‘Global Warming’ Scare is Population Reduction, Not Science

EIR SPECIAL REPORT

$25

EIR

SPECIAL REPORT

September 2015
Executive Intelligence Review
(Preview Version)

‘Global Warming’ Scare Is Population Reduction, Not Science

Edited by Paul Gallagher and Jason Ross

Written by Marcia Merry Baker, Alicia Cerretani, Benjamin Deniston, Liona Fan-Chiang, Paul Gallagher, Jeffrey Steinberg, and Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Typography by Alan Yue

Publication and distribution by Bob Bowen

Executive Intelligence Review
P.O. Box 17390
Washington, D.C. 20041
(800)278-3135

Price: $25
EIRSP 2015-1-0-0-PDF

EXECUTIVE INTELLIGENCE REVIEW

Executive Intelligence Review
P.O. Box 17390
Washington, D.C. 20014-0390
1-800-278-3135 (toll-free)

Paper: EIPSP-2015-001-00-000-STD $25
PDF: EIRSP-2015-001-00-000-PDF $25

ISBN (paper): 978-0-943235-26-4
ISBN (PDF): 978-0-943235-27-1

Credits

Editors: Jason Ross, Paul Gallagher
Production: Alan Yue
Printing and Distribution: Robert Bowen

Contents

INTRODUCTION

Defend Mankind from the Satanic Climate-Change Swindle

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Exactly 70 years after the end of the Nazi dictatorship, preparations are underway— largely unnoticed or misinterpreted by unsuspecting contemporaries—for the establishment of a fascist world government which would exceed Hitler's most audacious dreams. In place of the discredited doctrine of eugenics, which provided the pretense then for the elimination of so-called "inferior races," today it is the swindle of alleged anthropogenic climate change which supplies the argumentation to establish a global eco-dictatorship whose results, and whose declared intention is to eliminate six billion human beings—if it is not stopped.

On November 30-December 11 of this year, the COP21/CMP11 Climate Summit will take place in Paris, also called "Paris 2015." There a binding international convention is supposed to be concluded, obligating every nation in the world to agree to so-called climate goals in order to hold global warming to less than two degrees Celsius. This largest diplomatic conference ever to have taken place in Paris, a mammoth spectacle with about 40,000 participants, is supposed to represent the crowning conclusion to an unprecedented series of dozens of international conferences all during this year on the subject of anthropogenic climate change, aiming to get the agreement of political leaders, industry representatives, union leaders, religious representatives, and social groups of all kinds to this oncoming social contract.

Although this theory, spread with an unprecedented expenditure of propaganda, has by now been swallowed by many credulous people, it is in no way "established science," but rather very "old wine in new wineskins"; namely, the attempt to establish a world government through which to eliminate national sovereignty and thereby the possibility of individuals to participate in government through a representative system. In its place is intended to be a kind of modern feudal oligarchy, in which the club of billionaires and millionaires live in gross luxury while the mass of the population is to be left in backwardness with sharply reduced living standards, lower life expectancies, and reduced cognitive capabilities.

The means to this end is the scare campaign around man-made climate change, which is supposed to induce people voluntarily to do without virtually all the achievements of material and social progress through industrialization. The goal of such an eco-dictatorship is the "great transformation of the world economy" to the exclusive use of so-called renewable energy sources, and thus the decarbonization of the economy, in which both nuclear energy and fossil fuels disappear as rapidly as possible.

The proof offered for anthropogenic climate change consists of pre-fixed computer models, in which the desired result is determined in advance, and segments of historical climate data are selected in such a manner that they appear to demonstrate the effect of so-called greenhouse gases caused by mankind's industrial production and agriculture. But numerous scientists have demonstrated that this game of selecting climate data is entirely willful and staged for this purpose, and that computer-based scenarios are being consciously given out as "scientific" prognoses. There are many studies which show this fakery, and make clear that the man-made portion of the CO_2 concentration in the atmosphere is negligibly low, namely 0.018%; but more importantly, that the connection between CO_2 emissions and climate change is unproven, and thus that the entire argument is based on a spectacular swindle (see Section II of this Special Report).

Driving Energy Technology Down

If one looks at the Earth's climate over the period of millions of years, the changes from warming periods, ice ages, interglacial periods, little ice ages, rewarming

sustainability2009.commerzbank.com

An academic directly deployed by the British Crown since 2004, Hans Joachim Schellnhuber has become the German Merkel government's, and now Pope Francis' climate guru. He asserts that "scientific consensus" puts Earth's population potential at below 1 billion people.

periods after these ice ages, etc. result from cosmic radiation in connection with our Sun's cycles of activity, for which the number of sunspots forms a measure of the Sun's energy production; changes in the characteristics of the Earth's orbit; and the changing position of the Solar System in our galaxy, to name only some of the changing parameters.

What is very well proven, by contrast to anthropogenic climate change, is the connection between the energy-flux density applied in the production process and the number of human beings which can be supported by that production process level (see Section III of this Special Report]. By the intended decarbonization of the world economy combined with simultaneous demonization of nuclear energy, thus reducing society to renewable energy sources, the potential population which can be maintained at these lower energy flux densities is also reduced, and goes roughly to that of the pre-industrial era—a maximum of one billion people.

And just such population reduction is the expressed intention of, for example, Prince Philip, whose unspeakable statement of his wish to be reborn as a deadly virus in order best to support this intention, is notorious. This is also the cynical meaning of Hans Joachim Schellnhuber, head of the Potsdam Institute for Climate Research (PIK) and lately climate advisor to Pope Francis, who—in the course of the fortunately failed Copenhagen Climate Conference of 2009—celebrated as a "triumph of science" and proof of climate change, that planetary equilibrium required a human population of less than one billion.

Behind this lurks the old oligarchic view that the ruling elite is allowed periodically to reduce the population of slaves, helots, or the lower classes which have become too numerous, the way a herd of cattle can be culled as necessary. The idea of mankind connected with this perverse view was exactly described, for example, by Joseph de Maistre in his "Letter to a Russian Nobleman on the Spanish Inquisition." It is the arrogant proposition that only one's own upper class is possessed of essentially God-given privileges, while the mass of people can be terrorized into anxiety and fear, and thus kept under control. The entire history of imperial and colonial periods is a single history of this bestial practice, in which an upper class is viewed as a master race, whose alleged superiority must be defended in every possible way.

A more recent variant of this oligarchical tradition appeared in the form of eugenics, the theory so named by British anthropologist Francis Galton in 1883, according to which it is desirable to strive for the intended purity of this master race by the same kinds of criteria used in the breeding of horses or dogs. At the start of the 20th Century eugenics societies were organized in various European nations and in the United States, which fostered the greatest admiration for the race theory of Adolf Hitler and the National Socialists, and an entire array of whose prominent members and adherents, such as Prescott Bush or Averell Harriman, gave active financial support to the seizure of power by Hitler.

Eugenics Renamed 'Ecology'

After the "successful" application of eugenics in the holocaust operations of Tiergarten 4 and the concentration camps, this bestial method, naturally, was denounced. Julian Huxley, an open sympathizer of eugenics, wrote in 1946 in the official UN Document "UNESCO, Its Purpose and Its Philosophy": "Thus even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care, and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake, so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable." Huxley launched a campaign to replace the discredited term eugenics with the terms environmental protection, and/or ecology.

