

Defend Mankind from the Satanic Climate-Change Swindle

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Exactly 70 years after the end of the Nazi dictatorship, preparations are underway—largely unnoticed or misinterpreted by unsuspecting contemporaries—for the establishment of a fascist world government which would exceed Hitler’s most audacious dreams. In place of the discredited doctrine of eugenics, which provided the pretense then for the elimination of so-called “inferior races,” today it is the swindle of alleged anthropogenic climate change which supplies the argumentation to establish a global eco-dictatorship whose results, and whose declared intention is to eliminate six billion human beings—if it is not stopped.

On November 30-December 11 of this year, the COP21/CMP11 Climate Summit will take place in Paris, also called “Paris 2015.” There a binding international convention is supposed to be concluded, obligating every nation in the world to agree to so-called climate goals in order to hold global warming to less than two degrees Celsius. This largest diplomatic conference ever to have taken place in Paris, a mammoth spectacle with about 40,000 participants, is supposed to represent the crowning conclusion to an unprecedented series of dozens of international conferences all during this year on the subject of anthropogenic climate change, aiming to get the agreement of political leaders, industry representatives, union leaders, religious representatives, and social groups of all kinds to this oncoming social contract.

Although this theory, spread with an unprecedented expenditure of propaganda, has by now been swallowed by many credulous people, it is in no way “established science,” but rather very “old wine in new wine-skins”; namely, the attempt to establish a world government through which to eliminate national sovereignty and thereby the possibility of individuals to participate in government through a representative system. In its place is intended to be a kind of modern feudal

oligarchy, in which the club of billionaires and millionaires live in gross luxury while the mass of the population is to be left in backwardness with sharply reduced living standards, lower life expectancies, and reduced cognitive capabilities.

The means to this end is the scare campaign around man-made climate change, which is supposed to induce people voluntarily to do without virtually all the achievements of material and social progress through industrialization. The goal of such an eco-dictatorship is the “great transformation of the world economy” to the exclusive use of so-called renewable energy sources, and thus the decarbonization of the economy, in which both nuclear energy and fossil fuels disappear as rapidly as possible.

The proof offered for anthropogenic climate change consists of pre-fixed computer models, in which the desired result is determined in advance, and segments of historical climate data are selected in such a manner that they appear to demonstrate the effect of so-called greenhouse gases caused by mankind’s industrial production and agriculture. But numerous scientists have demonstrated that this game of selecting climate data is entirely willful and staged for this purpose, and that computer-based scenarios are being consciously given out as “scientific” prognoses. There are many studies which show this fakery, and make clear that the man-made portion of the CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere is negligibly low, namely 0.018%; but more importantly, that the connection between CO₂ emissions and climate change is unproven, and thus that the entire argument is based on a spectacular swindle (see Section II of this Special Report).

Driving Energy Technology Down

If one looks at the Earth’s climate over the period of millions of years, the changes from warming periods, ice ages, interglacial periods, little ice ages, rewarming



sustainability2009.commerzbank.com

An academic directly deployed by the British Crown since 2004, Hans Joachim Schellnhuber has become the German Merkel government's, and now Pope Francis' climate guru. He asserts that "scientific consensus" puts Earth's population potential at below 1 billion people.

periods after these ice ages, etc. result from cosmic radiation in connection with our Sun's cycles of activity, for which the number of sunspots forms a measure of the Sun's energy production; changes in the characteristics of the Earth's orbit; and the changing position of the Solar System in our galaxy, to name only some of the changing parameters.

What is very well proven, by contrast to anthropogenic climate change, is the connection between the energy-flux density applied in the production process and the number of human beings which can be supported by that production process level (see Section III of this Special Report]. By the intended decarbonization of the world economy combined with simultaneous demonization of nuclear energy, thus reducing society to renewable energy sources, the potential population which can be maintained at these lower energy flux densities is also reduced, and goes roughly to that of the pre-industrial era—a maximum of one billion people.

