

# Allard's Hoax on the Subject of LaRouche

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

This report refers to the report recently issued by Jean-Guy Allard. It is self-identified by the publisher as "Spying on Cuba and Venezuela: a relic from the Reagan era" by Jean-Guy Allard—Special for Granma International."

I list what are intended by Allard as the defamatory allegations against me uttered within a report published under the by-line of the said Jean-Guy Allard, a report on the subject of U.S. intelligence community figure Norman Bailey.

That report was based on widely misrepresented facts and collateral false and otherwise misleading information concerning my relationship with Norman Bailey during the years 1982-83, when I encountered him as one of several officials of the U.S. National Security Council with whom I had frequent contact during my part in the back-channel discussions conducted by me with the Soviet government. I had been the designer of a proposed new policy of relations between the U.S. and Soviet governments, and was conducting discussions with both the Soviet official channels and advising relevant specialists on matters pertaining to the design of the implementation of the proposed agreement.

These discussions were initiated during the period of the interim Soviet government, preceding the selection of Yuri Andropov, and continued during the period of Andropov's incumbency, until February 1983. The project continued through President Reagan's March 23, 1983 public appeal to the Soviet government to explore openly what I had proposed.

The President's adoption of the proposal he presented in the March television broadcast reflected the President's long-standing, strong hostility to the policies and role of Henry A. Kissinger, a matter in which my commitments and the President's coincided.

Norman Bailey had been assigned by his superiors to deal with the matter of arranging financing policy for the implementation of President Reagan's proposal.

The relationship with Bailey ended when the project was shut down at the close of 1983. There was, however, a spill-over of that relationship when I came under heavy attack by the right-wing section of the U.S. intelligence community during early 1984, attacks which had been launched through Wall Street circles associated with the former associate of the Anglo-French-U.S. intelligence operation *Paris Review*, John Train. Bailey was approached by an NBC-TV unit conducting a defamation operation against me under the guidance of John Train. The remarks on me cited by Jean-Guy Allard



*Norman Bailey was one of several officials of the U.S. National Security Council with whom LaRouche had contact during the 1980s, while LaRouche conducted back-channel discussions with the Soviet government. Bailey never claimed to be a "buddy" of LaRouche, as Allard claims in his defamatory allegations.*

are taken chiefly from the defamatory broadcast either produced by NBC-TV's "First Camera" feature, or as press utterances generated in the same ambience.

I list, and comment upon, relevant citations from Allard's piece, as follows:

**Item 1:** "He confesses to being a buddy of Lyndon LaRouche, the controversial ultra right-wing U.S. politician": Bailey never "confessed" or "claimed to be" a "buddy" of mine.

**Item 2:** "But the thing that stands out most on his resumé is his confessed friendship with Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., former presidential candidate and prominent member of the far right in the U.S., who runs an intelligence network, the breadth and efficiency of which he has publicly praised."

I have never been a member of the far right, and have been consistently regarded as an enemy of the U.S. far right by relevant agencies and right-wing organizations since I returned to the U.S.A. from military service in Burma and India, in Spring 1946. I have developed an excellent intelligence service, which publishes a weekly journal, *Executive Intelligence Review*, and it has been highly regarded for the quality of our product by sundry agencies in many parts of the world.

**Item 3:** "Further still, Bailey is the man LaRouche used to get inside the White House, shortly after which the spy-official was appointed to the National Security Council (NSC)."

That statement by Allard is completely fraudulent. My access to the Reagan White House was an outgrowth of a personal acquaintance with the President during the 1980 Presidential primary campaign. My access to the White House was through many circles of much higher rank than Bailey in several nations.

**Item 4:** “Bailey himself has said that at some point he was then directed by NSC officials to talk to a group of LaRouche’s supporters, who offered to provide intelligence information.”

This, if Bailey actually said something like that, is wildly misleading. Some of my associates were assigned to assist in liaison with assigned persons in the National Security Council, during 1982-1983, among whom one, deployed under my supervision, was Bailey.

**Item 5:** “Since then, he has maintained wide-ranging and regular relations with the group and its boss who even visited his exclusive ranch in Loudoun County.”

This is a complete lie, for which Allard is implicitly responsible.

**Item 6:** “LaRouche’s enemies describe him as ‘anti-Semitic’ with Hitler-like tendencies, at the head of an occult sect.”

This is said only through the channels still associated with right-wing banker John Train, who played a leading role in setting up a covert-intelligence operation against me, beginning May 1983.

The allegations of such types are wild falsehoods, and emanate only at the instigation of very dirty intelligence operations, such as those of Train.

**Item 7:** “In his apology for that controversial organization, Bailey stated that it was one of the best intelligence services in the world which operates more freely and openly than the official agencies, which allows them to communicate with ‘prime ministers and presidents’.”

This opinion was shared among many international institutions and leading specialists during the 1980s, and is viewed with similar respect in many important institutions around much of the world still today.

**In conclusion:** I hope that no one was careless enough to pay Jean-Guy Allard for a product of this quality. Any respectable news publication would summarily fire him if it were caught purveying garbage of this sort as actual intelligence work.

**HOTLINE**

LaRouche and EIR Staff  
Recorded Briefings  
—24 Hours Daily  
**918-222-7201, Box 595**

---

## A Weird Case from Berlin

---

# The Age of the Marionettes

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

December 8, 2006

I have just received a copy of a weird item written in Berlin. The author of that piece shall be identified only as a nameless German of the grim species which the French call “BoBos.” His name shall thus remain, in the Biblical sense, “Legion.” He is very grim, gruff, given to explosive fits of rage, and, given the opportunity, cruel. Like a real-life Jekyll and Hyde personality, he is sometimes intelligent, but known by some relevant persons on both sides of the Atlantic, as also brutishly immoral in dealing with any vulnerable person he has chosen as a suitable target of his venomous intent to destroy yet another young citizen. He is, therefore, of a type not to be trusted with any position of authority over other persons. He is, in short, a thug.

In the concluding paragraph of the written item to which I refer, he launches a savage, threatening attack on what he alleges are the tyrannical tendencies of young adults, a species which he implicitly dedicates himself to destroying. Pity the poor young adult (or even women of his own generation) who falls undefended within the intended sweep of his murderous paws!

There are other persons, as in Hannover and Erbenheim, typical of the same moral defect; but, I focus on his case here. I choose that anonymous representative of the class of “Legion” as my implied subject here, because having a specific case or two of a certain type in mind, has the same function as a hunter’s aiming at a specific duck in a flock. Aim at the flock, you may bring down none, or, perhaps, like Dick Cheney, the man behind you; aim at one, and you may gain a day’s harvest.

Speaking strictly in terms of sociology, rather than merely age-interval as such, what the French call “BoBos” are, functionally, a sociological type, rather than an age-group as such. Like the unnamed subject of reference in this report, they are associated with the “68ers,” and are concentrated, in the Americas and in western and central Europe, within implicitly university-bound social strata born between approximately 1945 and 1956.

In the U.S.A., for example, these 68ers hated “blue collar” workers, modern family farming, and technological progress. In Europe, they were associated with the influence of the Congress for Cultural Freedom and the German and