Huxley, president of the British Eugenics Society from 1959-62, worked from 1961 onward, together with Prince Philip, on the development of the World Wildlife Fund, WWF, an organization responsible for preventing innumerable infrastructure and development projects around the world, and responsible for a very large share of the misery suffered in countries of the so-called Third World. Prince Philip succeeded, through such fanatical propagandists, in getting the idea of population reduction raised to international political priority; and he pursued above all, the goal of making environmental protection acceptable to the great monotheistic religions, in whose optimistic view of mankind he saw the greatest barrier to his perfidious policies. The Biblical representation of man as the crown of Creation, as Christianity explicitly defined this, had to be replaced by any means, by the conception of human beings as mere caretakers of Nature, not occupying a pre-eminent position but on the contrary, representing a cancer threatening Nature.

As one of many propagators of this brown-green idea, Hans Joachim Schellnhuber distinguished himself, becoming titled as none other than a CBE, Commander of the Order of the British Empire, and founder and director of the Potsdam Institute for Climate Research. Moreover he is, among other things, currently co-chairman of the German government's Scientific Advisory Board on Global Environmental Change (WBGU, Wissenschaftlichen Beirat der Bundesregierung globale Unweltveränderungen), and most recently member of the Pontifical Academy of Sciences. Obscure despite his many titles, Schellnhuber suddenly gained a lamentable fame in the course of the failed Copenhagen Climate Summit in 2009, with his thesis that the carrying capacity of the Earth only allowed a human population of less than one billion.

The Queen Deploys Schellnhuber

Already in 2004 he had been designated by Queen Elizabeth II, together with Sir David King, climate advisor to the British Government and Monarchy, to be sent on a mission to the United States to convince President George W. Bush on anthropogenic climate change. This operation must have far exceeded the bounds which Bush considered acceptable, because he later complained to Tony Blair about it.

Also in 2004, Queen Elizabeth traveled to Berlin to open the German-British Climate Conference, and there granted Schellnhuber the CBE as thanks for his services. The European Climate Foundation, an institution sponsored by hedge funds whose Board of Advisors Chairman was Schellnhuber, thereupon increased its financing of climate activists in Germany from 2007 onwards, while he simultaneously advised the EU Commission on the development of guidelines for CO_2 emissions. As an energy advisor to German Chancellor Angela Merkel, he was presumably responsible for the German exit from nuclear power after the earthquake-tsunami catastrophe of March 11, 2011 in Fukushima.

Interestingly, scarcely one month after the earthquake, on April 7, Schellnhuber's German Government Scientific Advisory Board on Global Environmental Change (WBGU) published a study with the title: "World in Change—Social Contract for a Great Transformation." This was the blunt proposal for a global eco-fascism, a Green world dictatorship in the tradition of Thomas Hobbes, H.G. Wells, and Carl Schmitt, which projected the complete "decarbonization" of the world's energy industry. This means the final elimination of nuclear fission, which is advised against; nuclear fusion, which is claimed to be eventually attainable but too complicated; and the complete abandonment of fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and natural gas by the year 2050.

The study had been six years in preparation and interestingly was designated as a "Master Plan for Social Transformation" by WBGU Chairman Schellnhuber, although it really should be called a master plan for a forced imperial consolidation or even "master plan for the collective suicide of the human race."

For Germany, then, this began its exit as a country in the world community which could contribute something significant, from a scientific standpoint, for the really existential problems of humanity. This began the willful elimination of the potential for scientific discovery of necessary knowledge, because it began to direct human and industrial resources, as well as financial means, into completely delusory fields of technology with lowered energy flux densities. Above all, the intellectual potential of students and researchers was thus absorbed into areas which ultimately represent a dead end in the development of mankind.

The study's methodological approach fully reflected the statistical linear thinking of complex computer models, as they are customarily used by systems analysts, and as we recognized it already, for example, in the Club of Rome: The computer is programmed so that the planned result is produced.

One can only recommend that all citizens read this

Twice in two years, widespread polar ice has diverted or endangered "global warming explorations" in hemispheric mid-Summer. In 2013 the Akademik Shokalskiy became frozen in Antarctic ice in December. Now the Canadian icebreaker Amundsen (above), diverted from global warming research, is back at work since July freeing vessels in Hudson's Bay from record ice.

study for themselves (it can be found at the WGBU's Internet site, www.wbgu.de), and not make the same mistake that was made in Germany about a certain other piece published in 1925—namely, that it was not read thoroughly or taken seriously.

The New Leviathan

What is proposed here *expressis verbis* is a "great transformation" in which production, patterns of consumption, and life styles are all to be fundamentally changed, as happened in both previous fundamental transformations in world history. These two transformations were the transition from hunting and gathering societies to the discovery and spread of agriculture and animal husbandry—the so-called "Neolithic revolution"—and the "industrial revolution," which describes the transition from agrarian to industrial society. This time, however, the transformation is directed backwards, to a "climate-compatible and sustainable world economic order." And this means energy flux densities which, even if the authors naturally do not say so, correspond in reality to the population potential of pre-industrial society, thus roughly 1-2 billion people. It is obvious: If the developing and so-called newly industrialized countries are subject to this eco-dictate, death rates will rise without limit.

In order to be able to create the "contractual basis" for this new sustainable world economic order, the authors bombastically demand a new "world social contract," an idea which is expressly "linked to models in the natural law of early modern societies." They do not expressly mention whether this means a social contract in the sense of Rousseau, which demands the "total alienation of each member of society with all his rights into the whole community," or "the great Leviathan" of Thomas Hobbes, in which the human being transfers rights and powers to the overseer of the state, representing executive, legislative and judicial power in one person who possesses a monopoly of power and cannot be removed from office.

In any case, the new Leviathan, here called "the global governance architecture," and whose highest expression is supposed to be a UN council for sustainable development at the level of the Security Council and reflecting the 21st-Century community of states, is to be the world government representing absolute authority.

Pope Francis Capitulates

The fact that CBE Schellnhuber has gotten his program accepted in the Pontifical Academy of Sciences, raises the most serious questions as to how this was possible. For the most recent encyclical, "Laudato Si'" of Pope Francis, in which anthropogenic climate change is presented as scientifically certain fact, represents a complete break with the view of mankind in the Augustinian tradition of the Catholic Church, and with the encyclicals since Pope Leo XIII. Schellnhuber was one of the three spokesmen who presented the new encyclical on June 18 in Rome.

At a climate conference organized by the Vatican in 2007, the president of the World Federation of Scientists, Antonio Zichichi, rejected the use of computer models as completely unsuitable for long-term climate forecasts on the grounds of the complexity of the problem, and pointed in addition to the multiple influences of the Solar System and the galaxy on the Earth's climate, in opposition to which he characterized the man-made contribution to climate change as absolutely negligible. Several speakers contradicted then-Environment Minister Ed Miliband of Britain, when he claimed that the objectives of the British Government were the same as those of Popes John Paul II and Benedict XVI—rather those Popes were exactly opposed to birth- and population control as proposed by the Brundtland Commission Report and the WWF. And even during the Copenhagen Climate Summit of 2009, the Vatican very clearly attacked the Malthusian tendency of the affair.