And just such population reduction is the expressed intention of, for example, Prince Philip, whose unspeakable statement of his wish to be reborn as a deadly virus in order best to support this intention, is notorious. This is also the cynical meaning of Hans Joachim Schellnhuber, head of the Potsdam Institute for Climate Research (PIK) and lately climate advisor to Pope Francis, who—in the course of the fortunately failed Copenhagen Climate Conference of 2009—celebrated as a

"triumph of science" and proof of climate change, that planetary equilibrium required a human population of less than one billion.

Behind this lurks the old oligarchic view that the ruling elite is allowed periodically to reduce the population of slaves, helots, or the lower classes which have become too numerous, the way a herd of cattle can be culled as necessary. The idea of mankind connected with this perverse view was exactly described, for example, by Joseph de Maistre in his "Letter to a Russian Nobleman on the Spanish Inquisition." It is the arrogant proposition that only one's own upper class is possessed of essentially God-given privileges, while the mass of people can be terrorized into anxiety and fear, and thus kept under control. The entire history of imperial and colonial periods is a single history of this bestial practice, in which an upper class is viewed as a master race, whose alleged superiority must be defended in every possible way.

A more recent variant of this oligarchical tradition appeared in the form of eugenics, the theory so named by British anthropologist Francis Galton in 1883, according to which it is desirable to strive for the intended purity of this master race by the same kinds of criteria used in the breeding of horses or dogs. At the start of the 20th Century eugenics societies were organized in various European nations and in the United States, which fostered the greatest admiration for the race theory of Adolf Hitler and the National Socialists, and an entire array of whose prominent members and adherents, such as Prescott Bush or Averell Harriman, gave active financial support to the seizure of power by Hitler.

Eugenics Renamed 'Ecology'

After the "successful" application of eugenics in the holocaust operations of Tiergarten 4 and the concentration camps, this bestial method, naturally, was denounced. Julian Huxley, an open sympathizer of eugenics, wrote in 1946 in the official UN Document "UNESCO, Its Purpose and Its Philosophy": "Thus even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care, and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake, so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable." Huxley launched a campaign to replace the discredited term eugenics with the terms environmental protection, and/or ecology.

Huxley, president of the British Eugenics Society from 1959-62, worked from 1961 onward, together with Prince Philip, on the development of the World Wildlife Fund, WWF, an organization responsible for preventing innumerable infrastructure and development projects around the world, and responsible for a very large share of the misery suffered in countries of the so-called Third World. Prince Philip succeeded, through such fanatical propagandists, in getting the idea of population reduction raised to international political priority; and he pursued above all, the goal of making environmental protection acceptable to the great monotheistic religions, in whose optimistic view of mankind he saw the greatest barrier to his perfidious policies. The Biblical representation of man as the crown of Creation, as Christianity explicitly defined this, had to be replaced by any means, by the conception of human beings as mere caretakers of Nature, not occupying a pre-eminent position but on the contrary, representing a cancer threatening Nature.

As one of many propagators of this brown-green idea, Hans Joachim Schellnhuber distinguished himself, becoming titled as none other than a CBE, Commander of the Order of the British Empire, and founder and director of the Potsdam Institute for Climate Research. Moreover he is, among other things, currently co-chairman of the German government's Scientific Advisory Board on Global Environmental Change (WBGU, Wissenschaftlichen Beirat der Bundesregierung globale Umweltveränderungen), and most recently member of the Pontifical Academy of Sciences. Obscure despite his many titles, Schellnhuber suddenly gained a lamentable fame in the course of the failed Copenhagen Climate Summit in 2009, with his thesis that the carrying capacity of the Earth only allowed a human population of less than one billion.

The Queen Deploys Schellnhuber

Already in 2004 he had been designated by Queen Elizabeth II, together with Sir David King, climate advisor to the British Government and Monarchy, to be sent on a mission to the United States to convince President George W. Bush on anthropogenic climate change. This operation must have far exceeded the bounds which Bush considered acceptable, because he later complained to Tony Blair about it.

