

It's the British, Stupid!

Reports coming into the LaRouche movement make it perfectly clear that there is a concerted mobilization going on, worldwide and in the United States, to prevent the potentially imminent outbreak of World War III. The question is, will those attempting to stop the war hit the right target, and in time?

Most useful, of course, is the forthright approach of the Russian government, and associated, if retired, military officials. As you can read in this issue, President Medvedev and numerous high-ranking military men have minced no words in drawing the line against NATO/U.S. moves toward general war, whether they come in the form of attacks on Syria and/or Iran, or in response to the creation of ABM systems near Russia's borders, which threaten Russia's security.

The fact that you have read virtually nothing in the U.S. press, nor seen anything in the electronic media, about the Russian response to overt U.S./NATO provocations, should not reassure you. There are physical deployments going on, not just statements being made. The silence from the U.S. means that we are actually operating under the "fog of war."

Why, for example, has President Obama not provided any response to President Medvedev's special Nov. 23 address, in which he extended a hand for renewed dialogue on the question of strategic defense? Why has Obama continued on a course of provocative sanctions against Iran and Syria, when the Russians have put alternative proposals on the table, which would avoid the course toward conflict?

And what is happening in the U.S., in addition to the LaRouche movement's activities, to prevent war? A senior U.S. intelligence source on Nov. 28 stated that the Joint Chiefs of Staff are on an all-

out war-avoidance mobilization, fearing that President Obama is—to use a euphemism—"unpredictable." The source emphasized that, as long as the Iran situation remains in limbo, the military brass will oppose any other military operations, including against Syria.

What they fear the most, given the Obama wild card, is that they will be drawn into a war that they vehemently oppose, as the result of an Israeli attack against Iran, followed by Iranian retaliation against Israel and U.S. forces and allies in the Persian Gulf. The United States has bilateral treaty obligations with several of the Gulf Cooperation Council member countries, which would be activated in the event of any Iranian attack against them.

But, think it through. How *can* the insane Obama be stopped from going through with the provocations which could easily lead to thermo-nuclear World War III? Senior military sources have already reported to *EIR* that Obama has turned down their request that he "read the riot act" to Israel, to prevent it from carrying out an attack on Iran's nuclear facility. But indeed, even if Obama had agreed, there is absolutely no certainty that he would not "change his mind," should his British masters give the relevant orders.

Why? Number one, because Obama is a lunatic, narcissistic personality who is not governed by reason, but by an insane desire to act like a modern-day Nero. And, number two, Obama is a British puppet. As Lyndon LaRouche has stressed, *the decision to go to war will not be made by Obama, but in London.*

So, it's time to take the Queen's finger off the nuclear button! And the only way that can be done is to remove Obama from office by the 25th Amendment, impeachment, or both.