With the incorporation of Schellnhuber's ideas into the encyclical and thus the rejection of a serious approach, the Catholic Church has effectively involved itself in a

It took 346 years before Pope John Paul II (inset) formally stated the Catholic Church's error in the case of Galileo, and in 1992 also reflected the modern cosmology of Einstein in his 1992 apology for that error. Now Pope Francis has "effectively involved the Church in a new Galileo case" by capitulating to the global warming/depopulation fraud.

new Galileo case. In that case the Church needed 346 years before Pope John Paul II in 1979, on the occasion of the hundredth anniversary of Albert Einstein's birth, initiated the review of the case, in order then finally to admit the Church's error, after a 13-year trial, in 1992.

In his address to the participants of the full congregation of the Pontifical Academy of Sciences, Pope John Paul II said, *inter alia*:

> From the Galileo affair we can learn a lesson which remains valid in relation to similar situations which occur today and which may occur in the future.
>
> In Galileo's time, to depict the world as lacking an absolute physical reference point was, so to speak, inconceivable. And since the Cosmos, as it was then known, was contained within the Solar System alone, this reference point could only be situated in the earth or in the sun. Today, after Einstein and within the perspective of contemporary cosmology neither of these two reference points has the importance they once had. This observation, it goes without saying, is not directed against the validity of Galileo's position in the debate; it is only meant to show that often, beyond two partial and contrasting perceptions, there exists a wider perception which includes them and goes beyond both of them.

We can only hope that Pope Francis, who otherwise has said very important things about the character of today's system of financial capital—namely that it is a system which violates the Fifth Commandment, "Thou shalt not kill"—will include in his interpretation, our current knowledge of the universe, which encompasses not only our galaxy, whose influence on the climate of this planet is decisive, but billions of galaxies. One could then be confident that he would not support a pseudo-climate policy which thrusts the population potential of the Earth back to one billion.

British Royal Nazis

The attempt of the participants in the "Paris 2015 Conference" to establish binding climate goals whose entire premise is based on a gigantic fraud, which could only be carried out by a global dictatorship—and this in a world in acute danger of destruction in a third, thermonuclear world war, a world whose trans-Atlantic financial system faces an implosion, and in which dozens of millions are already refugees from hunger, war and epidemics—must be decisively defeated in any case. It must go down in history as the last, miserable attempt of the failing British Empire to propagate its inhuman plans, as Prince Philip has proclaimed them *ad nauseam*, before this empire is finally ended.

The most recent revelations on the intensive connections of the House of Windsor to the Nazi regime are not really a surprise for historians. The disclosure of a 17-second family movie in which the 7- or 8-year old Elizabeth—later Queen Elizabeth II—can be seen as she presents the Hitler salute, is only the tip of the iceberg in this regard. In recent weeks hundreds of articles have been circulated, primarily in the British and American press and on the Internet, which throw light on the open admiration of various members of the monarchy and the British nobility for Hitler and the Nazis. The sympathies of Elizabeth's uncle, the later King Edward VIII, who after his abdication became Duke of Windsor, are known. More explosive is the role of Prince Philip, who maintained close connections to high-ranking Nazis through his three sisters, who were all married to leading members of the National Socialist Party and the SS.

The *Times of Israel* published a detailed interview

Young Princess Elizabeth practiced the Nazi salute in the 1930s in a "palace home movie" which reopened the issue of widespread British nobility support for Hitler and Mussolini at that time. But Prince Philip, with more Nazi "connections" than any other royal, became after World War II perhaps the world's most dogmatic "environmentalist" in demanding the human population be reduced.

with the German-British historian Karina Urbach of the University of London regarding the results of her research on this subject, which she has just published in a book entitled, *Go-Betweens for Hitler* (*Verbindungsleute zu Hitler*). This involves the intensive alliance between broad sections of the British establishment and the Nazis, which played a central role in British geopolitics between the World Wars.

Prince Philip's advisor for religious and climate questions, Martin Palmer, who, in his function as general secretary of the "Alliance for Religions and Environmental Protection," organized a so-called "consciousness summit" in Paris on July 21 in preparation for the December conference, attacked the "anthropocentric salvation doctrine" on that occasion. He meant by this that religions such as Christianity, Judaism and Islam had difficulty understanding that mankind is simply not so important. There must be debate between representatives of these religions, he said, in order to expunge the idea that the human species represents something unique.

'Decarbonization' Is Green Genocide

Here the inhuman ideology comes out, which is just as characteristic of the Conservative Revolution directed against the "ideas of 1789," as it is of the Nazis and the Green movement: The human being is only a higher animal, and therefore human life is not the slightest degree more inviolable than that of animals; one can also reduce the number of human beings if necessary—whether they were the helots in ancient Sparta, or the "useless eaters" of the Nazis, or now the six billion people who must be sacrificed to climate goals. Armin Mohler, the former head of the Siemens Foundation, has already described in his book of the same name, that the Conservative Revolution therefore wants to turn back to the pre-Christian mythology of Gaia, because only the Christian view of mankind brought with it the cultural optimism which made the modern development of the human species possible.

Christianity had this liberating effect for Europe, in any case, and as Nicholas of Cusa formulated it, it was exactly the *vis creativa* of the human being, arising from the human characteristic as *imago viva dei*, the living image of God, which was the basis of the unlimited human perfectibility and of the human identity as the crown of Creation, and not as a higher animal. The same culturally optimistic view is also found in Confucianism in China and was signified in the Vedic writings in India. In the pro-science traditions of these cultures can also be found the reason that both nations, at the Copenhagen Climate Conference in 2009, stood clearly opposed to the climate mafia of anthropogenic climate change, and thus offered backing to the G77 in finally refusing to sign "a suicide pact," as their then-chairman Lumumba Di-Aping of Sudan put it at a press conference.

The recently industrialized and developing countries certainly have all the environmental problems which arise either from forced cheap-labor production or a total lack of development; but this does not mean that they therefore were not in a position to recognize the consequences of the "master plan" for decarbonization of the world economy. It was essentially their populations who belonged to the six billion for whom the carrying capacity of the Earth allegedly does not suffice.

In the improbable case that the Paris 2015 Climate Summit should succeed in adopting binding CO_2 emissions reductions, we can look forward to a world which looks roughly as it would have, had Hitler won the war.

Therefore we must do everything possible to attain a new paradigm in the history of mankind, in which science no longer sells its integrity for money.

How the British Turned Genocide and Race Science "Green"

By Jeffrey Steinberg

At the end of World War II, when the world was still learning of the horrors of the Nazi genocide, and the Nuremberg Tribunals were just barely getting underway, the British Monarchy immediately launched a revival of the very same policies of race science and population genocide that had produced the Nazi euthanasia and the death camps.

(For the remainder of this article, please purchase the entire report: http://store.larouchepub.com/product-p/eirsp-2015-1-0-0-pdf.htm)

British Crown's Depopulation Pope: CBE Hans Joachim Schellnhuber

The "climate expert" who presented to the world the Catholic Pope's capitulation to the global warming swindle, Hans Joachim Schellnhuber, Commander of the Order of the British Empire (CBE), has been directly deployed by the British Crown since at least 2004 on missions to bring governments into line on "climate and environmental protection." He is also a member of the Club of Rome, which burst upon the world 45 years ago with a systems-analysis computer model of the future of the human species—*Limits to Growth*—which was proven to be, and later admitted to be, a fraud based on a deliberate "deletion" of human technological progress.