Also in 2004, Queen Elizabeth traveled to Berlin to open the German-British Climate Conference, and there granted Schellnhuber the CBE as thanks for his

services. The European Climate Foundation, an institution sponsored by hedge funds whose Board of Advisors Chairman was Schellnhuber, thereupon increased its financing of climate activists in Germany from 2007 onwards, while he simultaneously advised the EU Commission on the development of guidelines for CO₂ emissions. As an energy advisor to German Chancellor Angela Merkel, he was presumably responsible for the German exit from nuclear power after the earthquake-tsunami catastrophe of March 11, 2011 in Fukushima.

Interestingly, scarcely one month after the earthquake, on April 7, Schellnhuber's German Government Scientific Advisory Board on Global Environmental Change (WBGU) published a study with the title: "World in Change—Social Contract for a Great Transformation." This was the blunt proposal for a global eco-fascism, a Green world dictatorship in the tradition of Thomas Hobbes, H.G. Wells, and Carl Schmitt, which projected the complete "decarbonization" of the world's energy industry. This means the final elimination of nuclear fission, which is advised against; nuclear fusion, which is claimed to be eventually attainable but too complicated; and the complete abandonment of fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and natural gas by the year 2050.

The study had been six years in preparation and interestingly was designated as a "Master Plan for Social Transformation" by WBGU Chairman Schellnhuber, although it really should be called a master plan for a forced imperial consolidation or even "master plan for the collective suicide of the human race."

For Germany, then, this began its exit as a country in the world community which could contribute something significant, from a scientific standpoint, for the really existential problems of humanity. This began the willful elimination of the potential for scientific discovery of necessary knowledge, because it began to direct human and industrial resources, as well as financial means, into completely delusory fields of technology with lowered energy flux densities. Above all, the intellectual potential of students and researchers was thus absorbed into areas which ultimately represent a dead end in the development of mankind.

The study's methodological approach fully reflected the statistical linear thinking of complex computer models, as they are customarily used by systems analysts, and as we recognized it already, for example, in the Club of Rome: The computer is programmed so that the planned result is produced.

One can only recommend that all citizens read this



Twice in two years, widespread polar ice has diverted or endangered “global warming explorations” in hemispheric mid-Summer. In 2013 the Akademik Shokalskiy became frozen in Antarctic ice in December. Now the Canadian icebreaker Amundsen (above), diverted from global warming research, is back at work since July freeing vessels in Hudson’s Bay from record ice.

study for themselves (it can be found at the WGBU’s Internet site, www.wbgu.de), and not make the same mistake that was made in Germany about a certain other piece published in 1925—namely, that it was not read thoroughly or taken seriously.

The New Leviathan

What is proposed here *expressis verbis* is a “great transformation” in which production, patterns of consumption, and life styles are all to be fundamentally changed, as happened in both previous fundamental transformations in world history. These two transformations were the transition from hunting and gathering societies to the discovery and spread of agriculture and animal husbandry—the so-called “Neolithic revolution”—and the “industrial revolution,” which describes the transition from agrarian to industrial society. This time, however, the transformation is directed backwards, to a “climate-compatible and sustainable world economic order.” And this means energy flux densities which, even if the authors naturally do not say so, correspond in reality to the population potential of pre-industrial society, thus roughly 1-2 billion people. It is obvious: If the developing and so-called newly industrialized countries are subject to this eco-dictate, death rates will rise without limit.

In order to be able to create the “contractual basis” for this new sustainable world economic order, the authors bombastically demand a new “world social contract,” an idea which is expressly “linked to models in the natural law of early modern societies.” They do not

expressly mention whether this means a social contract in the sense of Rousseau, which demands the “total alienation of each member of society with all his rights into the whole community,” or “the great Leviathan” of Thomas Hobbes, in which the human being transfers rights and powers to the overseer of the state, representing executive, legislative and judicial power in one person who possesses a monopoly of power and cannot be removed from office.

