership Council (or Dem-

ocratic Losership Council, more appropriately), which has been the prime mover behind the destruction of the party—epitomized again in the “Typhoid Mary” role of its leader, Sen. Joseph Lieberman (Conn.) in bringing defeat to Democratic Senate candidates on Nov. 5—continues to insist that the party go with the same *ersatz* Republican politics, but more so.

An op-ed by Al From, DLC chairman, pretty much tells the story. First published in the *Wall Street Journal*, the column was entitled “Get the Big Things Right.” Remarkable, was that From didn’t actually seem to realize that the defining factor in the political environment is the dramatic economic collapse, the joblessness, and the budget disasters crushing the states and stalking the Federal government’s budget. Apparently, he didn’t find a poll about these things, so he doesn’t think they exist.

So, From was still talking about recapturing “the vital center.” In breaking down the steps which he thought would take the Democrats there, he basically told the party to chase after Republicans. To wit: “There are [also] more conservatives than Democrats or Republicans, more suburbanites than big city dwellers, more whites than minorities, more non-union workers than union workers.”

So, went From’s conclusion, appeal to these guys and their “interests”: the very same strategy that has been leading to disaster.

The prominent play being given to the “comeback” of Al Gore, a leading light of the DLC, does everything to reinforce this climate. Even the polls show Gore to be the last person most Democrats want as a candidate in 2004; and, according to *Washington Post* columnist Richard Cohen, the *New York Times* reports that Gore’s favorable ratings are among the worst that newspaper has ever recorded since it started taking such polls in 1987.

Signs of a Shift?

The only signs of a shift to sanity, so far, are baby-steps away from the DLC’s dominant and disastrous policies. Senator Daschle has appointed non-DLCers Jon Corzine (N.J.) and Debbie Stabenow (Mich.) as heads of the Senate Democratic Campaign Committee, for example. The progressive caucus in the Congress has launched a campaign to extend unemployment insurance, and to extend new “revenue-sharing” help to the states. And just-announced Presidential candidate Sen. John Kerry (Mass.), while still paying lip service to the 1990s New Economy Bubble years, included in his recent Cleveland speech, a call for building high-speed rail, as well as other infrastructure.

Some political insiders report that the party leadership actually wants to sit out the 2004 elections, hoping that the deepening depression collapse (and perhaps war) will ruin the future prospects of the victorious Republicans for a long time to come! Such a “strategy,” as LaRouche pointed out recently, is the way in which nations find themselves walking into the establishment of political dictatorships.

U.S. Postal Service
STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP, MANAGEMENT
AND CIRCULATION
Required by 39 U.S.C. 3685

1. *Publication Title:* EIR
2. *Publication No.:* 0273-6314
3. *Filing Date:* December 6, 2002
4. *Issue Frequency:* Weekly except for the second week of July and the last week of December
5. *No. of Issues Published Annually:* 50
6. *Annual Subscription Price:* \$396
7. *Complete Mailing Address of Known Office of Publication:* EIR News Service, Inc., 317 Pennsylvania Ave, SE, 2nd Fl, Washington, D.C. 20003-1148
Contact Person: Stanley Ezrol; Telephone: 703-777-9451, x362
8. *Complete Mailing Address of the Headquarters or General Business Offices of Publisher:* EIR News Service, Inc., POB 17390, Washington, DC 20041-0390
9. *Full Names and Complete Addresses of Publisher, Editor, and Managing Editor:* Publisher: EIR News Service, Inc.; POB 17390; Washington, D.C. 20041-0390
Editor: Paul Gallagher, POB 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390
Managing Editor: John Sigerson, POB 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390
10. *Owner:* EIR News Service, Inc., POB 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390
Marjorie Hecht, POB 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390
Nancy B. Spannaus, POB 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390
Webster G. Tarpley, POB 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390
11. *Known Bondholders, Mortgagees, and Other Security Holders Owning or Holding 1 Percent or More of Total Amount of Bonds, Mortgages, or Other Securities:* None.
12. *Tax Status:* For completion by nonprofit organizations authorized to mail at special rates: Has Not Changed During Preceding 12 Months.
13. *Publication Title:* EIR
14. *Issue Date for Circulation Data Below:* 12/6/02
15. *Extent and Nature of Circulation*

	Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months	No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date
a. Total No. Copies	8,248	7,200
b. Paid and/or Requested Circulation		
(1) Paid/Requested Outside-County Mail Subscriptions Stated on Form 3541.	0	0
(2) Paid In-County Subscriptions Stated on Form 3541	0	0
(3) Sales Through Dealers and Carriers, Street Vendors, Counter Sales, and Other Non-USPS Paid Distribution	4,213	4,190
(4) Other Classes Mailed Through the USPS	0	0
c. Total Paid and/or Requested Circulation	4,213	4,190
d. Free Distribution by Mail		
(1) Outside-County as Stated on Form 3541	0	0
(2) In-County as Stated on Form 3541	0	0
(3) Other Classes Mailed Through the USPS	0	0
e. Free Distribution Outside the Mail	2,581	2,688
f. Total Free Distribution	2,581	2,688
g. Total Distribution	6,794	6,878
h. Copies Not Distributed	1,454	322
i. Total	8,248	
Percent Paid and/or Requested Circulation	62	61

16. Publication of Statement of Ownership. Publication required. Will be printed in the Dec. 20 issue of this publication.

17. *Signature and Title of Editor, Publisher, Business Manager, or Owner:*
Jeffrey Steinberg, President 12/6/02

I certify that all information furnished on this form is true and complete. I understand that anyone who furnishes false or misleading information on this form or who omits material or information requested on the form may be subject to criminal sanctions (including fines and imprisonment) and/or civil sanctions (including civil penalties).