In the rush of fame which accompanied Schellnhuber's Mephistophelian role in Pope Francis' encyclical *Laudato Si'*, he has emphasized that he took a hard line among other Papal advisors regarding the document: It had not only to accept global warming, but attribute it *entirely* to mankind's activities. On the other hand, Schellnhuber has tried hard to deny that he brought the demand for population reduction into the Vatican; but he is on the record. At the 2009 Copenhagen Climate Summit, for which he organized on behalf of Prince Charles of Britain, Schellnhuber claimed in his public presentation that the Earth's "carrying capacity" would allow a human population of only about 1 billion.

For a mathematical physicist unknown to the public until very recently, Schellnhuber has a wide variety of titles, including those above. He is a member of the global-warming "authority," the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). He was the German government's Chief Advisor on Climate during Germany's 2007 EU Council Presidency; he is also an Advisory Board member at Deutsche Bank. He directs the German government's Advisory Council on Global Climate Change, which in turn is directing Germany's suicidal "exit from nuclear power." He is recently a member of the Vatican Academy of Sciences.

After having founded the Potsdam Institute on Climate (PIK), Schellnhuber was brought to the United Kingdom in 2002, to assume the post of Research Director at the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research in Norwich, a branch of Oxford University's Tyndall Center. He was also brought on to the Oxford University Physics Department and the Environmental Change Institute. He was at the "authoritative" East Anglia Climatic Research Unit when it was found that global warming researchers there were exaggerating their data for purposes of influencing energy policy. Schellnhuber organized a Nobel Prize holders' "Conference on Global Sustainability" in 2011, and arrogantly commented then about the East Anglia scandal, "When one has become a Nobel Prize winner . . . one is permitted to speak about moral standards, for then, when one has 'been knighted,' so to speak, one is raised above any doubt. . ." video here

A Series of Royal Missions

Schellnhuber is one of a team of royal "climate advisors" deployed globally by the Crown, including Prince Philip's advisors Martin Palmer and Sir David Attenborough. In early 2004, Queen Elizabeth II considered Professor Schellnhuber as the best man for a sensitive operation to pressure President George W. Bush into agreeing to the anthropogenic climate-change swindle. Schellnhuber traveled to Washington, D.C. along with Prime Minister Tony Blair's top science advisor, Sir David King, who is now the British Crown's Special Representative for Climate Change, appointed by the Foreign and Commonwealth Office in September 2013. The Bush White House reportedly formally complained to British Prime Minister Blair about this mission.

Also in 2004, the Queen traveled to Berlin to open a British-Germany conference on environmental protection and it was there that she dubbed Schellnhuber a

Commander of the Order of the British Empire.

In 2005, Blair turned to Schellnhuber to organize a conference on "Avoiding Dangerous Climate Change," at the G8 summit in Gleneagles, Scotland.

With Professor Schellnhuber as chairman of the advisory board, the European Climate Foundation (ECF), from 2007 on, generously funded German "climate activists" while at the same time, Schellnhuber was working with the EU Commission on guidelines for the reduction of CO2 emissions.

In 2009, Schellnhuber, in close collaboration with Prince Charles, coordinated preparations for the UN Copenhagen Climate Conference (COP15). Preparations included another mission to Washington, this time to personally press the Obama Administration on the urgency of the monarchy's global "decarbonization" intent. Schellnhuber's work here was easy: Obama's Science Advisor was and is depopulation champion John Holdren, a long-time associate and collaborator of Schellnhuber and a Paul Ehrlich follower who worked with Margaret Mead on the first "global warming" conference in 1975. (Obama himself has more recently confessed his doting admiration for anti-human population guru Sir David Attenborough's work.) The Copenhagen conference nonetheless failed, after representatives of developing and emerging countries—and the Vatican—realized that the intention behind the climate question was massive population reduction.

www.pnas.org

The Pope's adoption of the "global warming" agenda—including the idea of mankind as pollution on the Earth—is a disastrous event for humanity, and a victory for the British Royal Family, acting through this man: Hans Joachim Schellnhuber.

Master Plan for Feudal Oligarchy

Schellnhuber's most successful Crown deployment, prior to the conquest of Pope Francis, was his role as German Chancellor Angela Merkel's energy advisor and head of the German Government Advisory Council on Global Change (WGBU). Shellnhuber bears the main responsibility for inducing Merkel to make the climate-change question the top agenda item during Germany's presidency of the EU in 2007—something which not only wrecked Germany as an industrial nation, but also seriously compromised Merkel's personal integrity as a scientist.

And in 2011, in his capacity as chairman of the WBGU, Shellnhuber presented a master plan for a "World in Transition: A Social Contract for a Great Transformation," a proposal for establishing a worldwide eco-fascist order, published March 17, 2011 by the WGBU.

Here Schellnhuber said, "The requisite decarbonisation of energy systems means that the pressure is on to act, not just in the industrialised countries, but also in the dynamically growing newly industrialising and developing countries. Even the poorer developing countries must veer towards a low emission development path in the medium term. *The era of fossil energy carrier reliant economic growth must be brought to an end."* [emphasis added]

A fundamental overhaul of the UN to make it a world environmentalist government did not exceed his "knightly" ambition:

> Considering the scale of the described challenges of the transformation, the WBGU believes that there are plenty of arguments for an even more radical approach that would go beyond the existing UN architecture, a fundamental restructuring of the organisation. Currently, this does not seem feasible in political terms, as it would need a political leadership that is guided by a profound realisation of vital global necessities, for example, in the UN Security

Council as well as other industrialised and newly industrialising countries.

If this were the case, a reform should start with a review of the UN Charter, and aim for a completely restructured United Nations organisation. Its purpose would be to take the planetary guard rails into account as a guiding principle that governs UN actions, and the pursuit of which would guarantee protection of climate and environment as much as peace, security and development.

Orders were issued to the BRICS nations and to other nations in Asia, Africa and South America to give up their nuclear programs:

> Several countries are currently planning to increase their use of nuclear energy. The WBGU urgently advises against this, above all because of the not negligible risk of serious damages, the still unresolved issues concerning final storage, and the danger of uncontrolled proliferation. Existing plants should be replaced by sustainable energy technologies as soon as possible, and, in the case of evident safety deficiencies, be closed down immediately. However, the phase out of nuclear energy must not be compensated by renewed or intensified brown or black coal-based energy generation.

And for his Nobel Prize holders' conference "on global sustainability" that year, Schellnhuber wrote in a statement, "Unsustainable production, consumption, and population growth endanger the carrying capacity of the planet to sustain human activity." And under the subhead, "Reducing the Pollution of Human Beings," this Schellnhuber document continued, "Consumption, inefficient use of raw materials, and inappropriate technologies are the main reasons for the growing human burden on the planet. Population growth must be addressed."

Seeing Promethean Fire as Pollution

On May 3 of this year, in an interview in the *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, Schellnhuber broadened the denunciation to "fire" in general, echoing the rejection of Promethean fire in the encyclical *Laudato Si'* which he co-wrote for Pope Francis. "In the age of fire, mankind has grown to a certain planetary power. . ." he said. "And thus we are steering on in complete ignorance of the firewalls of the planetary system. Is there an alternative course? There are many! But all require, not reform, but rather the early defeat of the fossil-nuclear complex."