In any case, the new Leviathan, here called “the global governance architecture,” and whose highest expression is supposed to be a UN council for sustainable development at the level of the Security Council and reflecting the 21st-Century community of states, is to be the world government representing absolute authority.

Pope Francis Capitulates

The fact that CBE Schellnhuber has gotten his program accepted in the Pontifical Academy of Sciences, raises the most serious questions as to how this was possible. For the most recent encyclical, “*Laudato Si*” of Pope Francis, in which anthropogenic climate change is presented as scientifically certain fact, represents a complete break with the view of mankind in the Augustinian tradition of the Catholic Church, and with the encyclicals since Pope Leo XIII. Schellnhuber was one of the three spokesmen who presented the new encyclical on June 18 in Rome.

At a climate conference organized by the Vatican in 2007, the president of the World Federation of Scientists, Antonio Zichichi, rejected the use of computer models as completely unsuitable for long-term climate forecasts on the grounds of the complexity of the problem, and pointed in addition to the multiple influences of the Solar System and the galaxy on the Earth’s climate, in opposition to which he characterized the man-made contribution to climate change as absolutely negligible. Several speakers contradicted then-Environment Minister Ed Miliband of Britain, when he claimed that the objectives of the British Government were the same as those of Popes John Paul II and Benedict XVI—rather those Popes were exactly opposed to birth- and population control as proposed by the Brundtland Commission Report and the WWF. And even during the Copenhagen Climate Summit of 2009, the Vatican very clearly attacked the Malthusian tendency of the affair.

With the incorporation of Schellnhuber’s ideas into the encyclical and thus the rejection of a serious approach, the Catholic Church has effectively involved itself in a



It took 346 years before Pope John Paul II (inset) formally stated the Catholic Church's error in the case of Galileo, and in 1992 also reflected the modern cosmology of Einstein in his 1992 apology for that error. Now Pope Francis has "effectively involved the Church in a new Galileo case" by capitulating to the global warming/depopulation fraud.

new Galileo case. In that case the Church needed 346 years before Pope John Paul II in 1979, on the occasion of the hundredth anniversary of Albert Einstein's birth, initiated the review of the case, in order then finally to admit the Church's error, after a 13-year trial, in 1992.

In his address to the participants of the full congregation of the Pontifical Academy of Sciences, Pope John Paul II said, *inter alia*:

From the Galileo affair we can learn a lesson which remains valid in relation to similar situations which occur today and which may occur in the future.

In Galileo's time, to depict the world as lacking an absolute physical reference point was, so to speak, inconceivable. And since the Cosmos, as it was then known, was contained within the Solar System alone, this reference point could only be situated in the earth or in the sun. Today, after Einstein and within the perspective of contemporary cosmology neither of these two reference points has the importance they once had. This observation, it goes without saying, is not directed against the validity of Galileo's position in the debate; it is only meant to show that often, beyond two partial and contrasting perceptions, there exists a wider perception which includes them and goes beyond both of them.

We can only hope that Pope Francis, who otherwise has said very important things about the character of today's system of financial capital—namely that it is a system which violates the Fifth Commandment, "Thou shalt not kill"—will include in his interpretation, our current knowledge of the universe, which encompasses not only our galaxy, whose influence on the climate of this planet is decisive, but billions of galaxies. One could then be confident that he would not support a pseudo-climate policy which thrusts the population potential of the Earth back to one billion.

British Royal Nazis

The attempt of the participants in the "Paris 2015 Conference" to establish binding climate goals whose entire premise is based on a gigantic fraud, which could only be carried out by a global dictatorship—and this in a world in

acute danger of destruction in a third, thermonuclear world war, a world whose trans-Atlantic financial system faces an implosion, and in which dozens of millions are already refugees from hunger, war and epidemics—must be decisively defeated in any case. It must go down in history as the last, miserable attempt of the failing British Empire to propagate its inhuman plans, as Prince Philip has proclaimed them *ad nauseam*, before this empire is finally ended.