Schellnhuber then proposed the remaking of representative democracy: The propagation of international environmental protection legislation through the UN; and the reservation of 5-10% of the seats in national parliaments for appointed "ombudsmen for the rights of future generations." These ombudmen, he suggested, would organize referenda against the energy policies of the "fossil-nuclear complex."

These statements characterizing the human species as planetary pollution, and population growth as destroying the planet, indicate the reason for Hans-Joachim Schellnhuber's great usefulness for the British oligarchy, his positions at the World Bank, Deutsche Bank, on international committees, and his imposition on Chancellor Merkel's government and the Vatican by the British Crown.

The Encyclical from Hell

by Paul Gallagher

Anyone who reads the encyclical written by Commander of the Order of the British Empire John Schellnhuber for issuance by Pope Francis, cannot escape its call for the destruction of mankind—that sinful and violent race made from the mere soil of the creator "Mother Earth."

Pope Francis presumably does not want to wipe out most of the human species. But the British Royal Family does—publicly so—and the Pope has capitulated to the leading Satanic forces on Earth. The Encyclical *Laudato Si'* is a horrible corruption of the Catholic Church and of Christianity. It is also an assault against science, technological progress, and the idea of human beings as co-creators with the Creator.

(For the remainder of this article, please purchase the entire report.)
http://store.larouchepub.com/product-p/eirsp-2015-1-0-0-pdf.htm)

Prince Philip: Founding Father of The Environmentalist Movement

"In the event I am reborn, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation."

– Prince Philip, 1988

If there was one man, without whose championing of the modern day environmentalist movement it would not exist today, it is HRH Prince Philip. Most people may find it hard to believe that a royal, a high-ranking member of the world's most powerful financial and military empire, and who has enjoyed the most lavish lifestyle of any human being on the planet, has played such a crucial role in launching the environmentalist and conservation movements today. Then again, most people believe the environmentalist movement is about saving the environment.

(For the remainder of this article, please purchase the entire report.)
ttp://store.larouchepub.com/product-p/eirsp-2015-1-0-0-pdf.htm)

Develop the Ultimate Resource—the Mind; Reject Environmentalist Baby-Killers[ni]

Author and former long-time environmentalist Paul Driessen was interviewed Aug. 12 by Jason Ross for LaRouchePAC.

Ross:: Hi, I'm Jason Ross here with LaRouchePAC, sitting down for an interview with Paul Driessen, the author of *Eco Imperialism: Green Power, Black Death* and a Senior Policy Adviser for the Committee For A Constructive Tomorrow (CFACT), an institution devoted to reversing the excesses and errors of environmental regulation. Now, Paul, this hasn't always been your relationship to the environmentalist movement. Could you tell us a bit about yourself?

Driessen:: To me, my relationship is much like President Reagan said about his to the Democratic Party: I didn't leave the environmental movement—the environmental movement left me. And the gist of it is, we started this movement back in the '60s, when I was still in college. I was part of that initiative. We had major pollution problems, water and air quality, all kinds of issues. And over the years, because we developed new laws, new regulations, new attitudes, new policies, a whole new mindset about the environment, we took care of those problems.

(For the remainder of this article, please purchase the entire report.)
ttp://store.larouchepub.com/product-p/eirsp-2015-1-0-0-pdf.htm)

Temperature Doesn't Follow CO_2 As Alarmists Claim

by Benjamin Deniston

Aug. 3, 2015—Those supporting the narrative of an impending man-made climate change catastrophe base this on an assertion that the Earth's climate is extremely sensitive to increases in CO_2 emissions and that CO_2 ranks high among the most important factors determining climate across many timescales. When you hear about rising human CO_2 emissions causing everything from devastating droughts to worsening storms, from sea level rise to mass extinctions, realize that these are all based on computer models built on the assertion that changes in CO_2 will have a strong effect on global climate. However, there is one minor problem with their arguments: reality does not support that assertion.

FIGURE 1



The annual average global temperature is from the Hadley Center in the United Kingdom. The cumulative emissions are from the international inventory data base of the USA Department of Energy. The CO_2 levels prior to 1959 are from NOAA records of Antarctic ice cores, and CCO_2 levels after 1959 are from measurements at Mauna Loa, Hawaii. CO_2 increases are measured above a 1900 baseline value of 300 parts per million (by volume). Graphic adapted from an original by Ferdinand Engelbeen.

The climate is always changing, with many factors involved (as discussed in the accompanying article, "What Causes Climate Change? The Sun, the Solar System, and the Galaxy"), and the historical and geological evidence tells us that CO_2 is a relatively *minor* factor (if much of a factor at all). (For the remainder of this article, please purchase the entire report.) ttp://store.larouchepub.com/product-p/eirsp-2015-1-0-0-pdf.htm)

What Causes Climate Change? The Sun, the Solar System, and the Galaxy

by Benjamin Deniston

Aug. 3, 2015—Where historical and geological records of CO_2 changes fail to correspond with temperature changes, shifts in the activity of the Sun, the characteristics of the Solar System, and the Sun's position within the Galaxy do match climate and related changes quite well. This can be clearly seen on a series of different timescales.

On the timescale of the past century, variations in Solar activity match changes in the Earth's temperature (which clearly deviate from the trends in CO_2 emissions). This has been shown with measurements of average US temperature, average Arctic temperature, and average global temperature, compared with changes in solar activity. From around 1900 the temperature increased until about the middle of the century – when CO_2 emissions were relatively low, *but solar activity was on the rise*. From about the 1940s to the mid-1970s, temperature held flat, or even declined – matching the easing of solar activity, but not matching the accelerated increase in CO_2 emissions. The warming from the mid-1970s to the end of the century matches both the increase in solar activity and the increase in CO_2 emissions, but since the turn of the century solar activity has leveled off and temperature has leveled off with it (while CO_2 emissions continue to accelerate)(**Figure 1**).

While it has been argued that the measured changes in the amount of sunlight reaching the Earth are too small to account for the observed global climate change, a new body of research is showing that there is an additional process which amplifies the effect of the Sun on the Earth's climate: the Sun's role in affecting the flux of galactic cosmic radiation, which plays a critical role in cloud formation (and, therefore, cli-

FIGURE 1



"Methods" of Climate Alarmists

by Benjamin Deniston

Aug. 3, 2015—Looking at the activity of proponents of the man-made climate change catastrophe narrative, we are left to ask about their apparent methodology – *if the experimental or observational data does not match the model, why not just change the data?*

This brings to mind the statements of some of the founding fathers of the man-made climate change catastrophe scare. Dr. Stephen Schneider, who was one of the early leading advocates of the need to stop a supposed man-made global warming catastrophe in the 1980s (after having warned of an imminent threat of man-made global cooling in the 1970s). Schneider was the founder and editor of the journal Climatic Change, author or co-author of hundreds of papers on climate change, a coordinating lead author in the IPCC's 2001 Third Assessment Report, and a consultant to many US presidential administrations. In a 1989 article in Discover magazine, Schneider was quoted discussing the "method" needed by climate alarmists:

> On the one hand, as scientists we are ethically bound to the scientific method, in effect promising to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but. … on the other hand, we are not just scientists but human beings as well … we need to get some broad-based support, to capture the public's imagination. That, of course, entails getting loads of media coverage. So we have to offer up scary scenarios, make simplified, dramatic statements, and make little mention of any doubts we might have… Each of us has to decide what the right balance is between being effective and being honest.[1]

FIGURE 1



A: featured in the 1990 IPCC report, on the first few pages of Chapter 7, "Observed Climate Variations and Change" as the schematic representation of climate change over the past 1,000 years (page 202). B: 2001 IPCC report. C and D: "Corrections to the Mass et al. (1998) Proxy Data Base and Northern Hemisphere Average Temperature Series," McIntyre and McKitrick, Energy and Environment, 2003. E: "Cosmic Rays and Climate," by Jasper Kirkby, Surveys in Geophysics 28, 333–375. F: An Inconvenient Truth.

1, S.H. Schneider, In J. Schell "Our Fragile Earth." Discover (Oct. 1989), pp. 45-48.

FIGURE 2



US historical temperature records as of 1999, "Whither U.S. Climate?" James Hansen, Reto Ruedy, Jay Glascoe and Makiko Sato, http://www.giss.nasa.gov/ August 1999.

Dr. Schneider had been a participant in a 1975 "endangered atmosphere" conference organized by Margaret Mead, herself a leading advocate of population reduction.[2] At that conference (which included other soon-to-be leading climate alarmists, including the man who later became Obama's science adviser, John Holdren) Mead used her keynote address to express her promotion of this "method":

> What we need from scientists are estimates, presented with sufficient conservatism and plausibility but at the same time as free as possible from internal disagreements that can be exploited by political interests, that will allow us to start building a system of artificial but effective warnings, warnings which will parallel the instincts of animals who flee before the hurricane, pile up a larger store of nuts before a severe winter, or of caterpillars who respond to impending climatic changes by growing thicker coats.

Recognizing this "methodology" at the roots of the entire movement claiming we're facing imminent catastrophic effects from mankind's CO2 emissions, puts some recent cases of data manipulation and "adjustments" to historical data records in an interesting perspective.

Case 1 – Hockey Stick and Disappearance of the Medieval Warm Period

Much of the narrative that human CO_2 emissions are taking us to a point of catastrophic climate change has been supported by claims that recent warming is "unprecedented." *If* indeed the recent warming had no precedent in recent periods of natural changes, then it would make sense to look for what new (possibly man-made) influence might be causing this deviation from prior natural trends (e.g., recent increases in CO_2 and other emissions).

However many records of past climate have consistently shown that there was a time about 1,000 years ago when temperatures were near current levels, if not warmer. The existence of this "medieval warm period" posed such a challenge to the notion that present warming is "unprecedented," that it was disappeared.

Despite being well recognized enough to be in the IPCC's 1990 First Assessment Report as *the* leading schematic diagram of natural climate change over the past 1,000 years (see **Figure 1**, Box A), by the release of the IPCC's 2001 Third Assessment Report the medieval warm period was conveniently gone. The new presentation of climate change over the past 1,000 years depicted a much flatter and more stable representation of past global temperature, with the only

2. "The Atmosphere: Endangered and Endangering," 1975 conference in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

large deviation being a dramatic increase in temperature during the 20th Century (see Figure 1, Box B).

This new presentation of past climate became the go-to illustration to show how "unprecedented" recent climate change has been – proof that mankind must be the factor responsible for this otherwise anomalous deviation from the stable trend of prior centuries.

The only problem with this reassessment of our understanding of the past is that it is ridiculously untrue.

The statistical methods used to produce the new presentation of past global temperature were inherently biased towards producing a flat trend-line followed by a sharp increase – resembling a hockey stick (see Figure 1, Box D). In fact it was shown that if this statistical method was applied to a completely random data set it would produce the same hockey stick effect. When analyzing *the exact same data* with proper methods, the hockey stick character goes away, the medieval warm period returns, and the 20th Century is no longer unprecedented (see Figure 1, Box C).[3]

The IPCC and other alarmists have rejected hundreds of accounts of the medieval warm period in favor of adopting the story presented by a study based on ridiculously dubious methods – *because it fit their desire to "offer up scary scenarios."*

Despite this fraud being revealed by 2003, the hockey stick (or similar depictions) continued to be used, and alarmists continued to claim that recent climate change is unprecedented. For example, Al Gore used a similar depiction in his movie, *An Inconvenient Truth* (see Figure 1, Box F) – a film that was delivered to school teachers across the UK to be used in their curricula.

Recent studies have continued to show the existence of the medieval warm period (see Figure 1, Box E), and while debate continues as to whether it was warmer than the present, the scare-story narrative that the climate change over the past century is unprecedented and dramatically different from historical records is ridiculous.

FIGURE 3



Above, net adjustments to historical records of global temperature between 2008 and 2015, as produced by the US National Climatic Data Center (NCDC). Middle and below, visualization of step by step adjustments for two specific months, January 1915 and January 2000. Image adapted from an original by professor Ole Humlum.

Case 2 – Adjustments of Historical Records and the Elimination of the Pause

It is a difficult challenge to derive a single measure for average global temperature – and not just for past periods (going back thousands or millions of years), but also for recent periods, where we have direct measurements from instruments. Many different measurements – taken in different locations, at different times, and with different instruments – have to be weighted, combined, and averaged in order to provide a single value.

With such an undertaking it is no surprise that prior assessments might get changed and adjusted over time (as methods of analysis might improve or more measurements might become available). However, for those supporting the claim of a coming man-made cli-

3. "The Atmosphere: Endangered and Endangering," 1975 conference in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

mate change catastrophe, the adjustments are consistently biased towards supporting their claims. Let's look at a few examples.

When was the hottest period of the past century? The answer to that question would depend upon what region you are talking about, *but it would also depend upon when you asked that question.* For example, in 1999 Dr. James Hansen (then head of the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, which focuses heavily on climate change) authored an article on climate change which utilized a graphic of the official US government assessment of average temperature change in the United States over the past 120 years.[4] By the 1999 figures it was recognized that 1998 was a hot year, but 1921, 1931, 1934, and 1953 were all recorded has hotter years for the United States, with 1934 being over a half a degree (Celsius) hotter (**Figure 2**, Box A).

However, if we examine the records provided by NOAA and NASA today the assessment of temperatures in the past have been adjusted to lower values, with 1921, 1931, 1934, and 1953 all becoming cooler than 1998.

Such convenient adjustments are not limited to the historical records of temperature in the United States. Professor Ole Humlum has analyzed the many adjustments made by the US government's official records of global air surface temperature (produced by NOAA's National Climatic Data Center). Through a series of adjustments between May 2008 and February 2012, the official historical records of global temperature in the first half of the 20th Century have been systematically adjusted cooler, and more recent temperatures systematically adjusted hotter – *accelerating the claimed measured rate of warming solely by adjusting what instrument records were supposed to have said about the past in 2008, versus what the same instrument records were supposed to have said about the past in 2012.*

Figure 3A depicts the cumulative adjustments to the historical global temperatures between 2008 and 2015, and **Figure 3B** analyzes just two specific months, January 1915 and January 2000, examining how the historical values of those two dates changed with each adjustment made between 2008 and 2012.