The most recent revelations on the intensive connections of the House of Windsor to the Nazi regime are not really a surprise for historians. The disclosure of a 17-second family movie in which the 7- or 8-year old Elizabeth—later Queen Elizabeth II—can be seen as she presents the Hitler salute, is only the tip of the iceberg in this regard. In recent weeks hundreds of articles have been circulated, primarily in the British and American press and on the Internet, which throw light on the open admiration of various members of the monarchy and the British nobility for Hitler and the Nazis. The sympathies of Elizabeth's uncle, the later King Edward VIII, who after his abdication became Duke of Windsor, are known. More explosive is the role of Prince Philip, who maintained close connections to high-ranking Nazis through his three sisters, who were all married to leading members of the National Socialist Party and the SS.

The *Times of Israel* published a detailed interview



Young Princess Elizabeth practiced the Nazi salute in the 1930s in a “palace home movie” which reopened the issue of widespread British nobility support for Hitler and Mussolini at that time. But Prince Philip, with more Nazi “connections” than any other royal, became after World War II perhaps the world’s most dogmatic “environmentalist” in demanding the human population be reduced.

with the German-British historian Karina Urbach of the University of London regarding the results of her research on this subject, which she has just published in a book entitled, *Go-Betweens for Hitler* (*Verbindungsleute zu Hitler*). This involves the intensive alliance between broad sections of the British establishment and the Nazis, which played a central role in British geopolitics between the World Wars.

Prince Philip’s advisor for religious and climate questions, Martin Palmer, who, in his function as general secretary of the “Alliance for Religions and Environmental Protection,” organized a so-called “consciousness summit” in Paris on July 21 in preparation for the December conference, attacked the “anthropocentric salvation doctrine” on that occasion. He meant by this that religions such as Christianity, Judaism and Islam had difficulty understanding that mankind is simply not so important. There must be debate between representatives of these religions, he said, in order to expunge the idea that the human species represents something unique.

‘Decarbonization’ Is Green Genocide

Here the inhuman ideology comes out, which is just as characteristic of the Conservative Revolution directed against the “ideas of 1789,” as it is of the Nazis and the Green movement: The human being is only a higher animal, and therefore human life is not the slightest degree more inviolable than that of animals; one can also reduce the number of human beings if necessary—whether they were the helots in ancient Sparta, or the “useless eaters” of the Nazis, or now the six billion people who must be sacrificed to climate goals. Armin Mohler, the former head of the Siemens Foundation, has already described in his book of the same name, that the Conservative Revolution therefore wants to turn back to the pre-Christian mythology of Gaia, because only the Christian view of mankind brought with it the cultural optimism which made the modern development of the human species possible.

Christianity had this liberating effect for Europe, in any case, and as Nicholas of Cusa formulated it, it was exactly the *vis creativa* of the human being, arising from the human characteristic as *imago viva dei*, the living image of God, which was the basis of the unlimited human perfectibility and of the human identity as the crown of Creation, and not as a higher animal. The same culturally optimistic view is also found in Confucianism in China and was signified in the Vedic writings in India. In the pro-science traditions of these cultures can also be found the reason that both nations, at the Copenhagen Climate Conference in 2009, stood clearly opposed to the climate mafia of anthropogenic climate change, and thus offered backing to the G77 in finally refusing to sign “a suicide pact,” as their then-chairman Lumumba Di-Aping of Sudan put it at a press conference.

The recently industrialized and developing countries certainly have all the environmental problems which arise either from forced cheap-labor production or a total lack of development; but this does not mean that they therefore were not in a position to recognize the consequences of the “master plan” for decarbonization of the world economy. It was essentially their populations who belonged to the six billion for whom the carrying capacity of the Earth allegedly does not suffice.

In the improbable case that the Paris 2015 Climate Summit should succeed in adopting binding CO₂ emissions reductions, we can look forward to a world which looks roughly as it would have, had Hitler won the war.

Therefore we must do everything possible to attain a new paradigm in the history of mankind, in which science no longer sells its integrity for money.