Most recently, NOAA has released a new revised data set of adjusted global temperatures, leading to new claims of increased warming. Again, this is not showing that the latest data from recent months shows more warming, this is adjusting the assessments from *prior years*, and changing what they claim the past was.

Whereas two assessments of global average temper-

FIGURE 4



The RSS (Remote Sensing Systems) and UAH (University of Alabama in Huntsville) analysis of satellite measurements show that there has been no trend of global temperature increase since the late 1990s. Graphics reproduced from originals by Bob Tisdale. Results from adjusted ground measurements from "Possible artifacts of data biases in the recent global surface warming hiatus," Karl et al, Science, June 2015

4. "Whither U.S. Climate?" James Hansen, Reto Ruedy, Jay Glascoe and Makiko Sato, http://www.giss.nasa.gov/ August 1999.

ature based on satellite measurements have shown that global temperatures have not increased for nearly two decades, a new paper utilizing *adjusted* values from NOAA (based on the combination of various land and ocean surface measurements) claims to show that temperatures have been increasing over the past two decades.[5] A section from their abstract reads, "The central estimate for the rate of warming during the first 15 years of the 21st Century is at least as great as the last half of the 20th Century." This flatly contradicts the results provided by two assessments based on satellite measurements, but conveniently fits the narrative of the alarmists.

In one sense, man-made warming is unarguably real: it is created not by CO_2, but rather by "adjusting" the temperature records.

Taken together, these manipulations of past climate records – and the way these manipulations have been used to scare the public – shouldn't be much of a surprise. In the 1970s and 1980s Margaret Mead and Stephen Schneider already told us how the climate alarmists were going to operate, and these more recent data manipulations are just a few examples of their "methods."

5. "Possible artifacts of data biases in the recent global surface warming hiatus," Karl et al, Science, June 2015.

Increasing Energy Flux-Density: The Only Competent Energy Policy

by Benjamin Deniston

Some of the earliest archaeological distinctions between mankind and the apes come with the first appearance of ancient fire pits, used to control the power of fire for the betterment of the conditions of life for those wielding that new power.

From that time onward, mankind could no longer be characterized biologically or by biological evolution—the evolution of the creative mental powers unique to the human mind became the determining factor. Biology took a backseat to the increased power of thought wielded by the human species.

Moving to historical times, this secret—and science—of economic growth, can be measured by the control over successively higher forms of fire. This started with transitions to more energy-dense forms of chemical fire, from simple wood burning, to charcoal, then to coal and coke, and onto petroleum and natural gas – one kilogram of coal having 50% more energy than one kilogram of wood, and one kilogram of diesel fuel having 70% more energy than the single kilogram of coal. Each of these new fuels depended upon new chemical reactions, which not only provided the potential for a more energy dense form of fire, but opened up new domains of control and utilization of matter. Metallurgy, materials development, and physical chemistry all developed in dynamic interaction with the development of new forms of fire.

Germany: Case Study in The Failure of Green Energy

by Alicia Cerretani, Benjamin Deniston

Germany's energy policy, especially under the influence of Hans Joachim (John) Schellnhuber, serves as a warning: green energy is not sustainable for a modern industrial economy. Germany's nuclear exit – with laws established to fully eliminate nuclear power in Germany by 2022 – coupled with its massive expansion of solar and wind, has been a disaster. Since this policy has been aggressively implemented for some years, we can now examine the results.

In 2013 an author for the leftist {Dissent} magazine provided a breakdown of the realities of wind and solar power in Germany in 2012:[1]

In 2012 German wind power advertised an installed electrical generation capacity of nearly 31,000 megawatts, but the average production for the year *was only 17% of that capacity*. Solar power fared even worse: with an advertised capacity of 29,000 megawatts, its average generation for the year was only *11% of capacity*.

The expansion of inefficient wind and solar has been massively subsidized, and the costs of electricity are so high that people in Germany call their energy bill their "second mortgage." In 2004 residential electricity was about 23 cents (U.S.) per kilowatt-hour, and by 2015 it was 35 cents (among the highest prices for any developed nation); electricity prices for companies have risen 60% over the past five years, driving Germany's critical industrial and manufacturing out of the nation. A significant portion of this cost increase is directly from a "renewable energy surcharge" added to electricity bills to cover the cost of key subsidies to wind and solar. In 2013 German renewable energy subsidies were around 27 billion US dollars,[2] adding seven cents per kilowatt-hour to electricity bills – an added green energy surcharge which, alone, was nearly 70% of the average total electricity rate in the United States. In an added irony, these measures have not done anything to reduce Germany's annual CO2 emissions, which have remained the same for the past decade.

At the Tenth International Conference on Climate Change (held in Washington D.C., 2015) an overview of the failure of Germany's wind and solar power program was presented by Wolfgang Müller (the General Secretary of the European Institute for Climate and Energy), providing further details of Germany's failed energy policy.[3]

Wind

Between 1994 and 2012, the number of wind turbines in Germany increased from roughly 2,000 to 23,000. Not only do they operate far below capacity, the output fluctuates wildly. In 2014 Germany's 35,000 megawatts of wind power capacity operates at less than 30% of capacity 90% of the time, and at less than 10% of capacity 55% of the time (never reaching above 70%).

To illustrate the dramatically varying, and often minimal, production of power from wind we can examine data from a single month of electricity generation (August 2014) in Figure 1.

Solar

In 2000 Germany solar power capacity was merely 114 megawatts. In 15 years' time – driven by their massive subsidy program – this was increased over 300-fold, to 37,400 megawatts. As with wind, the actual electricity generation never comes close to this advertised capacity figure, and output varies significantly – reaching over 40% of capacity only 11% of the time, and remaining below 30% of capacity 60% of the time.

The fluctuation is not merely from the obvious day-night variation, but day to day as well, with power pro-

1. "Green Energy Bust in Germany," by Will Boisvert, Dissent, Summer 2013.

2. More than the United States government has spent on funding magnetic confinement fusion research over the past 50 years.

3. Tenth International Conference on Climate Change (ICCC), Panel 5: "Climate Program Impacts," Heartland Institute, June 11, 2015.

FIGURE 1

Wind: Installed Capacity vs. Output

Maximum installed capacity=35,000 MW



Electricity generated from all of Germany's wind turbines during the month of August 2014, measured against the advertised installed capacity. Image adapted from that used by Wolfgang Müller at the 2015 ICCC.

FIGURE 2

PV: Installed Capacity vs. Output

Maximum installed capacity=37,400 MW



Electricity generated from all of German solar power during the month of August 2014, measured against the advertised installed capacity. Image adapted from that used by Wolfgang Müller at the 2015 ICCC.

FIGURE 3

Interventions To Stabilize the Grid



Number of interventions required to stabilize the electrical grid in Germany, 2004 to 2014. Image adapted from that used by Wolfgang Müller at the 2015 ICCC.

duction at the mercy of the clouds. A more detailed examination of a single month shows the dramatic fluctuation in the electricity generation in Figure 2.

Problems for the Grid

If we take Germany's wind and solar power together in 2014, 75% of the time they operated below 20% of their cumulative installed capacity, and the irregular starts and stops created problems for an electrical delivery grid which depends upon reliable supplies of power.

Before the massive expansion of wind and solar power, very few interventions were required to stabilize Germany's energy grid. In 2006 there were only three or four interventions required, but in 2012 there were nearly 1,000 interventions required in order to keep a consistent and reliable source of energy available around the clock. In 2014 over 3,500 such interventions were required to rescue the national energy supply from the effects of fluctuations due to unreliable supply inputs. The trend is shown in **Figure 3**.

As an added irony to the whole insanity which has been Germany's energy policy under Chancellor Angela Merkel and John Schellnhuber, Germany's level of CO2 emissions has not changed after more than a decade of this green program. The amount of electricity put on the grid from "renewable" sources has nearly quadrupled between 2000 and 2013 (requiring over an eleven-fold increase in installed capacity), but the level of CO2 emissions has remained steady over that entire period, as the shutdown of nuclear power has required an increase in coal and natural gas plants to maintain stable power supplies. In 2012 Germany commissioned 2,900 megawatts of new coal power plants, capable of providing nearly twice the power of all the wind and solar added in that same year.

In total, Germany has massively subsidized a monstrous expansion of inefficient green energy supplies, providing irregular and sporadic power, creating a physical economic drain on the German economy, driving out productive industry and manufacturing, without producing the slightest reduction in their CO2 emissions – and at the price of a "second mortgage" to Germans in the form of their electricity bill.

Let the lesson be learned – there is no need for other nations to repeat this failure.

FIGURE 4

CO_2 Emissions vs. Electricity from 'Renewables'



Electricity produced from renewable sources in Germany compared with total CO2 emissions; despite a nearly four-fold increase in renewable energy, there is no decrease in CO2 emissions. Image adapted from that used by Wolfgang Müller at the 2015 ICCC

The Facts on Fusion

by Liona Fan-Chiang, Benjamin Deniston

When mentioning fusion power the refrain, "fusion is *always* 30 years away," has become a recurring bad joke. While this cynical statement is often presented as a supposed proof that fusion has practically insurmountable technical challenges, the reality is quite different.

In 1976 the United States Energy Research and Development Administration (a precursor to the modern US Department of Energy) published a thorough roadmap to reach fusion power, "Fusion Power by Magnetic Confinement Program Plan" (ERDA 76-110). Under the direction of Dr. Stephen Dean, the 1976 report proposed a dynamic roadmap, with various reactor designs, stages, and goals which were to be pursued in order to reach the development of a functioning first generation fusion power plant (which was to be commercializable for the development and implementation of second generation systems throughout the economy).



(For the remainder of this article, please purchase the entire report.)
http://store.larouchepub.com/product-p/eirsp-2015-1-0-0-pdf.htm)

Wall Street and London Made a 'Carbon Copy' of the Subprime Swindle

by Paul Gallagher

July 28, 2015—Deutsche Bank, the world's largest bank for financial derivatives, erected a 70-foot-tall doomsday "carbon clock" in New York City's Madison Square Garden in 2011, tracking greenhouse-gas emission levels in real time: 2 billion metric tons added each month, or 800 tonnes a second.

The garish display was, in fact, part of another Deutsche Bank financial fraud, carried out by traders on the bank's carbon trading floor in Frankfurt, Germany. In May 2015, Frankfurt's chief prosecutor announced an ongoing investigation of 26 current or former carbon-emissions traders at Deutsche Bank—17 on suspicion of tax evasion, five for money laundering and four for obstruction of justice—all in connection with the European Union's carbon trading network and the United Nations' so-called Clean Development Mechanism. On July 15, eight were arrested and charged with tax evasion in connection with carbon trading. The Frankfurt prosecutor accuses them of securing fees and bonuses from participating in a "carbon emission certificate" scam that resulted in tax evasion worth 136 million euros ($149 million), the magazine reported.

At least 14 people from two banks have been jailed in three countries so far, for their involvement in carbon trading tax fraud. The European police agency Europol has estimated such crime has cost taxpayers more than 5 billion euros in lost revenue since 2008. The repeated raids at Deutsche Bank over carbon-trading fraud in the past two years contributed to the resignations of the bank's co-CEOs in June.

"Decarbonization of the world economy," as proposed by the British Royal Family satanic advisors like John Schellnhuber, is not only a genocidal proposal, impossible without killing billions of people. It has also been seized on by big banks and big oil as the justification for creating a new derivatives, or "swaps" bubble

U.S. 'Green Disease' Spread After Kennedys and King Were Eliminated

by Marcia Merry Baker

In 1948 the Conservation Foundation was set up in Washington, D.C., as a continuation of the Hitler-era European-based eugenics and nature societies also active in America. The first director of the Foundation was Henry Fairfield Osborn, Jr. (1887-1969), whose father was a co-founder of the American Eugenics Society in 1926. The Conservation Foundation began a very active agenda, using code-language to avoid master-race taint, to promote pessimism and the unscientific bunk, that resources are limited and population must be curbed.

The Conservation Foundation is a marker of how a battery of initiatives—conferences, books, articles, university courses, etc.—was set loose in the United States in the post-WWII period, by leading British and trans-Atlantic race science advocates, to impose their evil program. The "enemy" to these networks, was the traditional American presumption, reinforced by the FDR years, that scientific, cultural and economic advancement can and must be achieved, forever. Population growth is a blessing.

Progress—Enemy to the Greens

In practice, this human American outlook was in effect during the 1940s through the early 1960s in many areas. Nuclear power as the coming new energy mode was seen in the 1956 opening of the first commercial nuclear reactor, in western Pennsylvania. Soon there were dozens of orders for reactors. In 1953 President Eisenhower announced the Atoms for Peace program, committed to the nuclear era to uplift all mankind.

The New Silk Road Becomes The World Land-Bridge



The BRICS countries have a strategy to prevent war and economic catastrophe. It's time for the rest of the world to join!

This 374-page report is a road-map to the New World Economic Order that Lyndon and Helga LaRouche have championed for over 20 years. This path is currently being charted by the nations of the BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa), which are leading a dynamic of global optimism toward real economic development, complete with new credit institutions and major high-technology projects for uplifting all mankind.

Includes:

Introduction by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, "The New Silk Road Leads to the Future of Mankind!"

The metrics of progress, with emphasis on the scientific principles required for survival of mankind: nuclear power and desalination; the fusion power economy; solving the water crisis. Detailed maps show what has been accomplished and what has not, since Zepp-LaRouche first addressed a Beijing conference on the Eurasian Land-Bridge in 1996.

The three keystone nations: China, the core nation of the New Silk Road; Russia's mission in North Central Eurasia and the Arctic; India prepares to take on its legacy of leadership.

Other Regions: The potential contributions of Southwest, Central, and Southeast Asia; Australia as a driver for Pacific Development; Europe, the western pole of the New Silk Road; Africa—the Test for Global Progress; bringing the Western Hemisphere on board; the LaRouches' 40-year fight for international development.

See: worldlandbridge.com

The report is available
in PDF for $200
and in hard copy for $250
plus shipping and handling.

Soon to be available in Chinese and Korean.

Discounts for textbook, library and other special use.

ORDER FROM
http://store.larouchepub.com

PHONE:
800-278-3135

EIR
P.O. Box 17390
Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

ISBN 978-0-943235-26-4
52500
9 780943 235264